Design Optimization for a Student-Built Sub-Orbital Rocket

Ondrej Fercak Erin S. Schmidt Ian Zabel

words words

Nomenclature

 $M_0^{+\circlearrowleft}$ Moment in the x-axis (N m) T^0 Torque (N m) θ Angular position (°)

I. Introduction

There is an emerging demand by both governments and private industry for small 'venture class' launch vehicles to deliver nano-satellites into Low Earth Orbit (LEO). While there are yet few operational examples of such dedicated small satellite launch vehicles, they would likely share cladistic similarities, at the extrema of their size and mass envelope range, with both large orbital launch vehicles and the comparatively small high-powered rockets that have been operated by hundreds of amateur and university groups for decades. Typically high-powered rockets fly ballistic trajectories with apogees generally less than 10 km above sealevel. However, several amateur and university groups harbor aspirations of sub-orbital flight above the von Karman line 100 km above the surface of the Earth. As of the Spring of 2016 the current record holder for altitude at apogee by a student organization is TU Delft's Delft Aerospace Rocket Engineering (DARE) team which reached 21.457 km with their Stratos II+ rocket on October 16, 2015 (cite).

The Portland State Aerospace Society (PSAS) is an engineering student organization and citizen science project located at Portland State University dedicated to developing low-cost, open-source, and open-hardware high-powered rockets and avionics systems with special interests in small launch vehicle technology and nanosatellites.¹ In 2015 PSAS initiated a project to build and fly it's own entry in this rapidly intensifying 'university space race'.

Herein, we apply design optimization methodology to the problem of design and trajectory optimization of small sounding rockets, and particularly to the PSAS's LV4 'space rocket'. This rocket will leverage powerful liquid-fuel propulsion, an extremely light-weight carbon-composite airframe, full 6-DoF attitude control and active stabilization. LV4 is intended to fly with a design apogee of over 100 km, and is currently planned for launch by 2021. A SolidWorks CAD render of a concept for LV4 is shown in Figure ??.(LV4 figure)

II. Methods

A. Problem Definition

Clean-sheet conceptual design and trajectory optimization of launxh vehicles is a classically difficult problem. This problem arises for two reasons: that the trajectory equation a 2nd order non-linear partial differential equation having no close form solution, and detailed design choices in propulsion, structures/weights, aero-dynamics, and guidance and control which ultimately all appear as variables in this equation are both highly coupled and non-hierarchical. In the past the traditional approach to dealing with this problem has been

to evaluate vehicle performance by examining the value of each parameter by fixing the values of the remaining parameters e.g. the "one variable-at-a-time" trade-off analysis approach. However there are several important limitations to this approach:

- Conceptual design is usually carried out with low-fidelity models
- Some relationships among the design variables are poorly understood
- Optimizing individual design variables does not guarantee optimality at the overall system level

In practice this results in a highly iterative design process and concomitant requirement mismatches, developmental dead-ends and sub-optimal final design. The problem with suboptimal design is magnified by the ramifications of Konstantin Tsiolkovsy's equation, with the severe implication of exponential growth in design requirements for linear increases in rocket dry mass. Since ultimately all design decisions impact the rocket dry mass in some way it is imperative to understand these trade-offs and compromises as ealy in the design process as possible to reduce the potential for technical, schedule and cost risks. This is especially the case for time, technical expertise and funding constrained student organizations. Therefore there is a strong motivation to treat the conceptual design parameters "all-at-once" using applied optimization techniques. A numerical design optimization approach allows us o systematically explore a vast trade space under a realistic timeframe.

Some commercial and/or governmental tools exist for launch vehicle design optimization. These include codes such as FASTPASS,² and SWORD.³ There are also open-source design and optimization tools that can be applied to high-powered rocketry such as Open Rocket(cite), or JSBSim (cite), however these tools either cannot be run in a batch mode or lack I/O tools to support direct numerical optimization. In the context of this developing interest in clean-sheet small launch vehicle designs we can identify a need for a design tool high-level enough for simplicity, speed, and ease of use, but which captures enough of the dimensions of the optimization problem to still be useful as a guide in the early conceptual design phase. This tool will use fairly low-fidelity models, and will be used for trajectory optimization, propulsion design, airframe sizing, and mass estimation. Per PSASs open-source mandate all (non-ITAR) project development deliverables are being made publicly available under a GNU GPL v2 license.⁷ It is hoped by the authors, and the members of PSAS, that the discourse around educational launch vehicles and their design will be elevated by making the information for this project publicly available.

