

Chapter 9

Flexible Budgets and Performance Analysis

Solutions to Questions

9-1 A planning budget is prepared before the period begins and is valid for only the planned level of activity. It is sometimes referred to as a static planning budget because it is not adjusted even if the level of activity subsequently changes.

9-2 A flexible budget can be adjusted to reflect any level of activity—including the actual level of activity. By contrast, a static planning budget is prepared for a single level of activity and is not subsequently adjusted.

9-3 Actual results can differ from the budget for many reasons. Very broadly speaking, the differences are usually due to a change in the level of activity, changes in prices, and changes in how effectively resources are managed.

9-4 As noted above, a difference between the budget and actual results can be due to many factors. Most importantly, the level of activity can have a very big impact on costs. From a manager's perspective, a variance that is due to a change in activity is very different from a variance that is due to changes in prices and changes in how effectively resources are managed. A variance of the first kind requires very different actions from a variance of the second kind. Consequently, these two kinds of variances should be clearly separated from each other. When the budget is directly compared to the actual results, these two kinds of variances are lumped together.

9-5 An activity variance is the difference between a revenue or cost item in the flexible budget and the same item in the static planning budget. An activity variance is due solely to the difference in the actual level of activity used in the flexible budget and the level of activity

assumed in the planning budget. Caution should be exercised in interpreting an activity variance. The "favorable" and "unfavorable" labels are perhaps misleading for activity variances that involve costs. A "favorable" activity variance for a cost occurs because the cost has some variable component and the actual level of activity is less than the planned level of activity. An "unfavorable" activity variance for a cost occurs because the cost has some variable component and the actual level of activity is greater than the planned level of activity.

9-6 If the actual level of activity is greater than the planned level of activity, the activity variances for variable expenses will be unfavorable.

9-7 A revenue variance is the difference between the actual revenue for the period and how much the revenue should have been, given the actual level of activity. A revenue variance is easy to interpret. A favorable revenue variance occurs because the revenue is greater than expected for the actual level of activity. An unfavorable revenue variance occurs because the revenue is less than expected for the actual level of activity.

9-8 A spending variance is the difference between the actual amount of the cost and how much a cost should have been, given the actual level of activity. Like the revenue variance, the interpretation of a spending variance is straightforward. A favorable spending variance occurs because the cost is lower than expected for the actual level of activity. An unfavorable spending variance occurs because the cost is higher than expected for the actual level of activity.

9-9 In a flexible budget performance report, the actual results are not directly compared to the static planning budget. The flexible budget is interposed between the actual results and the static planning budget. The differences between the flexible budget and the static planning budget are activity variances. The differences between the actual results and the flexible budget are the revenue and spending variances. The flexible budget performance report cleanly separates the differences between the actual results and the static planning budget that are due to changes in activity (the activity

variances) from the differences that are due to changes in prices and the effectiveness with which resources are managed (the revenue and spending variances).

9-10 The only difference between a flexible budget based on a single cost driver and one based on two cost drivers is the cost formulas. When there are two cost drivers, some costs may be a function of the first cost driver, some costs may be a function of the second cost driver, and some costs may be a function of both cost drivers.

Chapter 9: Applying Excel

The completed worksheet is shown below.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Chapter 9: Applying Excel							
2								
3	Data							
4	Revenue			\$16.50 q				
5	Cost of ingredients			\$6.25 q				
6	Wages and salaries	\$10,400						
7	Utilities	\$800	+	\$0.20 q				
8	Rent	\$2,200						
9	Miscellaneous	\$600	+	\$0.80 q				
10								
11	Actual results:							
12	Revenue	\$27,920						
13	Cost of ingredients	\$11,110						
14	Wages and salaries	\$10,130						
15	Utilities	\$1,080						
16	Rent	\$2,200						
17	Miscellaneous	\$2,240						
18								
19	Planning budget activity	1,800	meals served					
20	Actual activity	1,700	meals served					
21								
22	Enter a formula into each of the cells marked with a ? below							
23	Review Problem: Variance Analysis Using a Flexible Budget							
24								
25	Construct a flexible budget performance report							
26			Revenue					
27			and					
28		Actual	Spending		Flexible	Activity		Planning
29		Results	Variances		Budget	Variances		Budget
30	Meals served	1,700			1,700			1,800
31	Revenue	\$ 27,920	\$ 130 U		\$ 28,050	\$ 1,650 U		\$ 29,700
32	Expenses:							
33	Cost of ingredients	11,110	485 U		10,625	625 F		11,250
34	Wages and salaries	10,130	270 F		10,400	-		10,400
35	Utilities	1,080	60 F		1,140	20 F		1,160
36	Rent	2,200	-		2,200	-		2,200
37	Miscellaneous	2,240	280 U		1,960	80 F		2,040
38	Total expenses	26,760	435 U		26,325	725 F		27,050
39	Net operating income	\$ 1,160	\$ 565 U		\$ 1,725	\$ 925 U		\$ 2,650
40								

Chapter 9: Applying Excel (continued)

The completed worksheet, with formulas displayed, is shown below.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	Chapter 9: Applying E								
2									
3	Data								
4	Revenue			16.5	q				
5	Cost of ingredients			6.25	q				
6	Wages and salaries	10400							
7	Utilities	800	+	0.2	q				
8	Rent	2200							
9	Miscellaneous	600	+	0.8	q				
10									
11	Actual results:								
12	Revenue	27920							
13	Cost of ingredients	11110							
14	Wages and salaries	10130							
15	Utilities	1080							
16	Rent	2200							
17	Miscellaneous	2240							
18									
19	Planning budget activity	1800	meals served						
20	Actual activity	1700	meals served						
21									
22	Enter a formula into each of the cells marked with a ? below								
23	Review Problem: Variance Analysis Using a Flexible Budget								
24									
25	Construct a flexible budget performance report								
26			Revenue						
27			and						
28		Actual	Spending		Flexible	Activity		Planning	
29		Results	Variances		Budget	Variances		Budget	
30	Meals served	=B20			=B20			=B19	
31	Revenue	=B12	=ABS(B31-E31)	=IF(E31>B31,"U",IF(E31<B31,"F",""))	=D4*E30	=ABS(E31-H31)	=IF(H31>E31,"U",IF(H31<E31,"F",""))	=D4*H30	
32	Expenses:								
33	Cost of ingredients	=B13	=ABS(B33-E33)	=IF(E33>B33,"F",IF(E33<B33,"U",""))	=D5*E30	=ABS(E33-H33)	=IF(H33>E33,"F",IF(H33<E33,"U",""))	=D5*H30	
34	Wages and salaries	=B14	=ABS(B34-E34)	=IF(E34>B34,"F",IF(E34<B34,"U",""))	=B6	=ABS(E34-H34)	=IF(H34>E34,"F",IF(H34<E34,"U",""))	=B6	
35	Utilities	=B15	=ABS(B35-E35)	=IF(E35>B35,"F",IF(E35<B35,"U",""))	=B7+D7*E30	=ABS(E35-H35)	=IF(H35>E35,"F",IF(H35<E35,"U",""))	=B7+D7*H30	
36	Rent	=B16	=ABS(B36-E36)	=IF(E36>B36,"F",IF(E36<B36,"U",""))	=B8	=ABS(E36-H36)	=IF(H36>E36,"F",IF(H36<E36,"U",""))	=B8	
37	Miscellaneous	=B17	=ABS(B37-E37)	=IF(E37>B37,"F",IF(E37<B37,"U",""))	=B9+D9*E30	=ABS(E37-H37)	=IF(H37>E37,"F",IF(H37<E37,"U",""))	=B9+D9*H30	
38	Total expenses	=SUM(B33:B37)	=ABS(B38-E38)	=IF(E38>B38,"F",IF(E38<B38,"U",""))	=SUM(E33:E37)	=ABS(E38-H38)	=IF(H38>E38,"F",IF(H38<E38,"U",""))	=SUM(H33:H37)	
39	Net operating income	=B31-B38	=ABS(B39-E39)	=IF(E39>B39,"U",IF(E39<B39,"F",""))	=E31-E38	=ABS(E39-H39)	=IF(H39>E39,"U",IF(H39<E39,"F",""))	=H31-H38	
40									

