

## Assignment 2: 2024/25 Semester 2

In this assignment, we will be using the following relation as well as set of functional dependencies.

$$R = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$$

$$\Sigma = \{ \{A, B\} \rightarrow \{B, E\}, \{B, D\} \rightarrow \{B\}, \{B, F\} \rightarrow \{A, C\}, \{A, E\} \rightarrow \{C, F\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{A, C\}, \\ \{E\} \rightarrow \{D, F\}, \{D, E, F\} \rightarrow \{D, F\} \}$$

**Notes:** As usual, we will compute the candidate keys and one minimal cover. We assume you know how to do this.

- **Keys:**  $\{ \{A, B\}, \{B, D\}, \{B, E\}, \{B, F\} \}$
- **Min Cover:**  $\{ \{A, B\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{B, F\} \rightarrow \{A\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{A\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{C\}, \{E\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{E\} \rightarrow \{F\} \}$

Question 1 and 2 are intended to show you that we can first preprocess  $\Sigma$  to not include *irrelevant* data. This can be done by removing *trivial* functional dependencies as well as splitting *non-trivial* functional dependencies (*Q1*) so that the resulting functional dependencies is completely non-trivial. If you prefer, you can refer to this process as *non-trivializing* the functional dependencies.

**Q1-Q3** Non-Trivial ONLY and Completely Non-Trivial.

### Trivial

- $\{B, D\} \rightarrow \{B\}$
- $\{D, E, F\} \rightarrow \{D, F\}$

### Non-Trivial ONLY

- $\{A, B\} \rightarrow \{B, E\}$
- This can be split into:
  - $\{A, B\} \rightarrow \{B\}$  (*trivial*)
  - $\{A, B\} \rightarrow \{E\}$

### Completely Non-Trivial

- $\{A, E\} \rightarrow \{C, F\}$
- $\{B, F\} \rightarrow \{A, C\}$
- $\{D\} \rightarrow \{A, C\}$
- $\{E\} \rightarrow \{D, F\}$

**Q4** Attribute Closure.

$$\{C, E\}^+ = \{A, C, D, E, F\}$$

**Q5-Q7** Superkeys, Keys, and Prime Attributes.

**Keys:** From here, the superset of these are *superkeys*. The union of these are the *prime attributes*.

$$\{A, B\} \quad , \quad \{B, D\} \quad , \quad \{B, E\} \quad , \quad \{B, F\}$$

**Q8** Lossless-Join Decomposition.

The idea is to find the intersection of the union, then find the closure. If the closure is a superset of one of the set, then the decomposition is lossless. This gives us the following lossless-join decomposition.

$$\{\{B, C, D, E\}, \{A, D, E, F\}\} \quad , \quad \{\{C, D, E, F\}, \{A, B, E, F\}\} \quad , \quad \{\{A, B, E, F\}, \{A, B, C, D\}\}$$

We will illustrate with the first example.

- Common attributes in  $\{\{B, C, D, E\}, \{A, D, E, F\}\}$  are  $\{D, E\}$ .
- $\{D, E\}^+ = \{A, C, D, E, F\}$ .
- $\{A, C, D, E, F\} \supseteq \{A, D, E, F\}$

**Q9** BCNF Violation.

As you have learnt about 3NF, we also put a comparison with 3NF.

**BCNF Check:** Fail if any  $X \rightarrow \{A\}$  pass all check

1.  $A \notin X$
2.  $X^+ \neq R$

**3NF Check:** Fail if any  $X \rightarrow \{A\}$  pass all check

1.  $A \notin X$
2.  $X^+ \neq R$
3.  $A$  is not prime attribute.

Using the check, we get the following answer.

- |                                |                                |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| – $\{A, E\} \rightarrow \{C\}$ | – $\{A, E\} \rightarrow \{C\}$ |
| – $\{A, E\} \rightarrow \{F\}$ | <i>F is prime attribute</i>    |
| – $\{D\} \rightarrow \{A\}$    | <i>A is prime attribute</i>    |
| – $\{D\} \rightarrow \{C\}$    | – $\{D\} \rightarrow \{C\}$    |
| – $\{E\} \rightarrow \{D\}$    | <i>D is prime attribute</i>    |
| – $\{E\} \rightarrow \{F\}$    | <i>F is prime attribute</i>    |

**Q10** BCNF Decomposition.

One possible step.

- Consider  $\{D\} \rightarrow \{A\}$ . It is a violation w.r.t.  $R$  and  $\Sigma$  (*step omitted*).

Compute  $D^+ = A, C, D$ .

Split  $R$  into the following.

- \*  $R_1 = \{A, C, D\}$  with  $\Sigma|_{R_1} = \{ \{D\} \rightarrow \{A, C\} \}$

$R_1$  with  $\Sigma|_{R_1}$  is in **BCNF**.

- \*  $R_2 = \{B, D, E, F\}$  with  $\Sigma|_{R_2} = \{ \{B, D\} \rightarrow \{F\}, \{B, F\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{E\} \rightarrow \{D, F\} \}$

Consider  $\{E\} \rightarrow \{D, F\}$ . It is a violation w.r.t.  $R_2$  and  $\Sigma|_{R_2}$  (*step omitted*).

Compute  $E^+ = E, D, F$ .

Split  $R_2$  into the following.

- $R_3 = \{D, E, F\}$  with  $\Sigma|_{R_3} = \{ \{E\} \rightarrow \{D, F\} \}$

$R_3$  with  $\Sigma|_{R_3}$  is in **BCNF**.

- $R_4 = \{B, E\}$  with  $\Sigma|_{R_4} = \emptyset$

$R_4$  with  $\Sigma|_{R_4}$  is in **BCNF**.

Therefore, one possible answer is  $\delta = \{ \{A, C, D\}, \{D, E, F\}, \{B, E\} \}$ . This is minimal as we cannot remove any options such that the resulting decomposition is still satisfying the required properties of:

- Each fragment is in BCNF with respect to their projection.
- The decomposition is a **valid** decomposition (*i.e.*, does not lose any attribute).
- The decomposition is a **lossless-join** decomposition.