# Eusebius of Caesarea, περὶ τῆς ἐκκλησιαστικῆς θεολογίας

#### **About This Translation**

This translation was created on 2024-12-31 using <code>gpt-4o-mini</code>. The index of names and places is drawn from named entity recognition using <code>UGARIT/grc-ner-xlmr</code>, available from HuggingFace. A sentence-level semantic similarity check was performed between the source text and translation using <code>bowphs/SPhilBerta</code>, also available from HuggingFace. In addition to the sentence-level semantic similarity check, the text-to-translation length ratio was evaluated for each verse to locate and review possible hallucination.

## **Disclaimer**

Please note, as indicated above, that this translation is a machine-generated translation. There are several older works, like Eusebius of Caesarea's  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta \zeta \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta \zeta$   $\theta \epsilon \sigma \lambda \sigma \gamma \iota \alpha \zeta$ , that are either not available in English or only available in old and difficult to read translations. This translation is an attempt to provide a readable and fairly literal modern English translation of the source text. It is provided in a diglot format so the source text can be appealed to by readers if they run into sticky spots. The process that created this translation has done its best to mitigate hallucinations, but there will still be translation issues.

### **About the Source**

Translated from: Eusebius, *Eusebius Werke*. J. C. Hinrichs:Leipzig, 1906.

The source edition of  $\pi$ ερὶ τῆς έκκλησιαστικῆς θεολογίας was retrieved from the Github repository **First1KGreek**. For more information:

https://opengreekandlatin.github.io/First1KGreek/. The actual source file this translation uses as its source text is available at

https://github.com/OpenGreekAndLatin/First1KGreek/tree/master/data/tlg2018/tlg009/tlg2018.tlg009.1st1K-grc1.xml.

# License

This work is licensed under the same terms of the source text it is translated from: *Available under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License*. License details: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

#### **About the Publisher**

This translation was created and published by Rick Brannan for the Appian Way Press. This diglot edition is available for download at https://github.com/AppianWayPress/Eusebius.

We are also evaluating low-priced, translation-only print, ebook, and audio editions through

Amazon and other providers.

# **Section Pin**

1.Pin.1 | Τάδε τὸ α' περιέχει σύγγραμμα. α' ότι μάτην Σαβέλλιον διέβαλλεν Μάρκελλος τὰ ἴσα αύτῷ δοξάζων. β' ὅτι τῆ ἐκκλησίᾳ τοῦ θεοῦ ἡ Χριστοῦ χάρις τὴν περὶ πατρὸς καὶ υὶοῦ γνῶσιν έξαίρετον έδωρήσατο. γ' **ότι ποικίλως έσφάλησαν οὶ ἐτερόδοξοι έν** τῷ περὶ υὶοῦ λόγῳ. δ' ὅτι μάτην Χριστιανούς έαυτούς λέγουσιν οί μή ύφεστάναι τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ομολογοῦντες. ε' ὅπως Μάρκελλος τὸν υἱὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ήρνεῖτο τὴν Σαβελλίου αἴρεσιν μιμούμενος. ς' ὅπως ἡ ἐκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ πιστεύει. ζ' ὅπως οὶ ἐτερόδοξοι διαφόρως τῆς περὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ θεολογίας έκπεπτώκασιν. η' παράθεσις τῆς ὑγιοῦς καὶ έκκλησιαστικής όρθοδοξίας. θ' ότι μη τοῖς πολλοῖς κτίσμασιν ὁμοίως καὶ ὁ υὶὸς ύπέστη. ι' ὅτι μόνος έκ τοῦ πατρὸς γεννηθεὶς είκότως καὶ θεὸς καὶ μονογενῆς υὶὸς μόνος αύτὸς άνείρηται. | ια' ὅπως ἕνα θεὸν ἡ έκκλησία γνωρίζει, καίτοι τὸν υὶὸν θεὸν έκ θεοῦ εἶναι παραδεχομένη. ιβ' ὡς **ἄρρητος αύτοῦ ἡ έκ τοῦ πατρὸς γέννησις.** ιγ' ότι άναγκαία καὶ σωτήριος τοῖς πᾶσιν ἡ τοῦ υὶοῦ έπιστασία. ιδ' ὅτι εύλόγως ἡ έκκλησία τὰ αύτὰ φρονήσαντα Σαβελλίω Μάρκελλον άπεδοκίμασεν. ιε' ὁποίοις ρήμασιν Μάρκελλος τον Σαβέλλιον ἔκοπτεν, προσποιούμενος μὴ τὰ ἴσα αύτῷ φρονεῖν. ις' ὅπως ἀλίσκεται διὰ τῶν αύτοῦ φωνῶν τὰ αύτὰ τῶ Σαβελλίω δοξάζων. ιζ' όπως ήρνεῖτο γυμνῆ τῆ κεφαλῆ τὴν ύπόστασιν τοῦ υὶοῦ. ιη' ὅπως πρὸ τῆς ένανθρωπήσεως ούδὲν ἔτερον ἔφασκεν εἶναι τὸν υὶόν, ἢ λόγον αύτὸ μόνον ὅμοιον τῶ παρ' άνθρώποις. ιθ' ὅπως αὶ θεῖαι γραφαὶ ού μόνον λόγον άλλὰ καὶ μυρία έτερα καὶ πρὸ τῆς ένανθρωπήσεως ώνόμαζον τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ. κ' παραθέσεις καὶ ἐρμηνεῖαι μερικαὶ τῶν ἀπὸ τῆς θείας

1.Pin.1 | This contains the first book. a. that Marcellus slandered Sabellius in vain, praising the same things as him. b. that the grace of Christ gave the church of God an exceptional knowledge about the Father and the Son. c. that the heretics have erred in many ways in their teachings about the Son. d. that those who do not confess the Son of God call themselves Christians in vain. e. that Marcellus denied the Son of God, imitating the heresy of Sabellius. f. that the church of God believes. g. that the heretics have fallen away in various ways from the theology about the Son. h. a presentation of the healthy and ecclesiastical orthodoxy. i. that the Son is not like the many created things. j. that he alone, begotten from the Father, is rightly called both God and the only-begotten Son. k. that the church knows one God, even while accepting the Son of God to be from God. l. as his birth from the Father is unspeakable. m. that the oversight of the Son is necessary and saving for all. n. that the church rightly rejected Marcellus, who thought the same as Sabellius. o. with what words Marcellus attacked Sabellius. pretending not to think the same as him. p. that he is caught by his own words, praising the same things as Sabellius. q. that he denied the existence of the Son's essence with a bare head. r. that before the incarnation, he said nothing else about the Son except that he was a word only, similar to that of humans. s. that the divine scriptures not only called the Son of God a word but also many other things even before the incarnation. t. some presentations and interpretations of certain proofs from the divine scripture in

γραφῆς ἀποδείξεων έν ὅλοις λ' κεφαλαίοις.

all 30 chapters.

# Introduction

1.Pref.1 | Έγὼ μὲν ὤμην έξαρκεῖν τὰς τῶν αὐτοῦ Μαρκέλλου φωνῶν παραθέσεις, ἃς διὰ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν ἀνελεξάμην, πρὸς τὸν καθ' ἑαυτῶν ἔλεγχον. οὕτω γὰρ προφανῆ καὶ ἀδιάτρεπτον τὴν ἄρνησιν τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ περιεῖχον, ὡς καὶ δίχα πάσης ἀντιρρήσεως τοῖς τῆς ἐκκλησίας τοῦ θεοῦ τροφίμοις φευκτὸν εἶναι τὸν ἐν αὐτοῖς λόγον.

1.Pref.1 | I thought that the quotes of Marcellus would be enough, which I have examined earlier, for his own refutation. For they clearly and undeniably contained the denial of the Son of God, so that the word among those who are nourished by the church of God would be avoided without any objection.

1.Pref.2 | έπεὶ δὲ διεσκεψάμην, μήποτ' ἄρα τινὲς τῆς έκκλησιαστικῆς θεολογίας ύποσυρεῖεν τῆ πολλῆ | παραθέσει, ἡ κέχρηται ὁ άνὴρ είς πίστωσιν ὧν ὤετο αύτῷ συμβαλεῖσθαι, καὶ τούτων ἔκρινα δεῖν έπὶ τοῦ παρόντος τὸν ἔλεγχον έκφῆναι, ὼς ἂν μάθοι πᾶς ὅτι μηδὲ εἶς αύτῷ λόγος τῆς ένθέου γραφῆς άρνουμένῳ τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ συνωδὸν παρείχετο μαρτυρίαν, πάντες δ' άντικρυς αύτῷ τὴν έναντίαν έφθέγγοντο φωνὴν <ά>διαστρόφως τὰς ούκ όρθῶς αύτοῦ τῶν θείων γραφῶν παρεξηγήσεις εύθύνοντες. συνάψω δὲ κατὰ τὸ αύτὸ τῆ τούτων φώρα τὴν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεολογίαν. νεώτερον μὲν λέγειν ἔχων ούδέν, ούδ' έμαυτοῦ σοφόν τι καὶ οίκεῖον εύρεμα, τῆς δ' έκκλησίας τοῦ θεοῦ τὴν άδιάφθορον διδασκαλίαν προϊσχόμενος, ην παρά τῶν αύτοπτῶν καὶ αύτηκόων τοῦ λόγου άνωθεν έξ άρχῆς παραλαβοῦσα φυλάττει.

1.Pref.2 | But after I examined this, I wondered if perhaps some of the church's theology might be undermined by the many quotes that the man used for his beliefs. Therefore, I decided to express the refutation at this time, so that everyone would know that not even one word from the divine scripture, denying the Son of God, provided him with support. Instead, all spoke against him with the opposite voice, correcting his misunderstandings of the divine writings. I will also connect the theology of our Savior with this same voice. I have nothing new to say, nor have I discovered anything wise or original of my own, but I hold on to the uncorrupted teaching of the church of God, which has been received from those who have seen and heard the word from the beginning.

# Section 1

1.1.1 | πρὸ δὲ τῆς τούτων έξετάσεως,

1.1.1 | Before examining these matters,

έπειδὴ μεταξὺ τοῦ συγγράμματος τὸν Σαβέλλιον ὑπ' αὐτοῦ κοπτόμενον εὖρον, καταπλαγεὶς τῆς ἡλιθιότητος τὸν ἄνδρα. ὡς οὑκ έφείσατο κακῶς άγορεῦσαι ὂν πάντων μᾶλλον έπαινεῖν αὐτὸν έχρῆν ὁμόδοξον αὐτῷ καὶ ὁμογνώμονα γεγονότα, οὑχ ἡγησάμην άντιπαρελθεῖν σιγῆ τὸν λόγον, παραδοῦναι δὲ καὶ τούτου ἔλεγχον τῷ παρόντι γράμματι. τὸ μὲν γὰρ τοὺς τοῦ θεοῦ λειτουργοὺς πρὸς αὐτοῦ διαβεβλῆσθαι εί καὶ αὐτῷ μὲν ψόγον τοῖς δ' έλευθερουμένοις τῆς πρὸς αὐτὸν κοινωνίας εὐδοξίαν ἐπήγαγεν,

since I found that he was attacking
Sabellius in his writing, I was amazed at the man's foolishness. He did not hesitate to speak badly of someone whom he should have praised more than anyone else, as he was supposed to be of the same faith and opinion. I did not think it right to pass over his words in silence, but I decided to also include a refutation of this in the present writing. For it is true that the servants of God have been assured against him, and while he himself brought blame upon himself, he brought honor to those who were freed from their association with him.

1.1.2 | ὅμως εἴχετό τινος εἰκότος τὸ δοκεῖν τὰ μὴ αὐτῷ φίλα φαυλίζειν· τὸ δὲ λαθεῖν κόπτοντα αὐτὸν διὰ τῆς τοῦ ὁμογνώμονος δυσφημίας ἀναιδείας ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ ἄμα καὶ ἀναισθησίας ὑπάρχειν. ὅτι δὲ ταῦθ' οὕτως ἔχει, γένοιτ' ἀν ἔλεγχος αὐτὸς ἑαυτοῦ, υἰοπάτορα τὸν θεὸν κατὰ τὸν Σαβέλλιον, | εἰ καὶ μὴ γυμνῷ τῷ λόγῳ, τῆ γοῦν άληθείᾳ εἰσάγων, τῷ τὴν ὑπόστασιν ἀναιρεῖν τοῦ υἰοῦ, ἔνα δὲ θεὸν ὀρίζεσθαι. καὶ τοῦτον ἑαυτοῦ πατέρα καὶ αὖ πάλιν υὶὸν ἀποκαλεῖν ἑαυτοῦ. λόγον γὰρ εἶναι δοὺς τὸν ἐν τῷ θεῷ,

1.1.2 | However, it seemed reasonable that he would think that what is not friendly to him is worthless. But I believe that he is both shameless and insensitive, as he harms himself through the slander of someone who shares his beliefs. If this is true, he could be his own refutation, calling God the Father a son according to Sabellius, even if he does not do so openly, at least introducing the truth that denies the Son's existence and defines one God. And he calls this one his own father and again refers to him as his son. For he gives a reason that is in God.

1.1.3 | ἔν τε καὶ ταύτὸν ὅντα αύτῷ τοῦτον ὁρισάμενος, πατέρα τούτου χρηματίζειν αύτὸν ἔφη· τόν τε λόγον υὶὸν εἶναι αύτοῦ, ούκ άληθῶς ὅντα υὶὸν έν ούσίας ὑποστάσει, κυρίως δὲ καὶ άληθῶς ὅντα λόγον. ἐπισημαίνεται γοῦν ὅτι μὴ καταχρηστικῶς λόγον. άλλὰ κυρίως καὶ άληθῶς ὅντα λόγον, καὶ μηδὲν ἔτερον ἡ λόγον.

1.1.3 | He defined this one as both one and the same, saying that he is the father of this one; and he claimed that the Word is his son, not truly being a son in essence or substance, but primarily and truly being the Word. It is emphasized that he is not a Word in a misleading way. But he is primarily and truly the Word, and nothing other than the Word.

1.1.4 | εί δὲ μηδὲν ἔτερον, δῆλον ὡς οὐδὲ υἰὸς ἦν κυρίως καὶ άληθῶς, μέχρι δὲ φωνῆς καὶ όνόματος καταχρηστικῶς ώνομασμένος. ἔν τε εἶναι λέγων καὶ ταύτὸν τῷ θεῶ τὸν λόγον, τὸν σαρκωθέντα καὶ έκ τῆς παρθένου τεχθέντα, θεὸν αὐτὸν άπέφηνεν. ὂ δὴ πάλαι καὶ πρόπαλαι τὸν Σαβέλλιον φθεγξάμενον άπεδοκίμασεν ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ, τοῖς άθέοις αὐτὸν αἰρεσιώταις ‹έγ›καταλέξασα.

1.1.4 | If he is nothing other than the Word, it is clear that he is not a son primarily and truly, but is named in a misleading way, even to the point of voice and name. By saying that the Word is one and the same with God, he declared that the Word, who became flesh and was born of the virgin, is God himself. The church of God has long ago rejected Sabellius, classifying him among the godless heretics.

# Section 2

1.2.1 | τὸ μὲν γὰρ θεὸν ὁμολογεῖν ἕνα πρὸς άντιδιαστολήν τῆς Ἑλλήνων πολυθέου πλάνης πρῶτοι παρειλήφασιν Ίουδαίων παῖδες. τὸ δὲ καὶ πατέρα τὸν αύτὸν υἱοῦ μονογενοῦς γνωρίζειν έξαίρετον γέρας ή χάρις ἡ σωτήριος τῆ ἔκκλησία δεδώρηται. υὶὸν δε Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν μόνον οἶδεν καὶ ούδένα ἔτερον, ού κατὰ τὴν τῆς σαρκὸς ἣν άνείληφεν γένεσιν (ταύτην γάρ δούλου μορφήν καὶ υἱὸν άνθρώπου καλεῖν δεδίδακται), κατὰ δὲ τὴν έξ αύτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ πατρὸς πρὸ πάντων αίώνων ἄγνωστον τοῖς πᾶσιν· καθ' ἣν τὸ πλήρωμα τῆς πατρικῆς θεότητος καὶ αύτὸν υὶὸν θεὸν ύπεστήσατο, ούκ ίδιόκτητον καὶ τοῦ πατρὸς άφωρισμένην | ούδ' ἄναρχόν τινα καὶ άγέννητον ούδὲ ἄλλοθέν ποθεν ξένην καὶ τοῦ πατρὸς άλλοτρίαν έφελκόμενον θεότητα, έξ αύτῆς δὲ τῆς πατρικῆς μετουσίας ὤσπερ άπὸ πηγῆς έπ' αύτὸν προχεομένης πληρούμενον. μόνω γαρ »έν αύτῷ πᾶν τὸ πλήρωμα« τῆς πατρικῆς ένοικεῖν »θεότητος« ὁ μέγας έπαίδευσεν άπόστολος. διὸ δὴ εἶς θεὸς τῆ ἐκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ κηρύττεται, »καὶ ούκ ἔστιν ἔτερος πλήν αύτοὺ«· εἶς δὲ καὶ μονογενής τοῦ θεοῦ υὶός, είκὼν τῆς πατρικῆς θεότητος,

1.2.1 | For the first ones to accept that God is one, in contrast to the Greeks' error of polytheism, were the children of the Jews. The grace of salvation has given the church the special honor of knowing the same father as the only-begotten son. They know only Jesus Christ as the son, and no other, not according to the flesh that he took on (for he has been taught to call this a servant's form and a son of man), but according to the nature from God the Father, which is unknown to all before all ages. In this nature, the fullness of the Father's divinity has established him as the son of God, not separate or distinct from the Father, nor an unoriginated or unbegotten being, nor a foreign divinity drawn from elsewhere, but filled from the Father's essence, just as water flows from a spring. For "in him all the fullness" of the Father's "divinity" dwells, as the great apostle taught. Therefore, one God is preached in the church of God, "and there is no other besides him." And he is one and the only-begotten son of God, the image of the Father's divinity, and for this reason, he is God.

καὶ διὰ τοῦτο θεός.

# **Section 3**

1.3.1 | ἢν δὴ θεολογίαν οὶ πολλοὶ νοεῖν ού χωρήσαντες, ποικίλας άτραποὺς έπενόησαν πλάνης α') οὶ μὲν θεὸν δόντες τὸν υὶόν, τὸν δ' ἄνθρωπον ὃν άνείληφεν άρνησάμενοι, β') οὶ δὲ ψιλὸν ἄνθρωπον ύποθέμενοι. τὸν δ' έν αύτῷ θεὸν άγνοήσασντες, γ') οὶ δὲ φόβῳ τοῦ δοκεῖν δεύτερον είσηγεῖσθαι θεὸν τὸν αύτὸν εἶναι πατέρα καὶ υὶὸν ὸρισάμενοι. οὓς έκτραπεῖσα ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ τῷ τῆς άληθείας εύαγγελικῷ κηρύγματι σεμνύνεται, ένα μέν τὸν έπὶ πάντων θεὸν έχειν αύχοῦσα, ένα δὲ καὶ υὶὸν μονογενῆ θεὸν έκ θεοῦ Ίησοῦν Χριστὸν έπιγραφομένη, σωτῆρα τὸν αύτὸν καὶ υὶὸν άνθρώπου γινόμενον ὸμολογοῦσα, ὄντα μὲν υὶὸν θεοῦ πρὶν ἢ καὶ άνθρώπου γενέσθαι, καὶ τοῦτο δὲ γενόμενον ὅπερ ούκ ην δι' ἄφατον ὑπερβολὴν τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς φιλανθρωπίας.

1.3.1 | Many people, not understanding this theology, invented various paths of error. a) Some claimed that God gave the son, while denying the man he took on. b) Others assumed he was just a mere man. Not knowing the God within him, c) some, out of fear of appearing to introduce a second God, defined the father and son as the same. The church of God, having turned away from these, proudly proclaims the truth of the gospel, asserting that there is one God above all, and also one onlybegotten God from God, Jesus Christ, calling him the same savior who became the son of man. It acknowledges him as the son of God before he became a man, and this happened through the immeasurable excess of the Father's love for humanity.

### **Section 4**

1.4.1 | ὁ μὲν δὴ τῆς ἀληθείας λόγος ταῦτ' ἐκ προσώπου βοῷ τῆς ἐκκλησίας· οὶ δὲ τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ἀρνούμενοι, ἔνα δὲ θεὸν εἶναι φάσκοντες καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτερον, τί καὶ παρενοχλοῦσιν μάτην τῆ ἐκκλησία, ταῖς Ἰουδαίων προσομιλεῖν συναγωγαῖς δέον; | τί δὲ καὶ βλασφήμοις σφὰς αὐτοὺς περιπείρουσιν λόγοις, τὸν ἔνα θεὸν συκοφαντοῦντες διτταῖς ἐπηγορίαις, εί δὴ τὸν αὐτὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν υὶὸν ὑποτίθενται; καὶ τίνος γάρ ἐστιν πατήρ, μηδενὸς ὑφεστῶτος υὶοῦ; τίνος δὲ υὶὸς ἔσται, μὴ προϋπάρχοντος τοῦ γεγεννηκότος; εἶς δὲ ὢν πάντως που αὐτὸς

1.4.1 | The word of truth cries out these things on behalf of the church: those who deny the son of God, while claiming that there is one God and nothing else, why do they trouble the church in vain, needing to speak with the synagogues of the Jews? And why do they surround themselves with blasphemous words, slandering the one God with contradictory claims, if they say that the father and the son are the same? For whose father will there be, if no son exists? And whose son will he be, if the one who begets does not exist before him? For being one, he will certainly be the one who

ἔσται ὁ σαρκωθεὶς καὶ παθὼν καὶ τὸν έν άνθρώποις διανύσας θνητὸν βίον.

became flesh, suffered, and lived a mortal life among people.

# Section 5

1.5.1 | άλλὰ ταῦτα λέγοντα Σαβέλλιον ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ, ὡς ἄθεα καὶ δυσσεβῆ τολμῶντα, μακρὰν ἤλασεν· ταῦτα δὲ Μάρκελλος άνανε οῦσθαι πειρᾶται, προκαλύμματι χρώμενος οὐ πιθανῷ λόγῳ. θεὸν γὰρ ἔνα όρθῶς ὸριζόμενος τοῦτον αὐτὸν λόγον ἔχειν έν ἑαυτῷ ἡνωμένον καὶ συνημμένον αὐτῷ φησίν· κἄπειτα τοῦ ἐνὸς θεοῦ τὸ μέν τι πατέρα καλεῖ, τὸ δὲ υὶόν, ὡς διπλῆν τινα καὶ σύνθετον οὐσίαν έν αὐτῷ εἶναι.

1.5.1 | But saying these things, the church of God drove Sabellius away as an impious and irreverent person. Marcello tries to renew these ideas, using an unconvincing argument as a cover. For rightly defining one God, he claims that this same word is united and connected within himself. Then, he calls part of the one God the father and part the son, as if there is a double and composite nature within him.

1.5.2 | καὶ πόσω τούτου κρείττων, έν κακῶν έκλογῆ, ὁ μὴ διαιρῶν μὲν τὴν ἄναρχον καὶ ἀγέννητον καὶ θεϊκὴν δύναμιν τὸν αύτὸν δ' εἶναι ὁμολογῶν γυμνῷ τῷ λόγῳ, πόσῳ δὲ ἐκατέρων βελτίων ὁ Ἰουδαῖος, τὸν ἔνα θεὸν ού διαιρῶν είς πατέρα καὶ υὶὸν Μαρκέλλῳ παραπλησίως ούδὲ τὸν αύτὸν υὶοπάτορα κατὰ τὸν Σαβέλλιον είσάγων, άλλὰ σέβων μὲν τὸν θεὸν γνωρίζων δὲ καὶ προσδοκῶν τὸν Χριστὸν αύτοῦ τὸν διὰ τῶν προφητῶν ἤξειν κηρυττόμενον, ὂν έλθόντα ἐπεὶ μὴ παρεδέξαντο, δίκην τῆς είς αὐτὸν άπιστίας ὑπέσχον τε καὶ ὑφέξουσιν.

1.5.2 | And how much greater is the one who does not divide the uncreated, unborn, and divine power, while agreeing that it is the same, compared to the Jew, who does not divide the one God into father and son, similarly to Marcello, nor introduces the same son-father as Sabellius does. Instead, he honors God, knowing and expecting the Christ who is proclaimed to come through the prophets. When he comes, since they did not accept him, they will suffer the consequences of their disbelief in him.

### Section 6

1.6.1 | οὶ δὲ πιστεύειν είς τὸν ἀφικόμενον δι' εύχῆς ἔχοντες τρία ταῦτα γνωριοῦσιντον »έκ σπέρματος Δαυὶδ« καὶ τῆς [αὐτῆς] ὰγίας παρθένου καὶ τὸν ένοικήσαντα τούτω υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ προόντα καὶ

1.6.1 | Those who believe in the one who has come through prayer know these three things: the one "from the seed of David," and the same holy virgin, and the one who dwelt in him, the son of God, who truly

ούσιωδῶς ὑφεστῶτα καὶ τὸν τούτου πατέρα θεόν, ὑφ' οὖ καὶ αύτὸς ἀπεστάλθαι συνεχῶς ὁμολογεῖ. »ἡμῖν« τοίνυν »εἷς θεὸς ὁ πατήρ, έξ οὖ τὰ πάντα« κατὰ τὸν ἀπόστολον »καὶ εἷς κύριος Ίησοῦς Χριστός, δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«, ὁ προὼν τοῦ θεοῦ μονογενὴς υἰός, καὶ τρίτος ὁ κατὰ σάρκα υὶὸς ἀνθρώπου, ὂν δι' ἡμᾶς ἀνείληφεν ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ.

exists and is substantial, and the father God, by whom he himself continuously claims to have been sent. "For us," then, "there is one God, the Father, from whom are all things," according to the apostle, "and one Lord Jesus Christ, through whom are all things," the only-begotten son of God, and thirdly, the son of man according to the flesh, whom the son of God took on for us.

1.6.2 | άλλ' οὕτε δ άνείληφεν σῶμα ταύτὸν ἦν τῷ άνειληφότι υἰῷ τοῦ θεοῦ, οὕτε αύτὸς ὁ υἰὸς τοῦ θεοῦ εἶς καὶ ὁ αὐτὸς ἂν νομισθείη τῷ γεγεννηκότι. τριῶν δὴ τούτων ὑποκειμένων, οὶ δύο διδόντες τὸ τρίτον δ' ἐκποδὼν ποιούμενοι τῆς ἐκκλησίας εἶεν ἂν ἀπόβλητοι.

1.6.2 | But neither was the body he took on the same as the son of God who was received, nor would the son of God himself be considered one and the same with the one who begot him. With these three being present, if the two give rise to the third, they would be cast out from the church.

# **Section 7**

1.7.1 | τὴν μὲν δὴ σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος άθεοί τινες τῶν ἐτεροδόξων παραιτησάμενοι, δόξη δέ τινι φαντασίας ώφθαι αύτὸν έπὶ τῆς γῆς είρηκότες, πρῶτοι τοῦ τῆς άληθείας σκοποῦ διημαρτήκασιν. οἱ δὲ τὴν ἔνσαρκον οίκονομίαν παραδεδεγιμένοι, τὸν δὲ προόντα τοῦ θεοῦ υὶὸν άρνησάμενοι, ποικίλας ώς ἔφην ἑαυτοῖς άνοδίας έπενόησαν· οὶ μὲν ψιλὸν ἄνθρωπον τῆς κοινῆς ὰπάντων φύσεως κατ' ούδὲν κρείττονα ή όσον άρετῆς προτερήμασιν γεγονέναι αύτὸν είρηκότες, οὶ δ' αύτὸν ένοικῆσαι τὸν τῶν ὅλων θεὸν τῷ σώματι δογματίσαντες υὶόν τε αύτὸν ἑαυτοῦ χρηματίσαι φάντες δι' ην υπέμεινεν κατά <u>ἄνθρωπον οίκονομίαν. δύο διδόντες οὖτοι,</u> θεὸν καὶ ἄνθρωπον, τοῦ τρίτου σφὰς αύτους έστέρησαν, αύτον τον σωτῆρα τον

1.7.1 | Some of the atheists among the heretics, having rejected the flesh of the Savior, claimed that he appeared on earth in some kind of vision, and thus they first missed the mark of the truth. Those who accepted the incarnation, but denied the son of God who came from God, invented various ways to explain themselves. Some said he was just a plain man of the common human nature, no better than anyone else except for some virtues. Others claimed that he was the son of the one true God dwelling in the body, saying that he endured the human experience. These two, presenting God and man, deprived themselves of the third, denying the Savior, the only-begotten son of God.

1.7.2 | τούτων πάλαι μὲν Σαβέλλιος νυνὶ δὲ Μάρκελλος τῆ γνώμη συναπαχθέντες τὸν μὲν έπὶ πάντων θεὸν Ἰουδαίων τοῖς ἀπίστοις ὁμοίως εὖ μάλα είδέναι διισχυρίζοντο, καὶ ‹τὸ› δεύτερον, τὴν σάρκα τὴν έκ τῆς ὰγίας παρθένου, συνωμολογήκασιν· τὸ δὲ τρίτον, αὐτὸ τὸ κεφάλαιον τῆς ὰπάντων ἡμῶν σωτηρίας, τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ παραγκωνισάμενοι, φῶς ὄντα καὶ άλήθειαν, ὁμοῦ τῆ άρνήσει τοῦ υὶοῦ καὶ τοῦ τῆς άληθείας φωτὸς έκπεπτώκασιν.

1.7.2 | Long ago, Sabellius, and now Marcello, have come together in their thinking. They strongly argued that the God of the Jews is the same as the one who is above all, and they agreed on the second point, that the flesh came from the holy virgin. But for the third point, which is the key to our salvation, they pushed aside the son of God, who is both light and truth. With their denial of the son and the light of truth, they have fallen away.

1.7.3 | ἔνθεν ἕνα μὲν θεὸν ὁμολογούντων αύτῶν άκούσει καὶ τὴν σάρκα δὲ τοῦ σωτῆρος τιμώντων· τὸν δ' ένοικήσαντα τῆ σαρκὶ θεοῦ υἱὸν φωνῆ μὲν καὶ χείλεσιν παραδεχομένων, ἔργω δὲ παραιτουμένων. υὶὸν γὰρ θεοῦ χρῆν ζητεῖν, άληθῶς ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα, οὕτε τὸν αύτὸν ὧ άνείληφεν ὄντα σώματι, οὔτε τὸν αὐτὸν őντα τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρί. οὕτε γὰρ τὸν έπέκεινα τῶν ὅλων θεὸν υἱὸν ἄν τις είπὼν εύσεβήσειεν (τίνος γὰρ ἔσται υὶὸς ὁ τὴν **ἄναρχον καὶ άγέννητον θεότητα** περιβεβλημένος;), οὔτε τὸν μονογενῆ τοῦ θεοῦ υὶὸν αύτὸν εἶναι τὸν πατέρα τις είπὼν <δια>δράσεται τὴν κατὰ τῶν δυσφήμων δίκην.

1.7.3 | From this, while they acknowledge one God and honor the flesh of the Savior, they accept with their words and lips the son of God who dwells in the flesh, but deny him in their actions. For one must seek the son of God, truly living and existing, who is neither the same as the one who took on a body nor the same as the one who is God and Father. No one can call the one who is beyond all things the son of God (for who would be his son, the one clothed in the unbegotten and eternal divinity?), nor can anyone say that the only-begotten son of God is the Father without facing the judgment against those who speak ill.

# **Section 8**

1.8.1 | διὸ δὴ τὴν εύθεῖαν καὶ βασιλικὴν ὸδὸν όρθοτομοῦσα ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ τὰς μὲν ἄλλας παρεκτροπὰς άπεδοκίμασεν. τοῖς δ' αὐτῆς θρέμμασιν τῆς ένθέου χάριτος παραδίδωσιν τὴν γνῶσιν, κατ' αὐτὸ 〈τὸ〉

1.8.1 | Therefore, the church of God, straightening and guiding the royal path, has rejected all other deviations. To its members, it gives the knowledge of divine grace, teaching them to confess the mystery

τῆς παλιγγενεσίας μυστήριον ὁμολογεῖν διδάσκουσα πιστεύειν είς ἔνα θεόν, πατέρα παντοκράτορα, τελείαν καὶ ἀκριβῆ καὶ ὁλόκληρον ταύτην είδέναι παρέχουσα τὴν θεολογίαν, ἢ θεὸν μὲν ἔνα παραδίδωσιν ἀφορίζουσα τῆς Ἑλληνικῆς καὶ πολυθέου πλάνης, πατέρα δὲ αὐτὸν οἶδεν τῆς Ἰουδαϊκῆς χωρίζουσα διδασκαλίας, παντοκράτορα δὲ αὐτὸν ὁμολογεῖ [τῆς] τῶν ἀθέων ἐτεροδόξων ἀποστρεφομένη τὴν δυσσέβειαν.

of rebirth and to believe in one God, the Almighty Father. It provides a complete, accurate, and whole understanding of theology, which teaches that there is one God, separating it from the Greek and polytheistic error, and recognizes him as Father, distinguishing it from the Jewish teachings. It also acknowledges him as Almighty, turning away from the impiety of the atheists and those with different beliefs.

1.8.2 | ούδεὶς γοῦν τῶν ἐτεροδόξων εἴποι άν τὸν πατέρα τοῦ Χριστοῦ αύτὸν εἶναι τὸν θεὸν τὸν παντοκράτορα. ὡς ούδὲ Ἰουδαίων ομολογήσειεν άν τις τον θεον πατέρα είναι τὸν μονογενῆ υὶὸν μὴ είδώς, ούδέ γε Ἑλλην ένα μόνον φήσειεν 〈ᾶν〉 είδέναι θεόν. διὸ δη τούτων άπάντων άποκαθαίρουσα την πλάνην ή έκκλησία τὸν ἔνα θεὸν κηρύττει, αύτὸν εἶναι καὶ πατέρα καὶ παντοκράτορα διδάσκουσα, πατέρα μὲν ὲνὸς τοῦ Χριστοῦ μόνου, τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν ἀπάντων θεὸν καὶ κτίστην καὶ κύριον. οὕτω καὶ υὶὸν θεοῦ μονογενῆ Ίησοῦν Χριστὸν παραδίδωσιν, τὸν πρὸ πάντων αίώνων έκ τοῦ πατρὸς γεγεννημένον, ού τὸν αύτὸν ὄντα τῷ πατρί, καθ' ὲαυτὸν δὲ ὄντα καὶ ζῶντα καὶ άληθῶς υὶὸν συνόντα, θεὸν έκ θεοῦ καὶ φῶς έκ φωτὸς καὶ ζωὴν έκ ζωῆς, άλέκτοις καὶ άρρήτοις καὶ παντάπασιν άγνώστοις ἡμῖν καὶ άκαταλήπτοις λόγοις έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έπὶ σωτηρία τῶν ὅλων γεγεννημένον, καὶ ούχ ομοίως μεν τοῖς λοιποῖς γεννητοῖς ύποστάντα ούδὲ ζωὴν έμφερῆ τοῖς δι' αύτοῦ γεγεννημένοις ζῶντα, μόνον δὲ έξ αύτοῦ τοῦ πατρὸς άποτεχθέντα καὶ αύτοζωὴν ὄντα.