Note: For formulas to compute whether a variance is Favorable or Unfavorable, use the IF() function. For example, in cell D31, the formula is =IF(E31>B31,"U",IF(E31<B31,"F","")). This formula first checks whether the actual revenue (cell B31) exceeds the revenue under the flexible budget (cell E31). If it does, the function returns the value F, which is displayed in cell D31. Otherwise, the function returns the value U, which is displayed in cell D31. When actual revenue is the same as under the flexible budget, nothing is displayed in cell D31.

Chapter 9: Applying Excel (continued)

1. With the changes in data, the result is:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	Chapter 9: Applying Excel								
2									
3	Data								
4	Revenue			\$16.00 q					
5	Cost of ingredients			\$6.50 q					
6	Wages and salaries	\$10,000							
7	Utilities	\$800	+	\$0.20 q					
8	Rent	\$2,200							
9	Miscellaneous	\$600	+	\$0.80 q					
10									
11	Actual results:								
12	Revenue	\$27,920							
13	Cost of ingredients	\$11,110							
14	Wages and salaries	\$10,130							
15	Utilities	\$1,080							
16	Rent	\$2,200							
17	Miscellaneous	\$2,240							
18									
19	Planning budget activity	1,800 meals served							
20	Actual activity	1,700 meals served							
21									
22	Enter a formula into each of the cells marked with a ? below								
23	Review Problem: Variance Analysis Using a Flexible Budget								
24									
25	Construct a flexible budget performance report								
26			Revenue						
27			and						
28		Actual	Spending		Flexible	Activity		Planning	
29		Results	Variances		Budget	Variances		Budget	
30	Meals served	1,700			1,700			1,800	
31	Revenue	\$ 27,920	\$ 720 F		\$ 27,200	\$ 1,600 U		\$ 28,800	
32	Expenses:								
33	Cost of ingredients	11,110	60 U		11,050	650 F		11,700	
34	Wages and salaries	10,130	130 U		10,000	-		10,000	
35	Utilities	1,080	60 F		1,140	20 F		1,160	
36	Rent	2,200	-		2,200	-		2,200	
37	Miscellaneous	2,240	280 U		1,960	80 F		2,040	
38	Total expenses	26,760	410 U		26,350	750 F		27,100	
39	Net operating income	\$ 1,160	\$ 310 F		\$ 850	\$ 850 U		\$ 1,700	
40									

Chapter 9: Applying Excel (continued)

- a. The activity variance for revenue is \$1,600 U. This variance is the difference between the revenue under the planning budget and under the flexible budget. It is unfavorable because the actual activity is less than the budgeted activity and consequently revenue should be less than planned under the budget.
- b. The spending variance for the cost of ingredients is \$60 U. This variance is the difference between what the cost should have been according to the flexible budget and what it actually was for the period. It is unfavorable because the actual cost exceeded what the cost should have been.

Chapter 9: Applying Excel (continued)

2. With the revised data, the worksheet should look like this:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	Chapter 9: Applying Excel								
2									
3	Data								
4	Revenue			\$16.50 q					
5	Cost of ingredients			\$6.25 q					
6	Wages and salaries	\$10,400							
7	Utilities	\$800	+	\$0.20 q					
8	Rent	\$2,200							
9	Miscellaneous	\$600	+	\$0.80 q					
10									
11	Actual results:								
12	Revenue	\$28,900							
13	Cost of ingredients	\$11,300							
14	Wages and salaries	\$10,300							
15	Utilities	\$1,120							
16	Rent	\$2,300							
17	Miscellaneous	\$2,020							
18									
19	Planning budget activity	1,700 meals served							
20	Actual activity	1,800 meals served							
21									
22	Enter a formula into each of the cells marked with a ? below								
23	Review Problem: Variance Analysis Using a Flexible Budget								
24									
25	Construct a flexible budget performance report								
26			Revenue						
27			and						
28		Actual	Spending		Flexible	Activity		Planning	
29		Results	Variances		Budget	Variances		Budget	
30	Meals served	1,800			1,800			1,700	
31	Revenue	\$ 28,900	\$ 800 U		\$ 29,700	\$ 1,650 F		\$ 28,050	
32	Expenses:								
33	Cost of ingredients	11,300	50 U		11,250	625 U		10,625	
34	Wages and salaries	10,300	100 F		10,400	-		10,400	
35	Utilities	1,120	40 F		1,160	20 U		1,140	
36	Rent	2,300	100 U		2,200	-		2,200	
37	Miscellaneous	2,020	20 F		2,040	80 U		1,960	
38	Total expenses	27,040	10 F		27,050	725 U		26,325	
39	Net operating income	\$ 1,860	\$ 790 U		\$ 2,650	\$ 925 F		\$ 1,725	
40									

Actual activity exceeded planned activity by 100 meals served, which should have boosted net operating income by \$925. However, actual results were not this favorable. Given the actual number of meals served, the company should have realized net operating income of \$2,650, but the actual net operating income was only \$1,860, resulting in an unfavorable overall spending and revenue variance of \$790.

Attention should be focused on the lower than expected revenue.

The Foundational 15

1. The amount of revenue in the flexible budget for May is:

Revenue:

Variable element per customer served (a).....	\$5,000
Actual activity (b)	35
Amount in flexible budget (a) × (b).....	\$175,000

2. The amount of employee salaries and wages in the flexible budget for May is:

Employee salaries and wages:

Variable element per customer served (a).....	\$1,100
Actual activity (b)	35
Variable portion of the amount (a) × (b)	\$38,500

Variable portion of the amount.....	\$38,500
Fixed element per month.....	<u>50,000</u>
Amount in flexible budget	<u>\$88,500</u>

3. The amount of travel expenses in the flexible budget for May is:

Travel expenses:

Variable element per customer served (a).....	\$600
Actual activity (b)	35
Amount in flexible budget (a) × (b).....	\$21,000

4. The amount of Other Expenses included in the flexible budget for May would be the fixed element per month of \$36,000.

