

MA1522 Linear Algebra for Computing

Lecture 11: Diagonalization

Yang Yue

Department of Mathematics
National University of Singapore

3 April, 2025

Outline

Exercises and Questions posed in Dr.Teo's Lectures

Challenges posed in Dr.Teo's Lectures

Extra Questions on Section 6.3

Question one in Section 6.2

Suppose \mathbf{A} is a square matrix of order n with **distinct eigenvalues** $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$, and **algebraic multiplicities** r_1, \dots, r_p , respectively. What can you conclude about the sum

$$r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_p?$$

Hint: Suppose \mathbf{A} is a square matrix of order n such that the characteristic polynomial splits into linear factors

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \lambda_1)^{r_1}(x - \lambda_2)^{r_2} \cdots (x - \lambda_p)^{r_p}.$$

What can you conclude about the sum

$$r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_p?$$

Slide 13: Algebraic Multiplicity

Let λ be an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} . The algebraic multiplicity of λ is the **largest** integer r_λ such that

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \lambda)^{r_\lambda} p(x),$$

for some polynomial $p(x)$. Alternatively, r_λ is the **positive** integer such that in the above equation, λ is **not a root** of $p(x)$.

Suppose \mathbf{A} is an order n square matrix such that $\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})$ can be **factorize** into **linear factors completely**. Then we can write

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \lambda_1)^{r_1} (x - \lambda_2)^{r_2} \cdots (x - \lambda_k)^{r_k}$$

where $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_k = n$, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the **distinct** eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} . Then the **algebraic multiplicity** of λ_i is r_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Answer to Question one in Section 6.2

Q: Suppose \mathbf{A} is a square matrix of order n with **distinct eigenvalues** $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$, and **algebraic multiplicities** r_1, \dots, r_p , respectively. What can you conclude about the sum

$$r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_p?$$

Answer: We have seen that when the characteristic polynomial splits into linear factors

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \lambda_1)^{r_1} (x - \lambda_2)^{r_2} \dots (x - \lambda_p)^{r_p},$$

we have that

$$n = \text{the degree of } \det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_p.$$

In general,

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \lambda_1)^{r_1} (x - \lambda_2)^{r_2} \dots (x - \lambda_p)^{r_p} q_1(x) \dots q_k(x),$$

where $q_i(x)$ are quadratic polynomials, we have that

$$r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_p \leq n.$$

Question two in Section 6.2

Is it possible for the geometric multiplicity to be 0, $\dim(E_\lambda) = 0$?

Slide 17: Eigenspace

Recall that **eigenvectors** of \mathbf{A} associated to eigenvalue λ are **nontrivial** solution to

$$(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since the system is **homogeneous**, the set of all solutions is a subspace. We will call it the **eigenspace** of \mathbf{A} associated to eigenvalue λ .

Definition

Let \mathbf{A} be an order n **square** matrix. The **eigenspace** associated to an eigenvalue λ of \mathbf{A} is

$$E_\lambda = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \} = \text{Null}(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}).$$

The **geometric multiplicity** of an eigenvalue λ is the **dimension** of its eigenspace,

$$\dim(E_\lambda) = \text{nullity}(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}).$$

Answer to Question two in Section 6.2

Q: Is it possible for the geometric multiplicity to be 0, $\dim(E_\lambda) = 0$?

Answer: No. If λ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} , there is some $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Hence $\mathbf{v} \in E_\lambda$, thus $\dim(E_\lambda) \neq 0$.

Slide 32: Bounds for Geometric Multiplicity

The **geometric multiplicity** is bounded above by the **algebraic multiplicity**. The proof can be found in the appendix.

Theorem (Geometric Multiplicity is no greater than Algebraic multiplicity)

*The **geometric multiplicity** of an **eigenvalue** λ of a square matrix \mathbf{A} is **no greater** than the **algebraic multiplicity**, that is,*

$$1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq r_\lambda.$$

See the lower bound.

Exercise in Section 6.2

Suppose \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix with $n > 1$. Show that if \mathbf{A} has only 1 eigenvalue λ , then \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable if and only if \mathbf{A} is the scalar matrix, $\mathbf{A} = \lambda \mathbf{I}_n$.

Hence, all non-scalar matrices with only 1 eigenvalue are not diagonalizable.

Slide 21: Diagonalization

Definition

A square matrix \mathbf{A} of order n is diagonalizable if there exists an invertible matrix \mathbf{P} such that

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{D}$$

is a diagonal matrix, OR

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}.$$

Slides 24 and 25: Diagonalization

Theorem (Diagonalizability)

An $n \times n$ **square** matrix **A** is **diagonalizable** if and only if **A** has n linearly independent **eigenvectors**.

That is, **A** is **diagonalizable** if and only if we can find

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{u}_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where μ_i is the **eigenvalue** associated to **eigenvector** \mathbf{u}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$,
 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_i = \mu_i\mathbf{u}_i$.