1.8.2 | No one among the different believers would say that the Father of Christ is the Almighty God. Just as no one among the Jews would confess that the only-begotten Son is God the Father without knowing, nor would a Greek claim to know only one God. Therefore, the church, cleansing the error of all these, proclaims the one God, teaching that he is both Father and Almighty, being the Father of only Christ, while being God, Creator, and Lord of all others. Thus, it also teaches about the only-begotten Son of God, Jesus Christ, who was born from the Father before all ages, not being the same as the Father, but being himself living and truly the Son, God from God, light from light, and life from life. He is beyond all words that are unutterable, unknown, and incomprehensible to us, born from the Father for the salvation of all, and he is not like the other created beings, nor is he a life similar to those who live through him, but he alone is born from the Father and has life in himself.

πρὸ παντὸς γενητοῦ καὶ πρὸ πάντων αίώνων τὸ μονογενὲς τοῦτο προβαλέσθαι γέννημα, ὤσπερ τινὰ κρηπίδα καὶ θεμέλιον ἀρραγῆ τῶν μελλόντων δι' αύτοῦ γενήσεσθαι. διὸ δὴ πρὸ πάντων τῶν μελλόντων ἔσεσθαι τὸν υὶὸν ἐγέννα ὤσπερ τινὸς φωτὸς ἀκτῖνα καὶ ζωῆς πηγὴν καὶ θησαυρὸν ἀγαθῶν, »έν ῷ πάντες είσὶν οἱ θησαυροὶ τῆς σοφίας καὶ τῆς γνώσεως ἀπόκρυφοι« κατὰ τὸν θεῖον ἀπόστολον.

all, before any created thing and before all ages, to bring forth this only-begotten offspring, like a solid foundation and cornerstone for what will come to be through him. Therefore, the Son was born before all that will exist, like a ray of light and a source of life, and a treasure of good things, "in whom are hidden all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge," according to the divine apostle.

1.8.4 | τοσούτων άγαθῶν ὁ μόνος άγαθὸς πατὴρ τὸν υὶὸν ὑφίστη, αὐτοζωὴν ὅντα ζωοποιὸν τῶν ὅλων, καὶ αὐτοφῶς »τὸ άληθινὸν« καὶ πάσης νοερᾶς καὶ λογικῆς οὐσίας φωτιστικόν, καὶ αὐτολόγον καὶ 〈 αὐτο〉 σοφίαν σοφῶς τὰ πάντα καὶ λογικῶς ὑφιστάμενόν τε καὶ διοικοῦντα, ὧν δὴ χάριν μονογενὴς υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ μόνος αὐτὸς καὶ οὐδεὶς ἄλλος άνηγόρευταί τε καὶ ἕστιν.

1.8.4 | The only good Father, for the sake of so many good things, establishes the Son, who has life in himself and gives life to all. He is the true light and the enlightening source of all spiritual and rational beings, and he is the reason and wisdom, wisely sustaining and governing all things. For this reason, the only-begotten Son of God alone is proclaimed and exists, and no one else.

# **Section 9**

1.9.1 | ὅθεν είκότως ἄν τις μέμψαιτο τοῖς κτίσμα αὐτὸν φάναι τετολμηκόσιν, έξ | οὐκ ὅντων ὁμοίως τοῖς λοιποῖς κτίσμασιν γενόμενον. καὶ πῶς γὰρ ἔτι ἔσται υἰός; πῶς δὲ μονογενὴς τοῦ θεοῦ ὁ τὴν αὐτὴν τοῖς λοιποῖς κτίσμασιν ἐπιγραφόμενος φύσιν; ταὐτῃ γὰρ άδελφὸς ᾶν τούτων γένοιτο μᾶλλον, οὐχὶ δὲ υἰὸς τοῦ θεοῦ, τῶν τε πολλῶν γενητῶν ἔσται εἷς, ἄτε τῆς έξ οὐκ ὄντων κτίσεως ὁμοίως αὐτοῖς μετασχὼν κοινωνίας.

1.9.1 | Therefore, it would be reasonable for someone to blame those who dare to say that he was made from nothing, just like the other created beings. And how can he still be called Son? How can he be the only-begotten of God if he is said to have the same nature as the other created beings? For in that case, he would rather be a brother to them, not the Son of God, and he would be just one among many created beings, sharing in the same kind of existence that comes from nothing.

1.9.2 | άλλ' ούχ ὧδε τὰ θεῖα περὶ αύτοῦ

1.9.2 | But the divine teachings about him

παιδεύει λόγια. άλλ' ότε μὲν τῆς τῶν γενητῶν ὑπάρξεως τὴν διδασκαλίαν ποιεῖται, άθρόως τὰ πάντα μαρτυρεῖ δι' αύτοῦ γεγονέναι· »πάντα« γὰρ »δι' αύτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ χωρὶς αύτοῦ έγένετο ούδὲ ἕν« καὶ »έν αύτῷ έκτίσθη τὰ πάντα τὰ έν τοῖς ούρανοῖς καὶ τὰ έπὶ τῆς γῆς, εἴτε ὸρατὰ εἴτε άόρατα« καὶ »τὰ πάντα δι' αύτοῦ καὶ είς αύτὸν ἔκτισται· καὶ αύτός έστιν πρὸ πάντων καὶ τὰ πάντα έν αύτῷ συνέστηκεν« κατὰ τὰς άποστολικὰς διδασκαλίας.

do not say this. When they speak of the existence of created beings, they clearly testify that everything came to be through him. "For all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made." And "in him all things in heaven and on earth were created, whether visible or invisible." And "all things were created through him and for him." He is before all things, and in him all things hold together, according to the teachings of the apostles.

1.9.3 | ότε δὲ τὴν περὶ αύτοῦ θεολογίαν είσάγει, τῶν μὲν λοιπῶν ἀπάντων αὐτὸν άφορίζει, κύριον δὲ αύτὸν καὶ δεσπότην καὶ δημιουργὸν καὶ θεὸν καὶ σωτῆρα τῶν όλων οίδεν, μονογενή τε υίὸν θεοῦ μόνον αύτὸν καὶ ούδένα ἄλλον άποφαίνει, σοφίαν τε καὶ λόγον καὶ ζωὴν καὶ φῶς μόνον αύτὸν άποκαλεῖ, είκόνας 〈τε〉 »τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ άοράτου« καὶ »άπαύγασμα φωτὸς άιδίου«, **ἔτερά τε μύρια τούτοις άδελφὰ περὶ αύτοῦ** δοξάζειν διδάσκει, ὧδέ πη τῆς πατρικῆς θεότητος τὴν πρὸς μόνον αύτὸν ὼσανεὶ πρὸς υὶὸν μονογενῆ ίδιάζουσαν σχέσιν έπιδεικνύμενα.

1.9.3 | But when it introduces the theology about him, it sets him apart from all the others, recognizing him as the Lord, Master, Creator, God, and Savior of all. It declares him to be the only-begotten Son of God and no one else. It calls him only wisdom, word, life, and light, and teaches many other titles that honor him, such as "the image of the invisible God" and "the radiance of eternal light." In this way, it shows the unique relationship of the Father's divinity to him as the only-begotten Son.

1.9.4 | διὸ καὶ υὶὸν άγαπητὸν άνεκήρυττεν μόνον αύτὸν άμφὶ τὰ Ἰορδάνου ῥεῖθρα ἡ πατρική φωνή μεγάλη μαρτυροῦσα βοῆ »οὖτός έστιν ὁ υὶός μου ὁ άγαπητός, έν ὧ ηύδόκησα«. καὶ δευτεροῖ γε τὴν μαρτυρίαν καὶ έπὶ τῆς έν τῷ ὅρει μεταμορφώσεως τὸν αύτὸν έπισφραγιζομένη λόγον.

1.9.4 | For this reason, the Father's voice declared him to be the beloved Son with a loud testimony by the Jordan River, saying, "This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased." And it confirmed this same testimony again during the transfiguration on the mountain.

1.9.5 | αύτοῦ τοιγαροῦν τοῦ τῶν ὄλων θεοῦ 1.9.5 | Therefore, since the God of all gives ταύτην αύτῷ τὴν μαρτυρίαν παρασχομένου, τοῦ τε εύαγγελιστοῦ

this testimony about him, the evangelist clearly teaches that he is the only-begotten διαρρήδην αύτὸν υὶὸν μονογενῆ εἶναι διδάσκοντος δι' ὧν ἔφη »θεὸν ούδεὶς ἐώρακεν πώποτε· ὁ μονογενὴς υὶὸς (ἣ »μονογενὴς θεὸς«) ὁ ὧν είς τὸν κόλπον τοῦ πατρός, ἐκεῖνος ἐξηγήσατο« ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἐν ταῖς περὶ αὐτοῦ διδασκαλίαις ταῦτ' ἐπισφραγιζομένου, δι' ὧν ἔλεγεν »οὕτως γὰρ ἡγάπησεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν κόσμον, ὤστε τὸν υὶὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ ἔδωκεν, ἴνα πᾶς ὁ πιστεύων είς αὐτὸν ἔχη ζωὴν αίώνιον«,

Son. He said, "No one has ever seen God; the only-begotten Son (or "the only-begotten God"), who is in the bosom of the Father, he has explained him." This is also confirmed by the Savior himself in his teachings about him, where he said, "For God so loved the world that he gave his only-begotten Son, so that everyone who believes in him may have eternal life."

1.9.6 | ὁ μετὰ ταύτας | τὰς φωνὰς γενητὸν έξ οὐκ ὅντων καὶ κτίσμα προηγμένον έκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος τὸν υἱὸν ὁριζόμενος λέληθεν τοὕνομα μὲν αὐτῷ μόνον χαριζόμενος. τὸ δ΄ άληθῶς υἱὸν εἶναι άρνούμενος. ὁ γὰρ έξ οὐκ ὅντων γεγονὼς οὐκ [αν] άληθῶς γένοιτ' αν υἱὸς θεοῦ, ὅτι μηδὲ ἄλλο τι τῶν γεννητῶν.

1.9.6 | He who, after these voices, defines the Son as a creature made from nothing and a creation brought forth from non-existence, has forgotten to give him only the name. But he denies that he is truly a Son. For one who comes from nothing could not truly be the Son of God, just as he could not be anything else that is created.

# **Section 10**

1.10.1 | ὁ δ΄ άληθῶς υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ, έξ αὐτοῦ ἄτε δὴ ἐκ πατρὸς ἀποεχθείς, είκότως καὶ μονογενὴς καὶ ἀγαπητὸς χρηματίσειεν ἂν τοῦ πατρός· οὕτω δὲ καὶ θεὸς ἂν εἴη. τί γὰρ ἂν γένοιτο θεοῦ γέννημα 〈ῆ τὸ〉 τῷ γεγεννηκότι ἀφωμοιωμένον; κτίζει μὲν οὖν βασιλεὺς πόλιν άλλ' ού γεννιῷ πόλιν, γεννᾶν δὲ υὶὸν άλλ' ού κτίζειν λέγεται· καὶ [ὸ] τεχνίτης δημιουργὸς άλλ' ούχὶ πατὴρ γένοιτ' ἂν τοῦ πρὸς αὐτοῦ δημιουργουμένου, τοῦ δ' έξ αὐτοῦ φύντος υὶοῦ ούκ ἢν δημιουργὸς λεχθείη. καὶ δὴ καὶ ὁ τῶν ὅλων θεὸς τοῦ μὲν υὶοῦ πατήρ, τοῦ δὲ κόσμου κτίστης ἂν είκότως καὶ ποιητὴς λέγοιτο.

1.10.1 | But the true Son of God, since he comes from the Father, is rightly called the only-begotten and beloved of the Father; thus, he would also be God. For what could be a creation of God or something like the one who created it? A king builds a city but does not beget a city; to beget a son is different from creating. And a craftsman is a creator but would not be called a father of what he creates, while the one who is born from him as a son would not be called a creator. Therefore, the God of all is rightly called the Father of the Son, and the creator of the world.

1.10.2 | εί δ' ἄπαξ που τῆς γραφῆς εὑρίσκοι τις είρημένον »κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ«, τὸν νοῦν έπισκοπεῖν χρὴ τοῦ λόγου, ὂν μικρὸν ὕστερον έκθήσομαι, άλλὰ μὴ κατὰ Μάρκελλον έκ μιᾶς λέξεως τὸ κυριώτατον τῆς έκκλησίας παρασαλεύειν δόγμα. κάκεῖνος γὰρ ἄπαξ άκούσας λόγον εἶναι τὸν υὶόν, έπὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον καταπεσὼν τὴν ὕπαρξιν ἡθέτει αὐτοῦ.

1.10.2 | But if someone finds written somewhere in Scripture, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works," it is necessary to consider the meaning of the word, which I will explain shortly. However, one should not twist the most important teaching of the church based on a single word, as Marcellus did. For he, having heard that the Son is a word, fell into the error of denying his existence as a human.

1.10.3 | ὂν δὴ καὶ πρὸς αύτοῦ καὶ πρὸς τοῦ πατρὸς μαρτυρούμενον εἶναι υὶὸν πῶς τοῖς λοιποῖς γενητοῖς παραβάλλειν ὅσιον; πῶς δ' ἔσται μονογενὴς τῷ πλήθει τῶν γεγονότων συναριθμούμενος; καὶ μὴν έξ αὐτῆς τῆς προσηγορίας ὁ υὶὸς τὴν πρὸς τὸν πατέρα φυσικὴν σχέσιν παρίστησιν, ὡς αὖ πάλιν τὸ μονογενὲς ὄνομα καὶ τοῦ γένους έφάπτεται καὶ τῆς γενέσεως αὐτῆς καὶ τοῦ μόνον εἶναι καὶ μηδέτερον αὐτῷ τῆς υὶότητος κοινωνεῖν. | άλλ' ἐοίκασιν ταὐτὸν Μαρκέλλῳ πάθος πεπονθέναι καὶ οὖτοι.

1.10.3 | How can the one who is truly called the Son, being testified to by both him and the Father, be compared to other created beings? And how can he be the onlybegotten if he is counted among the many that exist? Moreover, the very name "Son" shows the natural relationship to the Father, as the name "only-begotten" connects to both his kind and his birth, indicating that he is the only one and shares nothing of sonship with others. It seems that these people have suffered the same error as Marcellus.

1.10.4 | ὁ μὲν γὰρ δέει τοῦ μὴ δύο θεοὺς είπεῖν τὴν ἄρνησιν τοῦ υἰοῦ προύβάλλετο, τὴν ὑπόστασιν άθετῶν αύτοῦ. οὶ δὲ δύο δόντες ὑποστάσεις, τὴν μὲν άγένητον τὴν δ' έξ ούκ ὄντων κτισθεῖσαν, ἔνα μὲν θεὸν ὑφίστανται· ὁ δὲ υἰὸς οὐκέτ' αὐτοῖς οὕτε ὁ μονογενὴς ἔσται οὕτε μὴν κύριος, οὕτε θεός, μηδὲν μὲν έπικοινωνῶν τῆ τοῦ πατρὸς θεότητι, τοῖς δὲ λοιποῖς κτίσμασιν, καθ' ὂ έξ ούκ ὄντων ὑπέστη, παραβαλλόμενος. άλλ' ούχ οὕτως ἡ ἑκκλησία·

1.10.4 | For those who deny the Son argue against saying there are two gods, rejecting his existence. But those who affirm two substances claim one is uncreated and the other created from nothing, yet they still maintain one god. In this view, the Son will no longer be the only-begotten, nor will he be Lord or God, having no share in the divinity of the Father, and being compared to other creations from nothing. However, the church does not hold this view.

1.10.5 | τὸν δ' υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ θεὸν καὶ κύριον ἀνακηρύττει, καὶ ἀληθῶς υὶὸν εἶναι καὶ θεὸν διδάσκει· οὐ κατὰ τοὺς πολλοὺς τοὺς ἐπίκλην όνομασθέντας υὶούς τε καὶ θεούς, περὶ ὧν εἴρηται »έγὼ εἶπα θεοί έστε καὶ υἰοὶ ὑψίστου πάντες«, καθ' ὁ δὲ μόνος αὐτὸς ὁ έξ αὐτοῦ γεννηθεὶς τοῦ πατρὸς »έν μορφῆ θεοῦ ὑπῆρχεν«, »είκών« τ' ἦν »τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτου καὶ πρωτότοκος ἀπάσης κτίσεως«. διὸ καὶ τιμᾶν καὶ σέβειν καὶ προσκυνεῖν μόνον αὐτόν, οἷα κύριον καὶ σωτῆρα καὶ θεὸν ἑαυτῆς, μεμάθηκεν.

1.10.5 | The Son of God is proclaimed as God and Lord, and it teaches that he is truly the Son and God. This is not like the many who are called sons and gods, about whom it is said, "I said, you are gods and all of you are sons of the Most High." Only he, who is born from the Father, "existed in the form of God," and he was "the image of the invisible God and the firstborn of all creation." Therefore, the church has learned to honor, respect, and worship him alone, as Lord, Savior, and God of itself.

## Section 11

1.11.1 | εί δὲ φόβον αὐτοῖς έμποιεῖ, μή πη ἄρα δύο θεοὺς άναγορεύειν δόξαιεν, ἴστωσαν ὼς καὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ πρὸς ἡμῶν ὁμολογουμένου θεοῦ εἶς ἂν γένοιτο θεὸς μόνος έκεῖνος, ὁ μόνος ἄναρχος καὶ άγέννητος, ὁ τὴν θεότητα οἰκείαν κεκτημένος, αὐτῷ τε τῷ υὶῷ τοῦ εἶναι καὶ τοῦ τοιῷδε εἶναι γεγονὼς αἴτιος· δι' ὂν καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ υὶὸς ὸμολογεῖ ζῆν, ἄντικρυς λέγων »καθὼς ἀπέστειλέν με ὸ ζῶν πατὴρ κάγὼ ζῶ διὰ τὸν πατέρα« καὶ »ὥσπερ γὰρ ὸ πατὴρ ζωὴν ἔχει έν ἑαυτῷ, οὕτως καὶ τῷ υὶῷ ἔδωκεν ζωὴν ἔχειν έν ἑαυτῷ«.

1.11.1 | If they are afraid that they might be thought to proclaim two gods, let them understand that if the Son is acknowledged as God, then that one alone would be the only God, the only uncreated and unbegotten one, who possesses his own divinity. He is the cause of the Son being and of his being like this. Because of him, the Son also claims to live, directly saying, "As the living Father sent me, and I live because of the Father," and "Just as the Father has life in himself, so he has granted the Son to have life in himself."

1.11.2 | διὸ καὶ ἡμῶν καὶ ἑαυτοῦ θεὸν εἶναι διδάσκει τὸν πατέρα, έν οἷς φησιν »ἀνέρχομαι πρὸς τὸν πατέρα μου καὶ πατέρα ὑμῶν καὶ θεόν μου καὶ θεὸν ὑμῶν«. καὶ κεφαλὴν δὲ αὐτοῦ μὲν τοῦ υἰοῦ τὸν θεόν, τῆς δ' ἐκκλησίας τὸν υἰὸν ὁ μέγας ἀπόστολος διδάσκει, πῆ μὲν λέγων »κεφαλὴ δὲ τοῦ Χριστοῦ ὁ θεός«, πῆ δὲ περὶ τοῦ υἰοῦ φάσκων »καὶ αὐτὸν ἔδωκεν κεφαλὴν ὑπὲρ πάντα τῆ ἐκκλησία, ἤτις ἐστὶν τὸ σῶμα αὐτοῦ«.

1.11.2 | Therefore, the Father teaches that he is God of both us and himself, as he says, "I am going up to my Father and your Father, and my God and your God." The great apostle teaches that the Son is the head of the church, saying, "But God is the head of Christ," and about the Son he says, "And he gave him to be the head over all the church, which is his body."

1.11.3 | ούκοῦν τῆς μὲν έκκλησίας αύτὸς άρχηγὸς ἂν εἵη καὶ κεφαλή, 〈κεφαλή〉 δὲ αύτοῦ ὁ πατήρ· οὕτως εἶς θεὸς ὁ τοῦ μονογενοῦς υὶοῦ πατήρ, καὶ μία ἡ καὶ αύτοῦ τοῦ Χριστοῦ κεφαλή. μιᾶς δὲ οὔσης άρχῆς τε καὶ κεφαλῆς, πῶς ἂν γένοιντο θεοὶ δύο, ούχὶ δὲ εἶς έκεῖνος μόνος ὁ μηδένα άνώτερον μηδὲ ὲαυτοῦ αἴτιον ἔτερον έπιγραφόμενος, οίκείαν δὲ καὶ ἄναρχον καὶ άγέννητον τῆς μοναρχικῆς έξουσίας τὴν θεότητα κεκτημένος καὶ τῷ υὶῷ τῆς ἑαυτοῦ θεότητός τε καὶ ζωῆς μεταδούς ὁ δι' αύτοῦ τὰ σύμπαντα ὑποστησάμενος, ὁ άποστέλλων αύτόν, ὁ προστάττων αύτῷ, ὁ έντελλόμενος, ὁ διδάσκων, ὁ πάντα αύτῷ παραδιδούς, ο δοξάζων αύτόν, ο ύπερυψῶν, ὁ άναδείξας βασιλέα τῶν ὅλων, ο πασαν αύτῷ τὴν κρίσιν παραδιδούς, ο καὶ ἡμᾶς αύτῷ πείθεσθαι βουλόμενος, ὁ τὸν έν δεξιᾶ τῆς μεγαλοπρεπείας αύτοῦ κατέχειν θρόνον έγκελευόμενος έν τῷ προσφωνεῖν αύτῷ καὶ λέγειν

1.11.3 | Therefore, he himself would be the leader and head of the church, while the Father is the head of him. Thus, the Father of the only-begotten Son is one God, and there is one head of Christ. Since there is one source and head, how could there be two gods? Is it not that one alone, who is not above anyone else and does not have another cause besides himself, possesses his own divinity, which is uncreated and unbegotten? He has granted the Son a share in his own divinity and life, supporting all things through him. He is the one who sends him, commands him, instructs him, gives everything to him, honors him, exalts him, and has made him king over all. He also gives all judgment to him and desires us to obey him, commanding him to sit at his right hand in his glory while addressing him and saying.

- 1.11.4 | »κάθου έκ δεξιῶν μου«, ὁ διὰ ταῦτα πάντα καὶ αὐτοῦ ὑπάρχων τοῦ υὶοῦ θεός, ῷ πειθόμενος ὁ μονογενὴς αὐτοῦ παῖς »έκένωσεν ἑαυτόν, έταπείνωσεν 〈ἑαυτόν
- , μορφὴν δούλου άνείληφεν, ὑπήκοος μέχρι θανάτου γέγονεν«, ῷ καὶ προσεύχεται, ῷ 〈καὶ〉 κελεύοντι πείθεται, ιὧ καὶ εύχαριστεῖ, ὂν καὶ »μόνον άληθινὸν θεὸν« ἡγεῖσθαι ἡμᾶς διδάσκει, μείζονά τε εἶναι ἐαυτοῦ ὁμολογεῖ, ὂν ἐπὶ πᾶσι τούτοις καὶ θεὸν ἑαυτοῦ εἶναι πάντας ἡμᾶς είδέναι βούλεται.

1.11.4 | "Sit at my right hand," says God, who exists through all these things and is the Son. The only-begotten Son, being obedient, "emptied himself, humbled himself, took the form of a servant, and became obedient to death." He prays to him, obeys him when he commands, and gives thanks to him. He teaches us to regard him as "the only true God," and he admits that the Father is greater than himself. He wants all of us to know that he is also his God.

1.11.5 | ὂν ούτω δοξάζοντα τὸν ἑαυτοῦ

1.11.5 | The Father, who is glorified by the

πατέρα άμοιβαίως άντιδοξάζων ο πατήρ καὶ κύριον καὶ σωτῆρα καὶ θεὸν τῶν ὅλων καὶ σύνθρονον τῆς αὐτοῦ βασιλείας άνέδειξεν. ὰ δὴ πεπαιδευμένη ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ ἐαυτῆς μὲν καὶ θεὸν καὶ κύριον καὶ σωτῆρα τοῦ δ' έπὶ πάντων θεοῦ υὶὸν μονογενῆ αὐτὸν γινώσκειν καὶ οὐδένα μὲν ἄλλον τῶν γενητῶν άναγορεύειν θεὸν όξιοῖ, μόνον δὲ τοῦτον οἶδεν θεόν, ὂν μόνον ὁ πατὴρ έξ ὲαυτοῦ έγέννα,

Son in this way, also glorifies him in return, and he has revealed him as Lord, Savior, and God of all, and has made him co-ruler in his kingdom. Thus, the church of God, well-taught, knows both her own God and Lord and Savior, and recognizes the onlybegotten Son of God above all. She does not call any other created being God, but knows this one alone as God, whom the Father has begotten from himself.

1.11.6 | ώσπερ οὖν αὐτὸς διὰ Σολομῶνος παρίστη λέγων »πρὸ τοῦ ὅρη ἑδρασθῆναι, πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννῷ με«, ὧδέ πη ὅρη καὶ βουνοὺς τὰς θείας καὶ ὑπερκοσμίους δυνάμεις αίνιττόμενος.

1.11.6 | Just as he himself, through Solomon, presents himself saying, "Before the mountains were settled, before the hills, I was brought forth," here he is hinting at the divine and heavenly powers as mountains and hills.

#### Section 12

1.12.1 | εί δὲ δὴ περιεργάζοιτό τις καὶ πῶς ἂν γεννήσειεν ὁ θεός, πῶς δ' ἔσται πατὴρ

⟨ὸ⟩ ἐπέκεινα τῶν ὅλων, τὸ τολμηρὸν τοῦ πύσ μάτος κατασιγάσει ὁ φήσας »βαθύτερά σου μὴ ζήτει, καὶ ὑψηλότερά σου μὴ ἐξέταζε. ὰ προσετάγη σοι, ταῦτα διανοοῦ· οὐ γάρ ἐστίν σοι χρεία τῶν κρυπτῶν«. καὶ ὁ Παῦλος δὲ είπὼν »ὧ βάθος πλούτου καὶ σοφίας καὶ γνώσεως θεοῦ· ὡς ἀνεξερεύνητα τὰ κρίματα αὐτοῦ καὶ ἀνεξιχνίαστοι αὶ ὁδοὶ αὐτοὺ« τὸν περαιτέρω χωρεῖν τολμῶντα μὴ ἀνεφίκτοις ἐπιχειρεῖν πειθέτω. ἢ λεγέτω πρότερον αὐτός, ὰ δή φησιν ἑξ οὐκ ὅντων γεγονέναι, πῶς καὶ τίνα τρόπον ὑπέστη, μηδαμῆ μηδαμῶς ὅντα πρότερον.

1.12.1 | But if someone were to be curious about how God could beget, and how he could be a Father beyond all things, the boldness of such questioning will be silenced by the one who says, "Do not seek deeper than you should, and do not investigate higher than you should. Focus on what has been commanded to you; for you have no need of the hidden things." And Paul also said, "Oh, the depth of the riches and wisdom and knowledge of God! How unsearchable are his judgments and how inscrutable his ways!" Let those who dare to go further not attempt the impossible. Or let them first say themselves how he claims to have made things from nothing, and in what way he existed before anything was at all.

1.12.2 | ού γὰρ δὴ κατὰ τοὺς παρ' ἡμῖν τεχνίτας ὕλην προϋποκειμένην λαβὼν ταῖς χερσὶν τὸ πᾶν έτεκτήνατο. ὤσπερ δὲ τὸ τῆ φύσει ἀμήχανον ὅσον ἐπ' ἀνθρώποις (λέγω δὲ τὸ μηδαμῶς ὑπάρχον ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος είς τὸ εἶναι προαγαγεῖν) αὐτῷ δυνατὸν κατέστη, καὶ γέγονεν τῶν ὅλων ποιητὴς οὐ κατὰ ἄνθρωπον, ἀρρήτοις δὲ καὶ ἀφράστοις ἡμῖν λόγοις, οὕτω καὶ πολὺ πλέον ἡ τοῦ μονογενοῦς αὐτοῦ γέννησις ἀνεξερεύνητος καὶ ἀνεξιχνίαστος ἂν εἵη, ούχ ἡμῖν ὡς ἂν φαίη τις μόνοις, άλλὰ καὶ πάσαις ταῖς κρείττοσιν ἢ καθ' ἡμᾶς δυνάμεσιν.

1.12.2 | For he did not take pre-existing material like the craftsmen among us to create everything with his hands. Just as it is impossible by nature for humans (I mean to bring something that does not exist into being), it became possible for him, and he became the creator of all things not in a human way. And with words that are unspeakable and beyond our understanding, so much more is the birth of his only-begotten Son unsearchable and inscrutable, not just to us as someone might claim alone, but also to all greater or equal powers.

1.12.3 | τὰ γὰρ μακρῷ τὴν φύσιν ύποβεβηκότα, κάτω τε καὶ πορρωτάτω τῆς άρρήτου καὶ θεικῆς καθεστῶτα ούσίας, πῶς ἂν έφίκοιτο τῆς έπέκεινα καὶ άνωτάτω καταλήψεως; πῶς δ' ἂν τὰ μὴ őντα πρότερον, δι' αύτοῦ δ' έκ τοῦ μὴ őντος είς τὸ εἶναι προαχθέντα, τοῦ προαγαγόντος αίτίου τε αύτοῖς τῆς ύπάρξεως γενομένου σχοίη ἄν τῆς πρώτης γενέσεως τὴν γνῶσιν; ἢ πού γε ἡμεῖς οὶ χθιζοί, »δέρμα καὶ κρέας« ένδεδυμένοι, »όστέοις καὶ νεύροις« ένειρμένοι, καὶ μηδὲ τὰ καθ' ἡμᾶς είδότες, τὴν περὶ τῶν άρρήτων άκίνδυνον ποιησόμεθα ζήτησιν, πῶς έγέννα | τὸν υἱὸν ὁ πατὴρ πολυπραγμονοῦντες; ὅτι δὲ καὶ τῶν παρὰ πόδας μυρία την ημετέραν διαδιδράσκει γνῶσιν, δῆλον ἂν εἴη ένθένδε.

1.12.3 | For how could one who has descended far from the nature of the divine and mysterious essence reach the higher and utmost understanding? And how could those things that did not exist before, which came into being through him from nothing, have knowledge of the first generation, since he is the cause of their existence? Or where are we, who are clothed in "skin and flesh," bound by "bones and sinews," and knowing nothing about ourselves, to make a safe inquiry about the hidden things, wondering how the Father begets the Son? That there are countless things right at our feet that teach us knowledge is clear from this.

1.12.4 | τίς γὰρ ἂν είπεῖν ἔχοι, πῶς καθεῖρκται τῷ σώματι ἡ ψυχή, πῶς ἔξεισιν, πῶς δὲ τὴν άρχὴν είσεκρίθη, ὁποῖον δὲ αὐτῆς τὸ εἶδος, τί τὸ σχῆμα, τίς ἡ μορφή, τίς ἡ οὐσία; οὔπω μοι τὸν περὶ δαιμόνων πολυπραγμονεῖν, οὐ τὸν περὶ οὐσίας

1.12.4 | For who could say how the soul is bound to the body, how it will leave, how its origin was determined, what its nature is, what its shape is, who its form is, and what its essence is? I do not want to be busy with questions about demons, nor

άγγέλων, ού τὸν περὶ άρχῶν καὶ θρόνων καὶ κυριοτήτων, ού τὸν περὶ έξουσιῶν καὶ κοσμοκρατόρων.

about the essence of angels, nor about powers and thrones and dominions, nor about authorities and world rulers.

1.12.5 | εί δ' έν τούτοις ἄποσιν άμηχανοῦμεν, τί δεῖ τὴν περὶ τῆς ἀνάρχου καὶ ἀγενήτου θεότητος γνῶσιν διερευνᾶσθαι; τί τὰ ἀνέφικτα έρωτᾶν, πῶς υὶοῦ μονογενοῦς ὁ θεὸς ὑπέστη πατήρ, ὡς μὴ ἀπαρκούσης ἡμῖν τῆς πατρικῆς φωνῆς πρὸς μαρτυρίαν τοῦ ἀγαπητοῦ, ὂν ἀνεκήρυξεν είπὼν »οὖτός έστιν ὁ υὶός μου ὁ ἀγαπητός, έν ῷ ηὐδόκησα, αὐτοῦ ἀκούετε«;

1.12.5 | But if we are at a loss about these things, why should we try to understand the knowledge of the unoriginated and uncreated deity? Why ask the impossible questions about how God became the Father of the only-begotten Son, when we do not have the Father's voice to testify about the beloved, whom he declared by saying, "This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased; listen to him"?

1.12.6 | εί δὴ ἀκούειν αὐτοῦ έγκελεύεται, βασιλικῷ νόμῳ πειθαρχοῦντες ἀκούσωμεν τοῦ άγαπητοῦ υἰοῦ. τί δὲ οὺτος περὶ ἑαυτοῦ βούλεται ἡμᾶς είδέναι, παραστήσει λέγων »οὕτως γὰρ ήγάπησεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν κόσμον, ὤστε τὸν υἰὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ ἔδωκεν, ἴνα πᾶς ὁ πιστεύων είς αὐτὸν ἔχη ζωὴν αίώνιον«. πιστευτέον δῆτα αὐτῷ, ὡς ἀν τῆς αἰωνίου τύχοιμεν ζωῆς, »ὸ« γὰρ »πιστεύων« φησὶν »είς αὐτὸν ἔχει ζωὴν αίώνιον«·

1.12.6 | If he commands us to listen to him, let us obey the royal law and listen to the beloved Son. What does he want us to know about himself? He will present it by saying, "For God so loved the world that he gave his only-begotten Son, so that everyone who believes in him may have eternal life." We must believe in him so that we may have eternal life, for he says, "The one who believes in him has eternal life."