B. Objectives and Constraints

The objective function is a summation of mass terms for the rocket including propellant, airframe and various subsystem masses. This sum represent a Gross-Lift-Off-Weight (GLOW) parameter which scales closely with design complexity and, ultimately, overall costs. Thus we wish to minimize GLOW subject to constraints including the requirement of a 100 km trajectory apogee, a Thrust-To-Weight-Ratio (TWR) greater than one, a Length-to-Diameter-Ratio (LDR) of less than 20, and a currently unspecified maximum allowable airframe dynamic pressure. Design variables include thrust, airframe diameter and length. The trajectory constraint is a simulation model using forward-Euler integration implemented in Python. There are also a large number of design constants present in the models, which include specific impulse and combustion chamber pressure, mixture ratio among others.

- Apogee: 100 km
- TWR: Dynamic stability
- Max Q: material limits of airframe
- L/D Ratio: dynamic stability vs. mechanical resonance modes
- Maximum acceleration: material limits of subsystems
- Nozzle over-expansion, check the assumption made to help linearize thrust model

Discussion of objective statement. Summary of statement and constraints. Summary of top-level approach. Choice of python (object-oriented, fast, free and open-source, large community for scientific computing).

Design variables: $m_{wet} = f(r, L)$, $m_{dry} = f(F)$, mdot, radius, p_e , p_ch Constraints: L/D < 15, TWR > 1, Sommerfield criterion $(p_e/p_a) > 0.35$, 3 < radius < 7, x >= 100000, $a/g_0 <= 15$

C. Trajectory Simulation

Forward Euler integration of the rocket trajectory, using finite difference discretizations of the governing equation of motion, which is essentially Newton's 2nd law.

$$F = \frac{d(mv)}{dt}$$
$$\frac{d(mv)}{dt} = Thrust(x) - Drag(x, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dx^{2}}{dt}) - mg_{0}$$

discretizations for the governing diff eq.

Instantaneous mass is determined from the mass flow rate, and the initial propellant tankage volume (determined from the length and diameter design variables). The model include the isentropic equations for the calculation of rocket thrust as a function of the expansion ratio, the chamber pressure, the mass flow rate, and the altitude variant ambient pressure. Thrust ceases when propellant is exhausted. The other rocket engine design parameters are based on those of the 1 kN LOX/IPA static test engine presently being developed at PSU as part of a senior capstone project. Drag is calculated using the quadratic drag model $F_d = \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 C_d A$. The frontal area A is determined from the diameter design variable input. The local speed of sound, density and pressure are determined from the U.S. 1976 Standard Atmosphere. Drag coefficients are interpolated from aerodynamic data from the 1950's era NACA/USN/NASA Aerobee-150 sounding rocket class, which is expected to be dimensionally similar to the LV4 sounding rocket. Given a vector of the four design variables the trajectory function returns numerical values of the objective function and constraint vector. Pseudo-objective function.

D. Optimization Approach

Simplex search algorithm. (Choice of initial vertices, Additional contraction cases in 4D-space), issues with convergence, non-dimensionalization, multi-modality of response surface, parameter sensitivity (table of minima vectors). Benchmark with Scipy. Tables of minima vectors.

develop the pseudo-pbjective function.

1. Reasons for Nelder-Mead Method

There are several general approaches to MDO for LV design found in literature:

- 1. DOE methods (Taguchi, 4 RSM⁵)
- 2. Gradient methods
- 3. Stochastic methods (genetic algorithm, ⁶ simulated annealing)

We selected a gradient free non-stochastic approach: the Nelder-Mead method. This method applies to nonlinear and derivative-free problems. With four design variables, using a simplex is beneficial to keep track of and continually improve on various optimums. Regarding this problem being derivative-free, a zeroth-order method is much easier to implement than one that requires taking the gradient of the pseudo-objective function mass summation. This is due to the fact that the mass summation is discrete, meaning any method requiring a gradient cannot be applied. If certain properties were made continuous functions, such as the atmospheric density with respect to altitude as well as drag coefficient with respect to mach number, a first-order method could potentially be applied. Steepest descent is a good first-order method choice with the benefit of arriving at a solution potentially much faster than the Nelder-Mead method.

2. Benchmarking

To ensure that the code written is providing accurate and sensible results, the output and code must be confirmed through various methods. One method of confirming the results is to apply a provided homework problem and respective solution, and check to see if the output correlates with the known answer. Since a previous homework problem for ME 596 required a simplex search, that problem was applied to the code

written for the final project. The results correlated with the known answer, with no necessary changes to the code. Another method of confirming the results is to use an existing code that solves using the simplex search method. Applying the mass summation pseudo-objective function to the preexisting code provided in the ScyPy library resulted in a better optimum for the gross liftoff weight optimization with only a percent difference. With the results and method confirmed through various methods, the behavior of the algorithm can be discussed.