5. The net income reported in the flexible budget can be derived by combining the answers to questions 1-4 as follows:

Revenue		\$175,000
Employee salaries and wages.....	\$88,500	
Travel expenses	21,000	
Other expenses.....	<u>36,000</u>	<u>145,500</u>
Net operating income		<u>\$ 29,500</u>

The Foundational 15

6. The revenue variance for May is:

Actual results	Revenue Variance	Flexible Budget
\$160,000	\$15,000 U	\$175,000

7. The employee salaries and wages spending variance for May is:

Actual results	Spending Variance	Flexible Budget
\$88,000	\$500 F	\$88,500

8. The travel expenses spending variance for May is:

Actual results	Spending Variance	Flexible Budget
\$19,000	\$2,000 F	\$21,000

9. The other expenses spending variance for May is:

Actual results	Spending Variance	Flexible Budget
\$34,500	\$1,500 F	\$36,000

10. The amount of revenue in the planning budget for May is:

Revenue:

Variable element per customer served (a).....	\$5,000
Planned level of activity (b).....	30
Amount in planning budget (a) × (b).....	\$150,000

11. The amount of employee salaries and wages in the planning budget for May is:

Employee salaries and wages:

Variable element per customer served (a).....	\$1,100
Actual activity (b)	30
Variable portion of the amount (a) × (b)	\$33,000

Variable portion of the amount.....	\$33,000
Fixed element per month	<u>50,000</u>
Amount in planning budget.....	<u>\$83,000</u>

The Foundational 15

12. The amount of travel expenses in the planning budget for May is:

Travel expenses:

Variable element per customer served (a).....	\$600
Actual activity (b)	30
Amount in planning budget (a) × (b).....	\$18,000

13. The amount of Other Expenses included in the planning budget for May would be the fixed element per month of \$36,000.

14. The activity variance for revenue for May is:

Flexible Budget	Activity Variance	Planning Budget
\$175,000	\$25,000 F	\$150,000

15. The activity variances for the expenses for May are as follows:

	Flexible Budget	Activity Variance	Planning Budget
Employee salaries and wages...	\$88,500	\$5,500 U	\$83,000
Travel expenses	\$21,000	\$3,000 U	\$18,000
Other expenses	\$36,000	\$0	\$36,000

Exercise 9-1 (10 minutes)

Puget Sound Divers
Flexible Budget
For the Month Ended May 31

Actual diving-hours.....	105
Revenue (\$365.00q)	<u>\$38,325</u>
Expenses:	
Wages and salaries (\$8,000 + \$125.00q) ..	21,125
Supplies (\$3.00q).....	315
Equipment rental (\$1,800 + \$32.00q)	5,160
Insurance (\$3,400)	3,400
Miscellaneous (\$630 + \$1.80q).....	<u>819</u>
Total expense	<u>30,819</u>
Net operating income.....	<u>\$ 7,506</u>

Exercise 9-2 (15 minutes)

1. The activity variances are shown below:

Flight Café Activity Variances For the Month Ended July 31			
	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Planning Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>
Meals	17,800	18,000	
Revenue (\$4.50q)	<u>\$80,100</u>	<u>\$81,000</u>	<u>\$900</u> U
Expenses:			
Raw materials (\$2.40q)	42,720	43,200	480 F
Wages and salaries (\$5,200 + \$0.30q)	10,540	10,600	60 F
Utilities (\$2,400 + \$0.05q)	3,290	3,300	10 F
Facility rent (\$4,300)	4,300	4,300	0
Insurance (\$2,300)	2,300	2,300	0
Miscellaneous (\$680 + \$0.10q) ..	<u>2,460</u>	<u>2,480</u>	<u>20</u> F
Total expense	<u>65,610</u>	<u>66,180</u>	<u>570</u> F
Net operating income	<u>\$14,490</u>	<u>\$14,820</u>	<u>\$330</u> U

2. Management should be concerned that the level of activity fell below what had been planned for the month. This led to an expected decline in profits of \$330. However, the individual items on the report should not receive much management attention. The unfavorable variance for revenue and the favorable variances for expenses are entirely caused by the drop in activity.

Exercise 9-3 (15 minutes)

Quilcene Oysteria
Revenue and Spending Variances
For the Month Ended August 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>	
Pounds	8,000	8,000		
Revenue (\$4.00q)	<u>\$35,200</u>	<u>\$32,000</u>	<u>\$3,200</u>	F
Expenses:				
Packing supplies (\$0.50q)	4,200	4,000	200	U
Oyster bed maintenance (\$3,200)	3,100	3,200	100	F
Wages and salaries (\$2,900 + \$0.30q)	5,640	5,300	340	U
Shipping (\$0.80q)	6,950	6,400	550	U
Utilities (\$830)	810	830	20	F
Other (\$450 + \$0.05q)	<u>980</u>	<u>850</u>	<u>130</u>	U
Total expense	<u>21,680</u>	<u>20,580</u>	<u>1,100</u>	U
Net operating income	<u>\$13,520</u>	<u>\$11,420</u>	<u>\$2,100</u>	F

Exercise 9-4 (20 minutes)

1.

Vulcan Flyovers
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended July 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Flights (q).....	48			48			50
Revenue (\$320.00q).....	<u>\$13,650</u>	<u>\$1,710</u> U		<u>\$15,360</u>	<u>\$640</u> U		<u>\$16,000</u>
Expenses:							
Wages and salaries (\$4,000 + \$82.00q)	8,430	494 U		7,936	164 F		8,100
Fuel (\$23.00q).....	1,260	156 U		1,104	46 F		1,150
Airport fees (\$650 + \$38.00q).....	2,350	124 F		2,474	76 F		2,550
Aircraft depreciation (\$7.00q).....	336	0		336	14 F		350
Office expenses (\$190 + \$2.00q)..	460	174 U		286	4 F		290
Total expense	<u>12,836</u>	<u>700</u> U		<u>12,136</u>	<u>304</u> F		<u>12,440</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 814</u>	<u>\$2,410</u> U		<u>\$ 3,224</u>	<u>\$336</u> U		<u>\$ 3,560</u>

2. The overall \$336 unfavorable activity variance is due to activity falling below what had been planned for the month. The \$1,710 unfavorable revenue variance is very large relative to the company's net operating income and should be investigated. Was this due to discounts given or perhaps a lower average number of passengers per flight than usual? The \$494 unfavorable spending variance for wages and salaries is also large and should be investigated. The other spending variances are relatively small, but are worth some management attention—particularly if they recur next month.

