

**Question 1A** Collision

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1B** Expectations

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1C** Expectations again

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1D** Implementation of hashCode()

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1E** Heapsort

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1F** Size of heaps

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1G** Cost of merging heaps

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1H** Open addressing vs Chaining

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1I** Amortized analysis

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1J** DFS in adjacency matrix model

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1K** Adding 5

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1L** BFS on weighted tree

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1M** DFS on weighted tree

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1N** BFS layers and path lengths

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1O** Dijkstra relaxations

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1P** Recurrence

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1Q** Best-case running time for quicksort

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

True

**Question 1R** Insertions in AVL

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 1S** How many rotations to balance an unbalanced tree

[1 marks]

- ☐ True  
☐ False

False

**Question 2A** Expected number of length-1 chains

[4 marks]

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> $n/m$             | <input type="radio"/> $(1 - 1/m)^{m-1}$  |
| <input type="radio"/> $1 + n/m$         | <input type="radio"/> $n(1 - 1/m)^{n-1}$ |
| <input type="radio"/> $(1 - 1/m)^{n-1}$ | <input type="radio"/> $n(1 - 1/m)^{m-1}$ |

$n(1 - 1/m)^{n-1}$

**Question 2B** Probability of no collision

[3 marks]

☐  $k/m$

☐  $(k/m)^m$

☐  $1 - k/m$

☐  $(1 - k/m)^{m-1}$

☐  $(1 - k/m)^m$

☐  $(k/m)^{m-1}$

$1 - k/m$

**Question 2C** Probability of  $< 2$  probes

[4 marks]

☐  $k/m$

☐  $1 - k^2/m^2$

☐  $1 - k/m$

☐  $(k^2 - k)/(m^2 - m)$

☐  $k^2/m^2$

☐  $1 - (k^2 - k)/(m^2 - m)$

$1 - k/m$

**Question 2D** Expected number of insertions needing 1 probe

[4 marks]

☐  $3n/4$

☐  $(n + 1)/2$

☐  $(3n + 1)/4$

☐  $n/4$

☐  $n/2$

☐  $(n + 3)/4$

$(3n + 1)/4$

**Question 2E** Probability of one probe with length- $c$  chains

[3 marks]

☐  $m_c/m$

☐  $m_c m_{c-1}/m^2$

☐  $1 - m_c/m$

☐  $m_0/m$

☐  $1 - m_c m_{c-1}/m^2$

☐  $1 - m_0/m$

$1 - m_c/m$

**Question 2F** Probability of  $m_2 = m_3 = \dots = m_c = 0$  after  $n$  insertions [4 marks]

<input type="radio"/> $\frac{m!}{m^n(m-n)!}$	<input type="radio"/> $(1 - \frac{1}{m})^c$
<input type="radio"/> $\frac{m!}{m^c(m-n)!}$	<input type="radio"/> $1 - \frac{n^2}{m}$
<input type="radio"/> $(1 - \frac{1}{m})^n$	<input type="radio"/> $1 - \frac{nc}{m}$

$\frac{m!}{m^n(m-n)!}$

**Question 3A** Is the graph a DAG? [1 marks]

☐ True

☐ False

False

**Question 3B** Pre-order DFS traversal [2 marks]

☐  $s, a, b, c, d, e, f$

☐  $s, a, b, d, c, e, f$

☐  $s, b, e, a, c, f, d$

☐  $s, b, e, a, d, f, c$

☐  $c, f, e, b, d, a, s$

☐  $c, a, d, f, b, e, s$

$s, b, e, a, d, f, c$

**Question 3C** Post-order DFS traversal [2 marks]

☐  $s, a, b, c, d, e, f$

☐  $s, a, b, d, c, e, f$

☐  $s, b, e, a, c, f, d$

☐  $s, b, e, a, d, f, c$

☐  $c, f, e, b, d, a, s$

☐  $c, a, d, f, b, e, s$

$c, f, e, b, d, a, s$

**Question 3D** How to avoid dragons on edges?

[2 marks]

- ☐ Breadth-first search
- ☐ Depth-first search
- ☐ Bellman-Ford
- ☐ Dijkstra
- ☐ Relax in topological order

Dijkstra

**Question 3E** How to avoid dragons on nodes and edges?

[4 marks]

- ☐ Each existing edge  $e$  has weight  $n_e$ . At each node  $v$ , create a new self-loop edge  $(v, v)$  with weight  $n_v$ .
- ☐ For each edge  $e$  connecting node  $u$  to node  $v$ , let it have weight  $n_e + n_u$ .
- ☐ For each edge  $e$  connecting node  $u$  to node  $v$ , let it have weight  $n_e + n_v$ .
- ☐ None of the above.

