

# Software Requirements Specification for Medical Image Segmentation Library

Ao Dong

December 10, 2019

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Oct 11	1.0	Initial draft
Oct 15	1.1	Revised according to GitHub issues
Oct 17	1.2	Added more nonfunctional requirements
Oct 18	1.3	Revised input image format and functional requirements
Oct 21	1.4	Revised TM, IM and nonfunctional requirements
Dec 8	2.0	Changed from CA to SRS

## 2 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

### 2.1 Table of Units

Throughout this document SI (Système International d'Unités) is employed as the unit system. In addition to the basic units, several derived units are used as described below. For each unit, the symbol is given followed by a description of the unit and the SI name.

symbol	unit	SI
N/A		

### 2.2 Table of Symbols

The table that follows summarizes the symbols used in this document along with their units. The choice of symbols was made to be consistent with the heat transfer literature and with existing documentation for solar water heating systems. The symbols are listed in alphabetical order.

symbol	unit	description
$a$	N/A	dimension of spatial coordinates
$b$	N/A	dimension of feature values
$C_1$	N/A	the first class with pixels in $[0, k]$
$C_2$	N/A	the second class with pixels in $[k + 1, L - 1]$
$f$	N/A	function defining an input image
$F$	N/A	input medical image
$g$	N/A	function defining an output image
$G$	N/A	output segmentation image
$h$	N/A	function defining a mathematical image
$H$	N/A	2D digital grayscale image
$i$	N/A	intensity value
$k$	N/A	threshold value in Otsu' Method
$k_1$	N/A	threshold value in Otsu' Method with multiple thresholds
$k_2$	N/A	threshold value in Otsu' Method with multiple thresholds
$k^*$	N/A	optimal threshold value found by Otsu' Method
$k_1^*$	N/A	optimal threshold value found by Otsu' Method with multiple thresholds

$k_2^*$	N/A	optimal threshold value found by Otsu' Method with multiple thresholds
$L$	N/A	number of the discrete levels of the feature value
$m_1$	N/A	mean intensity of the pixels in $C_1$
$m_2$	N/A	mean intensity of the pixels in $C_2$
$m_3$	N/A	mean intensity of the pixels in the third class
$m_G$	N/A	mean global intensity
$n_i$	N/A	number of pixels of intensity $i$
$\mathbb{N}$	N/A	set of all natural numbers
$p_i$	N/A	normalized histogram
$P_1$	N/A	probability of the first class $C_1$
$P_2$	N/A	probability of the second class $C_2$
$P_3$	N/A	probability of the third class
$\mathbb{R}$	N/A	set of all real numbers
$\sigma_B$	N/A	between-class variance
$T_h$	N/A	threshold value for segmentation
$x$	N/A	x-axial coordinate of a image
$y$	N/A	y-axial coordinate of a image
$X, X_i, X_o$	N/A	x-axial pixel length of an (input or output) image
$Y, Y_i, Y_o$	N/A	y-axial pixel length of an (input or output) image
$\mathbb{Z}$	N/A	set of all integers

---

## 2.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms

---

symbol	description
<hr/>	
2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
A	Assumption
DD	Data Definition
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
GD	General Definition
GS	Goal Statement
IM	Instance Model
LC	Likely Change
MG	Module Guide
MIA	Medical Image Applications
MIS	Module Interface Specification
MISEG	Medical Image Segmentation Library
PS	Physical System Description
R	Requirement
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
T	Theoretical Model
VTK	the Visualization Toolkit
VnV	Verification and Validation

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision History</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Reference Material</b>	<b>ii</b>
2.1	Table of Units . . . . .	ii
2.2	Table of Symbols . . . . .	ii
2.3	Abbreviations and Acronyms . . . . .	iv
<b>3</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1	Purpose of Document . . . . .	1
3.2	Scope of the Family and the Software . . . . .	1
3.3	Characteristics of Intended Reader . . . . .	2
3.4	Organization of Document . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>General System Description</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Potential System Contexts . . . . .	3
4.2	Potential User Characteristics . . . . .	4
4.3	Potential System Constraints . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Specific System Description</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	Problem Description . . . . .	4
5.1.1	Terminology and Definitions . . . . .	4
5.1.2	Goal Statements . . . . .	6
5.2	Solution Characteristics Specification . . . . .	6
5.2.1	Assumptions . . . . .	7
5.2.2	Theoretical Models . . . . .	7
5.2.3	Data Definitions . . . . .	8
5.2.4	Instance Models . . . . .	11
5.2.5	Input Data Constraints . . . . .	13
5.2.6	Properties of a Correct Solution . . . . .	14
<b>6</b>	<b>Requirements</b>	<b>15</b>
6.1	Functional Requirements . . . . .	15
6.2	Nonfunctional Requirements . . . . .	15
<b>7</b>	<b>Likely Changes</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Unlikely Changes</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Traceability Matrices and Graphs</b>	<b>16</b>