Exercise 9-5 (15 minutes)

Alyeski Tours
Flexible Budget
For the Month Ended July 31

Actual cruises (q_1)	24
Actual passengers (q_2)	1,400
Revenue ($\$25.00q_2$).....	<u>\$35,000</u>
Expenses:	
Vessel operating costs ($\$5,200 + \$480.00q_1 + \$2.00q_2$)	19,520
Advertising ($\$1,700$).....	1,700
Administrative costs ($\$4,300 + \$24.00q_1 + \$1.00q_2$)	6,276
Insurance ($\$2,900$)	<u>2,900</u>
Total expense.....	<u>30,396</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 4,604</u>

Exercise 9-6 (30 minutes)

The flexible budget performance report for September appears below:

Gourmand Cooking School
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended September 30

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Courses (q ₁)	3			3			3
Students (q ₂)	42			42			45
Revenue (\$800q ₂)	<u>\$32,400</u>	<u>\$1,200</u>	U	<u>\$33,600</u>	<u>\$2,400</u>	U	<u>\$36,000</u>
Expenses:							
Instructor wages (\$3,080q ₁)	9,080	160	F	9,240	0		9,240
Classroom supplies (\$260q ₂)	8,540	2,380	F	10,920	780	F	11,700
Utilities (\$870 + \$130q ₁)	1,530	270	U	1,260	0		1,260
Campus rent (\$4,200)	4,200	0		4,200	0		4,200
Insurance (\$1,890)	1,890	0		1,890	0		1,890
Administrative expenses (\$3,270 + \$15q ₁ + \$4q ₂)	<u>3,790</u>	<u>307</u>	U	<u>3,483</u>	<u>12</u>	F	<u>3,495</u>
Total expense	<u>29,030</u>	<u>1,963</u>	F	<u>30,993</u>	<u>792</u>	F	<u>31,785</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 3,370</u>	<u>\$ 763</u>	F	<u>\$ 2,607</u>	<u>\$ 1,608</u>	U	<u>\$ 4,215</u>

Exercise 9-7 (20 minutes)

Jake's Roof Repair
Activity Variances
For the Month Ended May 31

	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Planning Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>	
Repair-hours (q)	2,900	2,800		
Revenue (\$44.50q)	<u>\$129,050</u>	<u>\$124,600</u>	<u>\$4,450</u>	F
Expenses:				
Wages and salaries (\$23,200 + \$16.30q)	70,470	68,840	1,630	U
Parts and supplies (\$8.60q)	24,940	24,080	860	U
Equipment depreciation (\$1,600 + \$0.40q)	2,760	2,720	40	U
Truck operating expenses (\$6,400 + \$1.70q)	11,330	11,160	170	U
Rent (\$3,480)	3,480	3,480	0	
Administrative expenses (\$4,500 + \$0.80q)	<u>6,820</u>	<u>6,740</u>	<u>80</u>	U
Total expense	<u>119,800</u>	<u>117,020</u>	<u>2,780</u>	U
Net operating income	<u>\$ 9,250</u>	<u>\$ 7,580</u>	<u>\$1,670</u>	F

Exercise 9-8 (10 minutes)

Wyckam Manufacturing Inc.
Planning Budget for Manufacturing Costs
For the Month Ended June 30

Budgeted machine-hours (q)	5,000
Direct materials (\$4.25q)	\$21,250
Direct labor (\$36,800)	36,800
Supplies (\$0.30q)	1,500
Utilities (\$1,400 + \$0.05q)	1,650
Depreciation (\$16,700)	16,700
Insurance (\$12,700)	<u>12,700</u>
Total manufacturing cost	<u><u>\$90,600</u></u>

Exercise 9-9 (15 minutes)

Lavage Rapide
Planning Budget
For the Month Ended August 31

Budgeted cars washed (q)	9,000
Revenue (\$4.90q).....	<u>\$44,100</u>
Expenses:	
Cleaning supplies (\$0.80q)	7,200
Electricity (\$1,200 + \$0.15q)	2,550
Maintenance (\$0.20q)	1,800
Wages and salaries (\$5,000 + \$0.30q).....	7,700
Depreciation (\$6,000)	6,000
Rent (\$8,000)	8,000
Administrative expenses (\$4,000 + \$0.10q)..	<u>4,900</u>
Total expense.....	<u>38,150</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 5,950</u>

Exercise 9-10 (15 minutes)

Lavage Rapide
Flexible Budget
For the Month Ended August 31

Actual cars washed (q)	8,800
Revenue (\$4.90q).....	<u>\$43,120</u>
Expenses:	
Cleaning supplies (\$0.80q)	7,040
Electricity (\$1,200 + \$0.15q)	2,520
Maintenance (\$0.20q)	1,760
Wages and salaries (\$5,000 + \$0.30q).....	7,640
Depreciation (\$6,000)	6,000
Rent (\$8,000)	8,000
Administrative expenses (\$4,000 + \$0.10q)..	<u>4,880</u>
Total expense.....	<u>37,840</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 5,280</u>

Exercise 9-11 (20 minutes)

Lavage Rapide
Activity Variances
For the Month Ended August 31

	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Planning Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>	
Cars washed (q)	8,800	9,000		
Revenue (\$4.90q)	<u>\$43,120</u>	<u>\$44,100</u>	<u>\$980</u>	U
Expenses:				
Cleaning supplies (\$0.80q)	7,040	7,200	160	F
Electricity (\$1,200 + \$0.15q)	2,520	2,550	30	F
Maintenance (\$0.20q)	1,760	1,800	40	F
Wages and salaries (\$5,000 + \$0.30q)	7,640	7,700	60	F
Depreciation (\$6,000)	6,000	6,000	0	
Rent (\$8,000)	8,000	8,000	0	
Administrative expenses (\$4,000 + \$0.10q)	<u>4,880</u>	<u>4,900</u>	<u>20</u>	F
Total expense	<u>37,840</u>	<u>38,150</u>	<u>310</u>	F
Net operating income	<u>\$ 5,280</u>	<u>\$ 5,950</u>	<u>\$670</u>	U

Exercise 9-12 (20 minutes)

Lavage Rapide
Revenue and Spending Variances
For the Month Ended August 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>	
Cars washed (q)	8,800	8,800		
Revenue (\$4.90q)	<u>\$43,080</u>	<u>\$43,120</u>	\$ 40	U
Expenses:				
Cleaning supplies (\$0.80q)	7,560	7,040	520	U
Electricity (\$1,200 + \$0.15q)	2,670	2,520	150	U
Maintenance (\$0.20q)	2,260	1,760	500	U
Wages and salaries (\$5,000 + \$0.30q)	8,500	7,640	860	U
Depreciation (\$6,000)	6,000	6,000	0	
Rent (\$8,000)	8,000	8,000	0	
Administrative expenses (\$4,000 + \$0.10q)	<u>4,950</u>	<u>4,880</u>	<u>70</u>	U
Total expense	<u>39,940</u>	<u>37,840</u>	<u>2,100</u>	U
Net operating income	<u>\$ 3,140</u>	<u>\$ 5,280</u>	<u>\$2,140</u>	U

Exercise 9-13 (30 minutes)

Lavage Rapide
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended August 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>	<i>Planning Budget</i>
Cars washed (q)	8,800		8,800		9,000
Revenue (\$4.90q)	<u>\$43,080</u>	<u>\$ 40</u> U	<u>\$43,120</u>	<u>\$980</u> U	<u>\$44,100</u>
Expenses:					
Cleaning supplies (\$0.80q)	7,560	520 U	7,040	160 F	7,200
Electricity (\$1,200 + \$0.15q)	2,670	150 U	2,520	30 F	2,550
Maintenance (\$0.20q)	2,260	500 U	1,760	40 F	1,800
Wages and salaries (\$5,000 + \$0.30q)	8,500	860 U	7,640	60 F	7,700
Depreciation (\$6,000)	6,000	0	6,000	0	6,000
Rent (\$8,000)	8,000	0	8,000	0	8,000
Administrative expenses (\$4,000 + \$0.10q)	<u>4,950</u>	<u>70</u> U	<u>4,880</u>	<u>20</u> F	<u>4,900</u>
Total expense	<u>39,940</u>	<u>2,100</u> U	<u>37,840</u>	<u>310</u> F	<u>38,150</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 3,140</u>	<u>\$2,140</u> U	<u>\$ 5,280</u>	<u>\$670</u> U	<u>\$ 5,950</u>

Exercise 9-14 (45 minutes)

1. The planning budget appears below. Note that the report does not include revenue or net operating income because the production department is a cost center that does not have any revenue.