P is **invertible** if and only if $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ is a **basis** for \mathbb{R}^n .

Note that μ_i may not be distinct.

Slide 34: Equivalent Statements for Diagonalizability

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a square matrix of order n . The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) \mathbf{A} is *diagonalizable*.
- (ii) There exists a *basis* $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n of *eigenvectors* of \mathbf{A} .
- (iii) The *characteristic polynomial* of \mathbf{A} *splits* into *linear factors*,

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \lambda_1)^{r_{\lambda_1}}(x - \lambda_2)^{r_{\lambda_2}} \cdots (x - \lambda_k)^{r_{\lambda_k}},$$

where r_{λ_i} is the *algebraic multiplicity* of λ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the *eigenvalues* are *distinct*, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for all $i \neq j$, and the *geometric multiplicity* is equal to the *algebraic multiplicity* for each eigenvalue λ_i ,

$$\dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = r_{\lambda_i}.$$

Answer to Exercise in Section 6.2

Suppose \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix with $n > 1$. Show that if \mathbf{A} has only 1 eigenvalue λ , then \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable if and only if \mathbf{A} is the scalar matrix, $\mathbf{A} = \lambda \mathbf{I}_n$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable, there are invertible matrix \mathbf{P} and diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$. Since \mathbf{A} only has one eigenvalue λ , $\mathbf{D} = \lambda \mathbf{I}$. Thus $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\lambda \mathbf{I}\mathbf{P}^{-1} = \lambda \mathbf{I}$, i.e., it is a scalar matrix.

(\Leftarrow) If \mathbf{A} is a scalar matrix, then itself is a diagonal matrix, we can take $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$.

Question Three in Section 6.2

Suppose \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable. Which of the following statement(s) is/are true?

- (i) If the diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} is fixed, then the invertible matrix \mathbf{P} is fixed.
- (ii) If the invertible matrix \mathbf{P} is fixed, then the diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} is fixed.

Recall that the j -th column vector in \mathbf{P} are the associated eigenvector of λ_j which is the (j, j) -entry of \mathbf{D} .

Thus, (ii) is true, because each eigenvector can only be associated with a unique eigenvalue.

Answer to Question Three in Section 6.2, part (i)

Suppose \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable. Which of the following statement(s) is/are true?

- (i) If the diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} is fixed, then the invertible matrix \mathbf{P} is fixed.

This is false. For example, if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I}$, then \mathbf{P} can be any invertible matrix.

Challenge in Section 6.4

Theorem

Let \mathbf{P} be an $n \times n$ *stochastic matrix* and

$$\mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}_0, \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}_{k-1}$$

be a Markov chain for some probability vector \mathbf{x}_0 . If the Markov chain *converges*, it will converge to an *equilibrium vector*.

Exercise. Hint:

- (i) Show that 1 is always an eigenvalue of a stochastic matrix.
- (ii) Show that if \mathbf{v} is a probability vector and \mathbf{P} a stochastic matrix, then $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{v}$ is also a probability vector.
- (iii) Show that if the Markov chain do converge, then the state vectors will converge to an equilibrium vector.

Slide 62: Markov Chain

Definition

- (i) A vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_n$ with **nonnegative** coordinates that add up to 1, $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1$, is called a probability vector.
- (ii) A stochastic matrix is a square matrix whose columns are probability vectors.
- (iii) A Markov chain is a sequence of **probability vectors** $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots$, together with a **stochastic matrix** \mathbf{P} such that

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}_1, \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \dots$$

- (iv) An equilibrium vector for a stochastic matrix \mathbf{P} is a **probability vector** \mathbf{v} with $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$.

Observe that $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{P}^k \mathbf{x}_0$.

Answer to the Challenge in Section 6.4, part 1

(i) Show that 1 is always an eigenvalue of a stochastic matrix.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ stochastic matrix. Consider its characteristic polynomial

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = \begin{vmatrix} x - p_{11} & -p_{12} & \dots & -p_{1n} \\ -p_{21} & x - p_{22} & \dots & -p_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -p_{n1} & -p_{n2} & \dots & x - p_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Performing row operations $R_1 + R_2, R_1 + R_3, \dots, R_1 + R_n$, we get

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = \begin{vmatrix} x - \sum_{i=1}^n p_{i1} & x - \sum_{i=1}^n p_{i2} & \dots & x - \sum_{i=1}^n p_{in} \\ -p_{21} & x - p_{22} & \dots & -p_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -p_{n1} & -p_{n2} & \dots & x - p_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Since \mathbf{P} is a stochastic matrix, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} = 1$ for each j . We can take out a common factor $(x - 1)$. Thus 1 is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{P} .