## 3 Introduction

Medical imaging technologies in medical diagnoses have become essential and effective tools for professionals in medicine. Computers are also widely used to generate, process, and visualize medical images. There is a big family of computer software developed to serve these purposes.

The following sections provide an overview of the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) for one software library - Medical Image Segmentation Library (MISEG) - in the family of Medical Imaging Applications (MIA). This section explains the purpose of this document, the scope of the family, the characteristics of the intended reader, and the organization of the document.

### 3.1 Purpose of Document

The major purpose of this document is to describe the specifications for MISEG and some commonalities of MIA. Goals, assumptions, theoretical models, definitions, and other model derivation information are specified, allowing the reader to fully understand and verify the purpose and scientific basis of MIA. This SRS will remain abstract, describing what problem is being solved, but not how to solve it.

This document will be used as a starting point for subsequent development phases, including writing the design specification and the software verification and validation plan. The design document will show how the requirements are to be realized, including decisions on the numerical algorithms and programming environment. The verification and validation plan will show the steps that will be used to increase confidence in the software documentation and the implementation. Although the SRS fits in a series of documents that follow the so-called waterfall model, the actual development process is not constrained in any way. Even when the waterfall model is not followed, as [Parnas and Clements \(February 1986\)](#) point out, the most logical way to present the documentation is still to “fake” a rational design process.

### 3.2 Scope of the Family and the Software

According to [Bankman \(2000\)](#), MIA deal with 6 different basic problems, while [Angenent et al. \(2006\)](#) pointed out that 4 fundamental problems are solved by MIA. While both mentioned Segmentation, Registration and Visualization of medical images, Bankman also included Enhancement, Quantification and a section covering some other functions [Bankman \(2000\)](#). On the other hand, Angenent’s team included Simulation [Angenent et al. \(2006\)](#). According to [Wikipedia contributors \(2019\)](#), MIA have major functions in categories such as Segmentation, Registration, Visualization (including the basic display, reformatted views and 3D volume rendering), Statistical Analysis, Image-based Physiological Modelling, etc. As [Kim et al. \(2011\)](#) describe, the general steps of medical image analysis after obtaining digital data include Enhancement, Segmentation, Feature Extraction, Classification and Interpretation.

The major functions of MIA can be divided into several sections and sub sections as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Major functions of MIA

In this project, the scope of the software is limited to the software library providing the segmentation tools and functions.

### 3.3 Characteristics of Intended Reader

Reviewers of this documentation should have an understanding of functions, sets and binary numbers in discrete math from level 1 or 2 computer science and probability from level 1 and 2 calculus.

The users of MISEG can have a lower level of expertise, as explained in Section 4.2.



### 3.4 Organization of Document

The organization of this document follows the template for an SRS for scientific computing software proposed by Parnas (1972) and Parnas and Clements (February 1986). The presentation follows the standard pattern of presenting goals, theories, definitions, and assumptions. For readers that would like a more bottom up approach, they can start reading the instance models in Section 5.2.4 and trace back to find any additional information they require. The goal statements (Section 5.1.2) are refined to the theoretical models and the theoretical models (Section 5.2.2) to the instance models (Section 5.2.4). The instance models to be solved are referred to as IM1, IM2, IM3, IM4.

## 4 General System Description

This section identifies the interfaces between the system and its environment, describes the potential user characteristics and lists the potential system constraints.

