

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE
Department of Mathematics

MA1522 Linear Algebra for Computing

Tutorial 8

1. Apply Gram-Schmidt Process to convert

$$(a) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ into an orthonormal basis for } \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Solution: Let $\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{u}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{u}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{u}_3 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{2}{11} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{u}_4 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_4 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_4 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \mathbf{v}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_4 \cdot \mathbf{v}_3}{\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_3} \mathbf{v}_3 = \frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For easy computation, we can let each \mathbf{v}_i to be the vector without the fraction part. Then by normalizing, we obtain an orthonormal basis

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{11}} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{110}} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

(b) $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ into an orthonormal set. Is the set obtained an orthonormal basis? Why?

Solution: Let $\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{u}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{u}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ -4 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{u}_3 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{2}{11} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{u}_4 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_4 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_4 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \mathbf{v}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_4 \cdot \mathbf{v}_3}{\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_3} \mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}.$$

The orthonormal set obtained is

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{110}} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ -4 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{33}} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It is not a basis since it only contains 3 vectors. The vector $\mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{0}$ means that \mathbf{u}_4 minus its projection onto $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ is the zero vector. Hence \mathbf{u}_4 is contained in $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$.

2. Let $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

(a) Is the linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ inconsistent?

Solution:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

So the linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ is inconsistent.

(b) Find a least squares solution to the system. Is the solution unique?

Solution: To find a least squares solution, we compute $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$ and solve the system $(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$.

$$(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & -2 \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

A general solution to $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$ is

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = -6 - s \\ x_2 = -1 - s \\ x_3 = 7 + s \\ x_4 = s \end{cases}$$

A least squares solution can be (when $s = 0$) $x_1 = -6, x_2 = -1, x_3 = 7, x_4 = 0$,

that is, $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -1 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. There are infinitely many least squares solutions.

From the solution of (a), the matrix \mathbf{A} is singular (Why?), the columns are linearly dependent, and thus $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ is not invertible. Hence, the system $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$ must have infinitely many solution.

- (c) Use your answer in (b), compute the projection of \mathbf{b} onto the column space of \mathbf{A} . Is the solution unique?

Solution: The projection of \mathbf{b} onto the column space of \mathbf{A} is given by $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}$, which is

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -1 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is unique since projection is unique. In fact, we can check that indeed

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -6 - s \\ -1 - s \\ 7 + s \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for any choice of s .

3. **(Application)** A line

$$p(x) = a_1 x + a_0$$

is said to be the *least squares approximating line* for a given a set of data points (x_1, y_1) ,

$(x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_m, y_m)$ if the sum

$$S = [y_1 - p(x_1)]^2 + [y_2 - p(x_2)]^2 + \dots + [y_m - p(x_m)]^2$$

is minimized. Writing

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } p(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} p(x_1) \\ p(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ p(x_m) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 x_1 + a_0 \\ a_1 x_2 + a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_1 x_m + a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the problem is now rephrased as finding a_0, a_1 such that

$$S = \|\mathbf{y} - p(\mathbf{x})\|^2$$

is minimized. Observe that if we let

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_m \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\mathbf{Na} = p(\mathbf{x})$. And so our aim is to find \mathbf{a} that minimizes $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Na}\|^2$.

It is known the equation representing the dependency of the resistance of a cylindrically shaped conductor (a wire) at $20^\circ C$ is given by

$$R = \rho \frac{L}{A},$$

where R is the resistance measured in Ohms Ω , L is the length of the material in meters m , A is the cross-sectional area of the material in meter squared m^2 , and ρ is the resistivity of the material in Ohm meters Ωm . A student wants to measure the resistivity of a certain material. Keeping the cross-sectional area constant at $0.002m^2$, he connected the power sources along the material at varies length and measured the resistance and obtained the following data.

L	0.01	0.012	0.015	0.02
R	2.75×10^{-4}	3.31×10^{-4}	3.92×10^{-4}	4.95×10^{-4}

It is known that the Ohm meter might not be calibrated. Taking that into account, the student wants to find a linear graph $R = \frac{\rho}{0.002}L + R_0$ from the data obtained to compute the resistivity of the material.

- (a) Relabeling, we let $R = y$, $\frac{\rho}{0.002} = a_1$ and $R_0 = a_0$. Is it possible to find a graph $y = a_1 x + a_0$ satisfying the points?