1.12.7 | ούχ ὁ γινώσκων ὅπως ἐκ τοῦ πατρὸς γεγέννηται, ού γὰρ ἄν τινι μετῆν ζωῆς αίωνίου, εί δὴ τοῦτ' εἴρηται ὅτι »μηδεὶς ἔγνω τὸν πατέρα εί μὴ ὁ υὶός, μηδὲ τὸν υὶόν τις ἔγνω εί μὴ« μόνος ὁ γεννήσας αὐτὸν »πατήρ«. ἀπαρκεῖ τοιγαροῦν ἡμῖν ἡ πίστις πρὸς σωτηρίαν, ἡ θεὸν πατέρα παντοκράτορα παρέχουσα γινώσκειν, καὶ τὸν μονογενῆ αὐτοῦ υὶὸν ἐπιγράφεσθαι σωτῆρα, ὸς δὴ πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις καὶ ταῦθ' ὧδε παρεδίδου λέγων »τὸ γεγεννημένον ἐκ τῆς σαρκὸς σάρξ ἐστιν, καὶ τὸ

1.12.7 | No one knows how he was born from the Father, for no one would share in eternal life if this is true, since it is said, "No one has known the Father except the Son, nor has anyone known the Son except the Father who begot him." Therefore, faith is enough for us for salvation, which allows us to know God the Father Almighty and to call his only-begotten Son our Savior. He also taught this by saying, "That which is born of the flesh is flesh, and that which is

γεγεννημένον έκ τοῦ πνεύματος πνεῦμά έστιν«. »πνεῦμα« δὲ »ὁ θεός«.

born of the Spirit is spirit." "God is spirit."

1.12.8 | διὸ ἔπεται νοεῖν, ὡς καὶ τὸ γεγεννημένον έκ τοῦ θεοῦ θεὸς ἀν εἴη. ὄσῳ δὲ τῆς γεώδους σαρκὸς ὁ έπέκεινα τῶν ὅλων θεὸς ἀπηλλοτρίωται καὶ ἀπεσχοίνισται τῆ φύσει, τοσούτῳ χρὴ νοεῖν καὶ τῆς τῶν σαρκῶν γενέσεως ἀπηλλοτριῶσθαι τὸν τρόπον, καθ' ὂν ὁ πατὴρ έγέννα τὸν υὶόν. | ού γάρ τι προϊεμένος οὐδ' άλλοιούμενος οὐδέ γε παθητικῶς κινούμενος οὐδ' ὅλως τι τῶν ἡμῖν συνεγνωσμένων ὑπομένων, ὑφίστη αὐτόν.

1.12.8 | Therefore, it follows that what is born of God would also be God. As much as the God who is above all is separated and distinct by nature from earthly flesh, so we must understand that the way in which the Father begets the Son is also different from the way flesh gives birth. For he does not change, does not become different, does not move passively, nor does he endure anything that we experience. He remains himself.

1.12.9 | ούδὲ γὰρ σῶμα ἦν, ὡς ἀπόρροιαν ἡ μείωσιν ἡ ἔκτασιν ἡ μεταβολὴν ἡ τροπὴν ἡ ροὴν ἡ μέρος ἡ πάθος ἐπ' αὐτῷ λογίσασθαι. τούτων δ' ἐκτὸς ὰπάντων, ἄρρητον ἡμῖν καὶ ἀνεπιλόγιστον, μᾶλλον δὲ πάσῃ γενητῆ φύσει ἀνεξερεύνητον καὶ ἀνεξιχνίαστον τὴν γενεσιουργίαν ὑφίστη. γεννᾶ μὲν οὖν καὶ ἡλιος τὴν αὐγήν, λέγεται δὲ καὶ ὁ υὶὸς »ἀπαύγασμα φωτὸς ἀιδίου« καὶ »ἀπαύγασμα τῆς δόξης«, ού μὴν κατὰ τὴν αίσθητὴν τοῦ φωτὸς είκόνα· ἐπέκεινα γὰρ παντὸς παραδείγματος ἀρρήτοις αὐτὸν καὶ ἀκαταλήπτοις λόγοις ὑφίστη, καὶ τοῦτον ἕνα καὶ μονογενῆ.

1.12.9 | For there was no body, as if it were a result, a decrease, an expansion, a change, a transformation, a flow, a part, or a passion that could be thought of in relation to him. Beyond all these things, his generation is unspeakable and beyond understanding, even more so than any created nature, being unsearchable and unfathomable. Just as the sun gives birth to the dawn, the Son is called "the radiance of eternal light" and "the reflection of glory," but not in the way we see light. For he exists beyond any example, described by unspeakable and incomprehensible words, and he is one and only-begotten.

1.12.10 | δυσσεβῶν δὲ καὶ άθέων άνδρῶν τῶν τὴν πολύθεον πλάνην νενοσηκότων άποπεφράχθω πᾶν στόμα, οἳ τοῦ μονογενοῦς υἰοῦ τὴν έξαίρετον καὶ ίδιάζουσαν γέννησιν είς πλῆθος καταβαλόντες πατέρα »άνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε« άνειρήκασιν, ὸμοῦ μὲν ἄνδρας μίξαντες

1.12.10 | Let every mouth of the wicked and atheistic men who are sick with their many gods' delusion be stopped. They have reduced the unique and special birth of the only-begotten Son to a multitude, claiming that the Father is "of men and gods," mixing men with gods. They also suggest that the

θεοῖς. ὁμοῦ δὲ τούτοις ὁμοφυᾶ καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν είσηγούμενοι πατέρα. ἀλλ' οἴδε μὲν ένεργεία δαιμονικῆ τὸ δυσσεβὲς τουτὶ καὶ ἄθεον προήκαντο ῥῆμα, τῷ θνητῷ καὶ παθητικῷ καὶ ἀμαρτητικῷ γένει τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὁμοφυεῖς καὶ ὁμοπαθεῖς θεοὺς καὶ τὸν τούτων κοινὸν ὑποθέμενοι πατέρα πάντως που τοῖς παισὶν παρωμοιωμένον· ὁ δ' ἐκκλησιαστικὸς κῆρυξ ἐνὸς θεοῦ ἔνα μονογενῆ υὶὸν τοῖς πᾶσιν ἀνακηρύττει, ὂν ὑπὲρ τῆς τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων σωτηρίας τε καὶ προνοίας ὑφίστη.

same Father is of the same nature. But these men, through demonic activity, have brought forth this wicked and godless saying, making gods that are similar and share the same nature and suffering as the mortal, passive, and sinful race of humans, and they have set up a common Father for them, resembling their children. But the church proclaims one God and one onlybegotten Son to all, who is the source of salvation and care for all created beings.

## Section 13

1.13.1 | έπειδὴ γὰρ ἡ τῶν γεγονότων φύσις, έν σώμασιν καὶ άσωμάτοις έμψύχοις τε καὶ άψύχοις λογικοῖς τε καὶ άλόγοις θνητοῖς τε καὶ άθανάτοις τὸ διάφορον κεκτημένη, τῷ ὑπὲρ πάντων καὶ έπὶ πάντων θεῷ πελάζειν καὶ τῶν | τῆς αὐτοῦ θεότητος μαρμαρυγῶν ούχ οἴα τε ἦν μετέχειν δι' ὑπερβολὴν τῆς άπὸ τοῦ κρείττονος έλλείψεως, μακρὰν δὲ καὶ πορρωτάτω φύσεως άσθενείᾳ διώλισθεν ἄν. εί μὴ θεοῦ σωτῆρος ἔτυχεν βοηθοῦ, είκότως ἡ πατρικὴ φιλανθρωπία τὸν αὐτοῦ μονογενῆ παῖδα έπὶ πᾶσιν καθίστη, καὶ διὰ πάντων χωροῦντα καὶ τοῖς πᾶσιν έπιστατοῦντα καὶ τὰς έξ αὐτοῦ χορηγίας έπάρδοντα.

1.13.1 | Since the nature of created things, both in bodies and in non-bodies, in living and non-living, in rational and irrational, in mortal and immortal, has its differences, it was not possible to approach the God who is above all and over all, nor to share in the glories of his divinity, due to the overwhelming lack from the greater source. It would be far removed and distant from the weakness of nature. Unless one received help from the Savior God, it is reasonable that the Father's loving kindness establishes his only-begotten Son over all, who fills all things, oversees all, and bestows his gifts to everyone.

1.13.2 | πάντων γὰρ άθρόως τῶν τε κατ' ούρανὸν καὶ τῶν έπὶ γῆς ποιμένα καὶ σωτῆρα κηδεμόνα τε καὶ φύλακα καὶ ίατρὸν καὶ κυβερνήτην μόνον αὐτὸν ὁ γεννήσας ἀνέδειξεν πατήρ, τῆς τῶν ὅλων συστάσεώς τε καὶ διοικήσεως μόνῳ τοὺς οἴακας έγχειρίσας, ὁ διδάσκει λέγων αὐτὸς »πάντα μοι παρεδόθη ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρός μου« καὶ αὖθις »ὁ γὰρ πατὴρ φιλεῖ τὸν υὶόν, καὶ πάντα δέδωκεν έν τῆ χειρὶ

1.13.2 | For the Father who has begotten him has revealed him as the only shepherd and savior, guardian and protector, healer and ruler of all things, both in heaven and on earth. He has entrusted to him alone the care and governance of all creation. He teaches, saying, "All things have been given to me by my Father," and again, "For the Father loves the Son and has given all

things into his hand."

1.13.3 | ὁ δ΄ οἶα σοφὸς κυβερνήτης τὸν κλῆρον παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς ὑποδεδεγμένος, έπιβὰς τοῦ σύμπαντος κόσμου ἄνω τε πρὸς τὸν αὐτοῦ πατέρα βλέπων, ἄγει καὶ φέρει πηδαλιουχῶν τὸ πᾶν, ούδὲ τὸ σμικρότατον τῶν δεομένων τῆς έξ αὐτοῦ χορηγίας παρορῶν ούδ΄ ὑπερφρονῶν τῶν βραχυτάτων· διὸ δὴ τοῖς πᾶσιν έπιπάρεστιν, ἐκάστῳ νέμων τὰ πρόσφορα, τῆ τε τῶν ὅλων θεραπείᾳ δίκην ίατροῦ τὰ ὰρμόδια πρὸς σωτηρίαν έπαρκῶν.

αύτοῦ«.

1.13.3 | He, as a wise ruler, having received the inheritance from the Father, looks up to his Father while governing the whole universe. He leads and carries everything, not overlooking even the smallest needs for his gifts, nor being dismissive of the tiniest. Therefore, he is present to all, providing what is suitable for each person, and like a healer, he supplies what is necessary for salvation.

1.13.4 | ὅθεν είκότως οὐδὲ τοῦ κατὰ ἄνθρωπον ὑπερεφρόνησεν βίου, ἀλλὰ καὶ πάλαι πρότερον τοῖς έξ αίῶνος φιλοθέοις ἀνδράσιν τὴν έξ αὐτοῦ παρεῖχεν έπικουρίαν, ὧδε μὲν ‹έν› ἀνθρωπείῳ σχήματι τοῖς Ἑβραίων προπάτορσιν έπιφαινόμενος ὧδε δὲ τοῖς τούτων άπογόνοις ὰρμοδίους διαταττόμενος νόμους, ἤδη δὲ καὶ τοῖς μετέπειτα διὰ προφητῶν μελλούσης αὐτοῦ θεοφανείας ἄπασιν έκλάμψειν άνθρώποις έξεδίδου θεσπίσματα.

1.13.4 | Therefore, it is fitting that he did not think too highly of human life, but even long ago, he provided help to those godly men from ages past. He appeared in human form to the Hebrew ancestors and gave suitable laws to their descendants. He also revealed through the prophets that his divine presence would shine upon all people in the future, giving them his decrees.

1.13.5 | ὡς δὲ ὁ τούτων παρήλαυνε χρόνος, ἔργοις ἐπλήρου παλαιῶν χρησμῶν ἀποτελέσματα, καὶ δὴ παρῆν λοιπὸν ἀναμὶξ τῷ θνητῷ βίῳ συναγελαζόμενος, αὐτός τε ἦν ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ μονογενὴς υὶὸς ὡς ἐν ἀγάλματι θείῳ, τῷ τοῦ σώματος ὀργάνῳ, τῷ τῶν ἀνθρώπων καθομιλῶν γένει διδασκαλίαις, θεραπείαις,

1.13.5 | As time passed, he fulfilled the ancient prophecies with his works, and he was present, mingling with human life. He was the only Son of God, like a divine statue, teaching through the instrument of the body, with lessons and healings for the human race.

1.13.6 | ένθέου σοφίας άπορρήτοις παιδεύμασιν. | ήδη δὲ αύτῶν μέχρι 1.13.6 | With divine wisdom and secret teachings, he drove them to the gates of

θανάτου πυλῶν ἤλαυνεν πατρικοῦ νεύματος ὑπερβαλλούση φιλανθρωπία, ὡς άν καὶ τοὺς τῆδε τῆς ἑαυτοῦ καταξιώσειεν χάριτος, έπὶ τὴν παρ' αύτῷ ζωὴν άνελκύσας. οὕτω »θεὸς ἦν έν Χριστῶ κόσμον καταλλάσσων ἑαυτῷ«· καὶ τὴν **ἔνσαρκον ὑπέμεινεν οίκονομίαν, προὼν μὲν** αύτῆς καὶ προϋπάρχων, θεότητι πατρικῆς δόξης τετιμημένος· ού μὴν »ὰρπαγμὸν« ηγούμενος »τὸ εἶναι ἴσα θεῷ, ἑαυτὸν« δ' οὖν κενώσας καὶ »μορφὴν δούλου λαβὼν έταπείνωσεν ὲαυτόν, ὑπήκοος« τῷ πατρὶ »γενόμενος μέχρι θανάτου«, ἵν' »ὤσπερ« »ὸ θάνατος« »δι' ένὸς ὰμαρτήσαντος« »άνθρώπου« παντὸς κατεκυρίευσεν γένους, οὕτως καὶ ἡ αίώνιος ζωὴ διὰ »τῆς αύτοῦ χάριτος« τῶν είς αύτὸν «πιστευόντων» καὶ δι' αύτοῦ τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρὶ αύτοῦ γνωριζομένων βασιλεύσειεν.

death with a surpassing love from the Father. This was so that he could also grant them the grace to rise to the life that is with him. Thus, "God was in Christ reconciling the world to himself." He endured the reality of being in the flesh, existing before it and honored with the glory of the Father. He did not consider it "something to be grasped to be equal with God," but emptied himself, taking the form of a servant. He humbled himself, becoming obedient to the Father "even to death," so that just as "death came through one man's sin," it might also be that eternal life would reign through "his grace" for those who believe in him and come to know God and his Father.

#### Section 14

1.14.1 | είκότως δὴ ταῦτα κηρύττουσα ἡ έκκλησία, ὤσπερ τι κίβδηλον παραχαράξαντα νόμισμα τὴν ἄρνησιν τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ, τὸν Σα βέλλιον ἀπεδοκίμασεν, καίτοι θεὸν ἔνα είδέναι καὶ πλὴν αὐτοῦ μὴ εἶναι Μαρκέλλῳ παραπλησίως λέγοντα· καὶ αὐτοῦ δὲ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν οὶ πρωτοκήρυκες Έβιωναίους ώνόμαζον, Έβρακῆ φωνῆ πτωχοὺς τὴν δάνοιαν ἀποκαλοῦντες, τοὺς ἔνα μὲν θεὸν λέγοντας είδέναι καὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος τὸ σῶμα μὴ άρνουμένους, τὴν δὲ τοῦ υἰοῦ θεότητα μὴ είδότας.

1.14.1 | The church rightly proclaims these things, just as it rejected the false coin of denying the Son of God, Sabellius. Although he said that there is one God and that besides him there is no other, he spoke similarly to Marcellus. The first preachers also called the Ebionites, who in Hebrew called the poor "the poor," said that they knew one God and did not deny the body of our Savior, but they did not understand the divinity of the Son.

1.14.2 | καὶ τὸν Σαμοσατέα δέ, καίπερ Ίησοῦν τὸν Χριστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ εἶναι διδάσκοντα, θεόν τε ἔνα τὸν ἐπὶ πάντων ὸμοίως ὁμολογοῦντα Μαρκέλλῳ, τῆς ἐκκλησίας τοῦ θεοῦ ἀλλότριον ἀπέφηναν 1.14.2 | And concerning Samosata, although he taught that Jesus is the Christ of God and confessed one God who is over all, the church fathers declared that he was foreign to the church of God. This was because he οὶ ἐκκλησιαστικοὶ πατέρες, ὅτι μὴ καὶ υὶὸν θεοῦ καὶ θεὸν πρὸ τῆς ἐνσάρκου γενέσεως ὅντα τὸν Χριστὸν ὡμολόγει. ἀλλ' οὖτος μὲν ὡς είς τὸν Χριστὸν ἀσεβῶν τῆς ἐκκλησίας αὐτοῦ μακρὰν ἡλαύνετο·

did not confess that Christ was both the Son of God and God before his incarnation. Thus, he was driven far from the church as a blasphemer against Christ.

- 1.14.3 | Σαβέλλιος δ΄ ὡς | είς αὐτὸν πλημμελῶν τὸν πατέρα, ὂν υὶὸν λέγειν έτόλμα, τὴν ἴσην τοῖς ἀθέοις αἰρεσιώταις ὑπεῖχε τιμωρίαν. τὰ ἴσα δ΄ αὐτῶ ὑπιδόμενος Μάρκελλος παθεῖν, καινοτέραν έξεῦρε τῆ πλάνη μηχανήν, θεὸν καὶ τὸν ἐν αὐτῷ λόγον ἔνα μὲν εἶναι ὁριζόμενος, δύο δ΄ αὐτῷ πατρὸς καὶ υὶοῦ χαριζόμενος έπηγορίας. οὐ μὴν ἔλαθεν οὐδ΄ έξέφυγεν, όψέ ποτε φωραθεὶς τοῖς αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ δικτύοις·
- 1.14.3 | Sabellius, as he was guilty against the Father, whom he dared to call the Son, faced punishment equal to that of the godless heretics. Marcellus, taking on the same faults, found a newer way of deception, defining God and the Word within him as one, while attributing two titles to him: Father and Son. However, he did not escape notice and was eventually caught in his own nets.
- 1.14.4 | πλὴν καὶ πρὶν ὰλῶναι συνειδήσει πληττόμενος είς άποσκευὴν τῆς ὑπονοίας βλασφημεῖν ὤρμητο τὸν Σαβέλλιον.
- 1.14.4 | But even before being caught, he was struck by his conscience and was driven to blaspheme against Sabellius.

# **Section 15**

- 1.15.1 | ὅπως δὲ τὸν ἄνδρα διέβαλεν όνομαστὶ μνημονεύσας αὐτοῦ, ἐπάκουσον ταῦτα περὶ αὐτοῦ γράφοντος αὐταῖς συλλαβαῖς (Νr. 38) Σαβέλλιος γὰρ καὶ αὐτὸς τῆς ὁρθῆς όλισθήσας πίστεως οὕτε τὸν θεὸν άκριβῶς ἔγνω οὕτε τὸν ἄγιον αὐτοῦ λόγον. ὁ γὰρ μὴ τὸν λόγον γνοὺς ἡγνόησεν καὶ τὸν πατέρα. »οὐδεὶς« γὰρ »οἶδεν« φησὶν »τὸν πατέρα, εί μὴ ὁ υὶός«, τουτέστιν ὁ λόγος· ‹ὁ γὰρ λόγος› δι' αὺτοῦ τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς παρέχει γνῶσιν. οὕτω γὰρ καὶ πρὸς τοὺς οἰομένους τηνικαῦτα τῶν Ἰουδαίων είδέναι τὸν θεόν, άθετοῦντας δ' αὐτοῦ τὸν λόγον, δι' οὺ μόνου γινώσκεται ὁ θεός ἔλεγεν »ούδεὶς ἐπιγινώσκει τὸν
- 1.15.1 | As he slandered the man by mentioning his name, listen to what Sabellius wrote about him in these words: For Sabellius himself, having strayed from the true faith, neither knew God accurately nor his holy Word. For whoever does not know the Word has also ignored the Father. "No one," he says, "knows the Father except the Son," that is, the Word; for "the Word" provides knowledge of the Father. Thus, he also spoke against those Jews who thought they knew God, while rejecting his Word, through which alone God is known, saying, "No one knows the Father except the Son and whoever the Son chooses to reveal

πατέρα εί μὴ ὁ υὶὸς καὶ ῷ ἀν ὁ υὶὸς ἀποκαλύψη«. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ἀδύνατον ἦν ἐτέρως γνῶναι τὸν θεόν, διὰ τοῦ ίδίου λόγου είδέναι αὐτὸν τοὺς ἀνθρώπους διδάσκει, ὤστε ἐσφάλη μὲν κάκεῖνος μὴ τὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν τούτου λόγον ἀκριβῶς γνούς.

him." For it was impossible to know God in any other way; he teaches that people know him through his own Word, so he was wrong not to know the Father and his Word accurately.

1.15.2 | ταῦτα Μάρκελλος ἔγραφεν, τῆς τοῦ Σαβελλίζειν ὑπονοίας καθαίρων ἑαυτὸν μέχρι φωνῆς καὶ λόγου· τῆ γε μὴν γνώμῃ καὶ τῆ πρὸς τὸν ἄνδρα ὁμοφροσύνῃ ἀλίσκεται τοῖς αὐτοῖς ἐκείνῳ περιπειρόμενος βέλεσιν, ὡς ἔμοιγε \*\*\*\*\* καὶ δι' εὐχῆς ὑπῆρξεν ἂν τὸ μὴ τοῖς αὐτοῖς αὐτὸν περιπεσεῖν· νυνὶ δὲ κάκιον ἢ ἐκεῖνος πλημμελῶν φαίνεται. ὁ μὲν γὰρ τάχα που σφαλλόμενος ήγνόει· | ὁ δ' ὁμολογῶν ἑσφάλθαι τὸν ἄνδρα, κἄπειτα αὑτὸν ώθῶν ἐπὶ τὸν προφανῆ τῆς αὐτῆς δυσσεβείας κρημνόν, οὐδεμιᾶς γένοιτ' ᾶν συγγνώμης ἄξιος. άλλὰ γὰρ διασκεψώμεθα τὰ λελεγμένα.

1.15.2 | These things were written by Marcellus, clearing himself of the suspicion of being a Sabellian, up to the point of voice and speech. Yet, in his opinion and in his agreement with the man, he is caught by the same arrows, as it seems to me that he would have avoided falling into the same trap through prayer. But now he appears worse than that one who was at fault. For perhaps he, being misled, was unaware; but the one who admits that he has wronged the man and then pushes himself toward the obvious cliff of the same impiety deserves no excuse at all. But let us examine what has been said.

# **Section 16**

1.16.1 | πρῶτον μὲν οὖν ‹ὅτι› μήτε τὸν Σαβέλλιον μήτε Ἰουδαίους έγνωκέναι τὸν θεὸν ἔφη τῷ μὴ τὸν λόγον έγνωκέναι αὐτούς, σκέψασθαι χρὴ ὁποῖον ὑποτίθεται λόγον· εί μὲν γὰρ τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ, τὸν ὑφεστῶτα καὶ ζῶντα, άλλ' οὐδ' αὐτὸς τοῦτον ἔγνω. αὐτίκα δ' οὖν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν διαφόρως μνημονεύσαντος τοῦ υὶοῦ καὶ πολλάκις μονογενῆ υὶὸν άνειπόντος καὶ μηδεπώποτε λόγον ἐαυτὸν άποκαλέσαντος, διὰ πάντων δὲ τῶν εύαγγελίων υὶὸν θεοῦ εἶναι διδάξαντος (έφ' ῷ καὶ μακαρίζει τὸν Πέτρον είρηκότα »σὺ εἶ ὁ Χριστὸς ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ζῶντος«, καὶ τὴν γνῶσιν αὐτῷ ‹δι'›

1.16.1 | First of all, he said that neither Sabellius nor the Jews knew God because they did not know the Word. We must consider what kind of Word is being suggested. For if it is the only-begotten Son of God, who is present and alive, he himself did not know him. Immediately, when our Savior spoke differently about the Son and often referred to him as the only-begotten Son, and never called himself the Word, he taught through all the Gospels that he is the Son of God. (On this, he blessed Peter when he said, "You are the Christ, the Son of the living God," and he testified that this knowledge came to him through a

άποκαλύψεως τοῦ πατρὸς γεγονέναι μαρτυρεῖ φήσας »μακάριος εἶ, Σίμων βὰρ Ἰωνᾶ, ὅτι σὰρξ καὶ αἷμα ούκ ἀπεκάλυψέν σοι άλλ' ὁ πατήρ μου ὁ οὐράνιος«), ὁ θαυμαστὸς οὖτος ὤσπερ βεβυσμένος τὰ ὧτα ταῦτα κατὰ λέξιν γράφει (Nr. 34) καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ούχ υἰὸν θεοῦ ἐαυτὸν όνομάζει. άλλὰ πανταχοῦ υἰὸν άνθρώπου ἑαυτὸν λέγει, ἴνα διὰ τῆς τοιαύτης ὁμολογίας θέσει τὸν ἄνθρωπον διὰ τῆς πρὸς αύτὸν κοινωνίας υἰὸν θεοῦ γενέσθαι παρασκευάση.

revelation from the Father, saying, "Blessed are you, Simon son of Jonah, for flesh and blood did not reveal this to you, but my Father in heaven.") This wonderful one writes these words as if he is deaf, and for this reason, he does not call himself the Son of God. But everywhere he calls himself the Son of Man, so that through such a confession, he prepares for man to become a Son of God through his relationship with him.

1.16.2 | ὁρᾶς ὅπως υὶὸν μὲν αὐτὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ὁμολογεῖν οὐ τολμᾶ, ὡς ἀν μὴ ἐκπέσοι τοῦ Σαβελλίου δόγματος· υὶὸν δὲ ἀνθρώπου καλεῖ, δι' ἢν ἀνείληφεν σάρκα. καὶ λόγον δὲ δι' ὅλου τοῦ οίκείου συγγράμματος όνομάζει συνεχῶς ἐπισημαινόμενος, ὡς οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν ἢ λόγος.

1.16.2 | You see that he does not dare to confess that he is the Son of God, so that he does not fall into the doctrine of Sabellius. But he calls himself the Son of Man, by which he took on flesh. And he continuously refers to the Word throughout his own writings, pointing out that it was nothing other than the Word.

1.16.3 | καὶ έν οἶς δ' οὖν τὸν Σαβέλλιον καταμέμφεται, παρατίθεται μὲν τὰς τοῦ σωτῆρος φωνάς, δι' ὧν ἔφη »ούδεὶς ἔγνω τὸν πατέρα εί μὴ ὁ υὶὸς καὶ ὧ ᾶν ὁ υὶὸς άποκαλύψη«,

1.16.3 | And in those things, he criticizes Sabellius, presenting the words of the Savior, in which he said, "No one knows the Father except the Son and anyone to whom the Son chooses to reveal him."

1.16.4 | ὤσπερ δὲ ἐπανορθούμενος αὐτὰς | ἀντὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ λόγον αὖθις όνομάζει, ὧδε λέγων (Nr. 38) »οὐδεὶς« γὰρ »οἶδεν« φησὶν »τὸν πατέρα εί μὴ ὁ υὶός«, τουτέστιν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ἐπιφέρει (Nr. 38) ὁ γὰρ λόγος δι' ἑαυτοῦ τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς παρέχει γνῶσιν, καὶ προστίθησιν(Nr. 38) ἀδύνατον ἦν ἑτέρως γνῶναι τὸν θεὸν ἢ διὰ τοῦ ίδίου λόγου καὶ αὖθις λόγον ἀντὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ τίθησιν καὶ τὸν ἴδιον αὐτοῦ λόγον ἀποκαλεῖ· καὶ ἐν οὕτω βραχυτάτοις

1.16.4 | Just as he corrects this, instead of calling the Son, he again names the Word, saying, "No one knows the Father except the Son," that is, the Word. For the Word alone provides knowledge of the Father, and he adds that it was impossible to know God in any other way than through the Word itself. Again, he puts the Word in place of the Son and calls his own Word. And in these very brief statements, he often mentions the Word, but he never once

ρήμασιν πολλάκις μὲν έμνημόνευσεν λόγου, υὶοῦ δὲ ούδ' ἄπαξ. άλλὰ καὶ αύτὴν τοῦ σωτῆρος μεταποιεῖ τὴν ῥῆσιν, mentions the Son. But he also changes the statement of the Savior.

1.16.5 | άντὶ τοῦ »ὁ υὶὸς« ἐπάγων (Nr. 38) τουτέστιν ὁ λόγος, 〈ώς〉 ούχὶ μᾶλλον τῆς τοῦ υὶοῦ σημασίας ὰρμοζούσης τῆ τοῦ πατρὸς έπηγορία. ὅθεν είκότως ὁ μὲν σωτήρ προσφυῶς έφήρμοσεν τῆ τοῦ πατρὸς τὴν τοῦ υἱοῦ συζυγίαν, ὁ δὲ τὸν υὶὸν είπεῖν παραιτησάμενος ἄνω κάτω τὸν λόγον θρυλεῖ, καὶ Σαβελλίου μὲν κατηγορεῖ τὸν υὶὸν άρνουμένου, ταύτὸν δὲ πράττων έκείνω σχηματίζεται, τῆ κατ' αύτοῦ διαβολῆ τὴν τῆς κακοδοξίας ὑπόνοιαν έκκλίνειν οίόμενος. εί μὲν οὖν τῷ Σαβελλίῳ μέμφεται τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ άρνουμένω, έαυτῷ πρότερον χρῆν μέμψασθαι· εί δὲ ὅτι ὂν αύτὸς ὼρίζετο λόχον Σαβέλλιος ήγνόει, ούκ όρθῶς τοῦτό γε ήτιᾶτο.

1.16.5 | Instead of saying "the Son," he brings in, that is, the Word, as if it does not fit the meaning of the Son with the authority of the Father. Therefore, the Savior rightly connected the Son with the Father, while the other person, avoiding saying the Son, talks endlessly about the Word. He accuses Sabellius of denying the Son, but he does the same thing as Sabellius. He thinks he can escape the suspicion of false belief by attacking him. If he criticizes Sabellius for denying the Son of God, he should first criticize himself. If he thinks that Sabellius misunderstood the trap he set, then he is not rightly accusing him.

1.16.6 | οὔτε γὰρ Σαβέλλιον οὔτ' αὐτοὺς Ίουδαίους, τοὺς τὸν Χριστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ άρνουμένους, ὄν φησιν Μάρκελλος έν τῶ θεῷ εἶναι λόγον, δι' οὺ Μωσεῖ καὶ τοῖς προφήταις κεχρημάτικεν, άγνοῆσαι, τοῦτον δὲ ἀκριβῶς είδέναι καὶ [ὸ] πᾶς εἴποι αν ού μόνον Ίουδαίων άλλα καὶ Ἑλλήνων. λογικὸν γὰρ τίς ούκ ἂν ὁμολογήσειεν εἶναι τὸν θεόν, ὼς καὶ σοφὸν καὶ άγαθὸν καὶ δυνατόν; Σαβέλλιος τοῦτο μὲν ούκ ἂν άρνηθείη είδέναι· ότι δὲ μὴ καὶ υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα ὅντα τε καὶ προόντα τῆς σαρκὸς ὼμολόγει, ταύτης ένεκα τῆς πρὸς Μάρκελλον συμφωνίας ομοίως αύτῷ τῆς τοῦ θεοῦ ἐκκλησίας ήλάθη.

1.16.6 | For neither Sabellius nor the Jews, who deny Christ as the Son of God, whom Marcellus says is the Word of God, through whom Moses and the prophets spoke, can be said to be ignorant of this. But he knows it well, and anyone could say this, not only Jews but also Greeks. For who would not agree that God is logical, wise, good, and powerful? Sabellius would not deny knowing this. However, that he does not also acknowledge the living and existing Son of God, who came in the flesh, is why he fell into agreement with Marcellus and similarly led the Church of God astray.

Σαβελλίω έφρόνει, δῆλος αν εἵη έξ ὧν εν καὶ ταύτὸν εἶναι τὸν θεὸν καὶ τὸν αύτοῦ λόγον διεστείλατο, ποτὲ μὲν αύτοῖς ἡήμασιν είπων

Sabellius would be clear from the fact that he ordered God and his Word to be one and the same, sometimes saying to them in words.

# **Section 17**

1.17.1 | | (Nr. 62) εί μὲν γὰρ ἡ τοῦ πνεύματος έξέτασις γίγνοιτο μόνη, ἒν καὶ ταὐτὸν είκότως ἀν ὁ λόγος εἶναι τῷ θεῷ φαίνοιτο· ποτὲ δὲ παραβάλλων τῷ ἀνθρωπείῳ λόγῳ τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ἐπι λέγων (Nr. 55) ἒν καὶ ταὐτὸν εἶναι τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ τὸν ἐν αὐτῷ λόγον, οὐδενὶ χωριζόμενον ἐτέρῳ ἡ μόνῃ τῆ τῆς πράξεως ἐνεργείᾳ. διόπερ ποτὲ μὲν ἀπεφαίνετο πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου συστάσεως μηδὲν ἔτερον εἶναι πλὴν θεοῦ, καὶ πάλιν αὐτοῖς ῥήμασιν ἕλεγεν ὅτι (Nr. 93) μήπω τοῦ κόσμου γεγονότος οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν πλὴν θεοῦ μόνου·

1.17.1 | If the examination of the Spirit were to happen alone, it would rightly seem that the Word is one and the same as God. But sometimes, comparing the Word of God to the human word and saying that the Word within the human is one and the same, it is not separated from anything else except for the action itself. Therefore, at one point, it was shown that before the creation of the world, there was nothing else except God, and again, he said in words that before the world came into being, there was nothing else except God alone.

1.17.2 | ποτὲ δὲ τῷ ἡμετέρῳ σημαντικῷ λόγῳ τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ παρέβαλλεν, έν οἶς ὧδε ἔγραφεν (Nr. 55) ὤσπερ τὰ γεγονότα πάντα ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς διὰ τοῦ λόγου γέγονεν, οὕτω καὶ τὰ λεγόμενα ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς διὰ τοῦ λόγου σημαίνεται. καὶ δὴ λόγον σημαντικὸν έν τούτοις αὐτὸν άνειπών, προϊὼν ἑξῆς ἀχώριστον αὐτὸν τῆ ὑποστάσει εν καὶ ταὐτὸν εἶναι τῷ πατρὶ παρίστησιν, ὼδέ πη λέγων (Nr. 55) τοῦτο δὲ ῥάδιον, οἷμαι, τοῖς εὖ φρονοῦσιν καὶ ἀπὸ μικροῦ τινος καὶ ταπεινοῦ καθ' ἡμᾶς παραδείγματος γνῶναι.