### 4.1 Potential System Contexts

Figure 2 shows the system context. A circle represents an external entity outside the software, the user in this case. A rectangle represents the software system itself (MIA). Arrows are used to show the data flow between the system and its environment. MISEG are mostly self-contained. The only external interaction is through the user interface. The responsibilities of the user and the system are as follows:

- User Responsibilities:
  - Provide the input data to the system
  - Given two or more options by the system, decide to use which calculation method
- MISEG Responsibilities:
  - Detect data type mismatch, such as a text file instead of a image file
  - Determine if the inputs satisfy the required mathematical and software constraints
  - Calculate the required outputs




Figure 2: System Context

## 4.2 Potential User Characteristics

The end user of MISEG should have an understanding of undergraduate Level 1 Calculus and Physics.

## 4.3 Potential System Constraints

There are no system constraints.

# 5 Specific System Description

This section first presents the problem description, which gives a high-level view of the problem to be solved. This is followed by the solution characteristics specification, which presents the assumptions, theories, definitions and finally the instance models.

## 5.1 Problem Description

Segmentation, separation of structures of interest from the background and from each other [Bankman \(2000\)](#). Image segmentation is the process of partitioning an image into different meaningful segments. In medical imaging, these segments often correspond to different tissue classes, organs, pathologies, or other biologically relevant structures [Forouzanfar et al. \(2010\)](#). Image segmentation is one of the most interesting and challenging problems in computer vision generally and medical imaging applications specifically [Elnakib et al. \(2011\)](#).

Regarding the different medical image segmentation methods, [Withey and Koles \(2007\)](#) suggested that they can be divided into 3 generations from low-level to high-level technologies as shown in table [1](#).

This document is focusing on the Intensity Threshold method.

### 5.1.1 Terminology and Definitions

This subsection provides a list of terms that are used in the subsequent sections and their meaning, with the purpose of reducing ambiguity and making it easier to correctly understand the requirements:

- Image: in Mathematics, an image is defined as a function  $h : \mathbb{R}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^b$ .
- Digital image: When the spatial coordinates and the function value are finite and discrete, the image is called digital, shown as  $h : \mathbb{Z}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^b$ .
- Grayscale image: In digital photography, computer-generated imagery, and colorimetry, a grayscale or greyscale image is one in which the value of each pixel is a single sample representing only an amount of light, that is, it carries only intensity information.

Generation	Category		
	Region-based	Boundary Following	Pixel Classification
1 <sup>st</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Region growing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Edge tracing (heuristic)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intensity threshold</li> </ul>
2 <sup>nd</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deformable models</li> <li>• Graph search</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minimal path</li> <li>• Target tracking</li> <li>• Graph search</li> <li>• Neural networks</li> <li>• Multiresolution</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Statistical pattern recognition</li> <li>• C-means clustering</li> <li>• Neural networks</li> <li>• Multiresolution</li> </ul>
3 <sup>rd</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shape models</li> <li>• Appearance models</li> <li>• Rule-based</li> <li>• Coupled surfaces</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Atlas-based</li> <li>• Rule-based</li> </ul>

Table 1: Segmentation Methods [Withey and Koles \(2007\)](#)

- 2D Digital Image: the computer-based generation of digital images - mostly from two-dimensional models (such as 2D geometric models, text, and digital images) and by techniques specific to them.
- Medical Image: visual representations of the interior of a body for clinical analysis and medical intervention, as well as visual representation of the function of some organs or tissues (physiology).
- Grayscale Intensity: represents gray levels, where the intensity 0 usually represents black and the intensity 255 usually represents full intensity, or white.
- Shades of Gray: variations of gray or grey include achromatic grayscale shades, which lie exactly between white and black, and nearby colors with low colorfulness.
- Coordinates: in geometry, a coordinate system is a system that uses one or more numbers, or coordinates, to uniquely determine the position of the points or other geometric elements on a manifold such as Euclidean space.
- Histogram: a histogram is an accurate representation of the distribution of numerical data. It is an estimate of the probability distribution of a continuous variable.
- Pixel: in digital imaging, a pixel, pel, or picture element is a physical point in a raster image, or the smallest addressable element in an all points addressable display device; so it is the smallest controllable element of a picture represented on the screen.

- VTK: the Visualization Toolkit is an open-source, freely available software system for 3D computer graphics, modeling, image processing, volume rendering, scientific visualization, and 2D plotting.
- DICOM: Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) is the standard for the communication and management of medical imaging information and related data.

