

# Stability Wars: $A$ -Stability in ODEs

Lado 🦋 Turmanidze

January 18, 2025

In this article, I will explain how to calculate the  $A$ -Stability of a numerical method for ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and systems of ODEs. I will begin with a straightforward initial value problem (IVP) and then progress to the more complex case of systems of ODEs. I hope this step-by-step approach will help clarify the concepts involved.

## 1 $A$ -Stability for $y' = -ry, y(a) = y_a$

### General Derivation Algorithm for $A$ -Stability

1. **Rewrite the Differential Equation:** The IVP is  $y' = -ry, y(a) = y_a$ . The analytical solution is  $y(t) = y_a e^{-r(t-a)}$  with  $r > 0$  ensuring that the solution decays over time.
2. **Discretize the Equation:** Substitute a numerical scheme into the differential equation. Let  $h$  be the time step and  $y_n$  be the numerical approximation at  $t_n = a + nh$ .
3. **Analyze the Stability:** Define the amplification factor  $G$ , such that  $y_{n+1} = Gy_n$ . The method is  $A$ -stable if  $|G| \leq 1, \forall r > 0, h > 0$ .

### 1.1 Forward Euler Method

Discretize the equation using the Forward Euler method:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_n, y_n) \text{ with } f(t, y) = -ry$$

Substituting  $f(t, y) \rightarrow y_{n+1} = y_n + h \cdot (-ry_n) = y_n - hry_n$

The amplification factor is:

$$G = 1 - hr$$

. To analyze stability, we require  $|G| \leq 1$ :

$$|1 - hr| \leq 1 \implies -1 \leq 1 - hr \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq hr \leq 2$$

Thus, the Forward Euler method is **conditionally A-stable**, as stability depends on the condition  $h \leq \frac{2}{r}$

## 1.2 Backward Euler Method

Discretize the equation using the Backward Euler method:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \text{ with } f(t, y) = -ry$$

Substituting  $f(t, y) \rightarrow y_{n+1} = y_n - hry_{n+1}$

Rearrange to solve for  $y_{n+1}$ :

$$y_{n+1}(1 + hr) = y_n \Leftrightarrow y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{1 + hr}$$

The amplification factor is:

$$G = \frac{1}{1 + hr}$$

Recall, for  $A$ -Stability, we require  $|G| \leq 1$ :

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + hr} \right| \leq 1$$

Since  $1 + hr > 0, \forall h > 0, r > 0$ , the inequality always holds.

Thus, the Backward Euler method is  **$A$ -stable**, as stability is guaranteed for all  $h > 0$ .

## 2 Ball Motion ODEs

The motion of a ball can be described by the following ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v_x, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = v_y, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dv_x}{dt} = -\frac{k}{m}v_x\sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2}, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dv_y}{dt} = -g - \frac{k}{m}v_y\sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2}. \tag{3}$$

The initial value conditions are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x(0) &= x_0, & y(0) &= y_0, \\ v_x(0) &= v_{x_0}, & v_y(0) &= v_{y_0}. \end{aligned}$$

To calculate the  $A$ -stability of Heun's RK2 and RK4 methods for the given system of ODEs, I will rely entirely on [1].

## Approach

### Linearize System:

For  $A$ -stability analysis, linearization turns out to be a common practice. The nonlinear drag terms make this complex, but for simplicity, let's approximate the drag force as proportional to velocity for linear analysis:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \approx -\frac{k}{m}v_x, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} \approx -g - \frac{k}{m}v_y$$

which can be written in matrix form representation as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ v_x \\ v_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{k}{m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k}{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ v_x \\ v_y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -g \end{bmatrix}$$

Since we have an upper triangular matrix, its eigenvalues are on the diagonal, so we get  $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = -\frac{k}{m}$ .

Heun's RK2:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} (f(t_n, y_n) + f(t_{n+1}, y_n + hf(t_n, y_n)))$$

RK4:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f(t_n, y_n) \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_1\right) \\ k_3 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_2\right) \\ k_4 &= f(t_n + h, y_n + hk_3) \end{aligned}$$

For both methods, I have to derive  $G$  and check  $|G| \leq 1, \forall h > 0$  and eigenvalues with negative real parts.