3. Qualitative Discussion of Algorithm behavior

The simplex search method developed has a few behaviors that do not show up in other packages using the same method. Over the course of a number of incrementations, the minimum values will change drastically over a single time step then change gradually over multiple timesteps. This will repeat as the analysis continues multiple times before a minimum is achieved. The simplex search method is also very capable of optimizing the design parameters, but has the potential to get stuck on what seem to be local minima for the objective function. This is potentially due to the fact that the code is choosing one method (reflection, expansion, contraction) and changing the method after the first iteration. This can, for example, lead to a large jump towards and optimum after the first iteration and small changes each iteration afterwards. An optimum is still achieved, with the code optimizing the design variables and constraints extremely well, hugging the limits of the constraints as close as possible. An example of this is how the optimum result may have an improved mass value, but the Sommerfeld criteria will be as close to 0.3 as possible without violating the constraint. The reasoning for this is unclear, but is potentially due to a large penalty function coefficient rp, where the function is drastically penalized any time the constraints, but harms the code in other ways such as extending analysis time and less optimal gross liftoff weights

III. Results

Discussion of converged results, implications for design of LV4

IV. Future Work

Model improvements: tanks mass/volume, other dry mass contributions, experiment with global optimization schemes, drag improvements, gravity model (WGS84 model harmonic expansion of the gravitational field potential), post-hoc analysis.

A. Drag Model Improvements

Since the model used for drag in the original trajectory function is based on recorded data for the Aerobee-150 sounding rocket, there is room for improvement. To improve the drag model, data that correlates better to the LV4 rocket design is needed. While the aerobee-150 () drag data does correlate to a reasonable extent, data specific to the LV4 airframe is the best option. The desired values are drag coefficients and their respective mach numbers, which either requires flight data for the LV4 rocket or simulation results. To keep costs low while still acquiring good information, a CFD simulation of the LV4 rocket design will be performed. This simulation will be developed using both Solidworks and STAR CCM+, both of which are capable of supersonic CFD. The general method will be to develop the model based the optimization code in Solidworks, and compare those simulation results with recorded flight data. The method for implementing this information into the trajectory function will not change for the time being, meaning the results from the simulation will be tabulated and imported into the code the same way the aerobee-150 () data is.

B. Feed System Improvements

The feed system for the simplex search model is simply a given mass value, and is not subject to optimization. This feed system is crucial to the function of the engine, and if not carefully designed can end up weighing a significant portion of the overall rocket weight. The feed system weight can lead to a potential issue with defining the center of pressure location and stability characteristics as well.

C. Structural Model Improvements

Besides the fact that the carbon-composite airframe will double as the fuel tank liner in certain sections, the overall strength of the structure is of great consideration. The greatest structural loading will occur at the point of highest dynamic pressure, typically occurring 25 percent of the way up to the apogee depending on the speed and size of the rocket. To determine the loading at this point, CFD or a simplified analytical model is required. The aerodynamic shear, pressure, and acceleration are all considerations that lead to determining the stresses on the airframe at this point. In the optimization code, the structural loading is limited by constraining acceleration to below 15 gs. This must be expanded on to include constraints on the maximum velocity of the rocket to ensure the maximum dynamic pressure and structural stresses are not too high.

D. Stability Model Addition

When considering the stability of a rocket, the center of pressure location with respect to the center of mass is very important. If the center of pressure is closer to the rocket nose than the center of mass, the rocket will have a tendency to want to flip, leading to a new design constraint that restricts the center of pressure from moving past the center of mass. The center of pressure also must not be too far behind the center of mass, otherwise the rocket will be impossible to maneuver in the thicker portions of the atmosphere.

As the fuel is drained from the rocket, the center of mass will move. Due to the fact that the feed system is expected to be nearly a quarter of the overall wet mass of the rocket, the center of mass will stay near the end of the rocket throughout the flight and move towards the rear as the fuel is drained. There is potential for the center of mass to move behind the center of pressure because of this, coupled with the fact that the center of pressure will remain fairly consistent throughout flight. The considerations for this were omitted from the optimization model, but merits addition to the model.

1-DoF simulation optimization of system parameters, but also potentially the trajectory itself (control vector, gain scheduling, etc.)

V. Conclusion

Focus on novelty of the problem. This will guide PSAS's technology development pathways, and inform requirements for future senior capstone projects sponsored by the organization.

References

³Hempel, P. R., Moeller C. P., and Stuntz L. M., Missile Design Optimization Experience And Developments, AIAA-94-4344,1994-CP.

⁴Stanley, D. O., Unal, R., and Joyner, C. R., "Application of Taguchi Methods to Dual Mixture Ratio Propulsion System Optimization for SSTO Vehicles," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 29, No. 4, 1992, pp. 453-459.