Solution: Substituting in the data into the equation $y = a_1x + a_0$, we get the augmented matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0.01 & 2.75 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1 & 0.012 & 3.31 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1 & 0.015 & 3.92 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1 & 0.02 & 4.95 \times 10^{-4} \end{array} \right).$$

This linear system is inconsistent. Hence, no such graph exists.

- (b) Find the least square approximating line for the data points and hence find the resistivity of the material. Would this material make a good wire?

Solution: Let $\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.01 \\ 1 & 0.012 \\ 1 & 0.015 \\ 1 & 0.02 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{pmatrix} 2.75 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.31 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.92 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.95 \times 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$.

We will solve for $\mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{b}$. Since the columns of \mathbf{M} are linearly independent, the least square solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{M})^{-1} \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0001 \\ 0.0216 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the least square approximating line is $y = 0.0216x + 0.0001$. So $\frac{\rho}{0.002} = 0.0216\Omega$, and hence $\rho = 4.32 \times 10^{-5}\Omega m$. It would not make a good wire, the resistivity of metals is in the $10^{-8}\Omega m$ range.

4. **(Application)** Suppose the equation governing the relation between data pairs is not known. We may want to then find a polynomial

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$$

of degree n , $n \leq m - 1$, that best approximates the data pairs (x_1, y_1) , (x_2, y_2) , ..., (x_m, y_m) . A *least square approximating polynomial* of degree n is such that

$$\|\mathbf{y} - p(\mathbf{x})\|^2$$

is minimized. If we write

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^n \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_m & x_m^2 & \cdots & x_m^n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix},$$

then $p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{a}$, and the task is to find \mathbf{a} such that $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{N}\mathbf{a}\|^2$ is minimized. Observe that \mathbf{N} is a matrix minor of the Vandermonde matrix. If at least $n + 1$ of the x -values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m are distinct, the columns of \mathbf{N} are linearly independent, and thus \mathbf{a} is uniquely determined by

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{N})^{-1} \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{y}.$$

We shall now find a quartic polynomial

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4$$

that is a least square approximating polynomial for the following data points

x	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	8	8.5
y	0.8651	0.4828	2.590	-4.389	-7.858	3.103	7.456	0.0965	4.326

Enter the data points.

```
>> x=[4 4.5 5 5.5 6 6.5 7 8 8.5]';
```

```
>> y=[0.8651 0.4828 2.590 -4.389 -7.858 3.103 7.456 0.0965 4.326]';
```

Next, we will generate the 10×10 Vandermonde matrix.

```
>> N=fliplr(vander(x));
```

We only want the matrix minor up to the 4-th power, that is, up to the 5-th column,

```
>> N=N(:,1:5);
```

Use this to find the least square approximating polynomial of degree 4.