### 5.1.2 Goal Statements

Given the medical images as inputs, the goal statements are:


GS1: Calculate and display the optimal threshold value  $k^*$  with Otsu's Method.

GS2: Output the processed images representing the segmentation results with one threshold.

GS3: Calculate and display multiple optimal threshold values  $k_1^*$  and  $k_2^*$  with Otsu's Method.

GS4: Output the processed images representing the segmentation results with multiple thresholds.

## 5.2 Solution Characteristics Specification



The instance models that govern MISEG are presented in Subsection 5.2.4. The information to understand the meaning of the instance models and their derivation is also presented, so that the instance models can be verified.

### 5.2.1 Assumptions

A1: The images are 2D and grayscale.

A2: The pixel format of input images is DICOM image, where the feature value is the gray intensity value stored as an 12-bit or 16-bit integer giving a range of possible values from 0 to 4095 or 65535.

The pixel format of output images is the byte image, where the feature value is the gray intensity value stored as an 8-bit integer giving a range of possible values from 0 to 255.

### 5.2.2 Theoretical Models

This section focuses on the general equations and laws that MISEG is based on.

Number	T1
Label	<b>Mathematical Image</b>
Equation	$h : \mathbb{R}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^b$
Description	In Mathematics, an image is defined as a function $h : \mathbb{R}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^b$ , where $\mathbb{R}^a$ denotes the set of a-dimensional spatial coordinates and $\mathbb{R}^b$ the set of b-dimensional feature values.
Source	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018a)</a>
Ref. By	DD1

Number	T2
Label	<b>Single Global Threshold Method</b>
Equation	$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} L - 1, & \text{if } f(x, y) > T_h \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x, y) \leq T_h \end{cases}$
Description	$f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are the feature values at $(x, y)$ of the input and output image respectively. $L$ is the number of the discrete levels of the feature value. $T_h$ is the threshold value.
Source	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018b)</a>
Ref. By	DD5 T3 IM2

Number	T3
Label	<b>Multiple Global Thresholds Method</b>
Equation	$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} L - 1, & \text{if } f(x, y) > T_{h2} \\ L/2, & \text{if } T_{h1} < f(x, y) \leq T_{h2} \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x, y) \leq T_{h1} \end{cases}$
Description	$f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are the feature values at $(x, y)$ of the input and output image respectively. $L$ is the number of the discrete levels of the feature value. $T_{h1}$ and $T_{h2}$ are the threshold values.
Source	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018b)</a>
Ref. By	IM4

Number	T4
Label	<b>Otsu's Method</b>
Equation	$\sigma_B^2(k^*) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq L-1} \sigma_B^2(k)$
Description	Otsu's method is aimed in finding the optimal value for the global threshold $T$ . The optimal threshold value, $k^*$ , satisfies the above equation, where using $k$ as threshold to divide all pixels of the image into two classes: $C_1$ (pixels in $[0, k]$ ) and $C_2$ (pixels in $[k + 1, L - 1]$ ). $\sigma_B$ is the between-class variance.
Source	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018b)</a>
Ref. By	IM1 IM3

### 5.2.3 Data Definitions

This section collects and defines all the data needed to build the instance models. The dimension of each quantity is also given.

Number	DD1
Label	<b>Digital Image</b>
Symbol	N/A
SI Units	N/A
Equation	$h : \mathbb{Z}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^b$
Description	In Mathematics, an image is defined as a function $h : \mathbb{R}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^b$ . Usually, $a = 2$ and, in the simplest case, $b = 1$ . When the spatial coordinates and the function value are finite and discrete, the image is called digital, shown as $h : \mathbb{Z}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^b$ .
Sources	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018a)</a>
Ref. By	DD2

