

Chapter 7: Sequence Control

Principles of Programming Languages

Contents

- Arithmetic Expressions
- Short-Circuit Evaluation
- Assignment Statements
- Selection Statements
- Iterative Statements
- Unconditional Branching

Levels of Control Flow


- Within expressions
- Among program units
- Among program statements

Expressions

- An expression is a syntactic entity whose evaluation either:
 - produces a value
 - fails to terminate \rightarrow undefined
- Examples
 - $4 + 3 * 2$
 - $(a + b) * (c - a)$
 - $(b \neq 0) ? (a/b) : 0$

Expression Syntax

- Expressions have functional composition nature



$(a + b) * (c - a)$

- Common syntax
 - Infix
 - Prefix
 - Postfix

Infix Notation

$$(a + b) * (c - a)$$

- Good for binary operators
- Used in most imperative programming language
- More than two operands?
 $(b \neq 0) ? (a/b) : 0$
- Smalltalk:
`myBox displayOn: myScreen at: 100@50`

Precedence

$$3 + 4 * 5 = 23, \text{ not } 35$$

- Evaluation priorities in mathematics
- Programming languages define their own precedence levels based on mathematics
- A bit different precedence rules among languages can be confusing

Fortran	Pascal	C	Ada
		++, -- (post-inc., dec.)	
**	not	++, -- (pre-inc., dec.), +, - (unary), &, * (address, contents of), !, ~ (logical, bit-wise not)	abs (absolute value), not, **
*, /	*, /, div, mod, and	* (binary), /, % (modulo division)	*, /, mod, rem
+, - (unary and binary)	+, - (unary and binary), or	+, - (binary)	+, - (unary)
		<<, >> (left and right bit shift)	+, - (binary), & (concatenation)
.eq., .ne., .lt., .le., .gt., .ge. (comparisons)	<, <=, >, >=, =, <>, IN	<, <=, >, >= (inequality tests)	=, /=, <, <=, >, >=
.not.		==, != (equality tests)	
		& (bit-wise and)	
		^ (bit-wise exclusive or)	
		(bit-wise inclusive or)	
.and.		&& (logical and)	and, or, xor (logical operators)
.or.		(logical or)	
.eqv., .neqv. (logical comparisons)		?: (if...then...else)	
		=, +=, -=, *=, /=, %= >>=, <<=, &=, ^=, = (assignment)	
		, (sequencing)	

Associativity

- If operators have the same level of precedence, then apply **associativity** rules
- Mostly left-to-right, except exponentiation operator
- An expression contains only one operator
 - Mathematics: associative
 - Computer: optimization but potential problems

$$10^{20} * 10^{-20} * 10^{-20}$$

Parentheses

- Alter the precedence and associativity
 $(A + B) * C$
- Using parentheses, a language can even omit precedence and associativity rules
 - APL
- Advantage: simple
- Disadvantage: writability and readability

Conditional Expressions

```
if (count == 0)
    average = 0;
else
    average = sum / count;
```



```
average = (count == 0) ? 0 : sum / count;
```

- C-based languages, Perl, JavaScript, Ruby

Prefix Notation

* + a b - c a

(* (+ a b) (- c a))

- Derived from mathematical function $f(x,y)$
- Parentheses and precedence is no required, provided the -arity of operator is known
- Mostly see in unary operators
- LISP:
(**append** a b c my_list)

Postfix Notation

$a \ b \ + \ c \ a \ - \ *$

- Reverse Polish
- Common usage: factorial operator (5!)
- Used in intermediate code by some compilers
- PostScript:
(Hello World!) **show**

Operand Evaluation Order

- C program

```
int a = 5;  
int fun1() {  
    a = 17;  
    return 3;  
}  
void main() {  
    a = a + fun1();  
}
```


What is the value of a?

- Reason: Side effect!!!

Solution:
a = 20

Undefined Operands

- Eager evaluation:
 - First evaluate all operands
 - Then operators
 - How about $a == 0 ? b : b/a$



- Lazy evaluation:
 - Pass the un-evaluated operands to the operator
 - Operator decide which operands are required
 - Much more expensive than eager
- Lazy for conditional, eager for the rest

Short-Circuit Evaluation

`(a == 0) || (b/a > 2)`

- If the first operand is evaluated as true, the second will be short-circuited
- Otherwise, “divide by zero”
- How about `(a > b) || (b++ / 3)` ?
- Some languages provide two sets of boolean operators: short- and non short-circuit
 - Ada: “and”, “or” versus “and then”, “or else”


Statements

- An expression is a syntactic entity whose evaluation:
 - does not return a value, but
 - have side effect
- Examples:
`a = 5;`
`print "pippo"`
`begin...end`

Assignment Statements

expr1 OpAss expr2

- Example: Pascal



- Evaluate left or right first is up to implementers

Assignment Statements

- C-based languages consider assignment as an expression
`while ((ch = getchar()) != EOF) { . . . }`
- Introduce compound and unary assignment operators (`+=`, `-=`, `++`, `--`)
 - Increasing code legibility
 - Avoiding unforeseen side effects

