

1. Consider the schema $R = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ with the set of functional dependencies $\Sigma = \{\{A, B\} \rightarrow \{C\}, \{A, C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{E\} \rightarrow \{A, B, C, D\}\}$.

Does the decomposition of R into $\delta = \{R_1(A, B, C), R_2(A, B, E), R_3(A, C, D)\}$ a lossless join decomposition? Justify your answer without using tableau method.

Solution: The decomposition of into more than two fragments is a lossless join decomposition if there is a sequence of lossless *binary* decompositions. Since there are 3 tables in the fragments, we need to perform binary decomposition twice where each decomposition from 1 table into 2 tables is a lossless join decomposition. As long as there is one possible way to do this, then the entire decomposition is lossless.

First, let us consider a failed attempt as you may potentially encounter some of these during your working.

- 1. Decompose R(A, B, C, D, E) into $\{R_1(A, B, C), R_t(A, B, C, D, E)\}$.
 - Common attributes are $R_1 \cap R_t = \{A, B, C\} \cap \{A, B, C, D, E\} = \{A, B, C\}.$
 - $\{A, B, C\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D\} \supseteq R_1$, we have $\{A, B, C\} \to \{A, B, C\}$, therefore $\{A, B, C\}$ is the superkey of R_1 and the decomposition is lossless.
- 2. Decompose $R_t(A, B, C, D, E)$ into $\{R_2(A, B, E), R_3(A, C, D)\}.$
 - Common attributes are $R_2 \cap R_3 = \{A, B, E\} \cap \{A, C, D\} = \{A\}.$
 - $\{A\}^+ = \{A\}$ and neither $\{A\} \supseteq R_2$ nor $\{A\} \supseteq R_3$, then the decomposition is not a lossless join decomposition.

But this is just one possible decomposition, so we still need to consider decomposition. Now, let us consider a successful attempt. Note that this is not the only possible successful attempt.

- 1. Decompose R(A, B, C, D, E) into $\{R_3(A, C, D), R_t(A, B, C, E)\}.$
 - Common attributes are $R_3 \cap R_t = \{A, C, D\} \cap \{A, B, C, E\} = \{A, C\}$.
 - $\{A,C\}^+ = \{A,C,D\} \supseteq R_3$, we have $\{A,C\} \to \{A,C,D\}$, therefore $\{A,C\}$ is the superkey of R_3 and the decomposition is lossless.
- 2. Decompose $R_t(A, B, C, E)$ into $\{R_1(A, B, C), R_2(A, B, E)\}.$
 - Common attributes are $R_1 \cap R_2 = \{A, B, C\} \cap \{A, B, E\} = \{A, B\}.$

- $\{A,B\}^+ = \{A,B,C,D\} \supseteq R_1$, we have $\{A,B\} \to \{A,B,C\}$, therefore $\{A,B\}$ is the superkey of R_1 and the decomposition is lossless.
- 3. Hence, the decomposition of R into $\delta = \{R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ is a lossless join decomposition.
- 2. Consider the schema $R = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ with a set of functional dependencies $\Sigma = \{\{A\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{A, B\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{C, D\} \rightarrow \{A, E\}, \{E\} \rightarrow \{B\}, \{E\} \rightarrow \{D\}\}$.
 - (a) Is R in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ ?

Solution: R is not in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ . Consider the following functional dependency $\{A\} \to \{E\}$. This violates the Boyce-Codd normal form property of R with respect to Σ because

- $\{E\} \not\subseteq \{A\}$ so $\{A\} \to \{E\}$ is non-trivial.
- $\{A\}^+ = \{A, B, D, E\}$ so $\{A\}$ is not the superkey of R.

Therefore, R is not in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ .

(b) Consider the following decomposition $\delta = \{R_1(B, D, E), R_2(A, C, E)\}$. Is δ in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ .

Solution: δ is not in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ . For δ to be in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ , all fragment must be in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ . Unfortunately, R_2 is not in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ .

- Consider $\{A\} \to \{E\}$ again. Since $\{E\} \not\subseteq \{A\}$ so $\{A\} \to \{E\}$ is non-trivial.
- $\{A\}^+ = \{A, B, D, E\}$ so $\{A\}$ is not the superkey of R_2 .

Therefore R_2 is not in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ . So the decomposition is not a Boyce-Codd normal form decomposition with respect to Σ .

(c) If δ is not in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ , find a Boyce-Codd normal form decomposition of R with respect to Σ .

Solution: Since we know that δ is not in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ , we need to find a Boyce-Codd normal form decomposition. Using the algorithm from the lecture, we can arrive at the following decomposition.

- Given $\{A\}^+=\{A,B,D,E\}$, we use $\{A\}\to\{A,B,D,E\}$ for decomposition of R into $R^1(A,B,D,E)$ and $R^2(A,C)$.
 - Given $\{E\}^+ = \{B, D, E\}$, we use $\{E\} \to \{B, D, E\}$ for decomposition R^1 into $R^3(B, D, E)$ and $R^4(A, E)$.
 - * $R^3(B, D, E)$ is in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ .
 - * $R^4(A, E)$ is in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ .
 - $-R^{2}(A,C)$ is in Boyce-Codd normal form with respect to Σ .

Therefore, the answer involves R^2 , R^3 and R^4 . But it is good to rename them to use smallest number and subscript. So a possible Boyce-Codd normal form decomposition of R is

$$\delta_1 = \{R_1(A, C), R_2(B, D, E), R_3(A, E)\}\$$

Note that Boyce-Codd normal form decomposition is not unique. There are choices that can be made on choosing the functional dependencies to be used for decomposition. Any functional dependencies that is a witness to a violation of the Boyce-Codd normal form property can be used. Generally, for the functional dependencies $X \to Y$ to be useful, we want X to be as small as possible and Y to be as large as possible. But this is merely a guideline.

Solution: The steps above are specific to the problem. In general, we want to compute the candidate keys and (possibly) the minimal cover. To compute the candidate keys, we use the two tips and tricks.

Since C is not on the right hand side of any functional dependencies, it must be in all keys.

Then we compute from the smallest cardinalities. The set of attributes must contain C.

- Singletons
 - $-\{C\}^+ = \{C\}$ so $\{C\}$ is not a candidate key.
- Pairs
 - $\{A, C\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ so $\{A, C\}$ is a candidate key.
 - $-\{B,C\}^+=\{B,C\}$ so $\{B,C\}$ is not a candidate key.
 - $-\{C,D\}^{+}=\{A,B,C,D,E\}$ so $\{C,D\}$ is a candidate key.
 - $-\{C,E\}^+=\{A,B,C,D,E\}$ so $\{C,E\}$ is a candidate key.
- Triples. The set should not be a superset of $\{A,C\}$, $\{C,D\}$, or $\{C,E\}$ but should contain C. There are no such triples.

So the only candidate keys are $\{A,C\}$, $\{C,D\}$, and $\{C,E\}$. Using this, we can easily check if a functional dependency is a violation.

- Consider $\{C, D\} \to \{A, E\}$, we know $\{C, D\}$ is a superkey because it is a superset (superset includes equality) of a candidate key.
- Consider $\{A, B\} \to \{D\}$, we know $\{A, B\}$ is not a superkey because it is not a superset of any key.