1.17.2 | At one point, he compared our significant word to the Word of God, in which he wrote as follows: just as all things that have happened came from the Father through the Word, so also the things said by the Father are signified through the Word. And indeed, calling it a significant word, he goes on to present it as inseparable and one and the same in essence with the Father. He says this is easy, I think, for those who think well and can understand from a small and humble example like us.

1.17.3 | ούδὲ γὰρ τὸν τοῦ άνθρώπου λόγον δυνάμει καὶ ὑποστάσει χωρίσαι τινὶ δυνατόν. ἒν γάρ έστιν καὶ ταύτὸν τῷ

1.17.3 | For it is not possible to separate the human word in power and essence from anything else. For the word is one and the

άνθρώπῳ ὁ λόγος, καὶ ούδενὶ χωριζόμενος ἐτέρῳ ἢ μόνῃ τῇ τῆς πράξεως ἐνεργείᾳ. καὶ αὖθις τῇ αὐτῇ κέχρηται είκόνι ἐν οἷς φησιν (Nr. 56) πάντα γὰρ ὅσα ᾶν ὁ πατὴρ λέγῃ, ταῦτα πανταχοῦ διὰ τοῦ λόγου λέγων φαίνεται. τοῦτο δὲ δῆλόν ἐστιν καὶ άφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν, ὅσα μικρὰ τοῖς μεγάλοις καὶ θείοις ἀπεικάσαι· καὶ ἡμεῖς γὰρ πάντα ὅσα ᾶν θέλωμεν κατὰ τὸ δυνατὸν λέγειν τε καὶ ποιεῖν τῷ ἡμετέρῳ ποιοῦμεν λόγῳ.

same as the person, and it is not separated from anything else except for the action itself. And again, he uses the same image when he says that everything the Father says seems to be said everywhere through the Word. This is also clear from our own experience, as we can compare small things to the great and divine. For we also can say and do everything we want according to our own word.

1.17.4 | ταῦτα οὐ μᾶλλον Μαρκέλλου ἢ Σαβελλίου λέγοντος ἀκούειν προσήκει νομίζειν, εί μὴ ἄρα τοῦτ' ἐπισκώπτοι Σαβελλίω Μάρκελλος, ὅτι μὴ ἀναιδῶς τὸν ἐν τῷ θεῷ σημαντικὸν λόγον, ὡς αὐτὸς οἵεται, υἰὸν ἐτόλμα όνομάζειν. οὐ γὰρ αὖ τοσαύτη αὐτῷ λογισμοῦ παρῆν ἄνοια. ὡς λόγον ὸμοίως ἀνθρώποις καὶ τῷ ἐπὶ πάντων διδόναι θεῷ, οὐδ' οὕτως ἡλίθιος ἦν, ὡς τὸν μὴ ὑφεστῶτα λόγον υὶὸν θεοῦ καλεῖν. διόπερ Σαβέλλιος ἔνα θεὸν είπών, τὸν δ' υὶὸν άρνησάμενος,

1.17.4 | It is fitting to think that these things are said no more by Marcellus than by Sabellius, unless Marcellus is mocking Sabellius for daring to call the significant word in God, which he thinks of as the Son, without being shameless. For he would not have such foolish thoughts. He was not so stupid as to give the same word to both humans and to the God who is above all, nor was he so foolish as to call the word that does not exist the Son of God.

Therefore, Sabellius, while saying there is one God, denied the Son.

1.17.5 | ἐπομένως τῇ αὐτῷ δοθείσῃ ὑποθέσει τὸν αὐτὸν πατέρα εἶναι καὶ υὶὸν ἔφασκεν· Μάρκελλος δ' ὁμοίως ἐκείνῳ εν καὶ ταὐτὸν εἶναι τὸν θεὸν καὶ τὸν έν αὐτῷ λόγον διδούς. μάτην ἐσφάλθαι τὸν Σαβέλλιον, ούχὶ δὲ καὶ ἑαυτὸν ὁμολογεῖ.

1.17.5 | Therefore, he claimed that the Father and the Son are the same under the same assumption. Marcellus also taught that God and the Word in Him are one and the same. It is in vain that Sabellius has gone wrong, for he does not even admit this about himself.

1.17.6 | ἢ γὰρ κάκεῖνον ἀποδέχεσθαι χρῆν- ἢ καὶ αὐτὸν μέμφεσθαι καὶ μεμφόμενον έκεῖνον τὴν ὁμοίαν αὐτῷ κακοδοξίαν έκτρέπεσθαι, καὶ μὴ ἀνδριαντοποιοῦ δίκην ὑποτίθεσθαι τὸν θεὸν τῇ ἐαυτοῦ ένθυμήσει καὶ τῷ ίδίω λογισμῷ προσδιαλεγόμενον

1.17.6 | For he must either accept that one as well, or he must blame himself and, while blaming that one, turn away from the same bad belief. He should not treat God as if He were a statue, speaking to Him as if He were having a conversation based on his

αύτόν τε ὲαυτῷ παρακελευόμενον λέγεινἄγε ποιήσωμεν, ἄγε πλάσωμεν άνδριάντα (οὕτω γὰρ καὶ τὸν θεὸν είρηκέναι τὸ »ποιήσωμεν ἄνθρωπον« ἔφη), own thoughts and reasoning. He should not say, "Come, let us make," as if he were making a statue (for this is how he has said God spoke when He said, "Let us make man").

1.17.7 | μηδὲ ποτὲ μὲν ένδιάθετον ὡς έπ' άνθρώπῳ λόγον ποτὲ δὲ σημαντικὸν ὡς τὸν έν ἡμῖν προφορικὸν καὶ έν τῷ θεῷ ὑποτίθεσθαι. ταῦτα γὰρ Σαβελλίου ἢ Ἰουδαίων τινὸς τῶν τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ἀπαρακαλύπτως άρνουμένων άνεκτὸν ἦν μᾶλλον ἢ Χριστιανοῦ λέγοντος άκούειν.

1.17.7 | Neither should he ever think of the Word in God as a hidden thought like that of a human, nor as a significant word like the spoken word among us. For these ideas are more unacceptable from Sabellius or from some Jews who openly deny the Son of God than from a Christian who says to listen.

1.17.8 | ὁ δ' ὤσπερ έγκαλλωπιζόμενος τῆ Τουδαϊκῆ διδασκαλία τῆ έκκλησία 〈τοῦ〉 Χριστοῦ περὶ τοῦ μὴ εἶναι τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ δυσσεβὲς τουτὶ καὶ ἄθεον εἰσάγει δόγμα, πρὸ τοῦ τὸν κόσμον γενέσθαι μηδὲν ἔτερον εἶναι πλὴν θεοῦ μόνου δι' ὅλου τοῦ πονηθέντος αὐτῷ συγγράμματος κατασκευάζων, ἴν' ἀποκλείση τῷ υὶῷ τὴν πάροδον. άλλὰ καὶ σεμνύνεται αύχῶν ἔνα θεὸν εἰδέναι·

1.17.8 | He, as if he were beautifying the Jewish teaching, brings into the Church of Christ the ungodly and godless doctrine that the Son of God does not exist. Before the world was made, he claims that there was nothing else but God alone, constructing this idea through his entire wicked writing, in order to shut out the Son. But he also boasts that he knows one God.

1.17.9 | ⟨ὼς⟩ ούχὶ καὶ ἡμῶν τοῦτο λεγόντων. τὸν υἱὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ἀληθῶς υἱὸν εἶναι παραδεδεγμένων. παρ' αὐτοῦ τε μεμαθηκότων ἔνα γνωρίζειν θεόν, αὐτόν τε εἶναι θεὸν ὁμοῦ καὶ πατέρα υἱοῦ τοῦ μονογενοῦς, [ἑαυ]τοῦ δηλαδὴ ὄντος άληθῶς υἰοῦ πρὸ πάντων αίώνων έξ αὐτοῦ γεγεννημένου, καὶ ού μόνον λόγου κεκλημένου πρὸ τῆς ἀναλήψεως τῆς σαρκός, ὅπερ ὁ γενναῖος διορίζεται, άλλὰ καὶ μυρία ἔτερα· ὁ δὲ κάν τούτῳ τῆς θείας γραφῆς καταψεύδεται, πρὸ τῆς ένσάρκου

1.17.9 | As if we are not also saying this. We accept that the Son of God is truly the Son. From him, we have learned to know one God, and that he is God together with the Father of the only-begotten Son, who is truly his Son, born from him before all ages. Not only is he called the Word before the flesh was taken up, which the brave one defines, but also many other things. Yet in this, he contradicts the divine scripture, claiming that before the incarnation, he was called nothing other than the Word.

παρουσίας μηδὲν ἔτερον κεκλῆσθαι αύτὸν άπισχυριζόμενος ἢ λόγον.

## Section 18

1.18.1 | | έπάκουσον γοῦν, ὼς διαβεβαιοῦται, αύτοῖς ῥήμασιν τοῦτον γράφων τὸν τρόπον (Nr. 37) ὤστε πανταχόθεν δῆλόν έστιν μηδὲν ἔτερον τῆ άιδιότητι τοῦ λόγου ὰρμόττειν ὄνομα ἣ τοῦθ' ὅπεο ὁ ἀγιώτατος τοῦ θεοῦ μαθητής καὶ ἀπόστολος Ίωάννης έν άρχῃ τοῦ εύαγγελίου εἵρηκεν. έπειδὴ γὰρ [τὴν] μετὰ τὴν τῆς σαρκὸς άνάληψιν Χριστός τε καὶ Ίησοῦς κηρύττεται, ζωή τε καὶ ὁδὸς καὶ ημέρα καὶ άνάστασις καὶ θύρα καὶ ἄρτος καὶ εί τι ἔτερον ὑπὸ τῶν θείων όνομάζοιτο γραφῶν, 〈ού〉 παρὰ τοῦτο άγνοεῖν ἡμᾶς προσήκει τὸ πρῶτον ὄνομα, ὅτι λόγος ἦν. διὰ τοῦτο γὰρ καὶ ὁ ὰγιώτατος εύαγγελιστής καὶ μαθητής τοῦ κυρίου, σφόδρα έγρηγορώς τῷ πνεύματι τῆς **ἄνωθεν μνημονεύων άρχῆς καὶ μηδενὸς** νεωτέρου, »έν άρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος«, ἔφη »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«, ἵνα δείξη 〈ὅτι〉, εἴ τι καινὸν καὶ νεώτερον ὄνομα, τοῦτ' ἀπὸ τῆς καινῆς αύτῷ καὶ νέας ὑπῆρξε κατὰ σάρκα [καὶ] οίκονομίας.

1.18.1 | Listen, then, as he assures them, writing in this way, so that it is clear from everywhere that nothing else fits the eternal nature of the Word except what the most holy disciple and apostle of God, John, said at the beginning of the Gospel. For after the ascension of the flesh, Christ and Jesus are preached, along with life, way, day, resurrection, door, bread, and if anything else is named by the divine writings, we should not be ignorant of the first name, that he was the Word. For this reason, the most holy evangelist and disciple of the Lord, being very alert in spirit, recalls the beginning and nothing newer, saying, "In the beginning was the Word," and "the Word was with God," and "the Word was God," to show that if there is any new and younger name, it came from the new and fresh existence according to the flesh and economy.

1.18.2 | καὶ ἑξῆς ἐπιλέγει (Nr. 42) ούκοῦν πρὸ μὲν τοῦ κατελθεῖν καὶ διὰ τῆς παρθένου τεχθῆναι λόγος ἦν μόνον. ἐπεὶ τί ἔτερον ἦν πρὸ τοῦ τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην ἀναλαβεῖν σάρκα τὸ κατελθὸν »ἐπ' ἑσχάτων τῶν ἡμερῶν«, ὡς καὶ αὐτὸς ἔγραφεν, καὶ [τὸ] γεννηθὲν ἐκ τῆς ἀγίας παρθένου; οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἢ λόγος. καὶ πάλιν ἐπιφέρει (Nr. 43 = 81) πρότερον γάρ, ὥσπερ πολλάκις ἔφην, οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν ἣ

1.18.2 | And next, he chooses to say that before the descent and being born through the virgin, there was only the Word. For what else was there before he took on human flesh, coming "in the last days," as he himself wrote, and what was born from the holy virgin? Nothing else but the Word. And again, he adds, as I have often said before, there was nothing else but the

λόγος. Word.

1.18.3 | καὶ προστίθησιν αὖθις φάσκων (Nr. 36) ὁ μὲν γὰρ λόγος »έν άρχῃ ἦν«, μηδὲν ἔτερον ὢν ἢ λόγος· ὁ δὲ τῷ λόγῳ ἑνωθεὶς ἄνθρωπος, ούκ ὢν πρότερον, γέγονεν ἄνθρωπος. ὡς διδάσκει ἡμᾶς Ἰωάννης· »καὶ ὁ λόγος σὰρξ έγένετο«. διὰ τοῦτο τοίνυν τοῦ λόγου μνημονεύων φαίνεται μόνου.

1.18.3 | And he adds again, saying that the Word "was in the beginning," being nothing other than the Word. But the man who was united with the Word did not exist before; he became a man. As John teaches us, "And the Word became flesh." Therefore, when he mentions the Word, it seems to refer to him alone.

1.18.4 | εἴτε γὰρ Ἰησοῦ εἴτε Χριστοῦ όνόματος μνημονεύοι ή θεία γραφή, τὸν μετὰ [τὸν] τῆς άνθρωπίνης ὅντα σαρκὸς τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον όνο μάζειν φαίνεται. εί δέ τις καὶ πρὸ τῆς νέας διαθήκης 〈τὸ〉 τοῦ Χριστοῦ ἢ Ἰησοῦ ὄνομα 〈έπὶ〉 τοῦ λόγου μόνου δεικνύναι δύνασθαι έπαγγέλλοιτο, εὑρήσει τοῦτο προφητικῶς είρημένον. καὶ μεθ' ἔτερα έπάγει λέγων (Nr. 44) είκότως οὖν πρὸ τῆς καθόδου τοῦτο ἦν. ὅπερ πολλάκις ἔφαμεν, λόγος· μετὰ δὲ τὴν κάθοδον καὶ τὴν τῆς σαρκὸς άνάληψιν διαφόρων καὶ τῶν έπηγοριῶν τετύχηκεν. ταῦτα μὲν οὖν δι' ὧν Μάρκελλος ὰλίσκεται τὸν μὲν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν ὄντα καὶ ζῶντα καὶ άληθῶς υἱὸν ὄντα άρνούμενος, λόγον δὲ ψιλὸν είσάγων.

1.18.4 | For whether the divine scripture mentions the name of Jesus or Christ, it seems to refer to the Word of God who exists after taking on human flesh. And if someone claims that before the New Testament, the name of Christ or Jesus could only point to the Word alone, they will find this stated prophetically. And he goes on to say that it was rightly so before the descent. As we have often said, it was the Word: but after the descent and the taking up of the flesh, there are different names and titles. Therefore, through these things, Marcello is caught denying the Son of God, who is truly alive and truly the Son, while introducing the Word as merely a name.

1.18.5 | δέδεικται δὲ διὰ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν παρατεθεισῶν αύτοῦ φωνῶν καὶ ὁποῖον αύτὸν εἶναι λόγον ὑπετίθετο, παραδείγματι χρώμενος τῷ ἀνθρωπείῳ λόγῳ ἔν τε καὶ ταύτὸν εἶναι λέγων αύτὸν τῷ θεῷ. καὶ δὴ ταύτην ἀρχὴν ὑποστησάμενος, ἀκολούθως έκ τῆς δοθείσης αὐτῷ ὑποθέσεως έπὶ τὸ καταψεύδεσθαι τῆς θεοπνεύστου γραφῆς διαστρόφους τε αὐτῆς ποιεῖσθαι τὰς

1.18.5 | It has been shown through the earlier statements of his voices what kind of Word he proposed to be, using the example of the human word and saying that it is one and the same as God. And indeed, having established this principle, he then proceeds from the given assumption to distort the interpretations of the divinely inspired scripture, making them twisted.

ὲρμηνείας 〈προῆλθεν〉.

# **Section 19**

1.19.1 | ὧν βραχείας έπὶ τοῦ παρόντος καλῶς ἔχειν έφάνη μοι διασκέψασθαι. τοῖς τε άγνοοῦσιν έπιδεῖξαι, ὡς οὐδεμία μὲν αὐτῷ νεωτερίζοντι καὶ τῆς ὑγιοῦς έκτρεπομένῳ πίστεως συνάδει γραφή, τούναντίον δὲ πᾶσαι άντιφθέγγονται καὶ άντιμαρτυροῦσιν ταῖς οὐκ όρθῶς αὐτῷ παραληφθείσαις διηγήσεσιν. ὅπερ έχρῆν μάλιστα τοῖς τὸν ἄνδρα τιμῶσιν ένδείξασθαι μήποτ' ἄρα τις αὐτῶν ἀπειρίᾳ τῶν θείων ἀναγνωσμάτων τυγχάνειν αὐτὸν τοῦ τῆς ἀληθείας σκοποῦ νομίσειεν.

1.19.1 | It seemed good to me to briefly discuss these matters at present. I want to show those who are unaware that no scripture agrees with someone who introduces new ideas and turns away from healthy faith; on the contrary, all scriptures speak out against him and testify against the stories he has incorrectly accepted. This is something that should especially be pointed out to those who honor the man, so that no one might think he is missing the truth due to ignorance of the divine readings.

1.19.2 | καὶ δὴ τοῦτο πρῶτον διασκοπητέον, ὂ δὴ καὶ 〈πρῶτον〉 τετόλμηκεν ἀποφήνασθαι, πρὶν τεχθῆναι διὰ τῆς παρθένου τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ μὴ κεκλῆσθαι ἐτέρῳ ὀνόματι ἢ λόγον. μήτε γὰρ εἶναι αὐτόν τι πρὸ τῆς ἐνσάρκου παρουσίας | ἢ λόγον, μήτ' ώνομάσθαι ἐτέρως εί μὴ ἄρα προφητικῶς, λόγον γὰρ εἶναί τε καὶ ώνομάσθαι καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτερον φάσκει, μετὰ δὲ τὴν ἕνσαρκον παρουσίαν διαφόρων καὶ τῶν ἐπηγοριῶν τετυχηκέναι.

1.19.2 | And indeed, this must first be examined, which he has dared to declare first, that before the Son of God was born through the virgin, he was not called by any other name or word. For he did not exist as anything before the incarnation or as a word, nor was he named otherwise unless it was prophetically. For he claims to be both a word and to be named as such, and nothing else; but after the incarnation, he has different names and titles.

# **Section 20**

1.20.1 | τοῦτο δὴ οὖν πρῶτον δεικτέον άμαθῶς καὶ τῶν θείων γραφῶν άνεπιστημόνως αὐτὸν άποφηνάμενον. α΄. πρῶτος μὲν γὰρ αὐτὸς ὁ θεῖος εὐαγγελιστὴς Ἰωάννης, ὁ δὴ λόγον αὐτὸν άνειπών, β΄. οὐκ είς μακρὸν άλλ' εὐθὺς καὶ

1.20.1 | This then must first be shown, as he speaks ignorantly and without knowledge of the divine scriptures. First, the divine evangelist John, who speaks of the word, did not call him a god from afar, but immediately and closely named him

παρὰ πόδα θεὸν αύτὸν ώνόμασεν φήσας καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«. δυνάμενος γοῦν είπεῖν· καὶ θεοῦ ἦν ὁ λόγος, τοῦτο μὲν ούκ ἔφη, ὡς ἀν μή τις αύτὸν τῷ παρὰ ἀνθρώποις ὅμοιον εἶναι φήσειεν, θεὸν δὲ αὐτὸν καλεῖ, τὸ ὑπερφυὲς τοῦ περὶ αὐτὸν θεοπρεποῦς άξιώματος οὕτω δεικνύς.

God, saying, "And the word was God." He could have said, "And the word was of God," but he did not say this, so that no one might claim he was like a man; instead, he calls him God, thus demonstrating the greatness of the divine nature concerning him.

1.20.2 | γ'. μεταβὰς δὲ έφ' ὲτέραν έπίνοιαν τῆς περὶ αὐτοῦ δοξολογίας φῶς αὐτὸν ώνόμασεν, προϋπάρχειν αὐτὸν τῆς τοῦ σώματος ἀναλήψεως κατὰ τοῦτο αὐτὸ δηλώσας,

1.20.2 | Third, having moved to another idea about his glory, he named him light, showing that he existed before the taking up of the body.

1.20.3 | έν οἷς περὶ τοῦ βαπτιστοῦ φησιν »ούκ ἦν έκεῖνος τὸ φῶς, ἀλλ' ἴνα μαρτυρήσῃ περὶ τοῦ φωτός, ὁ φωτίζει πάντα ἄνθρωπον, έρχόμενον εἰς τὸν κόσμον. έν τῷ κόσμῳ ἦν, καὶ ὁ κόσμος δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ ὁ κόσμος αὐτὸν ούκ ἔγνω. εἰς τὰ ἴδια ἦλθεν, καὶ οὶ ἴδιοι αὐτὸν ού παρέλαβον«.

1.20.3 | In which he says about the Baptist, "He was not the light, but came to bear witness about the light, which gives light to every person coming into the world. He was in the world, and the world was made through him, and the world did not know him. He came to his own, and his own did not receive him."

1.20.4 | ὁρᾶς ὅπως τούτοις οὐ λόγον μόνον, ὡς ἐδόκει Μαρκέλλῳ, άλλὰ καὶ θεὸν καὶ φῶς αὐτὸν ώνόμασεν, προϋπάρχειν τε αὐτὸν ἐδίδαξεν καὶ τὸν κόσμον δι' αὐτοῦ γεγονέναι. ὤσπερ γὰρ διὰ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου γεγενῆσθαι τὰ πάντα καὶ »χωρὶς αὐτοῦ« γενέσθαι οὐδὲν προειρήκει, οὕτως καὶ διὰ τοῦ φωτός· »ὸ« γὰρ »κόσμος« φησὶν »δι' αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο«.

1.20.4 | You see that he named him not only the word, as it seemed to Marcellus, but also God and light. He taught that he existed before and that the world was made through him. Just as it was said that all things came into being through the word of God and that nothing was made without him, so it is also said about the light: "the world was made through him."

1.20.5 | ώς εἶναι εν καὶ ταύτον το φῶς καὶ τον θεον λόγον. λέγων δε δι' αύτοῦ γεγενῆσθαι ποτε μεν τον κόσμον ποτε δε τὰ πάντα το ὑπηρετικον τοῦ θεοῦ παρίστησιν. δυνάμενος | γοῦν ὁ

1.20.5 | He says that the light and the word of God are one and the same. By saying that the world was made through him, he shows the service of God. The evangelist is able to say: "All things were made through him,"

εύαγγελιστής είπεῖν· πάντα ὑπ' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ αὖθις· καὶ ὁ κόσμος ὑπ' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, ούχ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ ἔφη άλλὰ »δι' αὐτοῦ« [ἦν], ἴν' ἡμᾶς ἀναπέμψη ἐπὶ τὴν τῶν ὅλων ποιητικὴν τοῦ πατρὸς αὐθεντίαν.

and again: "the world was made through him." He did not say "by him," but "through him," so that he may lead us back to the creative authority of the Father of all things.

1.20.6 | άλλὰ καὶ »ὸ κόσμος« φησὶν »αὐτὸν ούκ ἔγνω«. τὸν δὲ ἐπὶ πάντων θεὸν φυσικαῖς ἐννοίαις ἄπαντες ὁμολογοῦσιν ἄνθρωποι. καὶ πρῶτοί γε Ἰουδαίων παῖδες ἐκ τῶν προφητικῶν γραφῶν χειραγωγούμενοι, ὡς καὶ αὐτὸς παρίστη Μάρκελλος ἐν τοῖς ἑξῆς προϊών.

1.20.6 | But also "the world" says "it did not know him." All people agree on the existence of God above all things through natural understanding. And first, the children of the Jews, guided by the prophetic writings, as Marcellus himself presents in the following sections.

1.20.7 | ούκοῦν ἔτερος ἦν οὖτος, ὂν »ὸ κόσμος« »ούκ ἔγνω«, θεὸς καὶ λόγος, φῶς ὑπάρχων τε καὶ ώνομασμένος. φῶς δὲ ούκ αἰσθητὸν ούδὲ σαρκῶν όφθαλμοὺς ὁμοίως ἡλίῳ φωτίζον. οὕτω γὰρ ᾶν καὶ ἡ τῶν άλόγων ζώων φύσις μετεῖχεν αύτοῦ. νυνὶ δὲ διδάσκει ὁποῖον ἦν φῶς, λέγων »ἦν τὸ φῶς τὸ φωτίζον πάντα ἄνθρωπον έρχόμενον είς τὸν κόσμον«. μόνον ἄρα ἦν άνθρώπων τὸ λογικὸν τοῦτο φῶς.

1.20.7 | So this was another, whom "the world" did not know, God and the word, existing as light and named as such. This light is not something that can be seen by the eyes of the flesh, just like the sun lights up. For in this way, even the nature of irrational animals shared in it. Now he teaches what kind of light it was, saying, "The light that gives light to every person coming into the world." Therefore, this rational light was only for humans.

1.20.8 | διὸ δυνάμει νοερᾶ καὶ λογικῆ τὰς »κατ' είκόνα« τὴν αύτοῦ »καὶ ὁμοίωσιν« πεποιημένας ψυχὰς νοερὰς καὶ λογικὰς άπειργάζετο. ὡς δὲ ούκ αίσθητὸν έτύγχανεν φῶς, οὕτως ούδὲ τὸ έπέκεινα τῶν ὅλων, αύτὸς ὁ θεός, ἦν. »ὸ« γὰρ θεὸς φῶς έστιν καὶ σκοτία ούκ ἔστιν έν αὐτῷ ούδεμία«. καὶ γὰρ ὁ μὲν φῶς ἀπροσιτον ἦν. ὡς ὸ θεῖος ἀπόστολος διδάσκει λέγων »φῶς οίκῶν ἀπρόσιτον. ὂν εἶδεν ούδεὶς ούδὲ ίδεῖν δύναται«·

1.20.8 | Therefore, by his powerful and rational mind, he created souls that are rational and intelligent "in his image" and "likeness." Just as the light was not something that could be seen, so neither was God himself, who is above all things. "For God is light, and in him there is no darkness at all." Indeed, the light was inaccessible. As the divine apostle teaches, saying, "Light dwelling in unapproachable light, whom no one has seen or can see."

1.20.9 | ὁ δ΄ »έν τῷ κόσμῳ ἦν«, »φωτίζων πάντα ἄνθρωπον έρχόμενον είς τὸν κόσμον«. άλλὰ καὶ ὁ κόσμος, φησίν. διὰ τοῦδε τοῦ φωτὸς γέγονεν, τοῦ κρείττονος, δηλαδὴ τοῦ πατρός, διὰ τοῦ υἰοῦ τὸ πᾶν συνισταμένου. τρεῖς μὲν δὴ αὖται κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ τῶν τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ δυνάμεων εὕφημοι καὶ εύσεβεῖς έπηγορίαι τῷ θεολόγῳ τῆς γραφῆς άρχομένῳ παρελήφθησαν· ὁ λόγος καὶ ὁ θεὸς καὶ τὸ φῶς.

1.20.9 | He was "in the world," "giving light to every person coming into the world." But the world also says this. Through this light, it came to be, from the greater one, namely the Father, through the Son, holding everything together. These three are indeed well-spoken and pious titles of the powers of the Son of God, received by the theologian of the scripture: the word, God, and the light.

1.20.10 | δ'. ήδη δὲ καὶ τετάρτην προστίθησιν ὁ αύτός, μονογενῆ τὸν αύτὸν άποκαλῶν έν οἷς φησιν »καὶ ὁ λόγος σὰρξ έγένετο καὶ έσκήνωσεν έν ἡμῖν, καὶ έθεασάμεθα την δόξαν αύτοῦ, δόξαν ώς μονογενοῦς παρὰ πατρός, πλήρης χάριτος καὶ άληθείας«. ούκοῦν καὶ [ò] μονογενής τοῦ θεοῦ πρὶν τὴν σάρκα άναλαβεῖν έχρημάτιζεν. εί γὰρ καὶ τὰ μάλιστα, φησίν, δι' ἡμᾶς »ὁ λόγος σὰρξ έγένετο«, άλλ' ὅμως ήμεῖς. οἷς | κατηξίωσεν ένδείξασθαι τὴν ὲαυτοῦ θεότητα. ούκ είς τὴν σάρκα άφορῶντες. (αὕτη γὰρ »μορφὴ δούλου« ἦν) άλλ' είς τὴν δόξαν αύτοῦ τὴν έκτὸς τοῦ σώματος νῶ καθαρῶ θεωρουμένην, »έθεασάμεθα τὴν δόξαν αύτοῦ«, δόξαν **ἄρρητον καὶ πάντα θνητῶν λογισμὸν** ὑπερβαίνουσαν, ὁποίαν ‹ἄν› τις έννοήσειεν »δόξαν« υίοῦ θεοῦ »μονογενοῦς«.

1.20.10 | Now the same one adds a fourth, calling the only-begotten one, in which he says, "And the word became flesh and dwelt among us, and we have seen his glory, glory as of the only Son from the Father, full of grace and truth." Therefore, even the only-begotten of God, before taking on flesh, was already known. For he says, "The word became flesh" for us, but still, we were made worthy to show his divinity. Not looking at the flesh (for this was "the form of a servant"), but at his glory, which is seen in a pure mind outside the body, "we have seen his glory," a glory that is unspeakable and surpasses all human thought, which someone might understand as "the glory of the only Son of God."

1.20.11 | ἦν δὲ αὕτη »παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς« δόξα. ὀρῷς ὅπως ούκ εἶπεν· »καὶ έθεασάμεθα τὴν δόξαν αὐτοῦ, δόξαν ὡς« λόγου, καίτοι προειπὼν »ὸ λόγος σὰρξ έγένετο«, άλλ' ἴνα διδάξη ὁποῖον αὐτὸν ὑπεστήσατο λόγον (ὅτι μὴ σημαντικόν· πῶς γὰρ καὶ οἷόν τε ἦν τὸν τοιοῦτον σάρκα γενέσθαι;). ἀναγκαίως μονογενῆ αὐτὸν

1.20.11 | This glory was "from the Father." You see that he did not say, "And we have seen his glory, glory as of the word," even though he had previously said, "The word became flesh," but to teach what kind of word he took on (that it was not just any word; for how could such a one become flesh?). He necessarily called him the only-

προσεῖπεν.

begotten.

1.20.12 | καὶ τὴν δόξαν αύτοῦ ταύτην εἶναι διδάσκει, καθ' ἢν νοεῖται μονογενὴς τοῦ θεοῦ υἰός. ὑπάρχειν τε αὐτῷ φησιν τὴν δόξαν οὐκ ἄλλοθεν ἢ παρὰ τοῦ πατρός· οὐ γὰρ ἀγένητον οὐδὲ ἄναρχον οὐδὲ ίδιόκτητον εἶχε τὴν δόξαν. ἀλλὰ παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς λαβών. ὂ δὴ καὶ αὐτὸς παρίστη λέγων »πάτερ δόξασόν με τῆ δόξῃ ἦ εἶχον πρὸ τοῦ τὸν κόσμον εἶναι παρά σοι«.

1.20.12 | And he teaches that this glory is understood as the only-begotten Son of God. He says that this glory exists from no other source than from the Father; for he did not have a glory that was uncreated, without beginning, or owned by himself. But he received it from the Father. This is what he himself shows when he says, "Father, glorify me with the glory I had before the world existed with you."

1.20.13 | ὂν καὶ άμείβεται λέγων ὁ πατὴρ »καὶ έδόξασα καὶ πάλιν δοξάσω«. καὶ ἔτι μᾶλλον τὴν ὑπόστασιν αὐτοῦ συνίστησιν ὁ αὐτὸς εὐαγγελιστὴς ἐπιφέρων »Ίωάννης μαρτυρεῖ περὶ αὐτοῦ καὶ κέκραγεν λέγωνοῦτος ἦν ὁ ὁπίσω μου έρχόμενος, ὂς ἔμπροσθέν μου γέγονεν, ὅτι πρῶτός μου ἦν. ὅτι ἐκ τοῦ πληρώματος αὐτοῦ ἡμεῖς πάντες ἐλάβομεν«.

1.20.13 | The Father answers him, saying, "I have glorified him and will glorify him again." And even more, the same evangelist shows his nature by bringing in, "John bears witness about him and cried out, saying: This was he of whom I said, 'He who comes after me ranks before me, because he was before me.' For from his fullness we have all received."

1.20.14 | άλλὰ βοῶντος Ἰωάννου ὅτι »πρῶτος ἦν« αὐτοῦ, οὐκ ἀκούει ὁ νέος Σαβέλλιος. καίτοι κατὰ σάρκα προῆγεν τοῦ σωτῆρος τὴν γένεσιν ὁ βαπτιστὴς Ἰωάννης· πῶς οὖν μαρτύρεται, ὅτι πρῶτος αὐτοῦ γέγονεν; τῆ μὲν γὰρ κατὰ σάρκα γενέσει οὐκ ἦν πρῶτος αὐτοῦ ὁ σωτήρ·

1.20.14 | But when John cries out that "he was before me," the young Sabellius does not hear. And yet, according to the flesh, John the Baptist was born before the Savior; so how does he bear witness that he was before him? For in the flesh, the Savior was not born before him.

1.20.15 | ούκοῦν καθ' ὁ μονογενὴς ἦν τοῦ θεοῦ »πρῶτος« ἦν Ἰωάννου καὶ »ἔμπροσθεν« αύτοῦ »γέγονεν«. ἆρ' οὖν ἐπιδέχεται ταῦτα ἐπὶ τὸν πατέρα καὶ θεὸν τῶν ὅλων ἀναφέρεσθαι, ἢ ἐπὶ ἀνούσιον καὶ ἀνυπόστατον ἐν τῷ θεῷ λόγον τὸν αὐτὸν ὅντα τῷ θεῷ; καὶ πῶς ἀν εἵη δυνατὸν περὶ

1.20.15 | Therefore, according to what is only-begotten of God, he was "before" John and "came before" him. Does this then refer to the Father and God of all, or to a meaningless and ungrounded word that is the same as God? And how could it be possible to say "he came before me" about

τοῦ μὴ ὑφεστῶτος λόγου λέγεσθαι τὸ »ἔμπροσθέν μου γέγονεν«; τίς δ' οὕτως 〈 ἀν〉 μανείη, ὡς νομίσαι Ἰωάννην τὸν βαπτιστὴν περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ τῶν ὅλων είρηκέναι τὸ »ἔμπροσθέν μου γέγονεν« καὶ »ὅτι πρῶτός μου | ἦν«;

the word that does not exist? Who would be so mad as to think that John the Baptist said "he came before me" and "he was before me" about God of all?