Solution:

```
>> a=inv(N'*N)*N'*y, ans=
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} -204.0716 \\ 169.2099 \\ -49.7013 \\ 6.1528 \\ -0.2720 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Hence the polynomial is

$$-0.2720x^4 + 6.1528x^3 - 49.7013x^2 + 169.2099x - 204.0716.$$

5. Let $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

(a) Find a QR factorization of \mathbf{A} .

Solution:

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{u}_2 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{u}_3 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \right) \mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, let

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) Use your answer in (a) to find the least square solution to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution: Write $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR}$. Then $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{b}$. Hence, solving for $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$ is equivalent to solving for $\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Rx} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{b}$, which is equivalent to solving for $\mathbf{Rx} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{b}$, since \mathbf{R} is invertible (and hence, so is \mathbf{R}^T). Solving

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/\sqrt{3} \\ 0 \\ -2/\sqrt{6} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the least square solution to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$.

Extra problems

- (a) Let S be the set of least square solutions to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$. Show that there exists a \mathbf{b}' such that $\mathbf{Av} = \mathbf{b}'$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in S$. This proves that the projection of \mathbf{b} onto the column space of \mathbf{A} is unique even though the least square solutions may not be unique.

Solution: Let \mathbf{v} be any vector in S and let $\mathbf{Av} = \mathbf{b}'$. Now suppose \mathbf{u} is another least square solution. This means that

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Av} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}.$$

This means that $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in \text{Null}(\mathbf{A})$.

Recall that $\text{Null}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}) = \text{Null}(\mathbf{A})$. Hence, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$. This means that

$$\mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{Av} = \mathbf{b}'.$$

- (b) Suppose a linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent. Show that the solution set of $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ is equal to the solution set of $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$.

Solution: Suppose \mathbf{u} is a solution to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, that is, $\mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{b}$. Premultiplying both sides by \mathbf{A}^T , we have $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$, that is, \mathbf{u} is a solution to $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$ too.

Now suppose \mathbf{u} is a solution $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$, that is, $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$. Let \mathbf{v} be a solution to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$. Then by the above argument, \mathbf{v} is also a solution to $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$. Using the same argument as above, we have $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in \text{Null}(\mathbf{A})$, and hence,

$$\mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{Av} = \mathbf{b}.$$

Alternatively, recall that solving for $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$ is solving for $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}'$, where \mathbf{b}' is the projection of \mathbf{b} onto the column space of \mathbf{A} . Since $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent, $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}'$ is the projection of itself onto the $\text{Col}(\mathbf{A})$. So, the solution set to $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$ is equal to the solution set to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{b}$.

- (Uniqueness of orthogonal projection)

Let V be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbf{u} a vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Show that \mathbf{u} can be written uniquely as

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_p + \mathbf{u}_n,$$

such that \mathbf{u}_n is a vector orthogonal to V and \mathbf{u}_p is a vector in V . Remark: By the Gram-Schmidt process, \mathbf{u} can be written as $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_p + \mathbf{u}_n$. We need to prove show that \mathbf{u}_p and \mathbf{u}_n are unique. Hint: if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_p + \mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{u}'_p + \mathbf{u}'_n$, where $\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}'_n$ are orthogonal to V and $\mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{u}'_p \in V$, then $\mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{u}'_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_p = \mathbf{u}'_p$.

Solution: From the identity given in the hint, we have

$$\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{u}_p = \mathbf{u}'_n - \mathbf{u}_n. \quad (1)$$

The vector on the left hand side belongs to V and the vector on the right hand side is orthogonal to V . This means that

$$0 = (\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{u}_p) \cdot (\mathbf{u}'_n - \mathbf{u}_n) = (\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{u}_p) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{u}_p),$$

where the second equality follows from the identity in 1. But this means that

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{u}_p = \mathbf{u}'_n - \mathbf{u}_n,$$

which proves that $\mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{u}'_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_p = \mathbf{u}'_p$.

3. Let $S = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis for a subspace V in \mathbb{R}^n . Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in V .

- (a) Prove that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = [\mathbf{u}]_S \cdot [\mathbf{v}]_S$.

Solution: Let $\mathbf{u} = c_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{w}_k$ and $\mathbf{v} = d_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + d_k\mathbf{w}_k$, that is, $[\mathbf{u}]_S = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_k \end{pmatrix}$ and $[\mathbf{v}]_S = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_k \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} &= (c_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{w}_k) \cdot (d_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + d_k\mathbf{w}_k) = \sum_{i,j} c_i d_j \mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{w}_j \\ &= c_1 d_1 \mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + c_1 d_k \mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_k + c_2 d_1 \mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + c_k d_k \mathbf{w}_k \cdot \mathbf{w}_k \\ &= c_1 d_1 \mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1 + c_2 d_2 \mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2 + \dots + c_k d_k \mathbf{w}_k \cdot \mathbf{w}_k \\ &= c_1 d_1 + c_2 d_2 + \dots + c_k d_k = [\mathbf{u}]_S \cdot [\mathbf{v}]_S, \end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equality follows from the fact that $\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{w}_j = 1$ for all j , and the fifth equality follows from the fact that $\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{w}_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

- (b) Prove that $\|[\mathbf{u}]_S\| = \|\mathbf{u}\|$.

Solution: Replace \mathbf{v} with \mathbf{u} in (a), we have $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = [\mathbf{u}]_S \cdot [\mathbf{u}]_S$. Hence, $\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}} = \sqrt{[\mathbf{u}]_S \cdot [\mathbf{u}]_S} = \|[\mathbf{u}]_S\|$.

- (c) Prove that the angle between \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is equal to the angle between $[\mathbf{u}]_S$ and $[\mathbf{v}]_S$.

Solution: From (a) and (b), we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|} = \frac{[\mathbf{u}]_S \cdot [\mathbf{v}]_S}{\|[\mathbf{u}]_S\| \|[\mathbf{v}]_S\|}.$$

Since \cos is one-to-one on $[0, \pi]$,

$$\cos^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|} = \cos^{-1} \frac{[\mathbf{u}]_S \cdot [\mathbf{v}]_S}{\|[\mathbf{u}]_S\| \|[\mathbf{v}]_S\|},$$

that is, the angle between \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is equal to the angle between $[\mathbf{u}]_S$ and $[\mathbf{v}]_S$.