Answer to the Challenge in Section 6.4, part 2

- (ii) Show that if \mathbf{v} is a probability vector and \mathbf{P} a stochastic matrix, then $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{v}$ is also a probability vector.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{v} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_{1j}v_j, \sum_{j=1}^n p_{2j}v_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n p_{nj}v_j \right)^T.$$

Adding all the entries, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}v_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij}v_j = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j = 1,$$

where the third $=$ comes from \mathbf{P} is stochastic, and the last comes from \mathbf{v} is a probability vector.

Answer to the Challenge in Section 6.4, part 3

- (iii) Show that if the Markov chain does converge, then the state vectors will converge to an equilibrium vector.

Proof. Let the Markov chain be $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{P}^k \mathbf{x}_0$ for some stochastic matrix \mathbf{P} and probability vector \mathbf{x}_0 .

By (ii), each \mathbf{x}_k is also a probability vector, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ki} = 1$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Let's assume $\lim_k \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_k x_{ki} = \lim_k \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ki} = 1.$$

Finally, let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}_k$, we get $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{a}$. In other words, \mathbf{a} is an equilibrium vector.

Slides 48 and 50: Orthogonally Diagonalizable

Definition

An order n square matrix \mathbf{A} is orthogonally diagonalizable if

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^T$$

for some orthogonal matrix \mathbf{P} and diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} .

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a square matrix of order n . The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) \mathbf{A} is orthogonally diagonalizable.
- (ii) There exists an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n of eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} .
- (iii) \mathbf{A} is a symmetric matrix.

Slide 56: Algorithm to Orthogonal Diagonalization

Let \mathbf{A} be an order n symmetric matrix. Since \mathbf{A} is symmetric, it is orthogonally diagonalizable.

1. Compute the characteristic polynomial

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (x - \lambda_1)^{r_{\lambda_1}} (x - \lambda_2)^{r_{\lambda_2}} \cdots (x - \lambda_k)^{r_{\lambda_k}}.$$

2. For each eigenvalue λ_i of \mathbf{A} , $i = 1, \dots, k$, find a basis S_{λ_i} for the eigenspace, that is, find a basis S_{λ_i} for the solution space of the following linear system,

$$(\lambda_i \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

3. Apply Gram-Schmidt process to each basis S_{λ_i} of the eigenspace E_{λ_i} to obtain an orthonormal basis T_{λ_i} . Let $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{\lambda_i}$. Then $T = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n .
4. Let $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{u}_1 \quad \mathbf{u}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{u}_n)$, and $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$, where μ_i is the eigenvalue associated to \mathbf{u}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_i = \mu_i\mathbf{u}_i$. Then \mathbf{P} is an orthogonal matrix, and

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^T.$$

Question about Section 6.3

Which of the follow matrices are orthogonally diagonalizable?

- (a) \mathbf{AB} , for orthogonally diagonalizable matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} of the same size.
- (b) $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$, for orthogonally diagonalizable matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} of the same size.
- (c) An orthogonal matrix \mathbf{A} .
- (d) $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T$, where \mathbf{A} is any square matrix.
- (e) $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}$ for any matrix \mathbf{A} .

Answer to Question about Section 6.3, part (a)–(c)

By Theorem on Slide 50, we only need to answer which matrices are symmetric.

- (a) **AB**, for orthogonally diagonalizable matrices **A** and **B** of the same size.

Answer: **AB** may not be symmetric, for example, try

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) **A + B**, for orthogonally diagonalizable matrices **A** and **B** of the same size.

Answer: Sum of symmetric matrices is symmetric.

- (c) An orthogonal matrix **A**.

Answer: Orthogonal matrices may not be symmetric. For

example, $\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

Answer to Question about Section 6.3, part (d) and (e)

- (d) $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T$, where \mathbf{A} is any square matrix.

Answer: It is symmetric.

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T)^T = \mathbf{A}^T + (\mathbf{A}^T)^T = \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T.$$

- (e) $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ for any matrix \mathbf{A} .

Answer: For any matrix \mathbf{A} , $(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^T = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^T = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$.

This is related to the singular value decomposition of \mathbf{A} .

Example

Let $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Find its orthogonal diagonalization.

We follow the algorithm on Slide 56.

First, compute its characteristic polynomial:

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = \begin{vmatrix} x-3 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & x-3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x-2 \end{vmatrix} = (x-2)^2(x-4).$$

Thus \mathbf{A} has two eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 2$ and $\lambda_2 = 4$.

Example (conti.)

For $\lambda_1 = 2$, the eigenspace

$$E_2 = \left\{ s \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : s, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The basis is already orthogonal, we only need to normalize them and get

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right)^T, \mathbf{p}_2 = (0, 0, 1)^T.$$

Example (conti.)

For $\lambda_2 = 4$, the eigenspace

$$E_4 = \{(t, t, 0)^T : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

We get $\mathbf{p}_3 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)^T$. Thus

Let

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{PDP}^{-1}$, where

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}^T = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$