1.20.16 | ούκοῦν δέδεικται διὰ τούτων προϋπάρχων τῆς Ἰωάννου γενέσεως ὁ θεολογούμενος καὶ ἔμπροσθεν αὐτοῦ γεγονώς, συνέστη τε ού μόνον λόγος άλλὰ καὶ θεὸς καὶ φῶς καὶ μονογενὴς πρὸ τῆς ἐνσάρκου παρουσίας ὑπάρχων τε καὶ ώνομασμένος. ⟨ε'.⟩ τούτων δὲ πόθεν ἡ γνῶσις τῷ εὐαγγελιστῆ, αὐτὸς δηλώσει λέγων ἑξῆς »ὁ μονογενὴς υὶὸς ὁ ῶν είς τὸν κόλπον τοῦ πατρός, ἐκεῖνος έξηγήσατο«. ὀρᾶς παρὰ τίνος μεμάθηκεν τοῦ υὶοῦ τὴν θεολογίαν.

1.20.16 | Therefore, it has been shown that the one who is spoken of as God existed before John's birth and came before him. He is not only the word but also God and light and the only-begotten, existing and named before the incarnation. From where then does the evangelist get this knowledge? He himself will explain, saying next, "the only-begotten Son, who is in the bosom of the Father, he has made him known." Do you see from whom the Son learned this theology?

1.20.17 | ού γὰρ Μωσῆς φησιν ούδὲ προφητῶν τις τῶν μετὰ Μωσέα ούδέ γε άγγέλων τις ή τῶν κρειττόνων δυνάμεων, άλλ' αύτὸς »ὁ μονογενής υἱὸς τοῦτ' έξηγήσατο«. ούκοῦν ὁ μὲν άόρατος θεὸς ούκ έξηγήσατο, ὁ δὲ μονογενής υὶὸς ὁρατὸς γενόμενος τὴν περὶ τοῦ πατρὸς άνθρώποις έξήγησιν έποιήσατο. έτερος ών δηλαδή παρὰ τὸν άόρατον θεόν. άλλὰ καὶ προϋπάρχων ἦν ούκ έν τῆ διανοία τοῦ πατρός, ὼς έδόκει Μαρκέλλω, άλλ' έν τοῖς κόλποις αύτοῦ· ώσπερ δὴ ἡμῖν έπήγγελται ο σωτήρ είς κόλπους Άβραὰμ καὶ Ίσαὰκ καὶ Ίακὼβ διαναπαύσασθαι, οὕτως καὶ ὁ υὶὸς »είς τὸν κόλπον« ἦν »τοῦ πατρός«, ούχ εἶς καὶ ὁ αύτὸς ών τῷ πατρί, έπεὶ μηδὲ ἡμεῖς οὶ αύτοὶ έσόμεθα τοῖς ὰγίοις πατράσιν.

1.20.17 | For neither does Moses say this, nor any of the prophets after Moses, nor any angels or greater powers, but the onlybegotten Son himself explained this. Therefore, the invisible God did not explain it, but the only-begotten Son, who became visible, made the explanation about the Father known to people. He is indeed another, alongside the invisible God. But he also existed before, not in the mind of the Father, as Marcello thought, but in his bosom. Just as the Savior has been promised to rest in the bosoms of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, so the Son was "in the bosom" of the Father, not one and the same as the Father, since we will not be the same as the holy fathers.

1.20.18 | άλλὰ γὰρ ἐπὶ τούτοις τήρει, ὅπως μετὰ τὸ ἄπαξ όνομάσαι λόγον καὶ θεὸν τὸν αὐτὸν άνειπεῖν καὶ φῶς ἀποκαλέσαι καὶ μονογενῆ φάναι 〈καὶ〉 υὶὸν θεοῦ ὁμολογῆσαι, οὐκέτι λόγον όνομάζει, άλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸν λοιπὸν ἱστορεῖ τὸν σωτῆρα ού λόγον ἐαυτὸν ἀποκαλοῦντα, άλλὰ υὶὸν καὶ μονογενῆ καὶ φῶς καὶ ζωὴν καὶ άλήθειαν καὶ ἄλλα μυρία, ὤσπερ οὖν άκοῦσαι πάρεστιν αὐτοῦ ὧδέ πη διδάσκοντος »οὕτως γὰρ ἡγάπησεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν κόσμον, ὤστε τὸν υὶὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ ἔδωκεν, ἵνα πᾶς ὁ πιστεύων είς αὐτὸν μὴ ἀπόληται«, καὶ πάλιν »ού γὰρ ἀπέστειλεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν υὶὸν είς τὸν κόσμον,

1.20.18 | But indeed, on these points, he keeps in mind that after naming the word and God once, he also calls him light and says he is the only-begotten Son of God. He no longer just names him the word, but he also tells about the Savior, not calling him just the word, but also Son, only-begotten, light, life, truth, and many other things. Just as it is possible to hear him teaching here, "For God so loved the world that he gave his only-begotten Son, so that everyone who believes in him should not perish," and again, "For God did not send the Son into the world,"

1.20.19 | ἵνα κρίνη τὸν κόσμον«, καὶ αὖθις »ὁ δὲ μὴ πιστεύων ἤδη κέκριται, ὅτι ού πεπίστευκεν είς τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ μονογενοῦς υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ«. καὶ ἐνταῦθα πάλιν ὁ μὲν θεὸς ἐδίδου καὶ »ἀπέστελλεν τὸν υὶὸν σωτῆρα τοῦ κόσμου«, ὁ δὲ ἀπεστέλλετο ἔτερος ὢν δηλαδὴ παρὰ τὸν ἀποστέλλοντα. διό μοι δοκεῖ διὰ τῶν ἑξῆς ὡς πρὸς αὐτὸν Μάρκελλον καὶ πρὸς τοὺς διαφθονουμένους τῆ τοῦ υὶοῦ φύσει ἀναγεγράφθαι αὐτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ ἡμῶν είρηκὼς »ούκ ἔστιν γεγραμμένον έν τῷ νόμῳ | ὅτι έγὼ εἶπα· θεοί έστε;

1.20.19 | To judge the world," and again,
"But the one who does not believe is
already judged because he has not believed
in the name of the only-begotten Son of
God." And here again, God gave and "sent
the Son, the Savior of the world," while the
Son was sent as another, alongside the one
who sends. Therefore, it seems to me that
through the following words, the Savior
himself spoke to Marcello and to those who
are confused about the nature of the Son,
saying, "Is it not written in the law, 'I said:
You are gods?'

1.20.20 | ⟨εί⟩ έκείνους εἶπεν θεοὺς πρὸς οὺς ὁ λόγος έγένετο τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ οὐ δύναται λυθῆναι ἡ γραφή, ὂν ὁ πατὴρ ἡγίασεν καὶ ἀπέστειλεν είς τὸν κόσμον ὑμεῖς λέγετε ὅτι βλασφημεῖς, ὅτι εἶπον· υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ είμί«. ἀκούεις ὀσάκις αὐτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ ἑαυτὸν οὐ λόγον, ἀλλὰ υὶὸν ώνόμασεν καὶ μονογενῆ προσεῖπεν,

1.20.20 | "If he called them gods to whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken, whom the Father has sanctified and sent into the world, you say that he blasphemes because I said, 'I am the Son of God.'" Do you hear how many times the Savior called himself not just the word, but also named himself Son and said he is the only-begotten?

1.20.21 | ὅπως δὲ ἀπεστάλθαι ἑαυτὸν καὶ ἡγιάσθαι πρὸ τοῦ ἀποσταλῆναι ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐδίδασκεν, καὶ ὡς τοὺς όκνοῦντας υὶὸν αὐτὸν ὁμολογεῖν τοῦ θεοῦ δυσωπεῖ διδάσκων ἐκ τῆς θείας γραφῆς ὡς ού μόνον υὶοὶ θεοῦ άλλὰ καὶ θεοὶ θνητοὶ τὴν φύσιν ἄνδρες ἐκλήθησαν· διὸ μὴ χρῆναι νομίζειν βλάσφημον εἶναι τὸ καὶ υὶὸν θεοῦ καὶ θεὸν ὁμολογεῖν »ὂν ὁ πατὴρ ἡγίασεν καὶ ἀπέστειλεν είς τὸν κόσμον«.

1.20.21 | But he taught that he was sent and sanctified before being sent by the Father, and as he teaches those who hesitate to confess him as the Son of God, he shows from the divine scriptures that not only are they called sons of God, but also mortal men are called gods. Therefore, it should not be thought to be blasphemous to confess both the Son of God and God, 'whom the Father has sanctified and sent into the world.'

1.20.22 | τί οὖν έχρῆν παθεῖν τὸν μετὰ τὰς τοσαύτας φωνὰς τολμήσαντα φάναι (Nr. 43 = 81) λόγον εἶναι αύτὸν καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον, αὐτολεξεί τε είρηκότα (Nr. 34) καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ούχ υἱὸν θεοῦ αὺτὸν όνομάζει, άλλὰ πανταχοῦ υἱὸν άνθρώπου ἑαυτὸν λέγει, ἴνα διὰ τῆς τοιαύτης ὁμολογίας θέσει τὸν ἄνθρωπον διὰ τῆς πρὸς αύτὸν κοινωνίας υἱὸν θεοῦ γενέσθαι παρασκευάση. ταῦτα γὰρ Μάρκελλος είπὼν ούκ οἶδ' [ὅπως] ὸποίοις όφθαλμοῖς οἷός τε ἦν άντιβλέπειν ῆ ποίοις χείλεσιν άντιφθέγγεσθαι ταῖς τοσαύταις μαρτυρίαις.

1.20.22 | What then should the one who dared to say such things suffer? He is to be considered only a word, and nothing else, having spoken literally, and for this reason he does not call himself the Son of God, but everywhere he calls himself the Son of Man, so that through this confession he might prepare for man to become a son of God through his connection with him. For these things, Marcello said, I do not know how he was able to look back with such eyes or speak with such lips in the face of so many testimonies.

1.20.23 | καὶ φῶς δὲ αὐτὸν εἶναι ὁ σωτὴρ παρίστη συμφώνως τοῖς περὶ τοῦ φωτὸς προαποδοθεῖσιν λέγων »έγώ είμι τὸ φῶς τοῦ κόσμου«, καὶ πάλιν »έγώ είμι τὸ φῶς, καὶ ἡ ἀλήθεια καὶ ἡ ζωή«, καὶ αὖθις »ὅτι τὸ φῶς ἐλήλυθεν είς τὸν κόσμον καὶ ἡγάπησαν οὶ ἄνθρωποι μᾶλλον τὸ σκότος ἡ τὸ φῶς«.

1.20.23 | And the Savior also presents himself as light, agreeing with what has been said about light, saying, 'I am the light of the world,' and again, 'I am the light, and the truth, and the life,' and once more, 'that the light has come into the world, and people loved the darkness more than the light.'

1.20.24 | καὶ εἵγε τις ἔροιτο, πόθεν

1.20.24 | And if someone were to ask,

έλήλυθεν, άποκρινεῖται ὁ είπὼν »ὁ ἄνωθεν έρχόμενος έπάνω πάντων έστίν« καὶ »ὁ έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ έρχόμενος ὁ ἐώρακεν καὶ ἤκουσεν μαρτυρεῖ«· τίς δ' ἦν »ὁ έρχόμενος έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ«; μήτι γε ἡ σὰρξ ἢν άνείληφεν ὁ σωτήρ; ούδαμῶς. άλλ' αύτός δηλονότι τὸ φῶς καὶ ὁ λόγος καὶ ὁ θεὸς καὶ ὁ μονογενὴς καὶ ὁ υὶός, αύτὸς ῶν ταῦτα πάντα, »ὰ ἐώρακεν« τοίνυν οὖτος »καὶ ὰ ἤκουσεν«, φησίν, »μαρτυρεῖ«. ούκοῦν καὶ ἑώρα καὶ ἤκουσεν πρὶν έπὶ γῆς έλθεῖν.

'Where has he come from?' the one who speaks would answer, 'The one who comes from above is above all,' and 'the one who comes from heaven testifies to what he has seen and heard.' But who is 'the one coming from heaven'? Is it not the flesh that the Savior took on? Not at all. But he clearly is the light, the word, God, the onlybegotten, and the Son, being all these things. Therefore, he says, 'What he has seen and heard' he testifies to. So he has both seen and heard before coming to earth.

1.20.25 | τίνα δὲ ἑώρα ἢ τὸν πατέρα; τίνος δὲ ἤκουσεν ἢ τοῦ πατρός; οὕτω δὲ ἑαυτὸν ού λόγον σημαντικόν, | άλλ' υὶὸν άληθῶς ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα ἐδίδασκεν, ὡς λέγειν »ὁ πατὴρ άγαπᾳ τὸν υἰόν, καὶ πάντα δέδωκεν ἐν τῇ χειρὶ αὐτοῦ. ὁ πιστεύων είς τὸν υὶὸν ἔχει ζωὴν αίώνιον«. πιστεύωμεν τοίνυν, ὅτι »τὸν υὶὸν« άγαπῶν »ὸ πατὴρ πάντα δέδωκεν ἐν τῇ χειρὶ αὐτοῦ«.

1.20.25 | Whom did he see, or the Father? Whose voice did he hear, or the Father's? Thus, he did not teach himself as just a word, but as a truly living Son who exists, saying, 'The Father loves the Son, and has given all things into his hand. The one who believes in the Son has eternal life.' So let us believe that 'the Father, loving the Son, has given all things into his hand.'

1.20.26 | έπιμελῶς δὲ προσεκτέον τῷ »πάντα«, τῆς τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων ὑπάρξεως περιληπτικῷ ὅντι, δι' οὖ τὸ μέγεθος τῆς τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ δυνάμεως ἴδοι ἄν τις, έννοήσας ὅση καὶ ὁποίᾳ χειρὶ παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς ὑποδέδεκτο τὴν τῶν ὄντων ἀπάντων ὕπαρξιν.

1.20.26 | One should carefully consider the word 'all,' which is a summary of the existence of all created things. Through this, someone might see the greatness of the power of the Son of God, realizing how and in what way he has received the existence of all things from the Father.

1.20.27 | εί γὰρ δὴ μέγας ὁ σύμπας ούρανός τε καὶ κόσμος, καὶ τούτων ἔτι μακρῷ κρείττονα καὶ διαφέροντα τυγχάνει τὰ έπέκεινα τῶν ὁρωμένων ἐν ἀσωμάτοις καὶ άφθάρτοις νοεραῖς τε καὶ θείαις ὑφεστῶτα δυνάμεσιν, πάντα τε ὅσα τὸν ἡμέτερον διαδιδράσκοντα νοῦν τὴν ἡμετέραν γνῶσιν

1.20.27 | For if the whole sky and world are great, and even greater and different are the things that exist beyond what we see, which are unembodied, imperishable, and have divine and intelligent powers, all these things escape our understanding and knowledge. Yet, one hand of the only-

λανθάνει, τούτων άπάντων μία τοῦ μονογενοῦς υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ χεὶρ περιδραττομένη τῆς άπειρομεγέθους αύτοῦ δυνάμεως τὴν άρετὴν έπιδείκνυται.

begotten Son of God, grasping the greatness of his infinite power, shows forth his excellence.

1.20.28 | δ δὴ καὶ δι' ἐτέρας πάλιν αὐτὸς ὁ υὶὸς παρίστη φωνῆς λέγων »πάντα μοι παρεδόθη ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρός μου«. εἶθ', ὡς ἡμῶν ἀπορούντων, τίς ποτ' ἦν καὶ ὁπηλίκος ὁ τὴν τοσαύτην παρακαταθήκην ὑποδεδεγμένος, μὴ ζήτει, φησίν, μηδ' έρώτα. ού γὰρ ἔχει φύσιν ἀνθρώποις γνωρίζεσθαι, άλλ' ούδὲ ταῖς κρείττοσιν καὶ θειοτέραις δυνάμεσιν ἡ ἀκριβὴς τοῦ υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ κατάληψις.

1.20.28 | Indeed, the Son himself again presents this through another voice, saying, 'All things have been given to me by my Father.' Then, as we wonder about who he was and what kind of being he is who has received such a great gift, he says, 'Do not seek or ask.' For it is not in human nature to know, nor is it possible for even the greater and more divine powers to fully grasp the nature of the Son of God.

1.20.29 | διὸ προφήσας »πάντα μοι παρεδόθη ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρός μου« ἐπήγαγεν »καὶ οὐδεὶς ἐπιγινώσκει τὸν υὶὸν εί μὴ ὁ πατήρ«. σεσιγήσθω τοίνυν πᾶς ἀπόρρητος περὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος, καὶ μόνω τῷ πατρὶ παραδεδόσθω ἡ τῆς ἐξ αὐτοῦ γενέσεως αὐτοῦ γνῶσις, μηδὲ περαιτέρω τις ζητῶν χωρείτω φύσεως πέρι καὶ οὐσίας ἀπορρήτου, μόνη δὲ ἡμῖν ἡ αὐτοῦ περὶ αὐτοῦ διδασκαλία τῆ τῆς πίστεως βεβαιώσθω χάριτι, σαφῶς πάντα αὐτῷ ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς παραδεδόσθαι παιδεύουσα.

1.20.29 | Therefore, having prophesied, 'All things have been given to me by my Father,' he added, 'and no one knows the Son except the Father.' Let every secret word about the Son of God be silent, and let the knowledge of his generation from the Father be given only to the Father. No one should seek further about the nature and essence of this secret. Instead, let his teaching about himself be confirmed for us by the grace of faith, clearly showing that all things have been entrusted to him by the Father.

1.20.30 | ούκοῦν ὁ μὲν θεὸς ἐδίδου καὶ παρεδίδου ἐπὶ βελτιώσει καὶ ώφελεία, οἶα σωτῆρι καὶ ίατρῷ καὶ κυβερνήτῃ τῶν ὅλων τὴν παράδοσιν ποιούμενος· ὁ δὲ | υἰὸς ἐλάμβανεν καὶ οἷα πιστὸς παραθηκοφύλαξ τὴν δόσιν ὑπεῖχεν, ούχ ὡς λόγος ἀνούσιος καὶ ἀνυπόστατος, ἀλλ' ὡς ἀληθῶς υἰὸς ὧν μονογενὴς καὶ ἀγαπητὸς τοῦ πατρός.

1.20.30 | Therefore, God was giving and entrusting for improvement and benefit, making the gift like a savior, a healer, and a ruler of all. The Son received this gift and, as a faithful guardian, held it, not as a meaningless and empty word, but as truly being the only-begotten and beloved Son of the Father.

1.20.31 | ζ'. ἔτι πρὸς τούτοις καὶ ἄρτον ζωῆς αὐτὸς ὲαυτὸν ώνόμαζεν λέγων »έγώ είμι ὁ ἄρτος τῆς ζωῆς«, »έγώ είμι ὁ ἄρτος ὁ ζῶν ὁ έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ καταβάς«. καὶ ὅπως ὑπῆρχε ζῶν, διεσάφει τρανότατα διαρθρῶν έν οἷς φησιν »καθὼς ἀπέστειλέν με ὁ ζῶν πατὴρ κάγὼ ζῶ διὰ τὸν πατέρα,

1.20.31 | Moreover, he called himself the bread of life, saying, 'I am the bread of life,' 'I am the living bread that came down from heaven.' And just as he was living, he clearly explained, saying, 'As the living Father sent me, and I live because of the Father.'

1.20.32 | καὶ ὁ τρώγων με κάκεῖνος ζήσει δι' έμέ«. τοῦτο δὲ καὶ έν ἑτέροις ἐδίδαξεν είπών »ὤσπερ γὰρ ὁ πατὴρ ζωὴν ἔχει ἐν ἑαυτῷ, οὕτως καὶ τῷ υὶῷ ἔδωκεν ζωὴν ἔχειν ἐν ἑαυτῷ«. ἄρ' οὖν καὶ ἄρτος ἦν ζωῆς καὶ ὑπῆρχεν ἐν τῷ ούρανῷ τὰς άγγελικὰς δυνάμεις ἐπάρδων καὶ τρέφων τῆ τῆς θεότητος αὐτοῦ δυνάμει, καὶ τοσαῦτα ἦν πρὶν ἐπὶ γῆς ἐλθεῖν, υὶός τε ἦν ζωὴν ἔχων »ἐν ἑαυτῷ«, ὁμοίως τῷ πατρὶ ζωὴν ἔχοντι »έν ἑαυτῷ«.

1.20.32 | And the one who eats me will live because of me." This he also taught in another way, saying, "For just as the Father has life in himself, so he has given the Son to have life in himself." Therefore, he was both the bread of life and existed in heaven, nourishing the angelic powers with the strength of his divinity. He was all this even before coming to earth, being the Son who has life "in himself," just like the Father who has life "in himself.

1.20.33 | τὸ γὰρ έξαίρετον καὶ ίδιάζον τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς άγενήτου καὶ θεϊκῆς ζωῆς. δι' ἢν »μόνος ἔχειν άθανασίαν« κατὰ τὸν θεῖον ἀπόστολον εἴρηται μόνος ᾶν ἔχοι ὁ υὶός, ἄτε είκὼν τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ κατὰ τοῦτο τυγχάνων· ἔχει δὲ τὴν είρημένην ζωὴν ούκ ἄναρχον οὐδὲ άγένητον οὐδὲ ίδιόκτητον ὸμοίως τῷ πατρί, άλλὰ παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς λαβών.

1.20.33 | For the unique and special nature of the Father's uncreated and divine life. For which it is said, according to the divine apostle, that the Son alone "has immortality," being the image of the Father and in this way. He has this mentioned life not as unoriginated, nor uncreated, nor privately owned like the Father, but rather receiving it from the Father.

1.20.34 | οὕτως γάρ φησιν »καὶ τῷ υὶῷ ἔδωκεν ζωὴν ἔχειν έν ὲαυτῷ, καθὼς ὁ πατὴρ ζωὴν ἔχει έν ὲαυτῷ«. ούκοῦν ὁ μὲν ἔδωκεν, ὁ δὲ εἴληφεν. καὶ μόνος τοῦτ' εἴληφεν τὸ γέρας, ὡς μὴ ἔξωθέν ποθεν αὐτῷ πορίζεσθαι ὁμοίως τοῖς λοιποῖς ζῶσιν τὴν ζωήν, άλλ' έν ὲαυτῷ πηγάζουσαν ἔχειν

1.20.34 | For he says, "And he has given the Son to have life in himself, just as the Father has life in himself." Therefore, the one gave, and the other received. And only he has received this honor, so that he does not get his life from anywhere outside himself like the other living beings, but

αύτὴν κατὰ τὴν έν τῷ πατρί. διὸ πάντα μὲν ⟨τὰ⟩ τῆς ζωῆς μέτοχα έξ έπιχορηγίας τοῦ υὶοῦ ζῆ· μόνος δὲ αύτὸς πηγάζουσαν ζωὴν ἔχει έν ἑαυτῷ, τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτῷ τοῦτο δωρησαμένου είς ἀπόλαυσιν τῶν μελλόντων δι' αὐτοῦ ζωοποιεῖσθαι. rather has it springing up within himself according to what is in the Father. Thus, all those who share in life live through the Son's support; but he himself has life springing up within himself, which the Father has given him for the enjoyment of those who will be made alive through him.

1.20.35 | δ δὴ καὶ αὐτὸς διδάσκει λέγων »καθὼς ἀπέστειλέν με ὁ ζῶν πατὴρ κάγὼ ζῶ διὰ τὸν πατέρα, καὶ ὁ τρώγων με κάκεῖνος ζήσει δι' έμέ. οὖτός έστιν ὁ ἄρτος ὁ έκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καταβάς«. ὁρᾶς ὅπως καὶ ἐν οὐρανῷ ὑπάρχων ἄρτος ἦν ζωῆς. διὸ | λέλεκταί που » ἄρτον άγγέλων ἔφαγεν ἄνθρωπος«. καὶ πρὶν ἀπεστάλθαι ἄρα ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐν οὐρανῷ ἦν καὶ ἔζη διὰ τὸν πατέρα,

1.20.35 | This is what he himself teaches, saying, "Just as the living Father sent me, and I live because of the Father, so the one who eats me will live because of me. This is the bread that came down from heaven." You see that there was also bread of life in heaven. Therefore, it is said somewhere, "A man ate the bread of angels." And before he was sent by the Father, he was in heaven and lived because of the Father.

1.20.36 | ούχ ὡς λόγος σημαντικὸς ούδ' ὡς εν καὶ ταύτὸν ὑπάρχων τῷ θεῷ, άλλ' ὡς ὑφεστὼς καὶ ζωὴν ίδίαν ἔχων, ἢν ὁ πατὴρ αύτῷ δέδωκεν.

1.20.36 | Not as a significant word, nor as one and the same existing with God, but as one who exists and has his own life, which the Father has given to him.

1.20.37 | καὶ προϊὼν δὲ ἐξῆς τὸ ὑπερέχον τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς δόξης παριστὰς ἔλεγεν »καθὼς ἐδίδαξέν με ὁ πατήρ. ταῦτα λαλῶ. καὶ ὁ πέμψας με μετ' έμοῦ έστιν· ούκ άφῆκέν με μόνον, ὅτι έγὼ τὰ άρεστὰ αὐτῷ ποιῶ πάντοτε«. τήρει δὲ ἀκριβῶς, ὅπως εἴρηται »πάντοτε«.

1.20.37 | And going on, he presented the glory of the Father and said, "Just as the Father taught me, I speak these things. And the one who sent me is with me; he has not left me alone, because I always do what pleases him." And he carefully keeps in mind how it was said, "always."

1.20.38 | ού γὰρ νῦν, φησίν, ὅτε ἐπὶ γῆς άνθρώποις διὰ σαρκὸς ὁμιλῶ, τὰ άρεστὰ τῷ πατρὶ διαπράττομαι, άλλὰ καὶ πάντοτε. καὶ ταῦτα δὲ λαλεῖν μαρτύρεται, ἄπερ ὡς παρὰ διδασκάλου παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς μεμάθηκεν· οὐκοῦν καὶ διδάσκαλον

1.20.38 | For he says, "Not now, when I am speaking with people on earth through the flesh, do I do what pleases the Father, but always." And he testifies that he speaks these things, which he learned from the Father as from a teacher. Therefore, the

έπιγράφεται τὸν πατέρα, ἔτερος ῶν δηλαδὴ παρ' αὐτόν, εἴγε πᾶς ὅ τῳ μαθητευόμενος ἔτερος τυγχάνει τοῦ διδάσκοντος.

Father is also called a teacher, being different from him, since anyone who learns is different from the one teaching.

1.20.39 | εί δ' έν τῷ θεῷ ἦν ὁ λόγος, δι' ὂν καὶ λογικὸς ἂν ῥηθείη, τῶν τοῦ πατρὸς νοημάτων σημαντικός τις ὤν, πῶς ἂν αὐτὸς ἑαυτοῦ γένοιτο διδάσκαλος; πῶς δ' άχώριστος ὧν τοῦ θεοῦ, ἑαυτὸν ἔλεγεν άπεστάλθαι; πῶς δὲ ἔν καὶ ταὐτὸν ὑπάρχων τῷ θεῷ τὰ άρεστὰ πράττειν αὐτῷ διεμαρτύρετο; εί δὲ πρὸς ταῦτα λέγοι Μάρκελλος έν τῇ σαρκὶ ὄντα τὸν λόγον ταύτας είρηκέναι τὰς φωνάς, καὶ τί τοῦτο φήσομεν πρὸς τὸ μὴ ὁμολογεῖν υὶὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι, άλλὰ λόγον μόνον;

1.20.39 | If the word was in God, through whom it could be called logical, being a sign of the thoughts of the Father, how could he be a teacher of himself? And how, being inseparable from God, could he say he was sent? And how, being one and the same with God, could he testify that he does what pleases him? But if Marcello says that the word spoke these things while being in the flesh, what can we say about not agreeing that he is the Son, but only a word?

1.20.40 | πῶς δ' ἐν τῇ σαρκὶ ἦν, ὅτε ταῦτ' ἔλεγεν; ἄρά γε ζῶν καὶ ὑφεστὼς καὶ τοῦ πατρὸς ὑπάρχων ἐκτός; καὶ τίς ἦν ὁ πατὴρ τότε, μὴ τὸν οἰκεῖον κεκτημένος ἐν αὑτῷ λόγον. ἀλλ' ὑφεστὼς ἄνευ λόγου; ἐνοικῶν δ' ἐν τῇ σαρκὶ ὁ λόγος. ὅτ' ἐπὶ γῆς ἐποιεῖτο τὰς διατριβάς, εί μὲν τοῦ πατρὸς ἐκτὸς ἦν, ζῶν καὶ ὑφεστὼς καὶ τὴν σάρκα κινῶν ψυχῆς δίκην, ἔτερος δηλαδὴ παρὰ τὸν πατέρα, καὶ δύο πάλιν ὑποστάσεις αὐτός τε καὶ ὁ πατὴρ ὑπῆρχον,

1.20.40 | How was he in the flesh when he said these things? Was he living and existing, apart from the Father? And who was the Father then, if he did not have the word within himself? But was he existing without the word? And the word was dwelling in the flesh. When he was on earth doing his work, if he was outside the Father, living and existing and moving the flesh like a soul, then he would be different from the Father, and there would be two distinct beings, both he and the Father.

1.20.41 | μάταιός τε πᾶς ὁ Μαρκέλλου πεφώραται πόνος οὐσιώδη λόγον ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα τὸν έν τῇ σαρκὶ γενόμενον ὁριζομένου. εί δ' έκτὸς ὑπῆρχεν τοῦ θεοῦ ὁ έν τῷ σώματι κατοικῶν λόγος, ἤνωτο δὲ καὶ συνῆπτο τῷ θεῷ ὡς ἔν τε καὶ ταύτὸν εἶναι αὐτῷ, | έξ ἀνάγκης δώσει ἢ αὐτὸν εἶναι τὸν πατέρα έν τῇ σαρκί, ἢ τὸν υὶὸν

1.20.41 | The effort of Marcello is completely in vain if he claims that the living and existing word, which became flesh, is defined. If the word dwelling in the body existed outside of God, and was united and connected to God as one and the same, then it must either be the Father in the flesh, or the Son existing by himself and

ύφεστῶτα καθ' ἐαυτὸν καὶ ἐνεργοῦντα ἐν τῷ σώματι, ἢ ψυχὴν ἀνθρώπου, ἢ εί μηδὲν τούτων, αὐτόματον κινεῖσθαι τὴν σάρκα, ἄψυχον οὖσαν καὶ ἄλογον. acting in the body, or the soul of a man, or if none of these, then the flesh would move on its own, being lifeless and without reason.

1.20.42 | εί μὲν οὖν τὸν πατέρα λέγοι, ἔσται ὁ πατὴρ αὐτῷ ὁ γεννηθεὶς καὶ παθὼν καὶ πᾶν ἔργον άνθρωποπαθὲς ὑπο μείνας. ὁ δὴ φθεγξάμενον τὸν Σαβέλλιον άσεβείας έγράψατο γραφὴν τοῦ θεοῦ ἡ ἐκκλησία. εί δὲ τὸν πατέρα λέγειν οὐ θεμιτὸν ένανθρωπήσαντα, τὸν υὶὸν ὁμολογεῖν ἀνάγκη αὐτῷ μαθητευομένους τοῦτο διδάσκοντι.

1.20.42 | If he speaks of the Father, then the Father will be the one who was born and suffered, and all human-like actions will remain under him. This is why the church wrote a letter against Sabellius for his impiety. But if it is not right to say that the Father became human, then it is necessary for him to acknowledge the Son, teaching his followers this.

1.20.43 | εί δὲ τοῦτον ἀρνοῖτο Μάρκελλος ὑφεστάναι, ὥρα ψιλὸν ἄνθρωπον αὐτὸν ὑποτίθεσθαι ἐκ σώματος καὶ ψυχῆς συνεστῶτα. ὡς μηδὲν τῆς κοινῆς ἀνθρώπων διαλλάττειν φύσεως. ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῦτο τῆς ἐκκλησίας ἀπελήλαται 〈τὸ〉 δόγμα· ὂ δὴ πάλαι μὲν Έβιωναῖοι νεωστὶ δὲ ὁ Σαμοσατεὺς καὶ οὶ ἐπίκλην έξ αὐτοῦ Παυλιανοὶ φρονήσαντες δυσφήμων ὑπέμειναν δίκην.

1.20.43 | But if Marcello denies that the Son exists, he would have to suggest that he is just a plain man made up of body and soul. He would not differ at all from the common nature of humans. But this belief has also been rejected by the church. Long ago, the Ebionites held it, and recently, the Samostateans and those called Paulinians, who thought similarly, have faced punishment for their slander.

1.20.44 | τί δὴ οὖν λείπεται μετὰ ταῦτα ἢ τὴν σάρκα μόνον εἰσάγειν δίχα παντὸς ἐνοίκου δίκην τῶν παρὰ τοῖς θαυματοποιοῖς αὐτομάτων κινουμένην; καὶ πῶς ἡ σὰρξ καὶ αὐτὸ καθ΄ ἑαυτὸ τὸ σῶμα δίχα τοῦ ἐνεργοῦντος εἶπεν ἂν »καθὼς ἑδίδαξέν με, ταῦτα λαλῶ«; πῶς δὲ ἡ σὰρξ εἶπεν ἂν »ὅτι ἐγὼ τὰ ἀρεστὰ αὐτῷ ποιῶ πάντοτε«; πῶς δὲ ἡ σὰρξ ἀπεστάλθαι ἑαυτὴν παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς ἔλεγεν; ἄρα δὲ πατέρα τῆς σαρκὸς τὸν θεὸν λέγειν ὅσιον, ἡ μᾶλλον τοῦ ἐν αὐτῆ κατοικοῦντός τε καὶ ἐνεργοῦντος; τίς οὖν ἦν οὖτος; πότερα ὁ ἐν

1.20.44 | So what is left after this, except to say that the flesh alone is introduced, moving by itself like those wonders done by miracle workers? And how could the flesh say, "As I was taught, I speak these things"? How could the flesh say, "I always do what is pleasing to him"? How could the flesh claim to have been sent by the Father? Is it right to call God the Father of the flesh, or rather the one who dwells and acts within it? So who is this? Is it the Word in God, who is himself God according to Sabellius, or, as it is right and true to say,

τῷ θεῷ λόγος, αύτὸς ὢν ὁ θεὸς κατὰ Σαβέλλιον, ἥ, ὅπερ ὅσιον καὶ άληθὲς λέγειν, ὁ ζῶν καὶ ὑφεστὼς μονογενὴς υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ; the living and existing only-begotten Son of God?

