

Extreme Pong: A browser-based game implemented using Functional Reactive Programming

Jeff Smits

Abstract

Extreme Pong was created for the final round of the Universal IT Test (UNITT) 2012. This is the accompanying document, which explains the structure of the game, what design decisions were made and why.

Note that this document is under license “Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported”. The \LaTeX source is also available under the same license, it is attached to the PDF.

Keywords: UNITT, UNITT 2012, Pong, Extreme Pong




Figure 1: A screenshot of the game.

1 Introduction

Extreme Pong is a game which was designed with Pong as its base. The plan was to add features from Arkenoid, different maps with different shapes, some interesting physics... There was enough inspiration for an abundant list of features.

The trouble was the way I personally wanted to program this game. I prefer functional programming. And not long ago I stumbled upon a language called Elm which fit perfectly. It's a functional language that compiles to HTML, CSS and Javascript. So I jumped to the conclusion that this would be the perfect language. The problem with this language is that the project (and the compiler) are ten months old. And so I found out the language was far too young for developing anything with a deadline. But I had invested too much time in it already — I only had seven days anyway — so I stuck with it.

This report will first explain a bit about Functional and Functional Reactive Programming. Then it will explain some of the problems I've experienced with learning and writing the Elm programming language. The report concludes with a review of the game, all its features, and a discussion of possible features.

2 Functional Programming and FRP

2.1 Functional programming

Imperative programming uses a composition of statements which change the global state. These statements instruct the computer *how* to do something. Functional programming uses expressions which model computations. It typically avoids mutable state. It is usually more about describing *what* you want, rather than how you want the computer to do it. ¹

Functional programming has a firm theoretical base in mathematics, and you can learn about it by learning about its theory. The lambda calculus would be the place to start, then perhaps some abstract algebra. I personally learnt a bit about it in a course during my first year as a Bachelor student in Computer Science. I then took the pragmatic approach and learnt a purely functional programming language. I can recommend the book “Learn You a Haskell for Great Good” [3].

2.2 Functional Reactive Programming (FRP)

Functional Reactive Programming embraces the fact that values from the real world are variable, they change over time. In FRP these values are called *signals*. Functions work on ‘normal’ values which can be sampled from these signals, but this sampling is not programmed explicitly. Instead you lift these functions on top of a signal to produce another signal. This way you can sample, merge, create and transform signals. ²

¹This is a paraphrase of some text on the haskell wiki[1]

²I paraphrased some text from the Elm website here [2]

3 Developing (issues) in Elm

The Elm Programming Language brings Functional Programming to the web. To declaratively define GUIs, it uses Functional Reactive Programming. The language syntax is based on Haskell, but compiles to HTML and Javascript. I already knew Haskell and Elm has an example program which implements a very simple version of Pong, so I thought this language was the perfect match for me and this project.

Let me introduce you to some of the problems I've had with Elm 0.7.1.1.

1. Elm boasts an extensible record system which is supposed to be as powerful as typeclasses in Haskell. But they are not type-checked yet, and don't blend well with ADTs in my experience.
2. The multi-way if is a nice language construct which generates strange compiler errors, so I don't use them.

Thankfully these language features are not paramount to writing programs in Elm. Some for the following problems really cripple the development process though.

3. Using modules to divide your program between multiple files is only allowed when you do not import the same file twice from two different locations. Because when your main module imports module 1 and module 2, and module 2 also imports module 1, this is perceived as a cyclic dependency.
4. A function call to a function from a different module is not type-checked.
5. Type-checking generally misses some situations anyway, this results in run-time errors in Javascript. Sometimes these errors occur in the minified Elm run-time file, making it very hard to find out where in your program something went wrong.
6. When your Elm file gets larger and the functions get more complicated (and you can't use multiple files because of the cyclic dependency issue), the compiler takes longer and longer to compile your program. This is because the compiler has to infer the type of every function, which it has to do because type annotations are not yet supported. The longest compile time I've experienced was 45 minutes (no exaggeration, I timed it).

At some point, as more and more strange things happened — some not listed because I couldn't keep count — I decided to find help. Though Elm has some bugs in the compiler, the website is very clear and has a pretty complete documentation. It also has links to a webchat of their IRC channel, the github project where the compiler is developed open-source, and a mailing list. On the mailing list I found help from a very small but active and helpful community, and started using the development version of the compiler. The benefit of this between-versions compiler is that it supports type annotations.

After finding some of the bugs from the above list and describing them on the mailing list, they were resolved very quickly by language creator Evan Czaplicki. I've looked into the compiler source code a number of times to find out if something was a compiler bug, and sometimes fixed the bug myself before reporting it.

3.1 Concluding

I wrote this section about my development problems in Elm to explain why I have created only a very simple game in the 7 days I had.

It is by no means meant as a discouragement to use Elm, but it might be advisable to use it for non-important projects.

It is also not meant as an excuse! I should have taken a better look at the language, or not use a new language on a project with a deadline.

4 The game of Extreme Pong

The general structure of the game resembles the MVC model from imperative GUI programming. The difference is that using FRP makes it easy to keep the dependencies completely correct. An overview can be found in Figure 2.




Figure 2: A system overview of the game.

4.1 Features

Extreme Pong features the features of Pong. The visual features are:

- A rectangular field
- Two paddles, one left and one right
- A ball

The ball moves from the centre in a diagonal line. Both paddles can move up and down using the keyboard. When the ball hits a field boundary or paddle it bounces off with the same angle as it hit the boundary or paddle with.

The difference between this game and the example game from the Elm website is that this version uses some math to calculate the trajectory of the ball when it hits something, instead of reversing one of the axis of velocity of the ball when it has crossed the boundary of the field or a paddle.

4.2 Planned features

Some features I had planned to really put into the game are the following:

Arkenoid paddles These paddles are a concept I would have borrowed from a game called Arkenoid. The main difference from Pongs paddles is that the paddle from Arkenoid changes the direction of the ball based on where it hits the paddle. When the paddle is hit in the middle, the ball bounces off like in Pong. But the lower on the paddle the ball hits, the stronger the direction of the ball is influenced downward. The same idea higher on the paddle, the balls direction is changed upward. This features adds a bit of control to the game.

3+ player maps These maps would have a regular polygon shape to keep things interesting and easy for the implementation at the same time. The maximum amount of players would be equal to the amount of sides of the polygon, and less players would be able to play on polygon maps setting by unoccupied sides as field boundaries.

Something else I had in mind for when I had time left was **gravitation points**. These would attract or repulse balls or paddles or both. A **slippery field** was another idea. The controls on the keyboard influence the paddle speed and there is be a low amount of friction. These two could also have been some sort of handicap that you could earn in the game.

4.3 Future work

I am thinking about continuing the development of this game. I still have plenty of ideas and plenty of things I want to try in Elm. I've also found an abstraction on collision that I want to develop so other Elm game developers can benefit from it as a library.

5 Conclusion

By making this game I've learnt a new language and a new way to program GUIs. In this way I like to think of the project as time well spent.

But this game as the result of seven days hard work is not much. And as a real project with a real deadline, I certainly did not perform as well as I could have. If I could do it all over, I would have used Coffeescript as my implementation language with some Javascript libraries and some HTML and CSS.

References

- [1] Haskell wiki: Functional programming, Februari 2013.
- [2] Evan Czaplicki. What is “functional reactive programming”?, Februari 2013.
- [3] Miran Lipovaca. *Learn You a Haskell for Great Good!* No Starch Press, april 2011.