According to [1], with  $z = \lambda h$  and  $\lambda$  being the eigenvalue of the system,

$$G_{RK2}(\lambda) = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} \text{ and } G_{RK4}(\lambda) = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{z^4}{24}$$

Recall, for  $A$ -Stability, we need  $|G| \leq 1$  for all  $\text{Re}(z) \leq 0$ :

☞ For  $\lambda = 0 \implies G_{RK2} = G_{RK4} = 1 \implies A$ -Stability

§ For  $\lambda = -\frac{k}{m}$ , recall that a numerical method is  $A$ -stable if its stability function  $G(z)$  satisfies:

$$|G(z)| \leq 1 \quad \forall \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0 \text{ with } z = \lambda h$$

Neither RK2 nor RK4 are fully  $A$ -stable because their stability regions don't cover the entire left-half complex plane. However, for small enough  $z$ , they can be stable.

$$|G(z)| \leq 1 \quad \underbrace{\implies}_{z=\lambda h=-\frac{k}{m}h} \left| G\left(-\frac{k}{m}h\right) \right| \leq 1$$

The magnitude of  $z$  must lie within the method's stability region, meaning:  $\frac{k}{m}h < z_0$  where  $z_0$  is the leftmost point in the stability region(negative real axis). For  $G_{RK2}$  and  $G_{RK4}$  we have conditional  $A$ -Stability if the time step  $h$  satisfies:

$$h < \frac{m}{k} \cdot z_0$$

To find  $z_0$ , we set  $|G(z)| = 1$  and solve:

$$\text{RK2 : } G_{RK2}(z) = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2}$$

$$G(z) = 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} = 1 \Leftrightarrow z\left(1 + \frac{z}{2}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow z \in \{-2, 0\}$$

$$G(z) = -1 \Leftrightarrow 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} = -1 \Leftrightarrow z^2 + 2z + 4 = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = -1 \pm i\sqrt{3}$$

RK4 :  $G_{RK4}(z) = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{z^4}{24}$ . Root finding of the equations below is done with Wolfram Alpha:

$$G(z) = 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{z^4}{24} = 1 \Leftrightarrow z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{z^4}{24} = 0$$

$$z = 0 \text{ and } z = \frac{1}{3} \left( -4 - 10 \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{-43+9\sqrt{29}}} + \sqrt[3]{-172 + 36\sqrt{29}} \right) \approx -2.7852935$$

$$G(z) = -1 \Leftrightarrow 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{z^4}{24} = -1 \Leftrightarrow z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{z^4}{24} = -2$$

$$z \approx -2.2194 \pm 1.6873i \text{ or } z \approx 0.2194 \pm 2.4753i$$

### 3 Conclusion

Heun's RK2 stability analysis shows that the amplification factor  $G(z) = 1$  at  $z = 0$  and  $z = -2$ , indicating neutral stability at these points, meaning solutions neither grow nor decay. The stability region along the real axis is  $z \in [-2, 0]$ . Solving  $G(z) = -1$  gives complex solutions at  $z = -1 \pm i\sqrt{3}$ , which mark the boundary for potential instability. If the step size is too large or eigenvalues lead to  $z$  outside  $[-2, 0]$ , the method can become unstable. Thus, for the ball motion ODE system, RK2 is conditionally  $A$ -stable, requiring the time step  $h$  to satisfy  $h \leq \frac{2m}{k}$  to maintain stability. Exceeding this threshold results in instability.

In the case of RK4, the amplification factor satisfies  $G(z) = 1$  at  $z = 0$  and approximately  $z = -2.785$ . This identifies the real-axis stability interval as  $z \in [-2.785, 0]$ , which is wider than that of RK2. This broader interval allows RK4 to handle larger negative eigenvalues or larger step sizes before becoming unstable. However, solving  $G(z) = -1$  reveals complex roots  $z \approx -2.2194 \pm 1.6873i$  and  $z \approx 0.2194 \pm 2.4753i$ . These complex conjugate pairs mark the boundary beyond which the method becomes unstable. Thus, while RK4 can tolerate larger time steps compared to RK2, it is not fully  $A$ -stable since its stability region does not encompass the entire left-half complex plane. Therefore, for the ball motion ODE system, RK4 is conditionally  $A$ -stable, provided the time step satisfies  $h \leq \frac{2.785m}{k}$ .

### References

- [1] Jason Frank, *WI305106 - Numerieke Wiskunde 2/Numerical Analysis 2, Chapter 10*, Utrecht University, Chair of Numerical Analysis, <https://webpace.science.uu.nl/~frank011/Classes/numwisk/ch10.pdf>, 2008.