Number	DD2
Label	<b>2D Digital Grayscale Image</b>
Symbol	$H$
SI Units	N/A
Equation	$H_{X \times Y} = [h(x, y)]_{X \times Y}$ where $h(x, y) \in \{0, 1, \dots, L - 1\}$
Description	In this project, we only consider 2D grayscale images, as stated in A1. The images can be defined as $h : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , or the above equation. $X \times Y$ is the size of the image. $(x, y)$ denotes the 2D spatial coordinates, where $x \in [0, X - 1]$ and $y \in [0, Y - 1]$ . $h(x, y)$ denotes the feature values at $(x, y)$ of the image. Depending on the type of image, the feature value could be light intensity, depth, intensity of radio wave or temperature. $\{0, 1, \dots, L - 1\}$ is the set of discrete levels of the feature value, and $L$ is the number of the levels. In this project, we refer to $h(x, y)$ as gray intensity value (or intensity) at $(x, y)$ .
Sources	<a href="#">Pal and Pal (1993)</a>
Ref. By	DD5 T2 T3 IM1IM3

[I also don't think this is adding anything new. If the new thing is the output type, then couldn't you work that into your previous definitions? —SS] [I combined it into the above DD —Author]

[I'm not sure what this DD says that the previous one doesn't already say. In other DDs you will define the output, but this one doesn't really define the output, it just says that there will be output. —SS] [I deleted that DD —Author]

Number	DD3
Label	<b>Number of the shades of gray</b>
Symbol	$L$
SI Units	N/A
Equation	$L \in \{2^n   n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
Description	$L$ is the number of the shades of gray, also referred as number of intensity levels, where $n \in \{8, 12, 16\}$ , as stated in A2.
Sources	<a href="https://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/rbf/HIPR2/value.htm">https://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/rbf/HIPR2/value.htm</a>
Ref. By	DD4 DD5 T2T3 T4 IM1IM3

Number	DD4
Label	<b>Threshold Value</b>
Symbol	$T_h$
SI Units	N/A
Equation	$T_h \in \{1, \dots, L - 2\}$
Description	In this project, we refer to $h(x, y)$ gray intensity value (or intensity) at $(x, y)$ . Then, $T_h \in [1, 254]$ .
Sources	Ferrari (2018b)
Ref. By	DD5 T2 T3 IM1IM3



Number	DD5
Label	<b>Between-class Variance</b>
Symbol	$\sigma_B^2$
SI Units	N/A
Equation	$\sigma_B^2 = P_1(m_1 - m_G)^2 + P_2(m_2 - m_G)^2$
Description	<p><math>n_i</math> is the number of pixels of intensity <math>i</math>, where <math>i \in \{0, 1, \dots, L - 1\}</math>. <math>p_i</math> is the normalized histogram, where</p> $p_i = \frac{n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{L-1} n_i}.$ <p>Using <math>k, 0 &lt; k &lt; L - 1</math>, as threshold, there are two classes: <math>C_1</math> (pixels in <math>[0, k]</math>) and <math>C_2</math> (pixels in <math>[k + 1, L - 1]</math>).</p> <p><math>P_1</math> is the probability of the class <math>C_1</math>, where</p> $P_1 = P(C_1) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i.$ <p><math>P_2</math> is the probability of the class <math>C_2</math>, where</p> $P_2 = P(C_2) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{L-1} p_i = 1 - P_1.$ <p><math>m_1</math> is the mean intensity of the pixels in <math>C_1</math>, where</p> $m_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k i \cdot P(i C_1) = \sum_{i=1}^k i \cdot \frac{P(C_1 i)P(i)}{P(C_1)} = \frac{1}{P_1} \sum_{i=1}^k i \cdot p_i, \text{ since } P(C_1 i) = 1, P(i) = p_i, \text{ and } P(C_1) = P_1.$ <p><math>m_2</math> is the mean intensity of the pixels in <math>C_2</math>, similarly</p> $m_2 = \frac{1}{P_2} \sum_{i=k+1}^{L-1} i \cdot p_i.$ <p><math>m_G</math> is the mean global intensity, where</p> $m_G = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} i \cdot p_i.$
Sources	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018b)</a>
Ref. By	T4 IM1 IM3

#### 5.2.4 Instance Models

This section transforms the problem defined in Section 5.1 into one which is expressed in mathematical terms. It uses concrete symbols defined in Section 5.2.3 to replace the abstract symbols in the models identified in Sections 5.2.2.