1.20.45 | εί δὲ μηδέτερα τούτων λέγοι, έξ άνάγκης ὑποστήσεται ψυχὴν άνθρώπου, καὶ ἔσται αὐτῷ ψιλὸς ἄνθρωπος ὁ Χριστός καὶ οὐκέτι Σαβελλι‹αν›ός, Παυλιανὸς δ' ἡμῖν ἔσται ὁ νέος συγγραφεύς. εί δὲ τὸν έν τῷ θεῷ φαίη λόγον ένοικῆσαι τῇ σαρκὶ οὐδὲν ἔτερον ὅντα ἡ λόγον καὶ λόγον σημαντικὸν ἡ ἐνεργητικόν,

1.20.45 | But if he says neither of these things, then it must be accepted that the soul of a man will be present, and Christ will be just a plain man. He will no longer be Sabellian, but the new writer will be Paulinian for us. But if he claims that the Word in God dwells in the flesh as nothing other than a Word that is significant or active,

1.20.46 | καὶ πῶς οὖτος εἶπεν ἀν ζῆν ἱδιάζουσαν ζωὴν παρὰ τὸν πατέρα; πῶς δὲ αὐτὸς ἀπέσταλτο συνημμένος καὶ ἡνωμένος ἀεὶ τῷ θεῷ; πῶς δὲ καὶ διδάσκαλον αὐτοῦ φησὶν εἶναι τὸν πατέρα; πῶς δὲ »τὰ ἀρεστὰ τῷ πατρὶ πάντοτε «πράττειν ὲαυτὸν ἔλεγεν; | αὖται γὰρ διαρρήδην υἰοῦ ὑφεστῶτος καὶ ζῶντος εἶεν ἀν φωναί, καὶ δι' ὅλου δὲ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου ὁ εὐχόμενος τῷ πατρί, 〈ò〉 δοξάζων τὸν πατέρα, ὁ παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς δοξασθῆναι άξιῶν, τί ἔτερον ἢ ὑφεστῶτα ὲαυτὸν δείκνυσιν, καὶ μάλιστα ὅτε φησὶν »δύο άνθρώπων ἡ μαρτυρία άληθής έστιν.

1.20.46 | And how could he say that he lives a unique life from the Father? How could he be sent, always joined and united with God? How could he say that the Father is his teacher? How could he say that he always does what is pleasing to the Father? For these are clear voices of a Son who exists and lives, and throughout the whole Gospel, the one who prays to the Father, who glorifies the Father, who claims to be worthy to be glorified by the Father, shows that he exists as someone distinct, especially when he says, "The testimony of two men is true."

1.20.47 | έγώ είμι ὁ μαρτυρῶν περὶ έμαυτοῦ, καὶ ὁ πέμψας με πατὴρ μαρτυρεῖ περὶ έμοῦ«; διὰ τοσούτων αύτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ ζῶντα ἑαυτὸν παραστήσας ούδαμοῦ μὲν λόγον ἑαυτὸν ώνόμασεν, υὶὸν δὲ καὶ φῶς καὶ μονογενῆ καὶ ἄρτον ζωῆς καὶ πάντα μᾶλλον ἡ λόγον.

1.20.47 | "I am the one who bears witness about myself, and the Father who sent me bears witness about me." For so many reasons, the Savior, presenting himself as living, never called himself just a Word, but rather a Son, and Light, and Only-begotten, and Bread of Life, and much more than just a Word.

1.20.48 | καὶ λόγον μὲν ἔχειν διδάσκει φάσκων »έάν τις άγαπᾶ με, τὸν λόγον μου τηρήσει«, ἑαυτὸν δὲ λόγον εἶναι οὐ φησίν. πῶς οὖν μετὰ ταῦτα πάντα οὐκ έρυθριᾶ ὁ μόνον λόγον καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτερον εἶναι αὐτὸν άποφηνάμενος; έπάκουσον γοῦν ὅπως ἔγραφεν λέγων (Νr. 37) ὤστε πανταχόθεν δῆλόν έστιν μηδὲν ἔτερον τῆ άιδιότητι τοῦ λόγου ὰρμόττειν ὄνομα ἢ τοῦθ΄ ὅπερ ὁ ὰγιώτατος τοῦ θεοῦ μαθητὴς καὶ ἀπόστολος Ἰωάννης έν άρχῆ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου εἶπεν.

1.20.48 | And he teaches that he has a Word, saying, "If anyone loves me, he will keep my Word," but he does not say that he is just a Word. How then, after all this, does he not blush when he claims to be only a Word and nothing else? Listen to how he wrote, saying, so it is clear from everywhere that nothing else fits the nature of the Word but this, which the most holy disciple and apostle of God, John, said at the beginning of the Gospel.

1.20.49 | καὶ πάλιν (Nr. 37) ἴνα δείξη ὅτι, εἴ τι καινὸν καὶ νεώτερον ὄνομα, τοῦτ' ἀπὸ τῆς καινῆς αὐτῷ καὶ νέας ὑπῆρξε κατὰ σάρκα οίκονομίας.

1.20.49 | And again, to show that if there is any new and younger name, this comes from the new and recent arrangement he had in the flesh.

1.20.50 | καὶ αὖθις (Nr. 42) οὐκοῦν πρὸ μὲν τοῦ κατελθεῖν καὶ διὰ τῆς παρθένου τεχθῆναι λόγος ἦν μόνον. ἐπεὶ τί ἔτερον ἦν πρὸ τοῦ τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην ἀναλαβεῖν σάρκα τὸ κατελθὸν »ἐπ' ἐσχάτων τῶν ἡμερῶν«. ὡς καὶ αὐτὸς γέγραφεν, καὶ γεννηθὲν ἐκ τῆς παρθένου; οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν ἢ λόγος.

1.20.50 | And again, before he came down and was born through the virgin, there was only the Word. For what else was there before he took on human flesh when he came down "in the last days"? As he himself wrote, and born from the virgin? There was nothing else but the Word.

1.20.51 | τοσαῦτα Μαρκέλλῳ είπεῖν καὶ ἀποφήνασθαι πάρεστιν διὰ τὸ μὴ ὁμολογεῖν τὸν υἰὸν τοῦ θεοῦ. πρὸς ὂν εἶπεν ἀν ὁ εύαγγελιστὴς μέγα έπιβοήσας· τί φῃς, ἄνθρωπε; οὐ λόγον αὐτὸν ἔφην μόνον, άλλὰ καὶ θεόν, καὶ »φῶς τὸ φωτίζον πάντα ἄνθρωπον έρχόμενον είς τὸν κόσμον«, καὶ μονογενῆ υὶὸν τὸν »είς τὸν κόλπον τοῦ πατρός«.

1.20.51 | It is possible to say so much to Marcellus and to declare this because he does not confess the Son of God. To him, the evangelist would cry out loudly: "What do you say, man? I did not say only the Word, but also God, and 'the light that gives light to everyone coming into the world,' and the only-begotten Son 'who is in the bosom of the Father.'"

1.20.52 | μὴ δὴ οὖν συκοφαντείτω τις τὸν θεολόγον, άλλ' άκριβῶς έπαϊέτω αύτοῦ, ὁποῖον ὑπέθετο λόγον παρὰ πόδας συνάψας »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος« καὶ προσθεὶς τὸ »πάντα δι' αύτοῦ έγένετο«. κατὰ δὲ αὐτὸν τὸν τῶν ὅλων σωτῆρα οὐδὲ ἄπαξ ώνόμασται λόγος. άλλὰ υὶὸς θεοῦ καὶ μονογενὴς καὶ φῶς καὶ ζωὴ καὶ άλήθεια καὶ πάντα μᾶλλον ἡ λόγος.

1.20.52 | So let no one slander the theologian, but let them listen carefully to what he said, having joined the words "and the Word was God" and added "all things were made through him." According to him, the Savior of all is never called just the Word. Instead, he is called the Son of God, the only-begotten, the light, the life, the truth, and much more than just the Word.

1.20.53 | | η'. εί δὲ λέγοι ταῦτα έκ τῆς καινῆς διαθήκης είρῆσθαι, λεχθήσεται αὐτῷ ὅτι καὶ τὸ »έν ἀρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος« οὐδ' ἑτέρωθεν ἦν ἢ έξ αὐτῆς. ἑν δὴ οὖν καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ εὐαγγέλιον ὁ αὐτός τε εὐαγγελιστὴς ὁ λόγον αὐτὸν είπὼν καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ πάντα περὶ αὐτοῦ συνεγράψατο.

1.20.53 | If he says that these things are spoken from the New Testament, it will be said to him that "in the beginning was the Word" was not from elsewhere but from it. Therefore, the same gospel is one and the same, as the same evangelist who spoke of the Word also wrote everything else about him.

1.20.54 | θ'. καὶ Παῦλος δὲ ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος λέγων »ἡμῖν εἶς θεὸς ὁ πατήρ, έξ οὺ τὰ πάντα, καὶ εἶς κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστός, δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«, δῆλός έστιν τὸν πρὸ τῆς ένσάρκου παρουσίας υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα« έγένετο, οὐ λόγον όνομάζων, άλλὰ κύριον Ἰησουν καὶ Χριστόν. άλλ' εί κατὰ Μάρκελλον εἷς καὶ ὁ αὐτὸς ἦν ὁ θεὸς καὶ ὁ έν αὐτῷ λόγος, ἀπήρκει τῷ ἀποστόλῳ φάναι »ἡμῖν εἷς θεὸς ὁ πατήρ.

1.20.54 | And Paul, the divine apostle, says, "For us there is one God, the Father, from whom are all things, and one Lord Jesus Christ, through whom are all things." It is clear that before the Son of God became human, "through whom are all things" refers to him, not calling him the Word, but calling him Lord Jesus and Christ. But if, according to Marcellus, God and the Word in him were one and the same, it would have been enough for the apostle to say, "For us there is one God, the Father."

1.20.55 | έξ οὖ τὰ πάντα«. πλήρης γὰρ ἦν ἡ διάνοια καὶ ὁ λόγος αὐτοτελὴς τὸν θεὸν είσηγούμενος ποιητὴν τῶν ὅλων. άλλὰ τοῦτο καὶ Ἰουδαῖος ἀν εἴποι. ὁ δὲ τῆς έκκλησίας κῆρυξ πρὸς τῷ προτέρῳ καὶ τὸ δεύτερον μὴ άγνοεῖν διδάσκει·

1.20.55 | "From whom are all things." For the mind was full, and the Word was self-sufficient, introducing God as the creator of all. But even a Jew could say this. However, the herald of the church teaches not to be ignorant of the former and the latter.

1.20.56 | τί δὲ ἦν τοῦτο; »καὶ εἶς κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστός«. διὸ προστίθησιν τὸ δεύτερον ἐξῆς λέγων »ἡμῖν (εί γὰρ καὶ μὴ πᾶσιν, άλλ' ἡμῖν, φησίν) εἶς κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστός«. διὰ τί δὲ μετὰ τὸν ἔνα θεὸν καὶ οὖτος »ἡμῖν εἶς κύριος«, παρίστησιν έπιλέγων »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«· έπειδὴ γὰρ τὰ »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο«, είκότως ἡμῖν τοῖς τοῦτ' έπισταμένοις· κύριος εἶναι τῶν ὅλων μετὰ τὸν ἐπὶ πάντων θεὸν πεπίστευται.

1.20.56 | What does this mean? "And one Lord Jesus Christ." Therefore, he adds the second part, saying, "For us (for even if not for all, he says, for us) there is one Lord Jesus Christ." But why, after mentioning one God, does he also say, "For us there is one Lord"? He presents this by choosing "through whom are all things." Since "all things were made through him," it is fitting for us who know this to believe that he is Lord of all, along with the God who is over all.

1.20.57 | ὅτι δὲ ού περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς ταῦτ' ἔλεγεν, άλλὰ περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου, δῆλός έστιν έκ τοῦ έπιφέρειν »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«. »ἡμῖν« γάρ φησιν »εἷς θεὸς ὁ πατήρ, έξ οὖ τὰ πάντα, καὶ εἷς κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστός, δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«. ὂ δὴ καὶ περὶ τοῦ φωτὸς καὶ περὶ τοῦ προόντος λόγου εἵρηται. αὐτὸς οὖν ἦν 59<sup>r</sup> Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς τὸ φῶς καὶ ὁ λόγος. »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα« γέγονεν, άλλ' ούχ ἡ σάρξ. οὐ γὰρ ᾶν περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς άσυναρτήτως οὕτως εἶπεν ᾶν τὸ »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«.

1.20.57 | That he was not speaking about the flesh, but about the Word of God, is clear from the phrase "through whom are all things." For he says, "For us there is one God, the Father, from whom are all things, and one Lord Jesus Christ, through whom are all things." This is also said about the light and about the pre-existing Word. Therefore, Jesus Christ himself was the light and the Word. "Through whom are all things" came to be, but not the flesh. For he would not have said "through whom are all things" in such a disconnected way if he were speaking about the flesh.

1.20.58 | ι'. οἶδεν δὲ τὸν τῆς σαρκὸς προϋπάρχοντα τοῦ θεοῦ υὶὸν Χριστὸν όνομάζειν ὁ αὐτὸς Παῦλος, κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ πέτραν αὐτὸν άποκαλῶν, δι' ὧν γράφει περὶ τῶν έν τῆ έρήμῳ συνδιατριψάντων Μωσεῖ, λέγων »ἔπινον γὰρ έκ πνευματικῆς άκολουθούσης πέτρας, ἡ δὲ πέτρα ἦν ὸ Χριστός«, καὶ έπισφραγίζεται τὸ αὐτὸ μεθ' ἔτερα φάσκων »μηδὲ έκπειράζωμεν τὸν | Χριστόν, καθώς τινες αὐτῶν έπείρασαν καὶ

1.20.58 | Paul himself knows to call the Son of God, who existed before the flesh, Christ, referring to him as the same rock. He writes about those who spent time with Moses in the wilderness, saying, "For they drank from the spiritual rock that followed them, and that rock was Christ." He confirms this by saying with other words, "Let us not test Christ, as some of them did, and were destroyed by the serpents."

ὑπὸ τῶν όφέων ἀπώλοντο«.

1.20.59 | καὶ ἔτι μᾶλλον τοῦτ' αὐτὸ βεβαιοῖ λέγων »πίστει Μωσῆς μέγας γενόμενος ήρνήσατο λέγεσθαι υὶὸς θυγατρὸς Φαραώ, μείζονα πλοῦτον ἡγησάμενος τῶν Αἰγύπτου θησαυρῶν τὸν όνειδισμὸν τοῦ Χριστοῦ«. ἐναργέστατα δὲ ἔτι μᾶλλον τοῦτο συνίστησιν ἐν οἶς φάσκει »τοῦτο φρονείσθω ἐν ὑμῖν ὂ καὶ ἐν Χριστῷ Ίησοῦ, ὂς ἐν μορφῆ θεοῦ ὑπάρχων ούχ ὰρπαγμὸν ἡγήσατο τὸ εἶναι ἴσα θεῷ, ἀλλ' ἑαυτὸν ἐκένωσεν μορφὴν δούλου λαβών, καὶ σχήματι εὑρεθεὶς ὼς ἄνθρωπος«.

1.20.59 | And even more, he confirms this by saying, "By faith, Moses, when he grew up, refused to be called the son of Pharaoh's daughter, considering the disgrace of Christ greater wealth than the treasures of Egypt." He makes this even clearer when he says, "Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus, who, being in the form of God, did not consider equality with God something to be grasped, but emptied himself, taking the form of a servant, and being found in appearance as a man."

1.20.60 | συνορᾶς ὅτι πρὸ τοῦ κενῶσαι ἐαυτὸν καὶ μορφὴν δούλους λαβεῖν ἦν καὶ προῆν καὶ »έν μορφῆ θεοῦ« ὑπῆρχεν. τίς δὲ ἦν οὖτος; ούδεὶς ἄλλος ἢ Ἰησοῦς Χριστός. αὐτὸς οὖν ἦν θεὸς λόγος Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς καὶ πρὶν ἢ τὴν σάρκα λαβεῖν.

1.20.60 | You see that before he emptied himself and took the form of a servant, he existed "in the form of God." Who was this? No one other than Jesus Christ. Therefore, he was the Word of God, Jesus Christ, even before he took on flesh.

1.20.61 | άκριβῶς γὰρ άκουστέον τοῦ θείου ἀποστόλου λέγοντος »τοῦτο φρονείσθω έν ὑμῖν ὂ καὶ έν Χριστῷ Ίησοῦ«, καὶ διασαφοῦντος ἐξῆς τίς ποτ' ἦν οὖτος Ἰησοῦς Χριστός, δι' ὧν έπισυνάπτει λέγων »ὂς έν μορφῆ θεοῦ ὑπάρχων ούχ ὰρπαγμὸν ἡγήσατο τὸ εἶναι ἴσα θεῷ, άλλ' ἑαυτὸν έκένωσεν μορφὴν δούλου λαβών«.

1.20.61 | For it must be heard clearly from the divine apostle saying, "Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus," and explaining further who this Jesus Christ was, by adding, "who, being in the form of God, did not consider equality with God something to be grasped, but emptied himself, taking the form of a servant."

1.20.62 | μήτι οὖν κάνταῦθα έπὶ τὴν σάρκα οἶόν τέ έστιν έκλαβεῖν τὸ λόγιον; μὴ περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς ὰρμόζει λέγειν τὸ »ὂς [καὶ« καὶ τὸ »ὑπάρχων«; άλλὰ μὴ σὰρξ »έκένωσεν ἑαυτὸν μορφὴν δούλου λαβών«; άλλὰ γελοῖον τοῦτό γε. φέρ' οὖν έξετάσωμεν

1.20.62 | So, is it possible to take the Word here in relation to the flesh? Is it fitting to say "who" and "being" about the flesh? But isn't it the case that he "emptied himself, taking the form of a servant"? This seems ridiculous. Therefore, let us examine how it

όπως εἴρηται τὸ »ὂς έν μορφῆ θεοῦ ὑπάρχων ούχ ὰρπαγμὸν ἡγήσατο τὸ εἶναι ἴσα θεῷ, ἀλλ' ἑαυτὸν ἐκένωσεν«. εί μὲν δὴ λόγος ἦν ἀνύπαρκτος, μηδαμῶς ἔξωθεν τοῦ θεοῦ ὑφεστώς, ἀλλ' ἔνδον ῶν έν αὐτῷ, ποτὲ μὲν έν ἡσυχία καὶ σιγῆ ποτὲ δὲ έν τῷ ένεργεῖν,

is said, "who, being in the form of God, did not consider equality with God something to be grasped, but emptied himself." If indeed the Word was non-existent, not standing outside of God, but being within him, sometimes in quiet and silence, and sometimes in action,

1.20.63 | καὶ πῶς οὖτος ἦν »έν μορφῆ θεοῦ«, δυνάμει [θεῷ] αὐτὸς ὢν ὁ θεός; πῶς δὲ »ούχ ἀρπαγμὸν ἡγήσατο τὸ εἶναι ἴσα θεῷ«, αὐτὸς ὢν θεός; πῶς δὲ »έταπείνωσεν ἑαυτὸν ὑπήκοος γενόμενος« τῷ πατρί; τὸ γὰρ ὑπακούειν τόνδε τῷδε δυεῖν γένοιτ' ἀν προσώπων παραστατικόν.

1.20.63 | And how was he "in the form of God," being God himself? How did he "not consider equality with God something to be grasped," being God himself? How did he "humble himself by becoming obedient" to the Father? For obeying this one to that one would indeed create a representation of two persons.

1.20.64 | καὶ έπειδήπερ τῷ παρὰ άνθρώποις λόγω κέχρηται είκόνι Μάρκελλος, πευστέον εί δύναται αρμόζειν έπὶ τοῦ ἀνθρωπίνου λόγου τὸ λέγειν· ος έν μορφη άνθρώπου ών ούχ ὰρπαγμὸν ὴγήσατο τὸ εἶναι ἴσα άνθρώπω, άλλ' **ἐ**αυτὸν ἐκένωσεν γενόμενος ὑπήκοος τῷ άνθρώπω; καὶ πῶς ὁ έν άνθρώπω συμφυής λόγος ταῦτα ἂν ποιήσειεν, 〈ἒν〉 καὶ ταύτὸν ὑπάρχων τῷ άνθρώπῳ; ούκ ἄρα λόγος ψιλὸς καὶ άνυπόστατος ἔσται άλλ' υὶὸς άληθῶς μονογενής τοῦ θεοῦ ὁ »έν μορφή θεοῦ ὑπάρχων«, ὂς »ούχ ἀρπαγμὸν ἡγήσατο τὸ εἶναι ἵσα θεῷ, άλλ' ἑαυτὸν έκένωσεν μορφήν δούλου λαβών«, ὂν καὶ Ίησοῦν Χριστὸν ώνόμαζεν ὁ θεῖος άπόστολος, προϋπάρχοντα αύτὸν τῆς σαρκὸς άκριβῶς έπιστάμενος.

1.20.64 | And since Marcello has used the word in a way that people understand, we must ask if it fits to say: "who, being in the form of a man, did not consider equality with a man something to be grasped, but emptied himself by becoming obedient to the man." And how could the Word, which is united with man, do these things, being one and the same with man? Therefore, the Word will not be just a simple and nonexistent thing, but truly the only Son of God, "who, being in the form of God, did not consider equality with God something to be grasped, but emptied himself by taking the form of a servant," whom the divine apostle called Jesus Christ, knowing well that he existed before the flesh.

1.20.65 | ια'. πρὸς τούτοις μεσίτην αύτὸν τοῦ θεοῦ 〈ὁ〉 αὐτὸς ώνόμασεν, τὴν

1.20.65 | 11. To these things, he himself named him as the mediator of God, saying that the law of Moses was given through

Μωσέως νομοθεσίαν έν χειρὶ αὐτοῦ δεδόσθαι ⟨είπὼν⟩ δι' ὧν φησιν »ὸ νόμος διαταγεὶς δι' άγγέλων, έν χειρὶ μεσίτου. ὁ δὲ μεσίτης ὲνὸς οὐκ ἔστιν, ὁ δὲ θεὸς εἶς έστιν«. ἀκούεις ὅπως ίδίως τὸν θεὸν ἀποκαλῶν καὶ ἀγγέλους όνομάζων, μεταξὺ τὸν μεσίτην είσάγει λέγων »ὸ δὲ μεσίτης ὲνὸς οὐκ ἔστιν«. ἦν ἄρα καὶ πρὸ τῆς ένανθρωπήσεως κατὰ τοὺς Μωσέως χρόνους μεσιτεύων τῆ νομοθεσία.

him, as he says, "the law was given through angels, by the hand of a mediator. But the mediator is not of one, and God is one." Do you hear how he calls God specifically and names the angels, introducing the mediator by saying, "but the mediator is not of one"? Therefore, there was also mediation before the incarnation during the time of Moses concerning the law.

1.20.66 | ιβ'. καὶ άρχιερέα δὲ αύτὸν ὁ αύτὸς άπόστολος άποκαλεῖ λέγων »ἔχοντες οὖν άρχιερέα μέγαν διεληλυθότα τοὺς ούρανούς, Ἰησοῦν τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ«. 1.20.66 | 12. And the same apostle calls him a high priest, saying, "Therefore, having a great high priest who has passed through the heavens, Jesus the Son of God."

1.20.67 | ιγ'. ού μὴν άλλὰ καὶ ἀπαύγασμα τῆς δόξης καὶ χαρακτῆρα τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ υὶὸν καὶ κληρονόμον τὸν αὐτὸν οἶδεν λέγων »ἐπ' ἐσχάτου τῶν ἡμερῶν τούτων ἐλάλησεν ἡμῖν ἐν υὶῷ, ὂν ἔθηκεν κληρονόμον πάντων, δι' οὖ καὶ ἐποίησεν τοὺς αίῶνας· ὸς ῶν ἀπαύγασμα τῆς δόξης καὶ χαρακτὴρ τῆς ὑποστάσεως αὐτοῦ«. καὶ ἐνταῦθα (ὤσπερ ἀνωτέρω ἐλέγετο περὶ τοῦ λόγου τὸ πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο«, καὶ περὶ τοῦ φωτὸς τὸ »ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ ἦν, καὶ ὁ κόσμος δι' αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο«, καὶ περὶ Ἰησοῦ Χριστός,

1.20.67 | 13. Not only that, but he also knows him as the radiance of glory and the exact representation of God, and as the Son and heir, saying, "In these last days, he has spoken to us by a Son, whom he appointed heir of all things, through whom he also made the ages; who being the radiance of his glory and the exact representation of his being." And here, just as it was said above about the Word, "all things were made through him," and about the light, "he was in the world, and the world was made through him," and about Jesus Christ, "one Lord, Jesus Christ, for us."

1.20.68 | δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«) θέα ὅπως περὶ τοῦ αὐτοῦ ὁμοίως εἴρηται τὸ »δι' οὖ καὶ έποίησεν τοὺς αίῶνας«. ιδ'. έπιστῆσαι δὲ τὸν νοῦν έν τούτοις ἄξιον, ὅπως ώνόμασται άπαύγασμα· οἶμαι γὰρ τῆς έκ τοῦ πατρὸς τοῦ υὶοῦ γεννήσεως παραστατικὸν εἶναι τὸν λόγον. πολλάκις γὰρ ώνομασμένου υὶοῦ καὶ τοῦ θεοῦ πατρὸς χρηματίσαντος,

1.20.68 | 14. Through whom all things were made.) It is worth noting how similarly it is said about the same, "through whom he also made the ages." 15. It is important to understand that he is called the radiance; for I think the Word is a representation of the Son's birth from the Father. For often, when the Son and God the Father are

⟨ίνα μὴ καταπεσόντες⟩ έπὶ τὰς τῶν ζώων γενέσεις τοιοῦτόν τινα τρόπον ὑπολάβοιμεν, έπὶ τοῦ θεοῦ θεοπρεπεστέρα κέχρηται είκόνι ὁ ἀπόστολος, mentioned, so that we do not fall into thinking of something like the births of animals, the apostle has used a more divine image of God.

1.20.69 | περὶ μὲν τοῦ πατρὸς είπὼν »φῶς οίκῶν ἀπρόσιτον«, τὸν δὲ υὶὸν ἀπαύγασμα τοῦ πατρικοῦ φωτὸς ὁρισάμενος, ὤστε εἶναι τὸ ἀπαύγασμα γέννημα τοῦ πρώτου φωτός, οὐ κατὰ τὴν τῶν θνητῶν ζώων ὑποστὰν γένεσιν, κατὰ δὲ τὸ ῥηθὲν παράδειγμα. είκότως οὖν καὶ είκόνα αὐτὸν | »τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτου« ἀποκαλεῖ, διὰ τὸ »έν μορφῆ θεοῦ« ὑπάρχειν, καὶ διὰ τὸ »ἀπαύγασμα« εἶναι »καὶ χαρακτῆρα τῆς ὑποστάσεως«.

1.20.69 | 16. About the Father, he said, "an inaccessible light," and he defined the Son as the radiance of the Father's light, so that the radiance is a product of the first light, not according to the way mortal beings are born, but according to the mentioned example. Therefore, it is fitting that he also calls him "the image of the invisible God," because he exists "in the form of God," and because he is "the radiance" and "the exact representation of his being."

1.20.70 | διὰ ταῦτα γὰρ περὶ αὐτοῦ γράφων ἔλεγεν »ὄς έστιν είκὼν τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτου. πρωτότοκος πάσης κτίσεως, ὅτι ἐν αὐτῷ ἐκτίσθη τὰ πάντα τὰ ἐν οὐρανοῖς καὶ τὰ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, εἴτε ὀρατὰ εἴτε ἀόρατα, εἴτε θρόνοι εἴτε κυριότητες εἴτε ἀρχαὶ εἴτε έξουσίαι· τὰ πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ καὶ είς αὐτὸν ἕκτισται· καὶ αὐτός ἐστιν πρὸ πάντων καὶ 〈τὰ〉 πάντα ἐν αὐτῷ συν ἑστηκεν«.

1.20.70 | 17. For writing about him, he said, "He is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of all creation, because in him all things were created, both in the heavens and on the earth, whether visible or invisible, whether thrones or dominions or rulers or authorities; all things were created through him and for him. And he is before all things, and in him all things hold together."

1.20.71 | ταῦτα γὰρ περὶ τῆς θεότητος τοῦ υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ, κὰν μὴ Μαρκέλλῳ δοκῆ, εἴρηται. ού γὰρ περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς εἶπεν ὰν τοσαῦτα ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος. μωρὸν γὰρ τοῦτο καὶ άδιανόητον, πρὸς τῷ καὶ ἀσυνάρτητον εἶναι τῆς φράσεως τὴν ἑρμηνείαν· πῶς γὰρ περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς ἤρμοζε λέγειν »ὄς έστιν είκών«, δέον (εί ἄρα) φῆσαι· ἡ έστιν είκών.

1.20.71 | 18. For these things have been said about the divinity of the Son of God, even if it does not seem so to Marcellus. For the divine apostle would not have said so much about the flesh. This would be foolish and unreasonable, as well as inconsistent with the meaning of the statement. For how could it be appropriate to say "he is the image" about the flesh, unless it is necessary to say: "what is the image"?

1.20.72 | (ιε'.) καὶ έν ἐτέρῳ δὲ πάλιν ὁ αὐτὸς εἰκόνα τοῦ θεοῦ αὐτὸν ώνόμαζεν λέγων »εί δὲ καὶ ἔστιν κεκαλυμμένον τὸ εὐαγγέλιον ἡμῶν, έν τοῖς ἀπολλυμένοις έστὶν κεκαλυμμένον, έν οἷς ὁ θεὸς τοῦ αίῶνος τούτου έτύφλωσεν τὴ νοήματα τῶν ἀπίστων πρὸς τὸ μὴ καταυγάσαι έν ταῖς καρδίαις αὐτῶν τὸν φωτισμὸν τοῦ εὐαγγελίου τοῦ Χριστοῦ, ὄς έστιν είκὼν τοῦ θεοῦ«.

1.20.72 | 19. And in another place, he again called him the image of God, saying, "But if our gospel is veiled, it is veiled to those who are perishing, in whom the god of this age has blinded the minds of the unbelievers, to keep them from seeing the light of the gospel of Christ, who is the image of God."

1.20.73 | ἔνθα καὶ προσήκει τὸν νοῦν έπιστῆσαι, ὡς ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος ὁρικὸν έξηνέγκατο λόγον περὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ είπὼν αὐτὸν είκόνα τοῦ θεοῦ, ἴνα μή τις δύο θεοὺς ὑπολάβοι εἶναι, άλλ' ἔνα τὸν έπὶ πάντων. εί γὰρ »εἶς θεός, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ἔτερος πλὴν αὐτοῦ«, αὐτὸς ἂν εἵη ὁ καὶ διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ ὡς δι' είκόνος γνωριζόμενος.

1.20.73 | 20. Here, it is fitting to understand that the divine apostle clearly explained about Christ, calling him the image of God, so that no one would think there are two gods, but rather one who is above all. For if "there is one God, and there is no other besides him," then he himself is known through the Son as through an image.

1.20.74 | διὸ καὶ ὁ υὶὸς θεός, διὰ τὴν έν αὐτῷ τοῦ πατρὸς ὡς έν εἰκόνι μόρφωσιν. ὁ δὴ παρίστησιν ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος, τοτὲ μὲν λέγων »ὂς έν μορφῆ θεοῦ ὑπάρχων«, τοτὲ δὲ αὐτὸν »εἰκόνα τοῦ θεοῦ« ὁριζόμενος. οὐκοῦν μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων έπηγοριῶν καὶ »εἰκὼν τοῦ θεοῦ« πρὸ τῆς ένσάρκου παρουσίας ὁ υὶὸς ἦν τε καὶ ώνόμασται. άλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν ἀπὸ τῆς καινῆς διαθήκης, όλίγα ἀπὸ μυρίων, ἡμῖν λόγου συμμετρίας χάριν συνῆκται.

1.20.74 | 21. Therefore, the Son is God, because he shows the form of the Father as in an image. This is what the divine apostle presents, sometimes saying "who, existing in the form of God," and at other times defining him as "the image of God." So, along with other titles, the Son was and is called "the image of God" even before his earthly presence. But these are just a few examples from the New Testament, out of many, that fit our discussion.

1.20.75 | ις'. καὶ οὶ πάλαι δὲ γενόμενοι τοῦ θεοῦ προφῆται τὸν αύτὸν διαφόροις έτίμων θεολογίαις. ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἀπεκάλει αὐτὸν πνεῦμα τοῦ θεοῦ λέγων »έξελεύσεται ῥάβδὸς έκ τῆς ὁίζης Ἱεσσαί |,

1.20.75 | 22. And the ancient prophets of God honored the same one with different titles. For one called him "the Spirit of God," saying, "A rod will come out of the stem of Jesse, and a flower will rise from its root,

καὶ ἄνθος έκ τῆς ῥίζης άναβήσεται, καὶ έπαναπαύσεται έπ' αύτὸν πνεῦμα τοῦ θεοῦ, πνεῦμα σοφίας καὶ συνέσεως« καὶ τὰ έξῆς. σαφῶς γὰρ τὸν »έκ σπέρματος Δαυίδ κατὰ σαρκα« καὶ τὸν ένοικήσαντα αύτῶ θεὸν λόγον διὰ τούτων έδήλου. ἔνθεν ὸ θεῖος ἀπόστολος ποτὲ μὲν ἔλεγεν »ὁ δὲ κύριος τὸ πνεῦμά έστιν«, ποτὲ δὲ »Χριστὸς θεοῦ δύναμις καὶ θεοῦ σοφία«. καὶ ἔτερος δὲ ὁμοίως πνεῦμα αύτὸν άποκαλεῖ λέγων »πνεῦμα [πρό] προσώπου ἡμῶν Χριστὸς κύριος«. καὶ έν τῷ εύαγγελίῳ δὲ περὶ αύτοῦ σαφῶς εἴρηται ίδοὺ ὁ παῖς μου ὂν ἡρέτισα, ὁ άγαπητός μου. ὃν ηύδόκησεν ἡ ψυχή μου. έδωκα τὸ πνεῦμά μου έπ' αύτόν, κρίσιν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν έξοίσει«.

and the Spirit of God will rest upon him, the Spirit of wisdom and understanding," and so on. For he clearly showed the one "who is from the seed of David according to the flesh" and the one who dwelt in him, the Word of God, through these words. Hence, the divine apostle sometimes said, "the Lord is the Spirit," and at other times, "Christ is the power of God and the wisdom of God." Another similarly called him the Spirit, saying, "the Spirit before our face is Christ the Lord." And in the Gospel, it is clearly said about him, "Behold my servant whom I have chosen, my beloved, in whom my soul is well pleased. I will put my Spirit upon him, and he will proclaim justice to the nations."