Packaging Solutions Corporation
Production Department Planning Budget
For the Month Ended March 31

Budgeted labor-hours (q)	8,000
Direct labor (\$15.80q)	\$126,400
Indirect labor (\$8,200 + \$1.60q)	21,000
Utilities (\$6,400 + \$0.80q)	12,800
Supplies (\$1,100 + \$0.40q)	4,300
Equipment depreciation (\$23,000 + \$3.70q) .	52,600
Factory rent (\$8,400)	8,400
Property taxes (\$2,100)	2,100
Factory administration (\$11,700 + \$1.90q) ...	<u>26,900</u>
Total expense	<u>\$254,500</u>

2. The flexible budget appears below. Like the planning budget, this report does not include revenue or net operating income because the production department is a cost center that does not have any revenue.

Packaging Solutions Corporation
Production Department Flexible Budget
For the Month Ended March 31

Actual labor-hours (q)	8,400
Direct labor (\$15.80q)	\$132,720
Indirect labor (\$8,200 + \$1.60q)	21,640
Utilities (\$6,400 + \$0.80q)	13,120
Supplies (\$1,100 + \$0.40q)	4,460
Equipment depreciation (\$23,000 + \$3.70q) .	54,080
Factory rent (\$8,400)	8,400
Property taxes (\$2,100)	2,100
Factory administration (\$11,700 + \$1.90q) ...	<u>27,660</u>
Total expense	<u>\$264,180</u>

Exercise 9-14 (continued)

3. The flexible budget performance report appears below. This report does not include revenue or net operating income because the production department is a cost center that does not have any revenue.

Packaging Solutions Corporation
Production Department Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended March 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Labor-hours (q)	8,400			8,400			8,000
Direct labor (\$15.80q).....	\$134,730	\$2,010 U		\$132,720	\$6,320 U		\$126,400
Indirect labor (\$8,200 + \$1.60q)	19,860	1,780 F		21,640	640 U		21,000
Utilities (\$6,400 + \$0.80q)	14,570	1,450 U		13,120	320 U		12,800
Supplies (\$1,100 + \$0.40q).....	4,980	520 U		4,460	160 U		4,300
Equipment depreciation (\$23,000 + \$3.70q).....	54,080	0		54,080	1,480 U		52,600
Factory rent (\$8,400).....	8,700	300 U		8,400	0		8,400
Property taxes (\$2,100)	2,100	0		2,100	0		2,100
Factory administration (\$11,700 + \$1.90q).....	<u>26,470</u>	<u>1,190</u> F		<u>27,660</u>	<u>760</u> U		<u>26,900</u>
Total expense.....	<u>\$265,490</u>	<u>\$1,310</u> U		<u>\$264,180</u>	<u>\$9,680</u> U		<u>\$254,500</u>

Exercise 9-14 (continued)

4. The overall unfavorable activity variance of \$9,680 occurred because the actual level of activity exceeded the budgeted level of activity. The production manager certainly should not be held responsible for this unfavorable variance if this increased activity was due to more orders or more sales. On the other hand, the overall unfavorable spending variance of \$1,310 may be of concern to management. Why did the unfavorable—and favorable—variances occur? Even the relatively small unfavorable spending variance for supplies of \$520 should probably be investigated because, as a percentage of what the cost should have been ($\$520/\$4,460 = 11.7\%$), this variance is fairly large.

Exercise 9-15 (20 minutes)

Via Gelato
Revenue and Spending Variances
For the Month Ended June 30

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>	
Liters (q)	6,200	6,200		
Revenue (\$12.00q).....	<u>\$71,540</u>	<u>\$74,400</u>	<u>\$2,860</u>	U
Expenses:				
Raw materials (\$4.65q).....	29,230	28,830	400	U
Wages (\$5,600 + \$1.40q)	13,860	14,280	420	F
Utilities (\$1,630 + \$0.20q)	3,270	2,870	400	U
Rent (\$2,600).....	2,600	2,600	0	
Insurance (\$1,350).....	1,350	1,350	0	
Miscellaneous (\$650 + \$0.35q)...	<u>2,590</u>	<u>2,820</u>	<u>230</u>	F
Total expense	<u>52,900</u>	<u>52,750</u>	<u>150</u>	U
Net operating income	<u>\$18,640</u>	<u>\$21,650</u>	<u>\$3,010</u>	U

Exercise 9-16 (30 minutes)

AirQual Test Corporation
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended February 28

		<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>			<i>Activity Variances</i>	<i>Planning Budget</i>
	<i>Actual Results</i>			<i>Flexible Budget</i>		
Jobs (q)	52			52		50
Revenue (\$360.00q)	<u>\$18,950</u>	<u>\$230</u>	F	<u>\$18,720</u>	<u>\$720</u> F	<u>\$18,000</u>
Expenses:						
Technician wages (\$6,400)	6,450	50	U	6,400	0	6,400
Mobile lab operating expenses (\$2,900 + \$35.00q)	4,530	190	F	4,720	70 U	4,650
Office expenses (\$2,600 + \$2.00q)	3,050	346	U	2,704	4 U	2,700
Advertising expenses (\$970)	995	25	U	970	0	970
Insurance (\$1,680)	1,680	0		1,680	0	1,680
Miscellaneous expenses (\$500 + \$3.00q)	465	191	F	656	6 U	650
Total expense	<u>17,170</u>	<u>40</u>	U	<u>17,130</u>	<u>80</u> U	<u>17,050</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 1,780</u>	<u>\$190</u>	F	<u>\$ 1,590</u>	<u>\$640</u> F	<u>\$ 950</u>

Problem 9-17 (45 minutes)

The completed flexible budget performance report is as follows:

Ray Company
Production Department Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended August 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Labor-hours (q)	9,480			9,480			9,000
Direct labor (\$14q)	\$134,730	\$2,010 U		\$132,720	\$6,720 U		\$126,000
Indirect labor (\$7,420 + \$1.50q)	19,860	1,780 F		21,640	720 U		\$20,920
Utilities (\$6,500 + \$0.70q)	14,586	1,450 U		13,136	336 U		12,800
Supplies (\$1,600 + \$0.30q)	4,940	496 U		4,444	144 U		4,300
Equipment depreciation (\$78,400)	78,400	0		78,400	0		78,400
Factory administration (\$18,700 + \$1.90q)	<u>35,572</u>	<u>1,140 F</u>		<u>36,712</u>	<u>912 U</u>		<u>35,800</u>
Total expense	<u>\$288,088</u>	<u>\$1,036 U</u>		<u>\$287,052</u>	<u>\$8,832 U</u>		<u>\$278,220</u>

Supplemental computations are included on the next two pages.