For each edge  $e$  connecting node  $u$  to node  $v$ , let it have weight  $n_e + n_v$ .

**Question 3F** How to avoid spells?

[4 marks]

- ☐ Perform a BFS traversal from  $s$ , and check if the path from  $s$  to  $t$  (induced by the parent pointers) contains at most one node in  $X$ .
- ☐ Perform a DFS traversal from  $s$ , and check if the path from  $s$  to  $t$  (induced by the parent pointers) contains at most one node in  $X$ .
- ☐ Create a new graph  $G'$ . For each town  $v$ , create two nodes  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  in  $G'$ . For each road  $(u, v)$ , create three edges  $(u_0, v_0)$ ,  $(u_0, v_1)$  and  $(u_1, v_1)$ . Check (using BFS or DFS) whether  $t_1$  is reachable from  $s_0$  in  $G'$ .
- ☐ Create a new graph  $G'$ . For each town  $v$ , create two nodes  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  in  $G'$ . For each road  $(u, v)$ , if  $u \in X$ , create an edge  $(u_0, v_1)$ , and if  $u \notin X$ , create two edges  $(u_0, v_0)$  and  $(u_1, v_1)$ . Check (using BFS or DFS) whether  $t_1$  is reachable from  $s_0$  in  $G'$ .
- ☐ Create a new graph  $G'$ . For each town  $v$ , create two nodes  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  in  $G'$ . For each road  $(u, v)$ , if  $u \in X$ , create an edge  $(u_0, v_1)$ , and if  $u \notin X$ , create two edges  $(u_0, v_0)$  and  $(u_1, v_1)$ . Check (using BFS or DFS) whether either  $t_0$  or  $t_1$  is reachable from  $s_0$  in  $G'$ .
- ☐ Create a new graph  $G'$ . For each town  $v$ , create two nodes  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  in  $G'$ . For each road  $(u, v)$ , if  $v \in X$ , create an edge  $(u_0, v_1)$ , and if  $v \notin X$ , create two edges  $(u_0, v_0)$  and  $(u_1, v_1)$ . Check (using BFS or DFS) whether  $t_1$  is reachable from  $s_0$  in  $G'$ .
- ☐ Create a new graph  $G'$ . For each town  $v$ , create two nodes  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  in  $G'$ . For each road  $(u, v)$ , if  $v \in X$ , create an edge  $(u_0, v_1)$ , and if  $v \notin X$ , create two edges  $(u_0, v_0)$  and  $(u_1, v_1)$ . Check (using BFS or DFS) whether either  $t_0$  or  $t_1$  is reachable from  $s_0$  in  $G'$ .
- ☐ None of the above.

Create a new graph  $G'$ . For each town  $v$ , create two nodes  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  in  $G'$ . For each road  $(u, v)$ , if  $v \in X$ , create an edge  $(u_0, v_1)$ , and if  $v \notin X$ , create two edges  $(u_0, v_0)$  and  $(u_1, v_1)$ . Check (using BFS or DFS) whether either  $t_0$  or  $t_1$  is reachable from  $s_0$  in  $G'$ .

**Question 3G** How to minimize energy spent on spells?

[7 marks]

**Solution Sketch:** Create a new graph  $G'$ . For each town  $v$ , create nodes  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{Z_v}$  in  $G'$ , and add edges  $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{Z_v-1}, v_{Z_v})$ , each with weight 1, to form a path from  $v_0$  to  $v_{Z_v}$  in  $G'$ . For any road from  $u$  to  $v$ , create an edge  $u_{Z_u}$  to  $v_0$  in  $G'$  with weight 0.

The total number of nodes in  $G'$  is  $\sum_v (Z_v + 1) = Z + n = O(Z)$ , since  $Z \geq m \geq n - 1$  where the first inequality is by the problem's assumption on  $Z$  and the second inequality is because the original graph is connected. The total number of edges is  $\sum_v Z_v + m = O(Z)$ , because  $Z \geq m$  by assumption.

Now, we can run an SSSP algorithm from  $s$ , where all the edge weights are either 0 or 1. We can use Dijkstra and apply the standard analysis, but this would have running time  $O(Z \log Z)$ . We can get an  $O(Z)$  time algorithm by noticing that there are  $O(Z)$  possibilities for distances from  $s$ , since there are  $O(Z)$  many nodes and each edge has weight either 0 or 1. So, instead of using a general priority queue, we can keep  $O(Z)$  many queues for vertices at each distance, giving constant time EXTRACT-MIN and DECREASE-KEY implementations. (In fact, only at most two queues will be non-empty at any point in Dijkstra. Prove this!)

