

### (I) Open covers

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and let

$$\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \tau} \subseteq X$$

be an arbitrary collection of sets in  $X$ . The collection

$$\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \tau} \subseteq X$$

is said to **cover**  $X$  iff:

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \tau} U_\alpha$$

Additionally, if each  $U_\alpha$  is open, then the collection  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \tau}$  is called an **open cover** of  $X$ .

- Let  $x \in X$ . Then, the collection of open balls:  $\{B(x; r) \mid r > 0\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . This is an **infinite** collection because there are infinitely many positive real numbers ( $r > 0$ ). Further, for any  $y \in X$ , we can choose any  $r > d(x, y)$  so that  $y \in B(x; r) \Rightarrow y \in \bigcup_{r>0} B(x; r)$ , so the collection covers  $X$ .
- Consider  $\{B(x; r) \mid x \in X\}$ . We can choose to fix the same  $r$  for all the  $x$ 's in  $X$ , or vary its value; regardless, the collection is always an open cover of  $X$ . If  $X$  is finite, then this cover is also finite; else, both  $X$  and the open cover are infinite.

### (II) Compactness

A set  $Y$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be compact iff every open cover of  $Y$  admits a finite subcover.

A metric space  $(X, d)$  is **totally bounded** iff:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_N \in X,$$

such that:

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \varepsilon)$$

That is, **for every positive radius**  $r > 0$  there exists a **finite** collection of open balls of radius  $r$  inside  $X$  that **covers**  $X$ .

Next we will see how compactness (via open covers) relates to the existence of convergent subsequences. First, we cover an important lemma and refresher on subsequences.

Let  $\{y_n\}$  be any sequence in a metric space  $(X, d)$ . A **subsequence**  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  is an ordered selection of its terms, indexed in  $k$ . The terms can be selected via a **strictly increasing** index selector function  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  which satisfies:  $\sigma(k+1) > \sigma(k)$ . We denote the  $k$ -th term chosen by  $\sigma$  as:  $\sigma(k) = n_k$ . Clearly,

$n_k \geq k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For example:

Given a sequence (indexed in  $n$ ) :  $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, \dots$ , we could select a subsequence by choosing only even-indexed terms of  $\{y_n\}$ . Here,  $\sigma(k) = 2k = n_k$ , so our subsequence is:  $y_2, y_4, y_6, \dots$ , where  $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 4, \dots, n_k = 2k$  chosen from  $n$ .

We say the subsequence converges to a point  $y$  iff:  $\lim y_{n_k} = y$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Certainly, if a sequence converges to a point, then any subsequence chosen from it will also converge to the same point (seen from  $n_k \geq k$ ). If *all* the subsequences of a sequence converge to a given point, then the sequence also converges to that point.

There may be divergent sequences which have several convergent subsequences, but not all the subsequential limits are the same ( Eg:  $x_n = (-1)^n$ ).

**Lemma:**

- (i) Every nbhd of  $y$  contains **infinitely many** points of the sequence  $\{y_n\} \Leftrightarrow$
- (ii) There exists a subsequence of  $\{y_n\}$  converging to  $y$

**Proof:** First, translating (i) into quantifiers tells us:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n > N : d(y_n, y) < \varepsilon$$

This definition easily reveals (ii)  $\implies$  (i). To show (i)  $\implies$  (ii), we'll build a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  converging to  $y$  using (i).

(Base Case) When  $k = 1$ , let  $\varepsilon_1 = 1$  and  $N_1 = 1$ . Then  $\exists n_1 > 1$  such that  $d(y_{n_1}, y) < 1$ .

(Recursive Step) At each  $k$ -th step where  $k > 1$ , set  $(\varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{k}, N_k = n_{k-1})$ . By (i), there exists  $n_k > n_{k-1}$  such that  $d(y_{n_k}, y) < \frac{1}{k}$ .