1.20.76 | ιζ'. προφητῶν δὲ ἄλλος ζωὴν αύτὸν καὶ φῶς ώνόμαζεν έν τῷ προσφωνεῖν τῷ θεῷ καὶ λέγειν »ὅτι παρὰ σοὶ πηγὴ ζωῆς, έν τῷ φωτί σου όψόμεθα φῶς«. τίς γὰρ ἦν ἡ παρὰ τῶ θεῶ πηγὴ τῆς ζωῆς καὶ τὸ φῶς ἡ αύτὸς ὁ έν εύαγγελίοις είπὼν »έγώ είμι τὸ φῶς τοῦ κόσμου«, καὶ »έγώ είμι ἡ ὸδὸς καὶ ἡ άλήθεια καὶ ἡ ζωή«; διὸ πάλιν ὶκετεύων ὁ προφήτης έν εύχῆ πρὸς τὸν θεὸν λέγει »έξαπόστειλον τὸ φῶς σου καὶ τὴν άλήθειάν σου· αύτά με οδηγήσει«. ιη'. άλήθειαν δὲ αύτον καὶ Ζοροβάβελ άνειπὼν νικητηρίων ήξιώθη. ότ' έπὶ τοῦ Περσῶν βασιλέως άναγέγραπται είρηκὼς »καὶ ἡ άλήθεια μένει καὶ ίσχύει είς τὸν αίῶνα,

1.20.76 | 23. Another prophet called him life and light when he addressed God, saying, "For with you is the fountain of life; in your light we will see light." For who was the fountain of life and the light from God but he himself, who said in the Gospels, "I am the light of the world," and "I am the way, the truth, and the life"? Therefore, again, the prophet, pleading in prayer to God, says, "Send out your light and your truth; let them guide me." 24. And he also spoke of truth when he mentioned Zerubbabel, and he was honored with victories. For when it was written during the reign of the Persian king, he said, "And the truth endures and is strong forever."

1.20.77 | καὶ ζῆ καὶ κρατεῖ είς τὸν αίῶνα τοῦ αίῶνος. καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν παρ' αὐτῆ λαβεῖν πρόσωπα, άλλὰ τὰ δίκαια ποιεῖ, ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν άδίκων καὶ πονηρῶν άπέχεται«. οἷς έπιλέγει »καὶ αὐτῆς ἡ ίσχὺς

1.20.77 | 25. "And it lives and endures forever and ever. And there is no favoritism with it, but it does what is right, and it stays away from all the unjust and wicked." To these, it is added, "And its strength,

καὶ τὸ βασίλειον καὶ ἡ έξουσία καὶ ἡ μεγαλοσύνη πάντων τῶν αίώνων«. ζῆν γὰρ είπὼν τὴν ἀλήθειαν καὶ νικᾶν καὶ κρατεῖν, έμφαντικώτατα παρέστησεν αύτῆς τὴν ὑπόστασιν. οἶς ἀκολούθως καὶ αύτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ ἀλήθειαν ἑαυτὸν όνομάζων τὴν τοῦ Ζοροβάβελ μαρτυρίαν ἐπεσφραγίζετο.

kingdom, power, and greatness are all ages." For by saying the truth and winning and enduring, it clearly shows its essence. Therefore, the Savior himself, calling himself the truth, confirmed the testimony of Zerubbabel.

1.20.78 | ιθ'. καὶ ποταμὸν δὲ αύτὸν ώνόμασεν ὁ είπών »τοῦ ποταμοῦ τὰ ὁρμήματα εύφραίνουσιν τὴν πόλιν τοῦ θεοῦ«.

 $1.20.78 \mid 26$ . And he called him a river, saying, "The streams of the river make glad the city of God."

1.20.79 | κ'. ήδη δὲ καὶ ὅρος προσεῖπεν ὁ φήσας »τὸ ὅρος ὁ ηὐδόκησεν ὁ θεὸς κατοικεῖν ἐν αὐτῷ«. | διὸ καὶ παρὰ τῷ Δανιὴλ »έξ ὅρους« λίθος τμηθεὶς »ἄνευ χειρῶν« καὶ πάλιν ὁ αὐτὸς ἀποκαθιστάμενος είς ὅρος ὑψηλὸν ἑωρᾶτο, τοῦ μὲν ὅρους τὴν προϋπαρξιν τῆς θεότητος αὐτοῦ σημαίνοντος, τοῦ δὲ λίθου τὴν ἀνθρωπότητα.

1.20.79 | 27. And he also called it a mountain, saying, "The mountain that God chose to dwell in." Therefore, also in Daniel, "A stone cut out of the mountain without hands" was seen, and again the same stone was seen becoming a high mountain. This shows the preexistence of his divinity in the mountain and the humanity in the stone.

1.20.80 | κα'. καὶ δικαιοσύνην δὲ αὐτὸν έκάλουν ὡς ὁ λέγων »τίς έξήγειρεν ἀπὸ ἀνατολῶν δικαιοσύνην;« 〈κβ'.〉 καὶ ἤλιον δικαιοσύνης ὡς ὁ είπὼν »τοῖς δὲ φοβουμένοις με ἀνατελεῖ ἤλιος δικαιοσύνης, καὶ ἴασις έν ταῖς πτέρυξιν αὐτοῦ. καὶ ἄλλος »δύσεται« φησὶν »ὸ ἤλιος έπὶ τοὺς προφήτας« »τοὺς πλανῶντας τὸν λαόν μου«· οὐ γὰρ δὴ ταῦτα τῷ αίσθητῷ έφαρμόζοι ἀν ἡλίῳ, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ τῷ ένσάρκῳ λόγῳ.

1.20.80 | 28. And they called him righteousness, as the one saying, "Who has raised up righteousness from the east?" And the sun of righteousness, as the one who said, "But for those who fear me, the sun of righteousness will rise, and healing will be in its wings." And another says, "The sun will set upon the prophets who lead my people astray." For indeed, these things would not apply to the visible sun, nor to the incarnate word.

1.20.81 | κγ'. καὶ σοφίαν δὲ αὐτὸν όνομάζει Σολομὼν έν Παροιμίαις λέγων »ἡ σοφία 1.20.81 | 29. And Solomon calls him wisdom in the Proverbs, saying, "Wisdom

ψκοδόμησεν ἑαυτῆ οἶκον, καὶ ὑπήρεισεν στύλους ἑπτὰ« καὶ τὰ ἑξῆς. καὶ ὅτι προκόσμιος ἦν ἡ σοφία ζῶσα καὶ ὑφεστῶσα, αὐτὸς ἐδίδασκεν ἐκ προσώπου αὐτῆς ταύτας προέμενος τὰς φωνὰς »έγὼ ἡ σοφία κατεσκήνωσα βουλήν, καὶ γνῶσιν καὶ ἔννοιαν έγὼ ἐπεκαλεσάμην«, εἶθ' ἑξῆς ἐπιλέγων »δι' ἐμοῦ 〈βασιλεῖς〉 βασιλεύουσιν καὶ οὶ δυνάσται γράφουσιν δικαιοσύνην, δι' ἐμοῦ μεγιστᾶνες μεγαλύνονται, καὶ τύραννοι δι' ἐμοῦ κρατοῦσιν«.

has built a house for herself, and she has set up seven pillars." And that wisdom was pre-existing, living and standing, teaching these things from her own presence, saying, "I, wisdom, dwell with counsel, and knowledge and understanding I call." Then, continuing, she says, "Through me kings reign, and rulers write justice; through me nobles are honored, and tyrants hold power."

1.20.82 | κδ'. άλλὰ καὶ ξύλον ζωῆς καὶ κύριον αὐτὸν Σολομὼν άπεκάλει λέγων »ξύλον ζωῆς έστιν πᾶσιν τοῖς άντεχομένοις αὐτῆς, καὶ τοῖς έπερειδομένοις έπ' αὐτὴν ὡς έπὶ κύριον άσφαλής«.

1.20.82 | 30. But Solomon also called him the wood of life and lord, saying, "The wood of life is for all who hold on to it, and for those who lean on it as on a safe lord."

1.20.83 | κε'. καὶ ὁ τοῦ Σολομῶνος δὲ πατὴρ Δαυὶδ έν Ψαλμοῖς [ὁμοῦ καὶ] κύριον ὁμοῦ καὶ ἱερέα αὐτὸν ώνόμαζεν· τοτὲ μὲν λέγων »εἶπεν ὁ κύριος τῷ κυρίῳ μου· καθοῦ έκ δεξιῶν μου«, τοτὲ δὲ φάσκων »ὤμοσεν κύριος καὶ ού μεταμεληθήσεται· σὺ ἱερεὺς είς τὸν αίῶνα κατὰ τὴν τάξιν Μελχισεδέκ«.

1.20.83 | 31. And David, the father of Solomon, also called him lord and priest in the Psalms, sometimes saying, "The lord said to my lord: Sit at my right," and at other times declaring, "The lord has sworn and will not change his mind: You are a priest forever according to the order of Melchizedek."

1.20.84 | κς'. καὶ θεὸν δὲ αύτὸν οἶδεν ὁμολογεῖν ὁ αὐτὸς φάσκων »ὁ θρόνος σου ὁ θεὸς είς τὸν αίῶνα τοῦ αίῶνος, ῥάβδος εὐθύτητος ἡ ῥάβδος τῆς βασιλείας σου. ἡγάπησας δικαιοσύνην καὶ ἐμίσησας άνομίαν· διὰ τοῦτο ἔχρισέν σε ὁ θεός, ὁ θεός σου, ἔλαιον άγαλλιάσεως παρὰ τοὺς μετόχους σου«. θεὸς γὰρ έν τούτοις χριόμενος ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ τίς ἄν ἔτερος εἵη ἡ αὐτὸς ὁ διὰ τὸ χρῖσμα τὸ πατρικὸν Χριστὸς

1.20.84 | 32. And the same one also acknowledged him as God, saying, "Your throne, O God, is forever and ever; the scepter of uprightness is the scepter of your kingdom. You have loved righteousness and hated wickedness; therefore God, your God, has anointed you with the oil of gladness beyond your companions." For in this anointing by God, who could be other than the one called Christ because of the anointing from the

# άνηγορευμένος;

#### Father?

1.20.85 | | κζ'. ὁ δ' αύτὸς οὖτος καὶ άγαπητὸς ἦν τοῦ θεοῦ, ὃ δὴ παρίστησιν ἡ τοῦ ψαλμοῦ προγραφὴ περιέχουσα »ὧδὴ ὑπὲρ τοῦ άγαπητοῦ«.

1.20.85 | 33. And this same one was also the beloved of God, as the title of the psalm shows, which contains "A song for the beloved."

1.20.86 | κη'. Ἡσαίας δὲ βραχίονα αὐτὸν άπεκάλει λέγων »άποκαλύψει κύριος τὸν βραχίονα τὸν ἄγιον αὐτοῦ ένώπιον πάντων 〈τῶν〉 έθνῶν. 〈κθ'.〉 καὶ Δαυὶδ ὁμοῦ δικαιοσύνην καὶ σωτήριον αὐτὸν ήπίστατο, διὸ ἔλεγεν »έγνώρισεν κύριος τὸ σωτήριον αὐτοῦ, ένώπιον τῶν έθνῶν άπεκάλυψεν τὴν δικαιοσύνην αὐτοῦ«,

1.20.86 | 34. Isaiah also called him the arm, saying, "The Lord will reveal his holy arm before all the nations." And David knew him as righteousness and salvation, which is why he said, "The Lord has made known his salvation; he has revealed his righteousness before the nations."

1.20.87 | καὶ πάλιν »εύαγγελίζεσθε ἡμέραν έξ ἡμέρας τὸ σωτήριον αύτοὺ«, καὶ αὖθις »τίς δώσει έκ Σιὼν τὸ σωτήριον τοῦ Ίσραήλ;« καὶ »δεῖξον ἡμῖν, κύριε, τὸ ἔλεός σου, καὶ τὸ σωτήριόν σου δώῃς ἡμῖν«. 〈λ'.

καὶ τί με δεῖ καθ' ἔκαστον ἀναλέγεσθαι, παρὸν τῷ φιλομαθεῖ τὰ τοιαῦτα συνάγειν έξ ἀπάσης τῆς θεοπνεύστου γραφῆς, δι' ἦς φαίνονται οὶ τοῦ θεοῦ ἄνθρωποι τῷ θείῳ πνεύματι φωτισθέντες τὴν τοῦ μονογενοῦς υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ γνῶσιν, ἀπόρρητον οὖσαν τότε καὶ τὰ πλήθη διαλανθάνουσαν τοῦ Ἰουδαίων λαοῦ. διὸ καὶ διαφόρως αὐτὸν έξεφώνουν κεκαλυμμέναις ταῖς ἐπηγορίαις. ἐφυλάττετο γὰρ τῆ αὐτοῦ παρουσίᾳ ἡ χάρις τοῦ κηρύγματος τῆς περὶ αὐτοῦ θεολογίας, ἡν καθ' ὅλης τῆς οίκουμένης ἡ αὐτοῦ ἐκκλησία ὤσπερ τι πάλαι κρύφιον καὶ σεσιγημένον μυστήριον παραλαβοῦσα σεμνύνεται.

1.20.87 | 35. And again, "Proclaim the salvation of him day after day," and also, "Who will give salvation from Zion to Israel?" and "Show us, Lord, your mercy, and give us your salvation." And why should I go through each one, when someone who loves to learn can gather these things from all the inspired writings, through which the people of God, enlightened by the divine spirit, understand the knowledge of the onlybegotten Son of God, which was then secret and hidden from the crowds of the Jewish people. That is why they spoke of him in various ways with hidden references. For the grace of the preaching of the theology about him was kept safe by his presence, which the church, like an ancient secret and silent mystery, has received and honors throughout the whole world.

1.20.88 | τοῦτο γοῦν καὶ ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος διδάσκει λέγων »κατὰ τὴν οίκονομίαν τοῦ θεοῦ τὴν δοθεῖσάν μοι είς ὑμᾶς πληρῶσαι τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ, τὸ μυστήριον τὸ ἀποκεκρυμμένον ἀπὸ τῶν αίώνων καὶ ἀπὸ τῶν γενεῶν — νυνὶ δὲ έφανερώθη τοῖς ὰγίοις αὐτοῦ, οἶς ἡθέλησεν ὁ θεὸς γνωρίσαι τί τὸ πλοῦτος τῆς δόξης τοῦ μυστηρίου τούτου έν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν, ὄς έστιν Χριστὸς έν ὑμῖν. ἡ έλπὶς τῆς δόξης«. ὁρᾶς ὅπως μυστήριον ἦν ἀποκεκρυμμένον πρότερον, νυνὶ δὲ φανερωθὲν ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ.

1.20.88 | 36. This is what the divine apostle teaches, saying, "According to the plan of God that was given to me for you, to fulfill the word of God, the mystery that has been hidden from ages and from generations — but now has been revealed to his holy ones, to whom God wanted to make known what is the wealth of the glory of this mystery among the nations, which is Christ in you, the hope of glory." Do you see how it was a mystery that was hidden before, but now the Son of God has been revealed?

1.20.89 | διόπερ οὶ μὲν τοῦ θεοῦ προφῆται τῷ προφητικῷ πνεύματι μυστικῶς αὐτὸν έθεολόγουν, τὸ δὲ πλῆθος τοῦ Ἰουδαίων ἔθνους ἐν άγνοίᾳ ἐτύγχανεν τοῦ κεκρυμμένου μυστηρίου. ὅθεν θεὸν μὲν ἑδιδάσκετο ἔνα είδέναι διὰ τὸ τῆ πολυθέῳ πλάνη συνεχῶς ὑποσύρεσθαι, πατέρα δὲ ὄντα τὸν θεὸν υὶοῦ τοῦ μονογενοῦς ήγνόειτοῦτο γὰρ έφυλάττετο τῆ έξ ἐθνῶν ἑκκλησίᾳ τὸ μυστήριον,

1.20.89 | 37. Therefore, the prophets of God spoke about him mystically through the prophetic spirit, while the crowds of the Jewish nation were in ignorance of the hidden mystery. For they were taught that there is one God, because they were continually drawn away by the many false beliefs, but they did not know God as a Father or the only-begotten Son. For this mystery was kept safe by the church from among the nations.

1.20.90 | κατ΄ έξαίρετον χάριν αύτῆ δεδωρημένον, | έν αύτῷ γάρ είσιν κατὰ τὸν ἀπόστολον »πάντες οὶ θησαυροὶ τῆς σοφίας καὶ γνώσεως ἀπόκρυφοι«. ἀλλὰ γὰρ διὰ τοσούτων ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος, ὁ »έν ἀρχῆ πρὸς τὸν θεόν«. οὐ μόνον λόγος κεκλημένος, ὡς Μάρκελλος οἴεται, ἀπεδείχθη. ἀλλὰ καὶ υὶὸς καὶ μονογενὴς καὶ φῶς καὶ ἄρτος καὶ Ἰησοῦς καὶ Χριστὸς καὶ κύριος καὶ άρχιερεὺς καὶ ἀπαύγασμα καὶ χαρακτὴρ καὶ είκὼν καὶ πρωτότοκος πάσης κτίσεως καὶ πηγὴ ζωῆς καὶ ἀλήθεια καὶ ποταμὸς καὶ δικαιοσύνη καὶ ἤλιος δκαιοσύνης καὶ σοφία καὶ ξύλον ζωῆς καὶ κύριος καὶ θεὸς καὶ άγαπητὸς καὶ ἱερεὺς

1.20.90 | 38. This was given to her by special grace, for in him, according to the apostle, "are hidden all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge." But indeed, because of so many things, the word of God, "that was in the beginning with God," was shown to be more than just a word, as Marcellus thinks. He is also Son, only-begotten, light, bread, Jesus, Christ, Lord, high priest, radiance, image, firstborn of all creation, source of life, truth, river, righteousness, sun of righteousness, wisdom, tree of life, Lord, God, beloved, priest, arm, righteousness, and savior. And being all these things and existing before

καὶ βραχίων καὶ δικαιοσύνη καὶ σωτήριον. καὶ πάντα ταῦτα ών καὶ προϋπάρχων τῆς σαρκὸς ώνομάζετο, ὼς αὶ παρατεθεῖσαι γραφαὶ δεδηλώκασιν.

the flesh, he was named, as the scriptures presented.

1.20.91 | τίνι τοίνυν λόγω ταῦτα πάντα παρεὶς Μάρκελλος έπὶ μόνου τοῦ λόγου ἴσταται, ού διαβαίνων μὲν καὶ έπὶ τὰς λοιπὰς έπωνυμίας, μόνον δὲ λόγον αὐτὸν εἶναι φάσκων τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ λόγον σημαντικόν, ποτὲ μὲν ἡσυχάζοντα έν τῷ θεῷ, ποτὲ δὲ ένεργεία μόνη λαλοῦντα [λέγοντα] ἢ πράττοντα, ἢ διὰ τὸ Σαβελλιάζειν ἄντικρυς καὶ μὴ πιστεύειν είς τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ μηδὲ γνωρίζειν τὸ μυστήριον τὸ προϋπάρχον μὲν πάλαι, μόνη δὲ τῇ έκκλησία τοῦ Χριστοῦ διὰ τῆς αὐτοῦ φανερωθὲν χάριτος;

1.20.91 | 39. What then does Marcellus mean by all this? He stands only on the word itself, not crossing over to the other names, claiming that it is only the word of God and a significant word, sometimes quiet in God, and sometimes speaking or acting only by its own power. Or is it because he is influenced by Sabellianism and does not believe in the Son of God or understand the mystery that has existed for a long time, but is known only to the church of Christ through its revealed grace?

1.20.92 | εί δὲ λέγοι μυστήριον εἶναι άγνοούμενον τὸ λόγον ἔχειν έν ἑαυτῷ τὸν θεὸν καὶ χρῆσθαι λόγῳ (τοῦτο γὰρ αὐτῷ Μαρκέλλῳ δοκεῖ τοῦτον γράφοντι τὸν τρόπον (Νr. 45) τί γὰρ ἔτερον ἦν ἀποκεκρυμμένον μυστήριον 〈ἢ τὸ〉 κατὰ τὸν λόγον; οὕτως δὲ ἦν ἀποκεκρυμμένον έν τῷ θεῷ τοῦτο πρότερον τὸ μυστήριον, ὥστε μηδένα τοῦ προτέρου λαοῦ σαφῶς τὰ κατὰ τὸν λόγον είδέναι) — εί δὴ οὖν τοῦτο λέγοι, μανθανέτω ὅτι καὶ πᾶς Ἰουδαίων τῶν τὸν Χριστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ μὴ ἑπεγνωκότων ὁμολογήσειεν ἂν τὸν λόγον ἔχειν τὸν θεὸν καὶ μὴ εἶναι ἄλογον.

1.20.92 | 40. But if he says that the mystery is unknown, having God within the word and using the word (for this seems to him, Marcellus, to be the way he writes), what else could be the hidden mystery but that according to the word? Thus, this mystery was hidden in God before, so that no one from the earlier people clearly knew the things according to the word. Therefore, if he says this, let him learn that every Jew who does not know Christ of God would agree that the word has God and is not without reason.

1.20.93 | τοῦτο δὲ καὶ Σαβέλλιος αὐτὸς καὶ πᾶς Ἑλλην τε καὶ βάρβαρος, ὁ θεὸν εἶναι ὑφιστάμενος, ἀναμφιλέκτως φήσαι ἄν· ἄμα γὰρ τῷ θεὸν είπεῖν, καὶ σοφὸν αὐτὸν νοεῖ καὶ λογικὸν καὶ δυνατὸν καὶ δίκαιον

1.20.93 | 41. But both Sabellius himself and every Greek and barbarian, who acknowledges that God exists, would certainly say this: for when one speaks of God, they also think of him as wise,

καὶ άγαθόν. ποῖον οὖν μυστήριον ἦν άποκεκρυμμένον τὸ τοῖς πᾶσιν ὁμολογούμενον; τίς γὰρ ούκ ἂν εἴποι έν τῷ θεῷ σοφίαν εἶναι καὶ δύναμιν καὶ ζωὴν καὶ φῶς καὶ άλήθειαν καὶ δικαιοσύνην καὶ λόγον καὶ πᾶν ὅ τι καλὸν καὶ άγαθόν; μᾶλλον δὲ αὐτὸν εἶναι πάντα ταῦτα καὶ Ι εἴ τι τούτων άνώτερόν τε καὶ κρεῖττον καὶ ἡμῖν ἄγνωστον· ταῦτα γὰρ αὐτοδίδακτος φύσις ὸμολογεῖν περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ πάντα ἄνθρωπον έξαναγκάζει. ἔνθεν καὶ τὸν υὶὸν διδασκόμεθα πάντα ταῦτα εἶναι,

rational, powerful, just, and good. So what kind of mystery was hidden that is acknowledged by all? For who would not say that in God there is wisdom, power, life, light, truth, justice, reason, and everything that is good and beautiful? Rather, he is all these things, and if there is anything greater and better than these that is unknown to us, for nature itself compels every person to acknowledge all these things about God. From this, we learn that the Son is all these things.

1.20.94 | ἄτε μονογενῆ υὶὸν καὶ κληρονόμον ὅντα τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ πάντ΄ ἔχοντα ὅσα καὶ ὁ πατήρ. διὸ ἐν μορφῆ θεοῦ λέλεκται καὶ είκὼν τοῦ θεοῦ κατὰ τὸν θεοῦ ἀπόστολον φήσαντα »ὂς ἐν μορφῆ θεοῦ ὑπάρχων ούχ ὰρπαγμὸν ἡγήσατο εἶναι ἴσα θεῷ«, καὶ πάλιν »ὄς ἐστιν είκὼν τοῦ θεοῦ«.

1.20.94 | 42. Since he is the only begotten Son and heir of the Father, having everything that the Father has. Therefore, he is called in the form of God, and the image of God, according to the divine apostle who said, "Who, existing in the form of God, did not consider it robbery to be equal with God," and again, "who is the image of God."

1.20.95 | ούκ ἄρα τὸ λογικὸν εἶναι τὸν θεὸν τοῦτο ἦν »τὸ μυστήριον τὸ ἀποκεκρυμμένον ἀπὸ τῶν αίώνων καὶ ἀπὸ τῶν γενεῶν«, οὐδὲ τοῦτο »νῦν έφανερώθη« τὸ φυσικαῖς έννοίαις πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις έγνωσμένον· άλλὰ γὰρ ποῖον εί ἔροιτό τις, ἀποκρίνεται ὁ ἀπόστολος, »νυνὶ δὲ έφανερώθη« λέγων »τοῖς ὰγίοις αὐτοῦ, οἷς ήθέλησεν ὁ θεὸς γνωρίσαι τί τὸ πλοῦτος τῆς δόξης τοῦ μυστηρίου τούτου έν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν, ὄς έστιν Χριστὸς έν ὑμῖν«.

1.20.95 | 43. Therefore, the logical nature of God was not "the mystery that was hidden from the ages and from generations," nor was it "now revealed" to all people through natural understanding. But if someone were to ask what it is, the apostle answers, saying, "But now it has been revealed" to "his holy ones, to whom God wanted to make known what is the richness of the glory of this mystery among the nations, which is Christ in you."

1.20.96 | Χριστὸς οὖν ἦν τὸ μυστήριον, δῆλον δ' ὅτι υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ. διὸ μυστικῶς πρότερον οὶ τοῦ θεοῦ προφῆται διαφόροις αὐτὸν ἑδόξαζον ἐπηγορίαις, τὸ ἀπόρρητον

1.20.96 | 44. Therefore, Christ was the mystery, and it is clear that he is the Son of God. For before, the prophets of God honored him with various titles, hiding the

άποκρύπτοντες καὶ τῇ αὐτοῦ χάριτι τὴν είς πάντας άποκάλυψιν αὐτοῦ ταμιευόμενοι. ὅτε τοίνυν μετὰ τοσαύτας τῶν γραφῶν μαρτυρίας διισχυρίζεται Μάρκελλος τὸν ἐν ἀρχῇ λόγον πρὶν ἢ τὴν σάρκα ἀναλαβεῖν μηδὲν ἔτερον εἶναι ἢ λόγον καὶ μηδ' ἑτέρῳ χρηματίσαι ὀνόματι, τότε δὲ διαφόρων έπηγοριῶν τετυχηκέναι ὅτε »ὸ λόγος σὰρξ έγένετο«, πρὸ τούτου μηδὲν ἔτερον ὄντα ἢ λόγον, πῶς οὐκ άμαθὴς καὶ ἀσύνετος τῶν θείων ἐλέγχοιτ' ᾶν ἀναγνωσμάτων; άλλὰ γὰρ τούτου συστάντος, φέρε λοιπόν, ὥσπερ ἀναβεβιωκότα τὸν νέον Σαβέλλιον έποπτεύσωμεν.

secret and keeping the revelation of him for all through his grace. When Marcello strongly argues that the Word was nothing other than the Word before taking on flesh, and that it did not take another name, and then that it became flesh at a certain time, how could he not be ignorant and foolish about divine matters? But since this is established, let us now examine the young Sabellius as if he has come to life.

## **Section Pin**

2.Pin.1 | Τάδε τὸ β' περιέχει σύγγραμμα τῆς έκκλησιαστικής θεολογίας. α' όπως τὴν Σαβελλίου δόξαν άνενεοῦτο Μάρκελλος. β' όπως καὶ Ίουδαΐζων τῆ δόξη διελέγχεται. γ' δπως τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ έν τῶ θεῶ ὅντα **ἄναρχον εἶναι καὶ ἀγέννητον ὼρίζετο. δ'** όπως ἒν καὶ ταύτὸν εἶναι τὸν λόγον καὶ τὸν θεὸν ἔλεγεν. ε' ὅτι ταῦτα λέγων ήρνεῖτο τὸν υὶόν. ς' ὅπως ἡ ἐκκλησία τὸν υὶὸν θεολογεῖ. ζ' ὅπως ἡ ἐκκλησία τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς μοναρχίαν κηρύττει. (η') όποίοις ρήμασιν Μάρκελλος ήρνεῖτο τὸν υἱὸν τοῦ θεοῦ. θ' ἔλεγχος τῆς τοῦ ἀνδρὸς κακοδοξίας. ι' ότι μὴ όρθῶς έξεδέξατο τὸ »έν άρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος«. ια' ὅτι ποτὲ μὲν αύτὸν προφορικὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον, ποτὲ δὲ ένδιάθετον ὸμοίως τῷ έν άνθρώποις ἔφασκεν. | ιβ' ὅτι μὴ ὡς ἐδόκει Μαρκέλλω ὁ εύαγγελιστής την περί τοῦ λόγου θεολογίαν έξέθετο. ιγ' ποσαχῶς τὸ σημαινόμενον έκ τῆς τοῦ λόγου φωνῆς νοεῖται. ιδ' ὅπως χρὴ διερμηνεύειν τὴν εύαγγελικήν περί τοῦ λόγου διδασκαλίαν. ιε' τῶν αύτοῦ Μαρκέλλου φωνῶν παραθέσεις δι' ὧν ήρνεῖτο τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ

2.Pin.1 | 45. This is what the second book contains about ecclesiastical theology. 1. How Marcello renewed the view of Sabellius. 2. How he debates with the Jews about this view. 3. How he defined the Word of God as being uncreated and without beginning while being in God. 4. How he said that the Word and God are one and the same. 5. That in saying these things, he denied the Son. 6. How the church speaks of the Son. 7. How the church proclaims the monarchy of the Father. 8. The words by which Marcello denied the Son of God. 9. A refutation of the man's false teaching. 10. That he did not correctly accept "In the beginning was the Word." 11. That at times he called the Word of God spoken, and at other times he called it unspoken, similarly to that which is in humans. 12. That the evangelist did not present the theology of the Word as Marcello thought. 13. In how many ways the meaning is understood from the voice of the Word. 14. How it is necessary to interpret the evangelical teaching about the

θεοῦ σαφῶς προφορικὸν λόγον καὶ ένδιάθετον φάσκων εἶναι αύτὸν. ις' **ἔλεγχος τῆς τάνδρὸς κακοδοξίας. ιζ'** έρμηνεία τῆς άληθοῦς διανοίας τοῦ λόγου. ιη' διὰ τί λόγον ώνόμασεν τὸν υὶὸν ὸ εύαγγελιστής άρχόμενος τῆς ὲαυτοῦ γραφῆς. ιθ' ὅπως Μάρκελλος τὰς περὶ ἑνὸς θεοῦ τῆς παλαιᾶς διαθήκης γραφὰς μαρτυρόμενος ὸμοίως Ίουδαίοις τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ήρνεῖτο. κ' διὰ τί Μωσῆς καὶ οὶ προφήται ού γυμνῶς παρέδωκαν Ίουδαίοις τὴν περὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ θεολογίαν. κα' ὅτι ὁ υὶὸς ἦν τοῦ θεοῦ ὁ Μωσεῖ καὶ τῷ Άβραὰμ χρηματίσας. κβ' ὅτι καὶ έν τοῖς προφήταις διὰ τοῦ υἱοῦ ὁ πατὴρ έθεολογεῖτο. κγ' ὅτι μη δύο θεούς η έκκλησία κηρύττει. κδ' όπως Μάρκελλος τοῖς λόγοις τοῦ θεοῦ τοῖς τῶν πρακτέων παραγγελτικοῖςτὸν μονογενῆ λόγον άφωμοίου. κε' ὅπως αύτὸς **ὲ**αυτῶ τάναντία ἔγραφεν.

Word. 15. The quotations from Marcello's words, by which he clearly denied the Son of God, claiming that he is both the spoken Word and the unspoken Word. 16. A refutation of the man's false teaching. 17. An explanation of the true meaning of the Word. 18. Why the evangelist named the Son at the beginning of his writing. 19. How Marcello, while bearing witness to the scriptures of the Old Testament about one God, similarly denied the Son of God to the Jews. 20. Why Moses and the prophets did not plainly hand down the theology about the Son to the Jews. 21. That the Son was of God, speaking to Moses and Abraham. 22. That even in the prophets, the Father was spoken of through the Son. 23. That the church does not proclaim two gods. 24. How Marcello separated the only-begotten Word from the commandments of God given to the doers. 25. How he wrote contradictory things to himself.

## Section 1

2.1.1 | Μετὰ τὰς παρατεθείσας τῶν θείων άναγνωσμάτων μαρτυρίας, δι' ὧν ὅτι μὴ μόνον λόγος ώνόμαστο πρὸ τῆς ένσάρκου παρουσίας ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ παρέστη (ὥσπερ οὖν έδόκει Μαρκέλλω) άλλὰ καὶ μυρία έτερα, φέρε δη λοιπόν το Σαβελλίου είδωλον ώσπερ άπὸ γῆς άνακύψαν έποπτεύσωμεν. έτόλμησεν ζοὖν είπεῖν αύτὸν τὸν έπὶ πάντων θεόν, τὸν πατέρα τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, γεγεν νῆσθαι έκ τῆς ὰγίας παρθένου καὶ αύτὸν πεπονθέναι, τοῦτον γράψας τὸν τρόπον (Nr. 49) τί τοίνυν ἦν τὸ κατελθὸν πρὸ τοῦ ένανθρωπῆσαι; πάντως πού φησιν πνεῦμα. εί γάρ τι παρὰ τοῦτο λέγειν έθέλοι, ού συγχωρήσει αύτῷ ὁ πρὸς τὴν παρθένον είρηκως ἄγγελος »πνεῦμα ἄγιον

2.1.1 | After the testimonies of the divine writings have been presented, which show that the Son of God was not only called the Word before his incarnation (as Marcello thought), but also many other things, let us now examine the image of Sabellius as if it has risen from the ground. He dared to say that the God above all, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, was born from the holy virgin and that he himself suffered. Writing in this way, what then was the descent before he became human? He certainly says it was a spirit. For if he wants to say anything different from this, the angel who spoke to the virgin said, "The Holy Spirit will come upon you." But if he says it is a spirit, let him listen to the Savior saying,

έπελεύσεται έπὶ σέ«. εί δὲ πνεῦμα εἶναι φήσει, ἀκουέτω τοῦ σωτῆρος λέγοντος »πνεῦμα ὁ θεός«.