**Grading scheme:** 3 points for correctly creating an edge-weighted graph. People did this in mostly two ways. One option was as above. The other option was to have edge  $(u, v)$  have weight  $Z_v$ .

2 points for applying the standard version of Dijkstra with  $O(Z \log Z)$  running time. 4 points for modifying Dijkstra to get  $O(Z)$  runtime.

A common approach was running BFS instead of Dijkstra. This doesn't work because edges have unequal weights. Some people got around this by replacing each edge  $(u, v)$  with a path of length  $Z_v$ , and then running BFS. But this can make the number of new nodes be  $O(Z^2)$  (imagine a star where the hub has degree  $m$  and also energy cost  $m$ ). BFS without correct analysis got 0 points.

Some people also claimed  $O(Z)$  runtime by relaxing edges in topological order. However, the graph was not given to be a DAG.

The most common (nonzero) score was 5: 3 points for correct edge weights and 2 points for mentioning Dijkstra and correct runtime analysis.



**Question 4A** Fill in A

[2 marks]

- |                             |                               |                             |                             |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 0     | <input type="radio"/> begin/2 | <input type="radio"/> >=    | <input type="radio"/> mid+1 |
| <input type="radio"/> 1     | <input type="radio"/> <       | <input type="radio"/> =     |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> -1    | <input type="radio"/> >       | <input type="radio"/> mid-1 |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> begin | <input type="radio"/> <=      | <input type="radio"/> mid   |                             |

1

**Question 4B** Fill in B

[2 marks]

- |                             |                               |                             |                             |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 0     | <input type="radio"/> begin/2 | <input type="radio"/> >=    | <input type="radio"/> mid+1 |
| <input type="radio"/> 1     | <input type="radio"/> <       | <input type="radio"/> =     |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> -1    | <input type="radio"/> >       | <input type="radio"/> mid-1 |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> begin | <input type="radio"/> <=      | <input type="radio"/> mid   |                             |

&gt;=

**Question 4C** Fill in C

[2 marks]

- |                             |                               |                             |                             |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 0     | <input type="radio"/> begin/2 | <input type="radio"/> >=    | <input type="radio"/> mid+1 |
| <input type="radio"/> 1     | <input type="radio"/> <       | <input type="radio"/> =     |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> -1    | <input type="radio"/> >       | <input type="radio"/> mid-1 |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> begin | <input type="radio"/> <=      | <input type="radio"/> mid   |                             |

mid

**Question 4D** Fill in D

[2 marks]

- |                             |                               |                             |                             |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> 0     | <input type="radio"/> begin/2 | <input type="radio"/> >=    | <input type="radio"/> mid+1 |
| <input type="radio"/> 1     | <input type="radio"/> <       | <input type="radio"/> =     |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> -1    | <input type="radio"/> >       | <input type="radio"/> mid-1 |                             |
| <input type="radio"/> begin | <input type="radio"/> <=      | <input type="radio"/> mid   |                             |

mid-1

**Question 5A** Dijkstra's implementation of Dijkstra

[3 marks]

- ☐ Dijkstra's implementation has better asymptotic running time for sparse graphs (small  $E$ )
- ☐ Dijkstra's implementation has better asymptotic running time for dense graphs (large  $E$ )
- ☐ Dijkstra's implementation always has better asymptotic running time but has higher memory usage.
- ☐ The standard implementation always has better asymptotic running time than Dijkstra's version.

Dijkstra's implementation has better asymptotic running time for dense graphs (large  $E$ )

**Question 5B** Minimum-weight path with at least 20 hops

[4 marks]