Thus we find indices:  $n_k > n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > 1$  such that  $d(y_{n_k}, y) < \frac{1}{k}$ , whereby

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = y$$

Note the critical distinction between “*all but finitely many* terms...” and “*infinitely many* terms...” of a sequence in any nbhd of  $y$ . The former guarantees convergence of the sequence, while the latter only ensures the existence of a convergent subsequence.

---

Compactness has important consequences for boundedness and subsequential convergence.

**Theorem TFAE:**

- (1)  $X$  is compact
- (2) Every sequence in  $X$  has a convergent subsequence
- (3)  $X$  is complete and totally bounded

We will prove the forward directions (1)  $\implies$  (2)  $\implies$  (3) for now.

**Proof (1)  $\implies$  (2):** Let  $X$  be compact; let  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  has no subsequence converging to a point in  $X$ . Then:

$\forall x \in X, \exists \varepsilon(x) > 0$  such that: **only finitely many** terms  $y_j$  fall in  $B(x; \varepsilon(x))$ .

Clearly,  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} B(x; \varepsilon(x))$ , so the collection  $\{B(x, \varepsilon(x)) : x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . By compactness, there exist **finitely many** points  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  such that:

$$\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset X \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n B(x_k; \varepsilon(x_k))$$

But each ball  $B(x; \varepsilon(x))$  contains only finitely many terms/indices of  $\{y_j\}$ , and there are only finitely many such balls. This implies there are only finitely many natural numbers (used to index an infinite sequence  $\{y_j\}$ , a contradiction.

Thus, our first assumption was wrong - **there must exist some**  $x \in X$  such that:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \text{infinitely many terms of } y_j \text{ fall in } B(x; \varepsilon(x)).$$

By our Lemma, this implies that  $\{y_j\}$  has a subsequence converging to  $x$ .

**(2)  $\implies$  (3):** First, we show that  $X$  is complete. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . By (2), it has a convergent subsequence - whereby the entire sequence converges to the limit of this subsequence. Thus,  $X$  is complete.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. We want to show that  $X$  is **totally bounded**- that it can be covered by **finitely many** open balls centered at points  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  in  $X$ . We find these points iteratively as follows:

- **Step-1 :** Select any  $x_1 \in X$ .  
**If**  $X \not\subseteq B(x_1; \varepsilon)$ , continue to Step-2.  
 Else, STOP. We then have finitely many ( $N = 1$ ) balls covering  $X$ .
  - **Step-2 :** Select any  $x_2 \in X \setminus B(x_1; \varepsilon)$ .  
 Note that  $d(x_2, x_1) \geq \varepsilon$ . **If**  $X \not\subseteq B(x_2; \varepsilon) \cup B(x_1; \varepsilon)$ , continue to Step-3.  
 Else, stop and we have a finite cover.
  - $\vdots$
  - **Step-n :** At this stage, we have  $X \not\subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} B(x_k, \varepsilon)$ , so we find:  
 $x_n \in X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} B(x_k, \varepsilon)$ ; By Demorgan's Law,  

$$x_n \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} (X \setminus B(x_k; \varepsilon)) \Leftrightarrow d(x_n, x_k) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \leq k < n.$$
- If  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B(x_k; \varepsilon)$ , stop and we have a finite cover. Else, continue to step  $(n + 1)$ .

If this process does not end, we will have formed an infinite sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $X$  such that:

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \forall (n, k) : n > k \geq 1, d(x_n, x_k) \geq \varepsilon$$

We claim this implies that  $(x_n)$  cannot have a convergent subsequence. Suppose for contradiction that there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^n$ ; then  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^n$  is Cauchy, whereby:

$$\forall \varepsilon^* > 0, \exists N : \forall (k, l) > N, d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_l}) < \varepsilon^*$$

But for  $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon$ , and for any  $N$ , by construction we have:

$$1 \leq n_l < n_k \Rightarrow d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_l}) \geq \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the process must terminate, which provides a finite cover.