Control Structures

- Control statements
 - Selecting among alternative control flow paths
 - Causing the repeated execution of sequences of statements
- Control structure is a control statement and the collection of its controlled statements

Two-way Selection

```
if control_expression  
    then clause  
    else clause
```

- Proved to be fundamental and essential parts of all programming languages

Dangling else

```
if (sum == 0)
    if (count == 0)
        result = 0;
else
    result = 1;
```

- Solution: including block in every cases
- Not all languages have this problem
 - Fortran 95, Ada, Ruby: use a special word to end the statement
 - Python: indentation matters

Multiple-Selection

- Allows the selection of one of any number of statements or statement groups
- Perl, Python: don't have this
- Issues:
 - Type of selector expression?
 - How are selectable segments specified?
 - Execute only one segment or multiple segments?
 - How are case values specified?
 - What if values fall out of selectable segments?

Case Study: C

```
switch (index) {  
  case 1:  
  case 3: odd += 1;  
          sumodd += index;  
          break;  
  case 2:  
  case 4: even += 1;  
          sumeven += index;  
          break;  
  default: printf("Error in switch").  
}
```

integer (circled around `index`)

exact value (arrow pointing to `case 1:`)

Multiple segments exited by break (box around `case 2:` and `case 4:`)

Stmt sequences (arrow pointing to `odd += 1;` and `sumodd += index;`)

Blocks (arrow pointing to `break;`)

for unrepresented values (text below `default:`)

Case Study: Pascal

Integer or character

case **exp** **of**

1: **clause_A** - Single statements
multiple values, subrange - Blocks

2, 7: **clause_B**

3..5: **clause_C**

10: **clause_D**

else **clause_E** Only one segment

end

for unrepresented values

Iterative Statements

- Cause a statement or collection of statements to be executed zero, one or more times
- Essential for the power of the computer
 - Programs would be huge and inflexible
 - Large amounts of time to write
 - Mammoth amounts of memory to store
- Design questions:
 - How is iteration controlled?
 - Logic, counting
 - Where should the control appear in the loop?
 - Pretest and posttest

Counter-Controlled Loops

- Counter-controlled loops must have:
 - Loop variable
 - Initial and terminal values
 - Stepsize

Case Study: Algol-based

General Form

```
for i:=first to last by step
do
    loop body
end
```

constant



Know number of loops
before looping

Semantic

```
[define i]
[define first_save]
[define end_save]
i = start_save
loop:
    if i > end_save goto out
    [loop body]
    i := i + step
    goto loop
out:
    [undefine i]
```

Case Study: C

General Form

```
for (expr1; expr2; expr3)  
    loop body
```

Can be infinite loop

Semantic

```
    expr_1  
loop:  
    if expr_2 = 0 goto out  
    [loop body]  
    expr_3  
    goto loop  
out: . . .
```

Logically Controlled Loops

- Repeat based on Boolean expression rather than a counter
- Are more general than counter-controlled
- Design issues:
 - Should the control be pretest or posttest?
 - Should the logically controlled loop be a special form of a counting loop or a separate statement?

Case Study: C

Forms

```
while (ctrl_expr)
    loop body
```

Semantics

```
loop:
    if ctrl_expr is false
    goto out
    [loop body]
    goto loop
out: . . .
```

do

```
    loop body
while (ctrl_expr)
```

```
loop:
    [loop body]
    if ctrl_expr is true
    goto loop
```

User-Located Loop Control

- Programmer can choose a location for loop control rather than top or bottom
- Simple design: infinite loops but include user-located loop exits
- Languages have exit statements: **break** and **continue**
- A need for restricted goto statement

Case Study: C

```
while (sum < 1000) {  
    getnext(value);  
    if (value < 0) break;  
    sum += value;  
}
```

- What if we replace break by continue?

Iteration Based on Data Structures

- Rather than have a counter or Boolean expression, these loops are controlled by the number of elements in a data structure
- Iterator:
 - Is called at the beginning of each iteration
 - Returns an element each time it is called in some specific order
- Pre-defined or user-defined iterator

Case Study: C#

```
String[] strList = {"Bob", "Carol", "Ted"}:  
. . .  
foreach (String name in strList)  
    Console.WriteLine("Name: {0}", name);
```

Unconditional Branching

- Unconditional branch, or goto, is the most powerful statement for controlling the flow of execution of a program's statements
- Dangerous: difficult to read, as the result, highly unreliable and costly to maintain
- Structured programming: say no to goto
- Java, Python, Ruby: no goto
- It still exists in form of loop exit, but they are severely restricted gotos.

Conclusions

- Expressions
- Operator precedence and associativity
- Side effects
- Various forms of assignment
- Variety of statement-level structures
- Choice of control statements beyond selection and logical pretest loops is a trade-off between language size and writability