- ☐ Run BFS on  $G$  from  $s$ . Find a vertex  $v$  which is on level 20 of the BFS tree; let  $P_1$  be the BFS tree path from  $s$  to  $v$ . Run Bellman-Ford on  $G$  from  $v$ , and find a minimum weight path  $P_2$  from  $v$  to  $t$ . Output the concatenation of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .
- ☐ Run Bellman-Ford on  $G$  from  $s$ . Find a vertex  $v$  which is on level 20 of the shortest-path tree; let  $P_1$  be the minimum weight path from  $s$  to  $v$ . Run Bellman-Ford on  $G$  from  $v$ , and find a minimum weight path  $P_2$  from  $v$  to  $t$ . Output the concatenation of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .
- ☐ Let  $G_2$  be  $G$  but with edge orientations reversed. Run BFS on  $G_2$  from  $t$ . Find a vertex  $v$  which is on level 20 of the BFS tree; let  $P_1$  be the BFS tree path from  $t$  to  $v$ . Run Bellman-Ford on  $G_2$  from  $v$ , and find a minimum weight path  $P_2$  from  $v$  to  $s$ . Concatenate  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , reverse the edge orientations, and output.
- ☐ Let  $G_3$  be the weighted graph, which for each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , has 21 vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{20}$ , and for each edge  $(u, v)$  in  $G$ , has 20 edges  $(u_0, v_1), (u_1, v_2), \dots, (u_{19}, v_{20})$ , each with the same weight as  $(u, v)$  in  $G$ . Run Bellman-Ford on  $G_3$  from  $s_0$ , and let  $w$  in  $G$  be such that the path from  $s_0$  to  $w_{20}$  has the smallest weight in  $G_3$ . Let  $P_1$  be the corresponding path of 20 edges from  $s$  to  $w$  in  $G$ . Run Bellman-Ford on  $G$  from  $w$ , and let  $P_2$  be a minimum weight path from  $w$  to  $t$ . Output the concatenation of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .
- ☐ Let  $G_3$  be as in the 4th option above. Run Bellman-Ford on  $G_3$  from  $s_0$ . For each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , let  $\delta_1(v)$  be the minimum weight of a path from  $s_0$  to  $v_{20}$  in  $G_3$  and  $P_{1,v}$  the corresponding path of 20 edges from  $s$  to  $v$  in  $G$ . Let  $G_2$  be as in the 3rd option above. Run Bellman-Ford on  $G_2$  from  $t$ . For each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , let  $\delta_2(v)$  be the minimum weight of a path from  $t$  to  $v$  in  $G_2$  and let  $P_{2,v}$  the corresponding path in  $G$  from  $v$  to  $t$ . Let  $w$  be the vertex that minimizes  $\delta_1(w) + \delta_2(w)$ ; output the concatenation of  $P_{1,w}$  and  $P_{2,w}$ .
- ☐ None of the above.

Let  $G_3$  be as in the 4th option above. Run Bellman-Ford on  $G_3$  from  $s_0$ . For each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , let  $\delta_1(v)$  be the minimum weight of a path from  $s_0$  to  $v_{20}$  in  $G_3$  and  $P_{1,v}$  the corresponding path of 20 edges from  $s$  to  $v$  in  $G$ . Let  $G_2$  be as in the 3rd option above. Run Bellman-Ford on  $G_2$  from  $t$ . For each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , let  $\delta_2(v)$  be the minimum weight of a path from  $t$  to  $v$  in  $G_2$  and let  $P_{2,v}$  the corresponding path in  $G$  from  $v$  to  $t$ . Let  $w$  be the vertex that minimizes  $\delta_1(w) + \delta_2(w)$ ; output the concatenation of  $P_{1,w}$  and  $P_{2,w}$ .

**Question 5C** Weight transformation preserving shortest paths

[3 marks]

- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e$  is  $w'_e = w_e + 1$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e$  is  $w'_e = w_e^2$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = (u, v)$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u + w_e$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = (u, v)$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u - 2w_v + w_e$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = (u, v)$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u - 3w_v + w_e$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = (u, v)$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u - 3w_v$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = (u, v)$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u w_e$ .

In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = (u, v)$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u - 2w_v + w_e$ .

**Question 5D** Weight transformation preserving MST

[3 marks]

- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e$  is  $w'_e = w_e + 1$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e$  is  $w'_e = w_e^2$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u + 2w_v + w_e$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u^2 + 2w_v^2 + w_e$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u + 2w_v$ .
- ☐ In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  is  $w'_e = 2w_u w_v w_e$ .

In  $G'$ , the weight of an edge  $e$  is  $w'_e = w_e + 1$ .

**Question 5E** Updating MST after weight decrease

[3 marks]

- (I) ☐ The proposed algorithm is correct.
- (II) ☐ The proposed algorithm runs in time  $O(V + E)$ .
- (III) ☐ Both (I) and (II) are correct.
- (IV) ☐ Neither (I) and (II) is correct.

Both (I) and (II) are correct.

**Question 6A** Base case for stacking problem

[2 marks]

- ☐  $T[0, h_1, h_2, h_3] = \text{True}$  if  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$ , and False otherwise.
- ☐  $T[0, h_1, h_2, h_3] = \text{True}$  if  $h_1 = 0$  or  $h_2 = 0$  or  $h_3 = 0$ , and False otherwise.
- ☐  $T[1, h_1, h_2, h_3] = \text{True}$  if  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$ , and False otherwise.
- ☐  $T[1, h_1, h_2, h_3] = \text{True}$  if  $h_1 = 0$  or  $h_2 = 0$  or  $h_3 = 0$ , and False otherwise.
- ☐ None of the above.