⁵Stanley, D. 0., Engelund. W. C., Lepsch. R. A., McMillin, M. L.Wt K. E.. Powell. R. W., Guinta. A. A., and Unal, R. "Rocket-Powered Single Stage Vehicle Configuration Selection and Design," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol. 31, No. 5, 1994. pp. 792-798; also AIAA Paper93-Feb. 1993.

⁶Anderson, m., Burkhalter J., and Jenkins R Multidisciplinary Intelligence Systems Approach To Solid Rocket Motor Design, Part I: Single And Dual Goal Optimization. AIAA 2001-3599, July, 2001.

¹Portland State Aerospace. PSAS. Accessed February 25, 2016. http://psas.pdx.edu.

²Szedula, J.A., FASTPASS: A Tool For Launch Vehicle Synthesis, AIAA-96-4051-CP, 1996.

⁷ Oregon Small Satellite Project. GitHub. Accessed February 25, 2016. https://github.com/oresat.

Appendix

Note that these codes can also be found on Github at ...

A. Trajectory Simulation Python Script

```
1 from math import sqrt, pi, exp, log, cos
 2 import numpy as np
 з import csv
 5 # A simple forward Euler integration for rocket trajectories
 6 def dry_mass(L, dia):
                                                                                                                                 [kg]
            m_avionics = 3.3
                                                                                     # Avionics mass
            m_recovery = 4
                                                                                     # Recovery system mass
                                                                                                                                  kg
           m_payload = 2
                                                                                     # Payload mass
                                                                                                                                   kg
 9
            m_tankage = 20.88683068354522*L*dia*pi # Tank mass Estimation
                                                                                                                                   kg
                                                                                     # Engine mass
           m_{engine} = 2
11
                                                                                                                                   kg
                                                                                                                                  kg
            m_feedsys = 20
                                                                                     # Feed system mass
                                                                                                                                 [kg]
13
           m_airframe = 6
                                                                                     # Airframe mass
           return (m_avionics + m_recovery + m_payload + m_tankage
14
           + m_engine + m_feedsys + m_airframe)
                                                                                    # Dry mass
                                                                                                                                 [ kg ]
16
    def propellant_mass(A, L, OF=1.3):
17
            rho_alc = 852.3
                                                                # Density, ethanol fuel [kg/m^3]
18
            rho_lox = 1141.0
                                                                # Density, lox
                                                                                                               [kg/m^3]
19
            L_lox = L/(rho_lox/(rho_alc*OF) + 1)
20
                                                             # Oxidizer mass
                                                                                                               [kg]
            m_lox = rho_lox*L_lox*A
21
            m_alc = rho_alc*(L-L_lox)*A # Fuel mass
22
                                                                                                                kg]
            return m_alc + m_lox
                                                                # Propellant Mass
23
                                                                                                               [kg]
24
                                                                # U.S. 1976 Standard Atmosphere
25
    def std_at(h):
            if h < 11000:
26
27
                  T = 15.04 - 0.00649*h
                   p = 101.29*((T + 273.1)/288.08)**5.256
28
29
            elif 11000 \le h and h \le 25000:
30
                   T = -56.46
31
                   p = 22.65 * exp(1.73 - 0.000157 * h)
32
33
34
            else:
                  T = -131.21 \, + \, 0.00299 \! * \! h
35
                   p = 2.488 * ((T + 273.1)/216.6) **(-11.388)
36
37
           rho = p/(0.2869*(T + 273.1)) \# Ambient air density [kg/m^3]
38
            p_a = p*1000
                                                                  # Ambient air pressure [Pa]
39
            T_{-a} = T + 273.1
                                                                  # Ambient air temperature [K]
40
            return p_a, rho, T_a
41
42
    def thrust(x, p_ch, T_ch, p_e, ke, Re, mdot):
43
            p_a = std_at(x)[0]
                                                                                              # Ambient air pressure [Pa]
44
            p_t = p_ch*(1 + (ke - 1)/2)**(-ke/(ke - 1)) # Throat pressure
                                                                                                                                           [Pa]
45
            T_t = T_ch*(1/(1 + (ke - 1)/2))
                                                                                              # Throat temperature
                                                                                                                                           [K]
46
            A_t = (mdot / p_t) * sqrt(Re*T_t/ke)
                                                                                              # Throat area