Medical image segmentation by Threshold Method can be solved by T2, T4, DD2, DD3, DD4

Number	IM1
Label	<b>Otsu's Method to find the single optimal threshold value <math>k^*</math></b>
Input	$H_{X \times Y}, h(x, y)$ from DD2, $L$ from DD3, $\sigma_B, k, P_1, P_2, m_1, m_2, m_G$ from DD5
Output	$k^*$ , such that $\sigma_B^2(k^*) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq L-1} \sigma_B^2(k)$
Description	Since $P_1 m_1 + P_2 m_2 = m_G$ and $P_1 + P_2 = 1$ , $\sigma_B^2 = P_1(m_1 - m_G)^2 + P_2(m_2 - m_G)^2 = P_1 P_2 (m_1 - m_2)^2$ Hence, for each value of $k$ , $\sigma_B$ can be computed, where $\sigma_B^2(k) = P_1(k) P_2(k) (m_1(k) - m_2(k))^2$
Sources	Ferrari (2018b)
Ref. By	IM2

Number	IM2
Label	<b>Use single global threshold to output segmentation image</b>
Input	$H_{X \times Y}, h(x, y)$ from DD2, $L$ from DD3, $k^*$ from IM1
Output	$G_{X \times Y}$ , such that for pixel at each $(x, y)$ , $g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 255, & \text{if } f(x, y) > k^* \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x, y) \leq k^* \end{cases}$
Description	The output image $G_{X \times Y}$ has the same size and format as the input image $F_{X \times Y}$ . As a piece of 8-bit grayscale image, all of its pixels are with intensity of either 255 or 0, so it only shows the same information as a binary image.
Sources	Ferrari (2018b)
Ref. By	

Number	IM3
Label	<b>Otsu's Method to find the multiple optimal threshold values <math>k_1^*</math> and <math>k_2^*</math></b>
Input	$H_{X \times Y}, h(x, y)$ from DD2, $L$ from DD3, $\sigma_B, k, P_1, P_2, m_1, m_2, m_G$ from DD5
Output	$k_1^*$ and $k_2^*$ , such that $\sigma_B^2(k_1^*, k_2^*) = \max_{0 < k_1 < k_2 < L-1} \sigma_B^2(k_1, k_2)$
Description	Similarly as the normal Otsu's Method, $\sigma_B^2(k_1, k_2) = P_1(k_1)(m_1(k_1) - m_G)^2 + P_2(k_2)(m_2(k_2) - m_G)^2 + P_3(k_3)(m_3(k_3) - m_G)^2$
Sources	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018b)</a>
Ref. By	IM4

Number	IM4
Label	<b>Use multiple global thresholds to output segmentation image</b>
Input	$H_{X \times Y}, h(x, y)$ from DD2, $L$ from DD3, $k_1^*$ and $k_2^*$ from IM3
Output	$G_{X \times Y}$ , such that for pixel at each $(x, y)$ , $g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 255, & \text{if } f(x, y) > k_2^* \\ 128, & \text{if } k_1^* < f(x, y) \leq k_2^* \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x, y) \leq k_1^* \end{cases}$
Description	The output image $G_{X \times Y}$ has the same size and format as the input image $F_{X \times Y}$ . As a piece of 8-bit grayscale image, all of its pixels are with intensity of 255, 128 or 0, so it shows more information than a binary image.
Sources	<a href="#">Ferrari (2018b)</a>
Ref. By	

### 5.2.5 Input Data Constraints

Table 2 shows the data constraints on the input output variables. The column for physical constraints gives the physical limitations on the range of values that can be taken by the variable. The column for software constraints restricts the range of inputs to reasonable values. The software constraints will be helpful in the design stage for picking suitable algorithms. The constraints are conservative, to give the user of the model the flexibility to experiment with unusual situations. The column of typical values is intended to provide a

feel for a common scenario. The uncertainty column provides an estimate of the confidence with which the physical quantities can be measured. This information would be part of the input if one were performing an uncertainty quantification exercise.

Table 2: Input Variables

Var	Physical Constraints	Software Constraints	Typical Value	Uncertainty
$X_i$	$X_i > 0$		512	
$Y_i$	$Y_i > 0$		512	
$f(x, y)$	$f(x, y) \geq 0$	$PV_{MIN} \leq f(x, y) \leq PV_{MAX}$	128	

Table 3: Specification Parameter Values

Var	Value
$PV_{MIN}$	0
$PV_{MAX}$	255

### 5.2.6 Properties of a Correct Solution

A correct solution must exhibit valid optimal thresholds and a output image with the same resolution as the input one and with valid pixel values.