$T[0, h_1, h_2, h_3] = \text{True}$  if  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$ , and False otherwise.

**Question 6B** Recurrence for stacking problem

[4 marks]

☐  $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3] = T[i - 1, h_1 - z_i, h_2 - z_i, h_3 - z_i]$   
☐  $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3] = (h_1 \geq z_i \text{ OR } h_2 \geq z_i \text{ OR } h_3 \geq z_i) \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1 - z_i, h_2 - z_i, h_3 - z_i]$   
☐  $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3] = (h_1 \geq z_i \text{ OR } h_2 \geq z_i \text{ OR } h_3 \geq z_i) \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1 - z_i, h_2 - z_i, h_3 - z_i]$   
☐  $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3] = T[i - 1, h_1 - z_i, h_2, h_3] \text{ OR } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2 - z_i, h_3] \text{ OR } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2, h_3 - z_i]$   
☐  $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3] = (h_1 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1 - z_i, h_2, h_3]) \text{ OR } (h_2 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2 - z_i, h_3]) \text{ OR } (h_3 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2, h_3 - z_i])$   
☐  $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3] = (h_1 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1 - z_i, h_2, h_3]) \text{ AND } (h_2 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2 - z_i, h_3]) \text{ AND } (h_3 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2, h_3 - z_i])$   
 $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3] = (h_1 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1 - z_i, h_2, h_3]) \text{ OR } (h_2 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2 - z_i, h_3]) \text{ OR } (h_3 \geq z_i \text{ AND } T[i - 1, h_1, h_2, h_3 - z_i])$

**Question 6C** Running time for stacking problem

[3 marks]

☐  $O(n^4)$   
☐  $O(nZ^3)$   
☐  $O(n^2Z^2)$

☐  $O(nZ^2)$   
☐  $O(Z^4)$   
☐ None of the above

$O(nZ^2)$ . This was a bit tricky. Note that for any fixed  $i$ , the algorithm evaluates  $T[i, h_1, h_2, h_3]$  for  $\leq Z^2$  values of  $(h_1, h_2, h_3)$ , not  $Z^3$ , since  $h_1 + h_2 + h_3$  equals  $\sum_{j=1}^i z_j$  on any recursive call.

**Question 6D** Counting number of subsequence occurrences

[4 marks]

- ☐ Maintain  $A[i][j]$  to be the count of the occurrences of  $Y[1 \dots i]$  as a subsequence in  $X[1 \dots j]$ . Use base case  $A[0][0] = A[0][1] = \dots = A[0][n] = 0$ , and apply recurrence  $A[i][j] = A[i-1][j-1] + 1$ .
- ☐ Maintain  $B[i][j]$  to be the count of the occurrences of  $Y[1 \dots i]$  as a subsequence in  $X[1 \dots j]$ . Use base case  $B[0][0] = B[1][0] = \dots = B[m][0] = 0$ , and apply recurrence:  $B[i][j] = B[i][j-1]$  if  $Y[i] \neq X[j]$  and  $B[i][j] = B[i][j-1] + B[i-1][j-1]$  if  $Y[i] = X[j]$ .
- ☐ Maintain  $C[i][j]$  to be the count of the occurrences of  $Y[1 \dots i]$  as a subsequence in  $X[1 \dots j]$ . Use base case  $C[0][0] = C[0][1] = \dots = C[0][n] = 0$ , and apply recurrence:  $C[i][j] = C[i-1][j]$  if  $Y[i] \neq X[j]$  and  $C[i][j] = C[i-1][j] + C[i-1][j-1]$  if  $Y[i] = X[j]$ .
- ☐ Maintain  $D[i][j]$  to be the count of the occurrences of  $Y[i \dots j]$  as a subsequence in  $X$ . Let  $n_i$  be number of occurrences of  $Y[i]$  in  $X$ . Use base case: for every  $i$ ,  $D[i][i] = n_i$ . Apply recurrence:  $D[i][j] = D[i+1][j-1] \cdot n_i \cdot n_j$ .
- ☐ Maintain  $E[i][j]$  to be the count of the occurrences of  $Y$  as a subsequence in  $X[i \dots j]$ . Use base case  $E[0][0] = 0$ . Apply recurrence:  $E[i][j] = E[i][\lfloor (i+j)/2 \rfloor] + E[\lfloor (i+j)/2 \rfloor + 1][j]$ .
- ☐ None of the above.

None of the above.