Problem 9-17 (continued)

Direct labor:

Cost formula: $\$132,720 \div 9,480 \text{ labor-hours} = \14

Spending variance: $\$134,730 - \$132,720 = \$2,010 \text{ U}$

Planning budget: $9,000 \text{ labor-hours} \times \$14 \text{ per labor-hour} = \$126,000$

Activity variance: $\$132,720 - \$126,000 = \$6,720 \text{ U}$

Indirect labor:

Fixed portion of cost formula: $\$21,640 - (9,480 \text{ labor-hours} \times \$1.50) = \$7,420$

Actual results: $\$21,640 - \$1,780 = \$19,860$

Planning budget: $\$7,420 + (9,000 \text{ labor-hours} \times \$1.50) = \$20,920$

Activity variance: $\$21,640 - \$20,920 = \$720 \text{ U}$

Utilities:

Variable portion of cost formula: $\$12,800 - \$6,500 = \$6,300$; $\$6,300 \div 9,000 \text{ labor-hours} = \0.70

Flexible budget: $\$6,500 + (9,480 \text{ labor-hours} \times \$0.70) = \$13,136$

Actual results: $\$13,136 + \$1,450 = \$14,586$

Supplies:

Variable portion of cost formula: $\$4,444 - \$4,300 = \$144$; $\$144 \div (9,480 - 9,000) = \0.30

Fixed portion of cost formula: $\$4,300 - (9,000 \text{ labor-hours} \times \$0.30) = \$1,600$

Spending variance: $\$4,940 - \$4,444 = \$496 \text{ U}$

Activity variance: $\$4,444 - \$4,300 = \$144 \text{ U}$

Equipment depreciation:

Planning budget: $\$78,400$

Flexible budget: $\$78,400$

Activity variance: $\$78,400 - \$78,400 = \$0$

Actual results: $\$78,400 - \$0 = \$78,400$

Factory administration:

Planning budget: $\$18,700 + (9,000 \text{ labor-hours} \times \$1.90) = \$35,800$

Flexible budget: $\$18,700 + (9,480 \text{ labor-hours} \times \$1.90) = \$36,712$

Activity variance: $\$36,712 - \$35,800 = \$912 \text{ U}$

Problem 9-17 (continued)

Factory administration:

Actual results: $\$288,088 - (\$134,730 + \$19,860 + \$14,586 + \$4,940 + \$78,400) = \$35,572$

Spending variance: $\$35,572 - \$36,712 = \$1,140$ F

Problem 9-18 (30 minutes)

1. The activity variances are shown below:

FAB Corporation
Activity Variances
For the Month Ended March 31

	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Planning Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>	
Machine-hours (q)	26,000	30,000		
Utilities (\$20,600 + \$0.10q)	\$ 23,200	\$ 23,600	\$ 400	F
Maintenance (\$40,000 + \$1.60q)	81,600	88,000	6,400	F
Supplies (\$0.30q)	7,800	9,000	1,200	F
Indirect labor (\$130,000 + \$0.70q) .	148,200	151,000	2,800	F
Depreciation (\$70,000)	<u>70,000</u>	<u>70,000</u>	<u>0</u>	
Total	<u>\$330,800</u>	<u>\$341,600</u>	<u>\$10,800</u>	F

The activity variances are all favorable because the actual activity was less than the planned activity and therefore all of the variable costs should be lower than planned in the original budget.

Problem 9-18 (continued)

2. The spending variances are computed below:

FAB Corporation
Spending Variances
For the Month Ended March 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>	
Machine-hours (q)	26,000	26,000		
Utilities (\$20,600 + \$0.10q)	\$ 24,200	\$ 23,200	\$1,000	U
Maintenance (\$40,000 + \$1.60q)	78,100	81,600	3,500	F
Supplies (\$0.30q)	8,400	7,800	600	U
Indirect labor (\$130,000 + \$0.70q) .	149,600	148,200	1,400	U
Depreciation (\$70,000)	<u>71,500</u>	<u>70,000</u>	<u>1,500</u>	U
Total	<u>\$331,800</u>	<u>\$330,800</u>	<u>\$1,000</u>	U

An unfavorable spending variance means that the actual cost was greater than what the cost should have been for the actual level of activity. A favorable spending variance means that the actual cost was less than what the cost should have been for the actual level of activity. While this makes intuitive sense, sometimes a favorable variance may not be good. For example, the rather large favorable variance for maintenance might have resulted from performing less maintenance. Since these variances are all fairly large, they should all probably be investigated.

Problem 9-19 (30 minutes)

1.

Milano Pizza
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended November 30

	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>			<i>Activity Variances</i>			<i>Planning Budget</i>
	<i>Actual Results</i>			<i>Flexible Budget</i>			
Pizzas (q ₁)	1,240			1,240			1,200
Deliveries (q ₂)	174			174			180
Revenue (\$13.50q ₁)	<u>\$17,420</u>	<u>\$680</u>	F	<u>\$16,740</u>	<u>\$540</u>	F	<u>\$16,200</u>
Expenses:							
Pizza ingredients (\$3.80q ₁)	4,985	273	U	4,712	152	U	4,560
Kitchen staff (\$5,220)	5,281	61	U	5,220	0		5,220
Utilities (\$630 + \$0.05q ₁)	984	292	U	692	2	U	690
Delivery person (\$3.50q ₂)	609	0		609	21	F	630
Delivery vehicle (\$540 + \$1.50q ₂) .	655	146	F	801	9	F	810
Equipment depreciation (\$275)	275	0		275	0		275
Rent (\$1,830)	1,830	0		1,830	0		1,830
Miscellaneous (\$820 + \$0.15q ₁)	<u>954</u>	<u>52</u>	F	<u>1,006</u>	<u>6</u>	U	<u>1,000</u>
Total expense	<u>15,573</u>	<u>428</u>	U	<u>15,145</u>	<u>130</u>	U	<u>15,015</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 1,847</u>	<u>\$252</u>	F	<u>\$ 1,595</u>	<u>\$410</u>	F	<u>\$ 1,185</u>

Problem 9-19 (continued)

2. Some of the activity variances are favorable and some are unfavorable. This occurs because there are two cost drivers (i.e., measures of activity) and one is up while the other is down. The actual number of pizzas delivered is greater than budgeted, so the activity variance for revenue is favorable, but the activity variances for pizza ingredients, utilities, and miscellaneous are unfavorable. In contrast, the actual number of deliveries is less than budgeted, so the activity variances for the delivery person and the delivery vehicle are favorable.

Problem 9-20 (45 minutes)

1. The variance report should *not* be used to evaluate how well costs were controlled. In July, the planning budget was based on 150 lessons, but the actual results are for 155 lessons—an increase of more than 3% over budget. Consequently, the actual revenues and many of the actual costs *should* have been different from what was budgeted at the beginning of the period. For example, instructor wages, a variable cost, should have increased by more than 3% because of the increase in activity, but the variance report assumes that they should not have increased at all. This results in a spurious unfavorable variance for instructor wages. Direct comparisons of budgeted to actual costs are valid only if the costs are fixed.
2. See the following page.
3. The overall activity variance for net operating income was \$435 F (favorable). That means that as a consequence of the increase in activity from 150 lessons to 155 lessons, the net operating income should have been up \$435 over budget. However, it wasn't. The budgeted net operating income was \$8,030 and the actual net operating income was \$8,080, so the profit was up by only \$50—not \$435 as it should have been. There are many reasons for this—as shown in the revenue and spending variances. Perhaps most importantly, fuel costs were much higher than expected. The spending variance for fuel was \$425 U (unfavorable) and may have been due to an increase in the price of fuel that is beyond the owner/manager's control. Most of the other spending variances were favorable, so with the exception of this item, costs seem to have been adequately controlled. In addition, the unfavorable revenue variance of \$200 indicates that revenue was slightly less than they should have been. This variance is very small relative to the size of the revenue, so it may not justify investigation.