Table 4: Output Variables

Var	Physical Constraints
$k_1^*$	$1 \leq k_1^* < k_2^* \leq 254$
$k_2^*$	$1 \leq k_1^* < k_2^* \leq 254$
$X_o$	$X_o = X_i$
$Y_o$	$Y_o = Y_i$
$g(x, y)$	$0 \leq f(x, y) \leq 255$

## 6 Requirements

This section provides the functional requirements, the business tasks that the software is expected to complete, and the nonfunctional requirements, the qualities that the software is expected to exhibit.

### 6.1 Functional Requirements

- R1: MISEG shall verify that the input data are valid. A valid input image must be 2D 12-bit or 16-bit grayscale DICOM image. An error message shall be displayed if input data are invalid.
- R2: MISEG shall guarantee that the output file is the same pixel size as the input file.
- R3: MISEG shall provide correct calculate according to Instance Models according to the user's choice of which method to use, single or multiple global thresholds. MISEG shall also display the correct optimal threshold value(s)  $k^*$  or  $k_1^*$  and  $k_2^*$  accordingly.
- R4: MISEG shall verify that the output image must be 2D 8-bit grayscale image and the pixel format must be the byte image, where the feature value must be the gray intensity value stored as an 8-bit integer giving a range of possible values from 0 to 255. [I adjusted it in several spots, but you should be aiming for 80 character width for your L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X source document. —SS] [adjusted all to max 80 —Author]
- R5: MISEG shall output segmentation image.

### 6.2 Nonfunctional Requirements

- R6: Installability: MISEG shall be able to be installed and uninstalled on Windows 10, macOS 10.14, and Ubuntu Linux 18.04. The ease to install and uninstall shall be measured as documented in the SystVnvPlan Dong (2019). [easy and fast is not verifiable, because it is ambiguous. If you instead said that X out of Y users considered the software easy to install, you would have an improvement. The approach you are using in your VnV plan is a better way to specify the NFRs. It might be easiest to just reference that document from the SRS? —SS] [ajusted and cited my vnv —Author]
- R7: Correctness: The output image will be generally similar to the output from VTK.
- R8: Verifiability: MISEG shall be easy to be checked or tested.
- R9: Robustness: MISEG will not crash when a user provides invalid input.
- R10: Usability: MISEG shall be easy and satisfying for users to learn and use.

- R11: Maintainability: MISEG shall be documented with an CA, VnV, MG, and MIS. It shall be able to undergo changes, like adding or changing functionality, meeting new requirements or fixing errors.
- R12: Portability: MISEG shall be able to run on Windows 10, macOS 10.14, and Ubuntu Linux 18.04. environments.
- R13: Understandability: The code shall be easy to understand, follow a coding standard and uses proper comments.

## 7 Likely Changes

- LC1: This document only describes global threshold with Otsu's Method. It could include the method using local thresholds in the future.
- LC2: This document only describes multi-threshold method with 2 thresholds. It could list more Instance Models with more thresholds in the future.
- LC3: This document only specifies one segmentation method. It could list specifications for all the methods in Table 1 in the future.
- LC4: This document only describes segmentation. It could list specifications for all the sections in analysis in Figure 1 in the future.

## 8 Unlikely Changes

- UC1: Input/Output devices (Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen).
- UC2: There will always be a source of input data external to the software.
- UC3: The goal of the system is related to medical imaging analysis.

## 9 Traceability Matrices and Graphs

The purpose of the traceability matrices is to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. Every time a component is changed, the items in the column of that component that are marked with an "X" may have to be modified as well. Table 5 shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, and instance models with each other.