                                                                                                                                           [m^2]
47
            A_{-e} = A_{-t} * (2/(ke+1)) * * (1/(ke-1)) * (p_{-e}) * * (1/ke) * 1/sqrt ((ke+1)/(ke-1) * (1-ke) * (1/ke) * 1/sqrt ((ke+1)/(ke-1) * (1-ke) * (1/ke) * (1
48
            (p_e/p_ch)**((ke - 1)/ke))) # Exit area [m^2]
           ex = A_e/A_t
                                                            # Expansion ratio
49
            alpha_t = [14, 11, 10, 9] \# Lookup table of divergence angles, assuming 80\% bell length
50
                                                        # Lookup table of expansion ratios from alpha_t
            ex_t = [5, 10, 15, 20]
51
            alpha= np.interp(ex, ex_t, alpha_t)
           lam = 0.5*(1 + cos(alpha *pi/180)) # Thrust cosine loss correction, even in extreme
53
           cases this is definitely not an O(1) effect
           Ve = lam*sqrt(2*ke/(ke-1)*Re*T_ch*(1-(p_e/p_ch)**((ke-1)/ke))) \ \# \ Exhaust \ velocity
                                                                          |m/s|
           F = mdot*Ve + (p_e - p_a)*A_e
                                                                                                                                              # Thrust force,
55
           ignoring that isp increases w/ p_ch [N]
            return F, A<sub>t</sub>, A<sub>e</sub>, Ve
56
57
58 def drag(x, v, A, Ma, C_d_t, Ma_t):
           # Check Knudsen number and switch drag models (e.g. rarified gas dyn vs. quadratic drag)
```

```
(p_a, rho, T_a) = std_at(x)
60
61
       \#C_{-}d_{-}t = [0.15, 0.15, 0.3, 0.45, 0.25, 0.2, 0.175, .15, .15] \# V2 rocket drag
62
        coefficient lookup table
       \#Ma_t = [0, 0.6, 1.0, 1.1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5.6]
                                                                             # V2 rocket Mach number
       lookup table
       C_d = np.interp(Ma, Ma_t, C_d_t)
                                                                            # Drag coefficient function
       q \, = \, 0.5 \; * \; rho \; * \; v \! * \! * \! 2
                                                                            # Dyanmic pressure [Pa]
65
       D = q * C_d * A
                                                                            # Drag force
66
67
       return D, q
68
   def trajectory(L, mdot, dia, p_e, p_ch=350, T_ch=3500, ke=1.3, Re=349, x_init=0):
69
       # Note combustion gas properties ke, Re, T_ch, etc, determined from CEA
70
71
       # Physical constants
        g_0 = 9.81 \# Gravitational acceleration [m/s^2]
72
                  # Time step
       dt = 1
73
74
       ka = 1.4
                  # Ratio of specific heats, air
       Ra = 287.1 # Avg. specific gas constant (dry air)
75
76
       # LV4 design variables
77
        \mathrm{dia} \,=\, \mathrm{dia} * 0.0254
                                 # Convert in. to m
78
79
       A = pi*(dia/2)**2
                                 # Airframe frontal area projected onto a circle of diameter
       variable dia
        m_dry = dry_mass(L, A) # Dry mass, call from function dry_mass()
80
                                 # Mass flow rate [kg/s]
81
       mdot = mdot
82
       p_{ch} = p_{ch} *6894.76
                                 # Chamber pressure, convert psi to Pa
83
       p_e = p_e *1000
                                 # Exit pressure, convert kPa to Pa
84
       # Initial conditions
85
       x = [x_i n i t]
86
       v = [0]
87
88
       a = [0]
       t = [0]
89
90
       rho = [std_at(x[-1])[1]]
        p_a = [std_at(x[-1])[0]]
91
       T_a = [std_at(x[-1])[2]]
       m_{prop} = [propellant_mass(A, L)]
93
94
       m = [m_dry + m_prop[-1]]
95
       (F, A_t, A_e, Ve) = thrust(x[-1], p_ch, T_ch, p_e, ke, Re, mdot)
       F = [F]
96
       D = [0]
97
       Ma = [0]
98
       q = [0]
99
       r = (m_prop[0] + m_dry)/m_dry \# Mass ratio
100
                                         # Tsiolkovsky's bane (delta-V)
       dV1 = Ve * log(r) / 1000
       # Drag coefficient look up
        C_d_t = []
104
       Ma_t = []
        f = open('CD_sustainer_poweron.csv') # Use aerobee 150 drag data
106
        aerobee_cd_data = csv.reader(f, delimiter=',')
107
        for row in aerobee_cd_data:
108
109
            C_d_t append (row [1])
            Ma_t. append (row[0])
111
        while True:
            p_a.append(std_at(x[-1])[0])
            rho.append(std_at(x[-1])[1])
114
            T_a.append (std_at(x[-1])[2])
            # Check of the propellant tanks are empty
            \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{if} & m\_prop\left[\,-1\,\right] \;>\; 0 \colon \\ \end{array}
                 (Fr, A_t, A_e, Ve) = thrust(x[-1], p_ch, T_ch, p_e, ke, Re, mdot)
118
                F. append (Fr)
119
                 m_prop.append(m_prop[-1] - mdot*dt)
120
                 mdot\_old = mdot
            else:
                 Ve = thrust(x[-1], p_ch, T_ch, p_e, ke, Re, mdot_old)[3]
124
                F.append(0)
                mdot = 0
                 m_{\text{-}}\text{prop}[-1] = 0
```

```
q.append(drag(x[-1], v[-1], A, Ma[-1], C_d_t, Ma_t)[1])
             D. append (\operatorname{drag}(x[-1], v[-1], A, \operatorname{Ma}[-1], C_d_t, \operatorname{Ma_t})[0])
128
             a. append ((F[-1] - D[-1])/m[-1] - g_0
129
             \begin{array}{l} v.\, append \, (\, a \, [\, -1\, ] * \, dt \,\, + \,\, v \, [\, -1\, ]) \\ x.\, append \, (\, v \, [\, -1\, ] * \, dt \,\, + \,\, x \, [\, -1\, ]) \end{array}
130
             Ma. append (v[-1]/sqrt(ka*Ra*T_a[-1]))
              t.append(t[-1] + dt)
             m.append(m_dry + m_prop[-1])
                                      # Thrust-to-weight ratio constraint
             TWR = a[1]/g_0
             ex = A_e/A_t
136
              S_crit = p_e/p_a[0] # Sommerfield criterion constraint
              if v[-1] <= 0:
138
                  x = np.array(x)
139
                  a = np.array(a)
140
                  F = np.array(F)
141
                  D = np.array(D)
                   q = np.array(q)
143
                   144
          m_prop
```