Problem 9-20 (continued)

TipTop Flight School
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended July 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Revenue and Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Lessons (q)	155			155			150
Revenue (\$220q).....	<u>\$33,900</u>	<u>\$200</u> U		<u>\$34,100</u>	<u>\$1,100</u> F		<u>\$33,000</u>
Expenses:							
Instructor wages (\$65q).....	9,870	205 F		10,075	325 U		9,750
Aircraft depreciation (\$38q)	5,890	0		5,890	190 U		5,700
Fuel (\$15q).....	2,750	425 U		2,325	75 U		2,250
Maintenance (\$530 + \$12q)	2,450	60 U		2,390	60 U		2,330
Ground facility expenses (\$1,250 + \$2q)	1,540	20 F		1,560	10 U		1,550
Administration (\$3,240 + \$1q).....	<u>3,320</u>	<u>75</u> F		<u>3,395</u>	<u>5</u> U		<u>3,390</u>
Total expense.....	<u>25,820</u>	<u>185</u> U		<u>25,635</u>	<u>665</u> U		<u>24,970</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 8,080</u>	<u>\$385</u> U		<u>\$ 8,465</u>	<u>\$ 435</u> F		<u>\$ 8,030</u>

Problem 9-21 (30 minutes)

- Performance should be evaluated using a flexible budget performance report. In this case, the report will not include revenues.

St. Lucia Blood Bank
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended September 30

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Liters of blood collected (q)	620			620			500
Medical supplies (\$15.00q)	\$ 9,250	\$ 50 F		\$ 9,300	\$1,800 U		\$ 7,500
Lab tests (\$12.00q)	6,180	1,260 F		7,440	1,440 U		6,000
Equipment depreciation (\$2,500)	2,800	300 U		2,500	0		2,500
Rent (\$1,000)	1,000	0		1,000	0		1,000
Utilities (\$500)	570	70 U		500	0		500
Administration (\$10,000 + \$2.50q)	<u>11,740</u>	<u>190 U</u>		<u>11,550</u>	<u>300 U</u>		<u>11,250</u>
Total expense	<u>\$31,540</u>	<u>\$ 750 F</u>		<u>\$32,290</u>	<u>\$3,540 U</u>		<u>\$28,750</u>

- The overall unfavorable activity variance of \$3,540 was caused by the 24% increase [= (620 – 500) ÷ 500] in activity. There is no reason to investigate this particular variance. The overall spending variance is \$750 F, which would seem to indicate that costs were well-controlled. However, the favorable \$1,260 spending variance for lab tests is curious. The fact that this variance is favorable indicates that less was spent on lab tests than should have been spent according to the cost formula. Why? Did the blood bank get a substantial discount on the lab tests? Did the blood bank fail to perform required lab tests? If so, was this wise? In addition, the unfavorable spending variance of \$300 for equipment depreciation requires some explanation. Was more equipment obtained to collect the additional blood?

Problem 9-22 (45 minutes)

1. The cost reports are of little use for assessing how well costs were controlled. The problem is that the company is comparing budgeted costs at one level of activity to actual costs at another level of activity. Costs that are variable will naturally be different at these two different levels of activity. Although the cost reports do a good job of showing whether fixed costs were controlled, they do not do a good job of showing whether variable costs were controlled. Since sales have chronically failed to meet budget, the level of activity in the factory is also likely to have chronically been below budget. Consequently, the variances for variable costs have likely been favorable simply because activity has been less than budgeted in the production departments. No wonder the production supervisors have been pleased with the reports.
2. The company should use a flexible budget approach to evaluate cost control. Under the flexible budget approach, the actual costs incurred in working 35,000 machine-hours are compared to what the costs should have been for that level of activity.
3. See the following page.
4. The flexible budget performance report provides a much clearer picture of the performance of the Assembly Department than the original cost control report prepared by the company. The overall activity variance is \$13,500 F (favorable) which simply reflects the fact that the actual level of activity was significantly less than the budgeted level of activity. The variable costs would naturally be less than budgeted.

The spending variances indicate that costs were *not* controlled by the Assembly Department. All three of the variable costs have large unfavorable spending variances and those variances are significantly larger than the one favorable spending variance on the report.

Problem 9-22 (continued)

3.

Westmont Corporation
Assembly Department
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended March 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Machine-hours (q).....	35,000			35,000			40,000
Supplies (\$0.80q)*	\$ 29,700	\$ 1,700 U		\$ 28,000	\$4,000 F		\$ 32,000
Scrap (\$0.50q)*	19,500	2,000 U		17,500	2,500 F		20,000
Indirect materials (\$1.40q)*	51,800	2,800 U		49,000	7,000 F		56,000
Wages and salaries (\$80,000).....	79,200	800 F		80,000	0		80,000
Equipment depreciation (\$60,000) ...	<u>60,000</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>60,000</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>60,000</u>
Total	<u>\$240,200</u>	<u>\$ 5,700 U</u>		<u>\$234,500</u>	<u>\$13,500 F</u>		<u>\$248,000</u>

*The variable cost per machine-hour is obtained by dividing the total variable cost from the planning budget by 40,000 machine-hours.

Problem 9-23 (45 minutes)

1. The cost control report compares the planning budget, which was prepared for 35,000 machine-hours, to actual results for 38,000 machine-hours. This is like comparing apples to oranges. Costs that are variable or mixed *should* be higher when the activity level is 38,000 rather than 35,000 machine-hours. Direct comparisons of budgeted to actual costs are valid only if the costs are fixed. The cost control report prepared by the company should *not* be used to evaluate how well costs were controlled.

Problem 9-23 (continued)

2. A report that would be helpful in assessing how well costs were controlled appears below:

Freemont Corporation—Machining Department
Flexible Budget Performance Report
For the Month Ended June 30

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>		<i>Planning Budget</i>
Machine-hours (q).....	38,000			38,000			35,000
Direct labor wages* (\$2.30q).....	\$ 86,100	\$ 1,300 F		\$ 87,400	\$6,900 U		\$ 80,500
Supplies* (\$0.60q).....	23,100	300 U		22,800	1,800 U		21,000
Maintenance** (\$92,000 + \$1.20q).....	137,300	300 F		137,600	3,600 U		134,000
Utilities** (\$11,700 + \$0.10q).....	15,700	200 U		15,500	300 U		15,200
Supervision (\$38,000)	38,000	0		38,000	0		38,000
Depreciation (\$80,000).....	80,000	0		80,000	0		80,000
Total	<u>\$380,200</u>	<u>\$ 1,100 F</u>		<u>\$381,300</u>	<u>\$12,600 U</u>		<u>\$368,700</u>

* The variable cost per machine-hour is obtained by dividing the total variable cost from the planning budget by 35,000 machine-hours.