[Great work Ao. I especially like your formal representation of an image. You might find that rather than adding data definitions for the different symbols and notations, you might

	T1	T2	T3	T4	DD1	DD2	DD3	DD4	DD5	IM1	IM2	IM3	IM4
T1													
T2						X	X	X					
T3		X				X	X	X					
T4							X		X				
DD1	X												
DD2					X								
DD3													
DD4							X						
DD5		X				X	X	X					
IM1				X		X	X	X	X				
IM2		X								X			
IM3				X		X	X	X	X				
IM4			X									X	

Table 5: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Items of Different Sections

be able to just add a section for Data Types. In this new Types section you could clarify what it means for constraining the output type for an image function, for instance. —SS] [Thank you, professor. I haven't changed it to a Data Type section, but I think it's a good idea to be done in the future —Author]

[My main feedback is that you have really (mostly) documented an SRS, not a CA. I think rather than turn your document into a CA, you should just embracethefact that you are describing one family member and change your document to follow the SRS template. I do not believe that this will be too difficult, since is already mostly following the SRS template. —SS] [I've changed it to a SRS. I sued most parts of the SRS templates, but kept the description of the MIA software family. —Author]

## References

- S. Angenent, Eric Pichon, and Allen Tannenbaum. Mathematical methods in medical image processing. *Bulletin (new series) of the American Mathematical Society*, 43:365–396, 07 2006. doi: 10.1090/S0273-0979-06-01104-9. URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3640423/>.
- Isaac N. Bankman. Preface. In Isaac N. Bankman, editor, *Handbook of Medical Imaging*, Biomedical Engineering, pages xi – xii. Academic Press, San Diego, 2000. ISBN 978-0-12-077790-7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012077790-7/50001-1>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780120777907500011>.
- Ao Dong. System verification and validation plan for miseg. 2019. URL <https://github.com/Ao99/MISEG/blob/master/docs/VnVPlan/SystVnVPlan/SystVnVPlan.pdf>.
- Ahmed Elnakib, Georgy Gimel’farb, Jasjit S. Suri, and Ayman El-Baz. *Medical Image Segmentation: A Brief Survey*, pages 1–39. Springer New York, New York, NY, 2011. ISBN 978-1-4419-8204-9. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-8204-9\_1. URL [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4419-8204-9\\_1](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4419-8204-9_1).
- Stefano Ferrari. Introduction to the image processing. [https://homes.di.unimi.it/ferrari/MethImageProc2018\\_19/MIP2018\\_19\\_01\\_introduction\\_double.pdf](https://homes.di.unimi.it/ferrari/MethImageProc2018_19/MIP2018_19_01_introduction_double.pdf), 2018a. Accessed: 2019-10-10.
- Stefano Ferrari. Image segmentation. [https://homes.di.unimi.it/ferrari/MethImageProc2018\\_19/MIP2018\\_19\\_16\\_segmentation\\_double.pdf](https://homes.di.unimi.it/ferrari/MethImageProc2018_19/MIP2018_19_16_segmentation_double.pdf), 2018b. Accessed: 2019-10-10.
- Mohamad Forouzanfar, Nosratallah Forghani, and Mohammad Teshnehlab. Parameter optimization of improved fuzzy c-means clustering algorithm for brain mr image segmentation. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 23(2):160 – 168, 2010. ISSN 0952-1976. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2009.10.002>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095219760900150X>.
- Tae-Yun Kim, Jaebum Son, and Kwanggi Kim. The recent progress in quantitative medical image analysis for computer aided diagnosis systems. *Healthcare informatics research*, 17: 143–9, 09 2011. doi: 10.4258/hir.2011.17.3.143. URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3212740/>.
- Nikhil R Pal and Sankar K Pal. A review on image segmentation techniques. *Pattern Recognition*, 26(9):1277 – 1294, 1993. ISSN 0031-3203. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-3203\(93\)90135-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-3203(93)90135-J). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/003132039390135J>.
- D. L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Commun. ACM*, 15(12):1053–1058, December 1972. ISSN 0001-0782. doi: 10.1145/361598.361623. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/361598.361623>.



David L. Parnas and P.C. Clements. A rational design process: How and why to fake it. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 12(2):251–257, February 1986.

Wikipedia contributors. Medical image computing — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Medical\\_image\\_computing&oldid=919534878](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Medical_image_computing&oldid=919534878), 2019. [Online; accessed 6-October-2019].

D. J. Withey and Z. J. Koles. Medical image segmentation: Methods and software. In *2007 Joint Meeting of the 6th International Symposium on Noninvasive Functional Source Imaging of the Brain and Heart and the International Conference on Functional Biomedical Imaging*, pages 140–143, Oct 2007. doi: 10.1109/NFSI-ICFBI.2007.4387709. URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/4387709>.