B. Simplex Search Python Script

47

```
1 # Class simplex:
2 # Nelder-Mead simplex search
3 import numpy as np
4 from math import sqrt, pi, exp, log, cos
5 import math as m
7 def search(f, x_start, max_iter = 100, gamma = 5, beta = 0.5, rp=100, a=10, epsilon = 1E-6):
8
       parameters of the function:
9
       f is the function to be optimized
10
       x_start (numpy array) is the initial simplex vertices
       epsilon is the termination criteria
13
       gamma is the contraction coefficient
14
       beta is the expansion coefficient
15
       # Init Arrays
16
      N = len(x_start)
                              # Amount of design variables
17
       fb = []
                              # Empty function matrix
18
                              # Empty re-write for design variables
19
       xnew =
                              # Empty x matrix
20
       \mathbf{C}
            = [[0]*N]*(N+1) # Empty center point matrix #####CHANGED
21
22
       # Generate vertices of initial simplex
23
       x0 = (x\_start) # x0 Value for x Matrix
24
       x1 = [x0 + [((N + 1)**0.5 + N - 1.)/(N + 1.)*a, 0., 0., 0.]]
25
26
       x2 = [x0 + [0., ((N + 1)**0.5 - 1.)/(N + 1.)*a, 0., 0.]]
      x3 = [x0 + [0., 0., ((N+1)**0.5 - 1.)/(N+1.)*a, 0.]]

x4 = [x0 + [0., 0., 0., ((N+1)**0.5 - 1.)/(N+1.)*a]]
27
28
       x = np.vstack((x0, x1, x2, x3, x4))
29
30
      # Simplex iteration
31
32
       while True:
33
           # Find best, worst, 2nd worst, and new center point
           f_{-run} = np. array([f(x[0], rp), f(x[1], rp), f(x[2], rp), f(x[3], rp), f(x[4], rp)]).
34
       tolist() # Func. values at vertices
           xw \,=\, x\,[\,f\_run\,.\,index\,(\,sorted\,(\,f\_run\,)\,[\,-1])\,]\ \#\ Worst\ point
35
           xb = x[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[0])] # Best point
36
           xs = x[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[-2])] # 2nd worst point
37
           for i in range (0, N+1):
38
39
                if i = f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[-1]):
                    C[i] = [0,0,0,0]
40
41
                else:
                    C[i] = x[i].tolist()
42
           xc = sum(np.array(C))/(N) \# Center point
43
           xr = 2*xc - xw
                                        # Reflection point
           fxr = f(xr, rp)
45
46
           fxc = f(xc, rp)
```

```
48
                              # Check cases
                              \# f(xr, rp) < f(xb, rp): \# Expansion
 49
                              if fxr < f_run[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[0])]:
 50
                                         xnew = (1 + gamma) *xc - gamma*xr
 51
                              \# f(xr, rp) > f(xw, rp): \# Contraction 1
 52
                              elif fxr > f_run[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[-1])]:
 53
 54
                                         xnew = (1 - beta)*xc + beta*xw
                              \# f(xs, rp) < f(xr, rp) and f(xr, rp) < f(xw, rp): \# Contraction 2
                              elif \ f\_run \ [f\_run . index (sorted (f\_run) \ [-2])] \ < \ fxr \ and \ fxr \ < \ f\_run \ [f\_run . index (sorted (f\_run) \ [-2])] \ < \ fxr \ and \ fxr \ < \ f\_run \ [f\_run . index (sorted (f\_run) \ [-2])] \ < \ fxr \ and \ fxr \ < \ f\_run \ [-2] \ (f\_run) \
 56
                   f_run)[-1])]:
                                       xnew = (1 + beta)*xc - beta*xw
 57
                              else:
 58
                                        xnew = xr
 59
 60
                              # Replace Vertices
 61
                              x[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[-1])] = xnew
 62
                              \#x[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[1])] = xb \# Replace best
 63
                              \#x[f_{run.index(sorted(f_{run})[2])}] = xs \# Replace second best
 64
 65
                              fb.append(f(xb, rp))