** The variable cost per machine-hour is obtained by subtracting the fixed cost (given) from the planning budget and then dividing the result by 35,000 machine-hours.

Note that in this new report the overall spending variance is favorable—indicating that costs were most likely under control.

Case 9-24 (30 minutes)

It is difficult to imagine how Tom Kemper could ethically agree to go along with reporting the favorable \$21,000 variance for industrial engineering on the final report, even if the bill were not actually received by the end of the year. It would be misleading to exclude part of the final cost of the contract. Collaborating in this attempt to mislead corporate headquarters violates the credibility standard in the Statement of Ethical Professional Practice promulgated by the Institute of Management Accountants. The credibility standard requires that management accountants “disclose all relevant information that could reasonably be expected to influence an intended user's understanding of the reports, analyses, or recommendations.” Failing to disclose the entire amount owed on the industrial engineering contract violates this standard.

Individuals will differ in how they think Kemper should handle this situation. In our opinion, he should firmly state that he is willing to call Laura, but even if the bill does not arrive, he is ethically bound to properly accrue the expenses on the report—which will mean an unfavorable variance for industrial engineering and an overall unfavorable variance. This would require a great deal of personal courage. If the general manager insists on keeping the misleading \$21,000 favorable variance on the report, Kemper would have little choice except to take the dispute to the next higher managerial level in the company.

It is important to note that the problem may be a consequence of inappropriate use of performance reports by corporate headquarters. If the performance report is being used as a way of “beating up” managers, corporate headquarters may be creating a climate in which managers such as the general manager at the Wichita plant will feel like they must always turn in positive reports. This creates pressure to bend the truth since reality isn't always positive.

Case 9-25 (45 minutes)

1. The flexible budget and spending variances would be computed as follows:

Boyne University Motor Pool
Spending Variances
For the Month Ended March 31

	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>	
Miles (q_1)	63,000	63,000		
Autos (q_2)	21	21		
Gasoline ($\$0.15q_1$)	\$ 9,350	\$ 9,450	\$100	F
Oil, minor repairs, parts ($\$0.04q_1$).....	2,360	2,520	160	F
Outside repairs ($\$75q_2$)	1,420	1,575	155	F
Insurance ($\$100q_2$)	2,120	2,100	20	U
Salaries and benefits ($\$7,540$)	7,540	7,540	0	
Vehicle depreciation ($\$250q_2$)	<u>5,250</u>	<u>5,250</u>	<u>0</u>	
Total	<u>\$28,040</u>	<u>\$28,435</u>	<u>\$395</u>	F

2. The original report is based on a static budget approach that does not allow for variations in the number of miles driven from month to month, or for variations in the number of automobiles used. As a result, the "monthly budget" figures are unrealistic benchmarks. For example, actual variable costs such as gasoline can't be compared to the "budgeted" cost, because the monthly planning budget is based on only 50,000 miles rather than the 63,000 miles actually driven during the month.

The performance report in part (1) above is more realistic because the flexible budget benchmark is based on the actual miles driven and on the actual number of automobiles used during the month.

Case 9-26 (75 minutes)

1. The cost formulas for The Little Theatre appear below, where q_1 is the number of productions and q_2 is the number of performances:
 - Actors' and directors' wages: $\$2,000q_2$. Variable with respect to the number of performances. $\$2,000 = \$216,000 \div 108$.
 - Stagehands' wages: $\$300q_2$. Variable with respect to the number of performances. $\$300 = \$32,400 \div 108$.
 - Ticket booth personnel and ushers' wages: $\$150q_2$. Variable with respect to the number of performances. $\$150 = \$16,200 \div 108$.
 - Scenery, costumes, and props: $\$18,000q_1$. Variable with respect to the number of productions. $\$18,000 = \$108,000 \div 6$.
 - Theater hall rent: $\$500q_2$. Variable with respect to the number of performances. $\$500 = \$54,000 \div 108$.
 - Printed programs: $\$250q_2$. Variable with respect to the number of performances. $\$250 = \$27,000 \div 108$.
 - Publicity: $\$2,000q_1$. Variable with respect to the number of productions. $\$2,000 = \$12,000 \div 6$.
 - Administrative expenses: $\$32,400 + \$1,080q_1 + \$40q_2$.
 - $\$32,400 = 0.75 \times \$43,200$
 - $\$1,080 = (0.15 \times \$43,200) \div 6$
 - $\$40 = (0.10 \times \$43,200) \div 108$

Case 9-26 (continued)

The flexible budget performance report follows:

<p style="text-align: center;">The Little Theatre Flexible Budget Performance Report For the Year Ended December 31</p>						
	<i>Actual Results</i>	<i>Spending Variances</i>		<i>Flexible Budget</i>	<i>Activity Variances</i>	<i>Planning Budget</i>
Number of productions (q ₁)	7			7		6
Number of performances (q ₂)	168			168		108
Actors' and directors' wages (\$2,000q ₂)	\$341,800	\$5,800 U		\$336,000	\$120,000 U	\$216,000
Stagehands' wages (\$300q ₂)	49,700	700 F		50,400	18,000 U	32,400
Ticket booth personnel and ushers' wages (\$150q ₂)	25,900	700 U		25,200	9,000 U	16,200
Scenery, costumes, and props (\$18,000q ₁)	130,600	4,600 U		126,000	18,000 U	108,000
Theater hall rent (\$500q ₂)	78,000	6,000 F		84,000	30,000 U	54,000
Printed programs (\$250q ₂)	38,300	3,700 F		42,000	15,000 U	27,000
Publicity (\$2,000q ₁)	15,100	1,100 U		14,000	2,000 U	12,000
Administrative expenses (\$32,400 + \$1,080q ₁ + \$40q ₂) .	47,500	820 U		46,680	3,480 U	43,200
Total expense	<u>\$726,900</u>	<u>\$2,620 U</u>		<u>\$724,280</u>	<u>\$215,480 U</u>	<u>\$508,800</u>

Case 9-26 (continued)

2. The overall unfavorable spending variance of \$2,620 is a very small percentage of the total cost, less than 0.4% ($= \$2,620 \div \$724,280$). This suggests that costs are under control. In addition, the pattern of the variances may reflect good management. The largest unfavorable spending variances are for value-added activities (actors' and directors' wages and scenery, costumes, and props) that may warrant additional spending. These unfavorable variances are offset by favorable variances for theater hall rent and the printed programs. Assuming that the quality of the printed programs has not noticeably declined and that the favorable variance for the rent reflects a lower negotiated rental fee, management should be congratulated. They have saved in some areas and have apparently transferred the funds to other areas that may favorably impact the quality of the theater's productions.
3. Average costs may not be very good indicators of the additional costs of any particular production or performance. The averages gloss over considerable variations in costs. For example, a production of Peter Rabbit may require only half a dozen actors and actresses and fairly simple costumes and props. On the other hand, a production of Cinderella may require dozens of actors and actresses and very elaborate and costly costumes and props. Consequently, both the production costs and the cost per performance will be much higher for Cinderella than for Peter Rabbit. Managers of theater companies know that they must estimate the costs of each new production individually—the average costs are of little use for this purpose.