

**The LNM Institute of Information Technology**  
**Jaipur, Rajasthan**

**MATH-II ■ Solutions Mid Sem-I**

Q1. (i) Curves of electric force are the orthogonal trajectories to the equipotential lines. Differentiating  $x^2 + y^2 = c$ , we get  $y' = \frac{-x}{y}$ . [02] marks

Replace  $y'$  by  $-\frac{1}{y'}$  to get curves of electric force as  $y = cx$ . [02] marks

(ii) Given that  $\frac{1}{Ny-Mx} \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \right) = g(z) = g(xy)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be an integrating factor of  $M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0$ . Then the equation  $\mu M(x, y)dx + \mu N(x, y)dy = 0$  is exact. Using the condition of exactness we get

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \left( N \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} - M \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}. \quad [02] \text{ marks}$$

If  $\mu$  is a function of  $z = xy$  then this reduces to

$$\frac{\mu'}{\mu} = \frac{1}{Ny - Mx} \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \right) = g(xy) = g(z).$$

Solving this we get  $\mu = e^{\int g(z) dz}$ . [03] marks

Q2. (i) Since  $F(x, y)$  is given to be continuously differentiable therefore  $F$  and  $F'$  are continuous and so  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$  exists and is continuous. [02] marks

Now since  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$  is continuous therefore we can always find a rectangle containing a neighbourhood of the origin in which  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$  is bounded and so by uniqueness theorem the IVP has at most one solution. [03] marks

(ii) Euler's method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(x_n, y_n), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  [01] mark

Here  $f(x, y) = x + y, x_0 = 0, y_0 = y(0) = 1$ . Therefore we get

$$y_1 = 1 + h$$

$$y_2 = 1 + 2h + 2h^2$$

$$y_3 = 1 + 3h + 6h^2 + 2h^3 \quad \text{etc.} \quad [01] \text{ mark}$$

Now if  $h = 10^{-10}$  then  $y_1 = 1 + 10^{-10} = 1.0000000001 = 1$  on a single precision computer. This will in turn imply  $y_n = 1(\text{constant})$  for all  $n \geq 1$  on an ordinary precision computer. But, the solution to the problem is not constant. [02] marks

Q3. (i) On the contrary, suppose there is no zero of  $y_2$  between two consecutive zeros of  $y_1$  at  $x = a, b$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $y_2(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $y_1'(a) > 0, y_1'(b) < 0$ . Then  $W(y_1, y_2) < 0$  at  $x = a$  and  $W(y_1, y_2) > 0$  at  $x = b$ . This implies  $W(y_1, y_2) = 0$  at some point between  $x = a$  and  $x = b$ . This contradicts that  $y_1, y_2$  are fundamental solutions. [03] marks

To show the uniqueness, let there exist two zeros between  $x = a$  and  $x = b$ . Using same argument (reversing the role of  $y_1, y_2$ ), we conclude that  $y_1$  has a zero between zeros of  $y_2$  and hence in  $(a, b)$ , which is a contradiction. [02] marks

To prove the remaining part consider the Wronskian  $W(y_1, y_2) = ad - bc$ , where  $y_1 = a \sin x + b \cos x, y_2 = c \sin x + d \cos x$ . Then  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly independent provided  $ad \neq bc$ . The result now follows from the above proof. [03] marks

(ii) Let  $u_1(x)$  and  $u_2(x)$  be continuously differentiable functions (to be determined) such that

$$y_p = u_1 y_1 + u_2 y_2, x \in I \quad (1)$$

is a particular solution of  $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = r(x)$ . Differentiating (1) we obtain

$$y_p = u_1 y_1' + u_2 y_2' + u_1' y_1 + u_2' y_2. \quad (2)$$

We choose  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  so that

$$u_1' y_1 + u_2' y_2 = 0. \quad (3)$$

Substituting (3) in (2), we have

$$y_p = u_1 y_1' + u_2 y_2'. \quad (4)$$

Now

$$y_p'' = u_1 y_1'' + u_2 y_2'' + u_1' y_1' + u_2' y_2'. \quad (5)$$

Since  $y_p$  is a particular solution of  $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = r(x)$ , substitution of (1), (4) and (5) in  $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = r(x)$ , gives us

$$u_1(y_1'' + p(x)y_1' + q(x)y_1) + u_2(y_2'' + p(x)y_2' + q(x)y_2) + u_1' y_1' + u_2' y_2' = r(x). \quad (6)$$

As  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are solutions of the homogeneous equation (A), we obtain the condition

$$u_1' y_1' + u_2' y_2' = r(x). \quad (7)$$

Solving (3) and (7) for  $u_1'$  and  $u_2'$ , we get

$$u_1' = -\frac{y_2 r(x)}{W(y_1, y_2)} \quad u_2' = \frac{y_1 r(x)}{W(y_1, y_2)}$$

where the Wronskian,  $W \neq 0$  for any  $x \in I$ . Integrating this we get

$$u_1 = -\int \frac{y_2 r(x)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dx \quad u_2 = \int \frac{y_1 r(x)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dx$$

and hence

$$y_p = -y_1 \int \frac{y_2 r(x)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dx + y_2 \int \frac{y_1 r(x)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dx. \quad [06] \text{ marks}$$

Q4. (i) Given that  $x = e^t$ . Therefore, we have  $\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{1}{e^t} = \frac{1}{x}$ . Now

$$x \frac{dy}{dx} = x \frac{dy}{dt} \frac{dt}{dx} = x D(y(t)) \frac{1}{x} = D y(t) \quad [02] \text{ marks}$$

(ii) For  $n = 1$  the result follows from part (i). Let us assume that the result is true for  $n = k$  i.e.

$$x^k d^k y = (D(D-1) \cdots (D-k+1))y(t) \quad (8)$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} d^{k+1} y &= x^{k+1} \frac{d^{k+1} y}{dx^{k+1}} = x^{k+1} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{d^k y}{dx^k} \right) = x^{k+1} \frac{d}{dx} (d^k y) \quad [02] \text{ marks} \\ &= x^{k+1} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{x^k} D(D-1) \cdots (D-k+1) y(t) \right) \quad (\text{using (8)}) \quad [01] \text{ mark} \\ &= -k \left\{ D(D-1) \cdots (D-k+1) y(t) \right\} + D \left\{ D(D-1) \cdots (D-k+1) y(t) \right\} \\ &= (D-k) \left\{ D(D-1) \cdots (D-k+1) y(t) \right\} \\ &= D(D-1) \cdots (D-k+1) (D-k) y(t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the result is true for  $n = k+1$ . Hence by mathematical induction the result is true for any  $n \geq 1$ . [03] marks