                              print('Current optimum = ', fb[-1])
 66
 67
 68
                              # Break if any termination critera is satisfied
                               if \ len(fb) = max\_iter: \#or \ term\_check(x, \ xc, \ xw, \ N, \ rp, \ f\_run) <= epsilon: \\
 69
                  (alt\,,\,v,\,a,\,t\,,\,F,\,D,\,Ma,\,rho\,,\,p\_a\,,\,T\_a\,,\,TWR,\,ex\,,\,Ve,\,A\_t\,,\,dV1,\,m,\,S\_crit\,,\,q\,,\\ m\_prop\,,\,p\_ch)\,=\,trajectory\,(xb[0]\,,\,xb[1]\,,\,xb[2]\,,\,xb[3])
  70
                                         return f(x[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[0])], rp), x[f_run.index(sorted(f_run)[0])
 71
                   ], len(fb)
 72
        \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{def} & term\_check\,(N, \ rp\,, \ f\_run\,, \ fxc\,) \colon \# \ Termination \ critera \end{array}
 73
                  M = [0] * (N + 1)
 74
                   for i in range (0, N + 1):
 75
 76
                              if i = f_{run.index(sorted(f_{run})[-1])}: # Avoid worst point
 77
                                       M[i] = 0
 78
                                        M[i] = (f_run[i] - fxc)**2
 79
                   return m. sqrt (sum (M)/N)
 80
 81
 82 # Pseudo-objective function
        def f(x, p_ch=350, rp=50): ##CHANGE CHAMBER PRESSURE HERE
 83
                                                 # Rocket length (m)
                   L = x[0]
 84
                   mdot = x[1] \# Propellant mass flow rate (kg/s)
 85
                   dia = x[2] # Rocket diameter (in)
 86
                   87
 88
                   trajectory (L, mdot, dia, p_e, p_ch)
                   #CHANGE CONSTRAINTS HERE
 89
                   obj\_func = m[0] + rp*(max(0, (L+2)/(dia*0.0254) - 15)**2 + max(0, -TWR + 2)**2 + max(0
 90
                   -S_{\text{crit}} + 0.35 **2 + \max(0, -\text{alt}[-1] + 100000) **2 + \max(0, \max(abs(a))/9.81 - 15) **2)
 91
                   return obj_func
 92
 93 if __name__ == '__main__': # Testing
                   ##CHANGE INITIAL DESIGN GUESS HERE
 94
 95
                   X0 = np.array([1, 0.453592 * 0.9 * 4, 12, 50])
                   \#X0 = \text{np.array}([2, 0.453592 * 0.9 * 6, 8, 50])
 96
                   """ max_iter = 200
 97
                   rp = 50
 98
                   gamma = 6
 99
                   beta = .5
100
                   a = 5
                   (f, x, it) = search(f, np.array(X0), max_iter, gamma, beta, rp, a)
                   from scipy.optimize import minimize
104
                   res = minimize(f, X0, method='nelder-mead')
106
                   p_-ch = 350~\# Chamber pressure [kPa] **DONT FORGET TO CHANGE THE VALUE IN THE OBJECTIVE
107
                   FUNCTION IN def f()**
                   (alt, v, a, t, F, D, Ma, rho, p_a, T_a, TWR, ex, Ve, A_t, dV1, m, S_crit, q, m_prop) =
108
                   trajectory (res.x[0], res.x[1], res.x[2], res.x[3], p_ch)
                   print('\n')
```

```
# Plot the results
        import matplotlib
112
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
113
        import pylab
114
        %config InlineBackend.figure_formats=['svg']
        %matplotlib inline
116
117
        # Redefine the optimized output
        L = res.x[0]
118
119
        mdot = res.x[1]
        dia = res.x[2]
120
        p_e = res.x[3]
        pylab.rcParams [\,'figure.figsize\,'] \,=\, (10.0\,,\ 10.0)
        f, (ax1, ax2, ax3, ax4, ax6, ax7) = plt.subplots(6, sharex=True)
        ax1.plot(t, alt/1000)
        ax1.set_ylabel("Altitude (km)")
126
        ax1.yaxis.major.locator.set_params(nbins=6)
127
        ax1.set_title('LV4 Trajectory')
128
129
        ax2.plot(t, v)
        ax2.yaxis.major.locator.set_params(nbins=6)
130
        ax2.set_ylabel("Velocity (m/s)")
        ax3.plot(t, a/9.81)
        ax3.yaxis.major.locator.set_params(nbins=10)
        ax3.set_ylabel("Acceleration/g0")
134
        ax4.plot(t, F/1000)
135
        ax4.yaxis.major.locator.set_params(nbins=6)
136
        ax4.set_ylabel("Thrust (kN)")
137
        ax6.plot(t, q/1000)
138
        ax6.yaxis.major.locator.set_params(nbins=6)
139
        ax6.set_ylabel("Dynamic Pressure (kPa)")
140
141
        ax7.plot(t, Ma)
        \verb"ax7.yaxis.major.locator.set_params" (\verb"nbins=6")
142
        ax7.set_ylabel("Mach number")
ax7.set_xlabel("t (s)")
143
144
        plt.show()
145
146
        np.set_printoptions(precision=3)
147
        print('\n')
print('OPTIMIZED DESIGN VECTOR')
148
149
        print ('-
                                                                    = ', res.x)
= ', X0)
        print('x_optimized
        print('x_initial_guess
        print('design tankage length
print('design mass flow rate
                                                                    = \{0:.2f\} \text{ m'.format}(res.x[0])
153
                                                                    = \{0:.2f\} kg/s'.format(res.x[1]))
= \{0:.2f\} in.'.format(res.x[2]))
154
        print ('design airframe diameter
                                                                    = \{0:.2f\} kPa'. format(res.x[3]))
        print ('design nozzle exit pressure
        print('iterations
print('design GLOW
print('x0 GLOW
                                                                    =', res.nit)
= {0:.1f} kg'.format(m[0]))
158
                                                                    = \{0:.1f\} kg'. format(trajectory(X0[0],
         X0[1], X0[2], X0[3], p_ch)[-4][0])
160
        print('\n')
print('CONSTRAINTS')
161
        print ('-
163
        print ('L/D ratio (check < 15)
                                                                    = \{0:.2f\}'. format ((L+2)/(dia*0.0254))
164
        print('Sommerfield criterion (check pe/pa >= 0.3) = {0:.1f}'.format(S_crit))
165
        print ("Max acceleration (check < 15)
                                                                    = \{0:.2 f\} g's". format(max(abs(a)))
166
        /9.81))
        print('TWR at lift off (check TWR > 2)
                                                                    = \{0:.2 f\}, format (TWR))
167
        print('altitude at apogee
                                                                    = \{0:.1f\} \text{ km'}.format(alt[-1]/1000))
168
169
        print('\n')
print('ADDITIONAL INFORMATION')
170
        print('-
                                                                    = \{0:.1f\} \text{ s'.format}(t[-1])
        print ('mission time at apogee
173
                                                                    = \{0:.3f\} kg'.format(m_prop[0]))
= \{0:.1f\} kN'.format(F[0]/1000))
174
        print('design total propellant mass
        print('design thrust (sea level)
176
        i = 0
        for thing in F:
177
178
             if thing == 0:
```

```
fdex = j
179
                         break
180
                  j += 1
181
            print('design thrust (vacuum)
                                                                                               = \{0:.1f\} \text{ kN'}.\text{format}(F[fdex - 1]/1000)
182
           print('design burn time
print('design expansion ratio
print('design throat area
                                                                                               = {} s'.format(fdex))
183
                                                                                                = \{0:.1f\}'.format(ex)) 
 = \{0:.1f\} in.^2'.format(A_t/0.0254**2) 
184
185
            print('design isp
print('design chamber pressure
                                                                                               = \{0:.1 f\} s'.format(Ve/9.81))
186
                                                                                              = {0:.1f} s.format(ve/s.s1)

= {0:.1f} psi'.format(p_ch))

= {0:.1f} km/s'.format(dV1))

= {0:.1f} km/s'.format( sqrt(2*9.81*
187
           print('design dV
print('estimated minimum required dV
188
189
            alt[-1])/1000)
```