"God is spirit."

- 2.1.2 | διὰ τούτων τὸν τῶν ὅλων θεόν, περὶ οὖ ὁ σωτὴρ καὶ κύριος ἡμῶν ἐδίδαξεν είπὼν »πνεῦμα ὁ θεός, καὶ τοὺς προσκυνοῦντας αὐτὸν ἐν πνεύματι καὶ ἀληθείᾳ δεῖ προσκυνεῖν«, τὸ ἐπελθὸν τῆ παρθένῳ πνεῦμα εἶναι ἔφη, ἄντικρυς τὸν Σαβέλλιον ἀνανεούμενος.
- 2.1.2 | Because of this, the God of all, about whom our Savior and Lord taught, saying, "God is spirit, and those who worship him must worship in spirit and truth," he claimed that the spirit that came upon the virgin was a spirit, directly opposing Sabellius.
- 2.1.3 | καὶ προϊὼν ἐξῆς, Ἱερεμίου τοῦ προφήτου περὶ τῆς ένανθρωπήσεως τοῦ σωτῆρος σαφῶς είρηκότος »μετὰ ταῦτα έπὶ τῆς γῆς ὤφθη, καὶ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις συνανεστράφη«, έπὶ τὸν πατέρα ἀναφέρει τὸ λόγιον φάσκων αὐτοῖς ῥήμασιν (Nr. 50) άλλ' ἔοικεν έν τῷ λόγῳ ὁ πατὴρ εἶναι, κἂν Ἀστερίῳ μὴ δοκῆ καὶ τοῖς ταὐτὰ ἐκείνῳ φρονοῦσιν.
- 2.1.3 | And going on, he clearly mentioned the prophecy of Jeremiah about the incarnation of the Savior, saying, "After this, he was seen on the earth and lived among men." He refers this saying to the Father, claiming that in the word, the Father seems to be present, even if Asterius does not think so and those who think the same as him.
- 2.1.4 | καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ πάθους δὲ τοῦ σωτῆρος ταὐτὸν ποιεῖ. παραθεὶς γὰρ ἀπὸ τῶν Ἱερεμίου Θρήνων τὸ »πνεῦμα προσώπου ἡμῶν Χριστὸς κύριος συνελήφθη ἐν ταῖς διαφθοραῖς αὐτῶν«, ἐπιλέγει (Nr. 51) κάνταῦθα ὁμοίως ὁ προφήτης περὶ τοῦ τὴν ἡμετέραν ἀνειληφότος σάρκα λόγου διαλέγεται, καὶ ἐπιφέρει λέγων (Nr. 51) πνεῦμα σκιᾶς ποιητικὸν οὐκ ἄν ποτε γένοιτο. πνεῦμα δὲ ὅτι αὐτὸς ὁ θεός, ὁ σωτὴρ ἔφη »πνεῦμα ὁ θεός«. ὅτι δὲ ὁ θεὸς φῶς ἐστιν, αὐτὸς διδάσκει ἡμᾶς »έγώ είμι τὸ φῶς« λέγων. θεωρεῖς ὅπως τὰ περὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος λελεγμένα ἐπὶ τὴν θεότητα τοῦ πατρὸς μεταφέρει.
- 2.1.4 | And about the suffering of the Savior, he does the same. For he quotes from the Lamentations of Jeremiah, "The spirit of our face, Christ the Lord, was taken in their destruction," and here the prophet similarly speaks about the flesh taken from us. He adds, saying that a creative spirit of shadow could never exist. And that the spirit is God himself, the Savior said, "God is spirit." That God is light, he teaches us by saying, "I am the light." You see how what is said about the Savior refers to the divinity of the Father.

2.1.5 | καὶ πάλιν άναιρεῖ τὴν ὑπόστασιν τοῦ υὶοῦ γυμνῆ τῆ κεφαλῆ πρὸ τῆς τῶν γεννητῶν δημιουργίας μηδὲν ἔτερον είναι πλήν τοῦ θεοῦ μόνου άποφαινόμενος. γράφει δ' οὖν ὧδε κατὰ λέξιν (Nr. 93) τὴν δοθεῖσαν αύτῷ έξουσίαν Άστέριος δόξαν όνομάζει, καὶ ού δόξαν μόνον άλλὰ καὶ προκόσμιον δόξαν, ούκ έννοῶν ὅτι μήπω τοῦ κόσμου γεγονότος ούδὲν ἔτερον ἦν πλὴν θεοῦ μόνου. καὶ αὖθις τὸ αύτὸ βεβαιοῖ λέγων (Nr. 92) ούρανὸς καὶ γῆ καὶ πάντα τὰ έν ούρανοῖς καὶ έπὶ τῆς γῆς ὄντα ύπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ γεγένηται· εί τοίνυν τοῦτο πιστεύοι, άνάγκη αύτὸν κάκεῖνο συνομολογεῖν ὅτι πλὴν θεοῦ ούδὲν ἔτερον  $\langle \tilde{\eta} \nu \rangle$  .

2.1.5 | And again, he denies the existence of the Son's substance, saying that before the creation of those who are born, there was nothing else except God alone. He writes here literally that the authority given to him is called glory by Asterius, and not only glory but also pre-worldly glory, not realizing that before the world existed, there was nothing else except God alone. And again, he confirms the same by saying that heaven and earth and all that is in heaven and on earth were made by God. If you believe this, you must also agree that there was nothing else except God.

### **Section 2**

2.2.1 | ὁρᾶς Ἰουδαῖον ἄντικρυς τὸν μονογενῆ υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ, »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα« γέγονεν, άρνούμενον. εί γὰρ πλὴν θεοῦ οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου γενέσεως, ούκ ἦν ἄρα ὁ υὶός· καὶ πῶς »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ έγένετο ούδὲ ἔν«; ὁ μὲν οὖν Ἰουδαῖος, ὁ τὸν Χριστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ άρνούμενος, πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου γενέσεως ούδὲν οἶδεν πλὴν θεοῦ μόνου, συμμαρτυροῦντος αὐτῷ Μαρκέλλου· ἡ δὲ Χριστοῦ ἐκκλησία σὺν παρρησία πάσῃ σεμνύνεται λέγουσα »ἡμῖν εἷς θεὸς ὁ πατήρ, έξ οὖ τὰ πάντα, καὶ εἷς κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστός,

2.2.1 | You see a Jew directly denying the only-begotten Son of God, "by whom all things were made." For if there was nothing else except God before the creation of the world, then the Son did not exist. And how could "all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made"? The Jew, who denies Christ of God, knows nothing before the creation of the world except God alone, with Marcello agreeing with him. But the Church of Christ boldly proclaims, saying, "We have one God the Father, from whom are all things, and one Lord Jesus Christ."

2.2.2 | δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«. έπὰν δὲ λέγῃ »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«, οἶδεν αύτὸν πρὸ πάντων ώστε πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου γενέσεως ὁ λέγων οὐδὲν ἔτερον εἶναι πλὴν θεοῦ μόνου τῆς άληθείας καταψεύδεται. σὺν γὰρ τῷ μόνῳ θεῷ καὶ ὁ μονογενὴς αύτοῦ υἰὸς ἦν

2.2.2 | By whom all things were made." When he says "by whom all things were made," he knows him before all things. Therefore, the one who claims that before the creation of the world there was nothing else except God alone is lying about the

πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου συστάσεως. καὶ τῷ πατρὶ συνῆν. τοῦτο γὰρ ἐδίδαξεν αύτὴν καὶ ὁ είπὼν »ἐπ' ἐσχάτου τῶν ἡμερῶν τούτων ἐλάλησεν ἡμῖν ἐν υἰῷ, ὂν ἔθηκεν κληρονόμον πάντων, δι' οὖ καὶ ἐποίησεν τοὺς αίῶνας«.

truth. For with the only God, the onlybegotten Son was also there before the creation of the world. And he was with the Father. For this is what he taught, saying, "In these last days, he has spoken to us by a Son, whom he appointed heir of all things, through whom he also made the ages.

2.2.3 | καὶ ἐν Παροιμίαις διὰ Σολομῶνος αὐτὸς ὁ υὶὸς περὶ ἑαυτοῦ διδάσκει λέγων »ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«. ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸς »ἦν τὸ φῶς, τὸ φωτίζον πάντα ἄνθρωπον έρχόμενον είς τὸν κόσμον«, ἐπειδὴ »ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ ἦν, καὶ ὁ κόσμος δι' αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο«. εί δὲ »ὸ κόσμος δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο«, δῆλον ὡς προϋπῆρχεν τοῦ κόσμου.

2.2.3 | And in Proverbs, through Solomon, the Son himself teaches about himself, saying, "When he prepared the heavens, I was there with him." But he also "was the light, the light that gives light to every person coming into the world," since "he was in the world, and the world was made through him." If "the world was made through him," it is clear that he existed before the world.

2.2.4 | ούκ ἄρα μόνος ἦν ὁ θεὸς πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου συστάσεως, συνῆν δὲ αὐτῷ ὁ μονογενὴς αὐτοῦ υἰός. είς ὂν άφορῶν ὁ πατὴρ ἔχαιρεν, ὡς διδάσκει αὐτὸς ὧν ἡ σοφία, λέγων έν Παροιμίαις »έγὼ ἤμην ἦ προσέχαιρεν καθ' ἡμέραν«. καὶ αὐτὸς δὲ ὁ υἰὸς ταῖς πατρικαῖς έννοίαις ένατενίζων εύφροσύνης έπληροῦτο, διό φησιν »ηύφραινόμην δὲ ένώπιον αὐτοῦ έν παντὶ καιρῷ«. ταῦτα μὲν ἡ Χριστοῦ έκκλησία τὰ εύσεβῆ καὶ θεῖα μυστήρια παραλαβοῦσα φυλάττει. ὁ δὲ λέγων (Nr. 93) μήπω τοῦ κόσμου γεγονότος μηδὲν ἔτερον εἶναι πλὴν θεοῦ μόνου

2.2.4 | Therefore, God was not alone before the creation of the world; his only-begotten Son was with him. The Father rejoiced in him, as Wisdom teaches, saying in Proverbs, "I was beside him, rejoicing daily." And the Son, looking at the thoughts of the Father, was filled with joy, for he says, "I was rejoicing before him at all times." These are the pious and divine mysteries that the Church of Christ has received and keeps. But the one who says that before the world was made, there was nothing else except God alone...

2.2.5 | δυεῖν θάτερον ἑαυτὸν ὅντα παρίστη, ἢ Ἰουδαῖον ἢ Σαβελλικαν>όν. | ἢ γὰρ αὐτόθεν ἀρνούμενος τὸν υὶόν, θεὸν δὲ μόνον εἰσάγων, Ἰουδαῖος ἔσται τὸν Χριστὸν ἀρνούμενος· ἢ μέχρι λόγου τὴν τοῦ

2.2.5 | One must present oneself as either a Jew or a Sabellian. For if someone denies the Son and only introduces God, he will be a Jew denying Christ. Or if he accepts the expression of the Son to a certain extent,

υὶοῦ πρόσρησιν άποδεχόμενος, αύτὸν δ' εἶναι φάσκων τὸν ἕνα θεόν, υὶὸν ὁμοῦ καὶ πατέρα, τὸν Σαβέλλιον άνανεώσεται. εί γὰρ πρὸ τοῦ κόσμου ούδὲν ἔτερον ἦν πλὴν θεοῦ, ‹ἢ› αὐτὸς ἔσται πατὴρ καὶ υὶὸς ἢ ούδ' ἔξει υὶόν.

claiming that he is the one God, both Son and Father, he will renew the belief of Sabellius. For if before the world there was nothing else except God, then he will either be both Father and Son or will not have a Son at all.

### **Section 3**

2.3.1 | άλλ' ἔοικεν Μάρκελλος, τὸν έν αὐτῷ τῷ θεῷ λόγον, καθ' ὂν λογικὸς νοεῖται, τοῦτον εἶναι φάσκειν τὸν υἱόν· ὤστε εἶναι αὐτὸν ἑαυτοῦ πατέρα, καὶ αὖ πάλιν αὐτὸν ἑαυτοῦ υἱόν. ἐπάκουσον γοῦν τῶν αὐτοῦ φωνῶν, δι' ὧν τοῦτον γράφει τὸν τρόπον (Nr. 92) πρὸ γὰρ τῆς δημιουργίας ἀπάσης ἡσυχία τις ἦν, ὡς είκός,

2.3.1 | But it seems that Marcellus claims that the Word in God, which is understood as rational, is the Son; so he is both his own Father and again his own Son. Listen, then, to his own words, through which he writes in this way: "For before all creation, there was a certain silence, as is likely."

2.3.2 | ὅντος ἐν τῷ θεῷ τοῦ λόγου. εί γὰρ ποιητὴν ἀπάντων τὸν θεὸν Άστέριος πεπίστευκεν εἶναι, δῆλον ὅτι συνομολογήσει ἡμῖν καὶ αὐτὸς τὸν μὲν ἀεὶ ὑπάρχειν, μηδεπώποτε άρχὴν τοῦ εἶναι λαβόντα, τὰ δὲ γεγενῆσθαί τε ὑπ' αὐτοῦ καὶ έξ οὐκ ὅντων γεγενῆσθαι. ὀρᾶς ὅπως τὸν θεὸν ἄναρχον ὑποστησάμενος τὸν λόγον ἐν αὐτῷ ὅντα ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ εἶναι πρὸ τῆς δημιουργίας ἔφη· καὶ προϊὼν ἐξῆς ἐπιλέγει ἐπιλέγει (Nr. 92) εί τοίνυν τοῦτο πιστεύοι, ἀνάγκη αὐτὸν κάκεῖνο συνομολογεῖν ὅτι πλὴν θεοῦ οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν. εἶχεν οὖν τὴν οίκείαν δόξαν ὁ λόγος, ἀν έν τῷ πατρί.

2.3.2 | While the Word was in God. For if Asterius has believed that God is the creator of all, it is clear that he will agree with us that the Word always exists, never having had a beginning, and that all things were made by him and came from nothing. You see how he supports the idea of God being without beginning, claiming that the Word in him was in silence before creation; and then he goes on to choose to say that if he believes this, he must also agree that nothing else existed besides God. Therefore, the Word had its own nature, being in the Father.

2.3.3 | έντεῦθεν είκότως καὶ άίδιον, τοῦτ' ἔστιν άγένητον, εἶναί φησιν τὸν λόγον, ὧδε γράφων (Nr. 48) άκούεις τοίνυν τῆς συμφωνίας τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος, διὰ πολλῶν καὶ διαφόρων προσώπων τῆ τοῦ

2.3.3 | From this, he rightly says that the Word is eternal, meaning uncreated. You hear, then, how he writes about the agreement of the Holy Spirit, witnessing to the eternity of the Word through many and

λόγου μαρτυρούσης άιδιότητι; καὶ πάλιν (Nr. 48) καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ἄρχεται μὲν άπὸ τῆς άιδιότητος τοῦ λόγου, »έν άρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος« λέγων »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«. τρισὶν έπαλλήλοις μαρτυρίαις χρώμενος τὴν άιδιότητα τοῦ λόγου δεικνύναι βούλεται.

different persons? And again, for this reason, he begins with the eternity of the Word, saying, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." He wants to show the eternity of the Word by using three repeated testimonies.

2.3.4 | ὅπως δὲ ἡνῶσθαι τῷ θεῷ καὶ συναγέννητον εἶναι αὐτῷ τὸν λόγον ἔφασκεν, πάρεστιν αὐτοῦ ἐπακοῦσαι ὧδέ πη λέγοντος (Nr. 61) τὴν μὲν κατὰ σάρκα οἰκονομίαν τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ διαφέρειν γινώσκομεν, τὴν δὲ κατὰ πνεῦμα ἀιδιότητα ἡνῶσθαι τῷ πατρὶ πεπιστεύκαμεν. |

2.3.4 | He claimed that the Word is united with God and is born from him. It is possible to hear him saying this: we know that the arrangement according to the flesh differs for man, but we have believed that the eternity according to the Spirit is united with the Father.

#### **Section 4**

2.4.1 | τοῦτον δὴ τὸν τρόπον έν τῷ θεῷ δοὺς εἶναι τὸν λόγον, εν καὶ ταὐτὸν εἶναι αὐτῷ ἐξῆς ἀποφαίνεται κατὰ λέξιν ὧδε γράφων (Nr. 62) εί μὲν γὰρ ἡ τοῦ πνεύματος έξέτασις γίγνοιτο μόνη, εν καὶ ταὐτὸν εἰκότως ἀν ὁ λόγος εἶναι τῷ θεῷ φαίνοιτο· εί δὲ ἡ κατὰ σάρκα προσθήκη έπὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος έξετάζοιτο, ένεργείᾳ ἡ θεότης μόνῃ πλατύνεσθαι δοκεῖ· ὤστε εἰκότως μονὰς ὅντως ἐστὶν άδιαίρετος.

2.4.1 | In this way, by saying that the Word is in God, he shows that it is one and the same with him, writing here: if the examination of the Spirit were alone, it would rightly seem that the Word is one and the same with God. But if the addition according to the flesh were examined in the Savior, it seems that only the divine nature expands. Therefore, it rightly is truly one and indivisible.

2.4.2 | καὶ πάλιν προϊών φησιν (Nr. 64) οὐ διὰ τὴν ἐν ἄπασιν οὖν λόγοις τε καὶ ἔργοις άκριβῆ συμφωνίαν, ὡς Ἀστέριος ἔφη, ὸ σωτὴρ λέγει »έγὼ καὶ ὁ πατὴρ ἔν έσμεν«· άλλὰ διότι άδύνατόν έστιν ἢ λόγον θεοῦ ἢ θεὸν τοῦ ἐαυτοῦ μερίζεσθαι λόγου. εί δὴ οὖν εν καὶ ταύτὸν ἦν ὁ θεὸς καὶ ὁ έν αὐτῷ λόγος, ὡς δοκεῖ Μαρκέλλῳ, ὁ έν τῇ ὰγίᾳ παρθένῳ γενόμενος καὶ σαρκωθεὶς καὶ ένανθρωπήσας καὶ παθὼν τὰ

2.4.2 | And again, he says: not because of the exact agreement in all words and deeds, as Asterius said, does the Savior say, "I and the Father are one." But because it is impossible for either the Word of God or God to be divided from the Word.

Therefore, if God and the Word in him were one and the same, as it seems to Marcellus, the one who was born from the holy Virgin, became flesh, became human, suffered the

άναγεγραμμένα καὶ άποθανὼν ὑπὲρ τῶν ὰμαρτιῶν ἡμῶν αὐτὸς ἦν ὁ έπὶ πάντων θεός· ὁ δὴ τολμήσαντα φάναι τὸν Σαβέλλιον ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ ἐν άθέοις καὶ βλασφήμοις κατέλεξεν.

things that are written, and died for our sins, he himself was the God above all. This is what the church of God has declared about Sabellius, calling him an atheist and a blasphemer.

### Section 5

2.5.1 | εί δὲ λέγοι Μάρκελλος τὸν λόγον εἶναι τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν σαρκωθέντα. ἄλ' άκώοιστον αὐτὸν ὼρίσατο εἶναι τοῦ θεοῦ μοναδα δοὺς άδιαίρετον, καὶ μίαν ὑπόστασιν τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ έν αὐτῷ λόγου, ὡς μηδ' ἔτερον νοεῖν τὸν ένανθρωπήσαντα κατ' αὐτὸν ἢ τὸν έπὶ πάντων θεόν. εί δὲ μονάς έστιν άδιαίρετος, ἔν τε καὶ ταὐτὸν ὁ θεὸς καὶ ὁ έν αὐτῷ λόγος, καὶ τίνα ἀν εἴποι τις πατέρα, τίνα δὲ υὶόν, ὲνὸς ὄντος τοῦ ὑποκειμένου; οὕτω μὲν δὴ Μάρκελλος υἰοπάτορα τὸν ἔνα καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν είσάγων τὸν Σαβέλλιον άνενέου.

2.5.1 | If Marcellus says that the Word of God is the one who became flesh, he has defined him to be an indivisible unity of God, giving one essence to both God and the Word in him, so that one cannot think of the one who became human as different from the God above all. If there is indeed an indivisible unity, then God and the Word in him are one and the same. So, who would one call the Father, and who the Son, if there is only one subject? Thus, Marcellus introduces the idea of a father-son relationship, bringing in Sabellius again.

# **Section 6**

2.6.1 | ἡ δὲ ἐκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τὴν μονάδα τὴν άδιαίρετον γνωρίζει, μίαν άρχὴν ὁμολογοῦσα, τὸν ἔνα καὶ άγένητον καὶ ἄναρχον θεόν· καὶ τὸν έξ αὐτοῦ δὲ γεννηθέντα μονογενῆ υἰόν, άκριβῶς ὅντα καὶ ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα, σωτῆρα έπιγράφεται, οὐκ ἄναρχον ὅντα οὐδὲ άγέννητον, ἵνα μὴ δύο άρχὰς καὶ δύο θεοὺς ὑποστήσηται, έξ αὐτοῦ δὲ γεννηθέντα τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ άρχὴν ἔχοντα τὸν γεγεννηκότα.

2.6.1 | But the church of God recognizes the indivisible unity, confessing one source, the one and uncreated and eternal God. And the only-begotten Son, who was born from him, is truly existing, living, and sustaining, and is called Savior, not being uncreated or unbegotten, so that there are not two sources and two gods. He was born from the Father and has the one who begot him as his source.

2.6.2 | διὸ πιστεύειν παρείληφεν είς ἔνα θεὸν πατέρα παντοκράτορα καὶ είς τὸν κύριον ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦν Χριστόν, τὸν μονογενῆ

2.6.2 | Therefore, it has been received to believe in one God, the Father Almighty, and in our Lord Jesus Christ, the only-

τοῦ θεοῦ υὶόν, τῆς ἀγίας ταύτης καὶ μυστικῆς πίστεως τὴν έν Χριστῷ παρεχούσης ἀναγέννησιν τοῖς δι' αὐτῆς φωτιζομένοις. άλλὰ τὴν μονάδα φησὶν Μάρκελλος ένεργεία πλατύνεσθαι, ‹ö› ἐπὶ μὲν σωμάτων χώραν ἔχει, ἐπὶ δὲ τῆς ἀσωμάτου καὶ άλέκτου καὶ άνεκφράστου οὐσίας οὐκέτι.

begotten Son of God, who provides the rebirth in this holy and mysterious faith in Christ for those who are enlightened through it. But Marcellus says that the unity expands in action; it has a place in bodies, but no longer in the immaterial, formless, and ineffable essence.

2.6.3 | ούδὲ γὰρ έν τῷ ένεργεῖν πλατύνεται ούδ' έν τῷ μὴ ένεργεῖν συστέλλεται, ούδ' ὅλως ὁμοίως ἀνθρώποις ένεργεῖ ούδ' ὁμοίως ἀνθρώποις κινεῖται· μονὰς δὲ ὢν άδιαίρετος ὁ θεὸς τὸν μονογενῆ αὐτοῦ υὶὸν έξ ὲαυτοῦ έγέννα, ού διαιρούμενος ούδ' άλλοίωσιν ἢ τροπὴν ἢ ῥοὴν ἢ τι πάθος ὑπομένων.

2.6.3 | For neither does it expand in action, nor does it contract when not acting, nor does it act in the same way with people, nor does it move in the same way with people. But being an indivisible unity, God begot his only-begotten Son from himself, without being divided or undergoing any change, alteration, or suffering.

2.6.4 | ούδὲ γὰρ προστάττων ούδ' έγκελευόμενος ούδὲ νομοθετῶν, ὁμοίως άνθρώποις γλώττη καὶ χείλεσιν λαλῶν, ταῦτα πράττει, ούδέ γε άφορῶν είς τὴν τοῦ παντὸς διακόσμησιν ὁμοίως ἡμῖν όφθαλμοῖς χρώμενος ένατενίζει, ὁπότε καὶ »τὰ μὴ ὄντα« προλαβὼν άρρήτῳ καὶ θεϊκῆ δυνάμει ὼς ἤδη ὄντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα θεωρεῖ.

2.6.4 | For neither commanding, nor ordering, nor making laws, does he speak in the same way to people with language and lips. Nor does he look at the arrangement of everything in the same way with our eyes, but whenever he sees "the non-existent," he perceives them as already existing and standing, by an unspeakable and divine power.

2.6.5 | άλλ' ούδὲ ποιῶν καὶ δημιουργῶν ὁμοίως τοῖς παρ' ἡμῖν τεχνίταις προϋποκειμένην ὕλην λαβὼν χερσὶν καὶ δακτύλοις τεκταίνεται, άρρήτω δὲ πάλιν καὶ άκαταλήπτω δυνάμει έξ ούκ ὅντων είς τὸ εἶναι τὴν τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων ὑπεστήσατο οὐσίαν. εί δὴ οὖν πάντα λόγοις ἡμῖν άρρήτοις καὶ άσυλλογίστοις έποίει. τί δὴ χρὴ άγωνιᾶν, μὴ ὁμοίως τοῖς θνητοῖς ζώοις πάθος τι περὶ αὐτὸν εἴποιμεν γεγονέναι | ἐπὶ τῆ τοῦ υἰοῦ γεννήσει, ἢν

2.6.5 | But neither does he, as a maker and creator, take pre-existing material like the craftsmen among us and shape it with hands and fingers. Instead, by an unspeakable and incomprehensible power, he brought into being the essence of all created things from what did not exist. If indeed he did all things with words that are unspeakable and beyond understanding, why should we struggle to say that something happened to him, like a passion,

ὑπὲρ πάντα καὶ πρὸ πάντων ὑπέστη, ού κατά τι τῶν τῆ θνητῶν φύσει συνεγνωσμένων, κατὰ δὲ τὸν αύτῷ μόνῳ γνωριζόμενον τρόπον;

in the birth of the Son, which he experienced before all things and above all things, not according to anything known to mortal nature, but in a way known only to himself?

# **Section 7**

2.7.1 | άλλὰ φοβῆ. ὧ ἄνθρωπε, μὴ δύο ὑποστάσεις ὁμολογήσας δύο άρχὰς είσαγάγεις καὶ τῆς μοναρχικῆς θεότητος έκπέσοις; μάνθανε τοίνυν ὡς. ἐνὸς ὅντος ἀνάρχου καὶ άγεννήτου θεοῦ τοῦ δὲ υὶοῦ έξ αὐτοῦ γεγεννημένου, μία ἔσται άρχὴ μοναρχία τε καὶ βασιλεία μία, έπεὶ καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ υὶὸς άρχὴν έπιγράφεται τὸν αὐτοῦ πατέρα. »κεφαλὴ γὰρ Χριστοῦ ὁ θεὸς« κατὰ τὸν άπόστολον.

2.7.1 | But be afraid. O man, do not confess two substances and introduce two beginnings, falling away from the unity of divine authority. Learn then that there is one unoriginated and uncreated God, and that the Son is begotten from him; there will be one beginning, one monarchy, and one kingdom, since the Son himself refers to his father as the beginning. "For God is the head of Christ," according to the apostle.

2.7.2 | άλλὰ άγωνιᾶς, μὴ δύο θεοὺς ἀνάγκη ‹εἵη› παραδέξασθαι τὸν δύο ὑποστάσεις πατρὸς καὶ υἰοῦ εἶναι ὁμολογοῦντα; άλλὰ καὶ τοῦτο γίνωσκε, ὡς ὁ δύο δοὺς ὑποστάσεις πατρὸς καὶ υἰοῦ ούκ άναγκάζεται δύο πατέρας είπεῖν, ούδὲ δύο υἰούς, άλλὰ τὸν μὲν ἔνα πατέρα δώσει, τὸν δὲ ἔτερον υἰόν. κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν οὖν τρόπον, ού δύο θεοὺς ἀνάγκη δοῦναι τὸν τὰς δύο ὑποστάσεις τιθέντα.

2.7.2 | But are you struggling, thinking that one must accept two gods if one confesses that there are two substances, the Father and the Son? But also know this: one who acknowledges two substances of the Father and the Son is not forced to say there are two fathers or two sons, but will say one is the Father and the other is the Son. In the same way, it is not necessary to say there are two gods if one places the two substances.

2.7.3 | ούδὲ γὰρ ἱσοτίμους αὐτὰς ὁριζόμεθα ούδ' ἄμφω άνάρχους καὶ άγεννήτους, άλλὰ μίαν μὲν τὴν άγέννητον καὶ ἄναρχον, θατέραν δὲ γεννητὴν καὶ άρχὴν τὸν πατέρα κεκτημένην. διὸ καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ υὶὸς καὶ ἑαυτοῦ εἶναι θεὸν τὸν αὐτοῦ πατέρα διδάσκει, έν οἷς φησιν »άνέρχομαι πρὸς τὸν

2.7.3 | For we do not define them as equal, nor both as unoriginated and uncreated, but we say that one is unbegotten and unoriginated, while the other is begotten and has the Father as the beginning.

Therefore, the Son himself teaches that his Father is God, as he says, "I am going to my

πατέρα μου καὶ πατέρα ὑμῶν καὶ θεόν μου καὶ θεὸν ὑμῶν«.

Father and your Father, and my God and your God."

2.7.4 | ὁ μὲν δὴ θεὸς καὶ πατὴρ καὶ αύτοῦ τοῦ υὶοῦ θεὸς ών δείκνυται•

2.7.4 | The Father is indeed God, and he shows that the Son is also God.

2.7.5 | διὸ δὴ εἶς θεὸς τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ τοῦ υὶοῦ κηρύττεται. ὁ δὲ υἰός, ὅτε μὲν αὐτὸς παραβάλλεται τῷ πατρί. οὐκέτ' ἔσται καὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ πατρὸς θεός, άλλ' υὶὸς μονογενὴς καὶ ἀγαπητὸς αὐτοῦ καὶ »είκὼν τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτους« καὶ »ἀπαύγασμα« τῆς πατρικῆς δόξης, σέβει τε καὶ προσκυνεῖ καὶ δοξάζει τὸν ἑαυτοῦ πατέρα θεὸν αὐτὸν καὶ ἑαυτοῦ έπιγραφόμενος («ῷ» καὶ εὕχεσθαι ἀναγέγραπται, ῷ καὶ εύχαριστεῖ, ῷ καὶ »ὑπήκοος μέχρις θανάτου« γίνεται), |

2.7.5 | Therefore, there is one God proclaimed to the church of the Son. When the Son is compared to the Father, he will no longer be called God himself, but he is the only-begotten and beloved Son, and "the image of the invisible God" and "the radiance" of the Father's glory. He honors, worships, and glorifies his Father, calling him God, and he is the one to whom it is written that he prays, gives thanks, and "became obedient to death."

2.7.6 | ὁμολογεῖ τε ζῆν »διὰ τὸν πατέρα« καὶ μηδὲν δύνασθαι πράττειν ἄνευ τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ μὴ τὸ ἐαυτοῦ θέλημα ποιεῖν άλλὰ τὸ τοῦ πατρός. λέγει δ' οὖν αὐταῖς συλλαβαῖς »ὅτι καταβέβηκα έκ τοῦ οὑρανοῦ οὑχ ἴνα ποιήσω τὸ θέλημα τὸ έμὸν άλλὰ τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πέμψαντός με«, καὶ αὖθις »ού δύναμαι ἔχω ποιεῖν ἀπ' έμαυτοῦ οὑδέν· καθὼς ἀκούω κρίνω, καὶ ἡ κρίσις ἡ έμὴ δικαία έστίν, ὅτι ού ζητῶ τὸ θέλημα τὸ έμὸν άλλὰ τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πέμψαντός με«. καὶ ὅτι γε ἔτερος ἦν ὁ πέμψας αὐτὸν παρ' αὐτὸν παρίστησιν ἑξῆς λέγων »έὰν έγὼ μαρτυρῶ περὶ έμαυτοῦ, ἡ μαρτυρία μου ούκ ἔστιν άληθής·

2.7.6 | He also confesses that he lives "because of the Father" and cannot do anything without the Father, and he does not do his own will but the will of the Father. He says in these words, "I have come down from heaven not to do my own will but the will of the one who sent me," and again, "I cannot do anything on my own; I judge as I hear, and my judgment is just, because I do not seek my own will but the will of the one who sent me." And since the one who sent him is different from him, he continues to say, "If I testify about myself, my testimony is not true."

2.7.7 | ἄλλος έστὶν ὁ μαρτυρῶν περὶ έμοὺ«. εἶτα μνημονεύσας τοῦ βαπτιστοῦ, τὸν πατέρα μάρτυρα εἶναι αύτοῦ διδάσκει φάσκων »καὶ ὁ πέμψας με πατήρ, αύτὸς

2.7.7 | There is another who testifies about me." Then, remembering the Baptist, he teaches that the Father is his witness, saying, "And the one who sent me, the

μεμαρτύρηκεν περὶ έμοὺ«, καὶ προστίθησιν »εί ήγαπᾶτέ με. έχάρητε ἂν ὅτι πορεύομαι πρὸς τὸν πατέρα· ὅτι ὁ πατήρ μου μείζων μού έστιν«.

Father, has himself testified about me," and he adds, "If you loved me, you would rejoice because I am going to the Father, for my Father is greater than I.

2.7.8 | δι' ὧν ὰπάντων ἔτερον ἑαυτὸν τοῦ πατρὸς δείκνυσιν. καὶ τὸ ὑπερέχον τῆς δόξης τοῦ πατρὸς παρίστησιν διὰ τοῦ τὸν μὲν ἀπεσταλκέναι λέγειν, ἑαυτὸν δὲ ἀπεστάλθαι καὶ ἐκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ κατεληλυθέναι »ούχ ἴνα ποιήσῃ τὸ θέλημα τὸ ἑαυτοῦ άλλὰ τὸ τοῦ πέμψαντος« αὐτόν. καὶ τί ἀν πρὸς ταῦτα φαίη Μάρκελλος, ἀκούων τοῦ κατεληλυθότος έξ ούρανοῦ ταῦτα διδάσκοντος; ού γὰρ δήπου καὶ νῦν τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ταῦτα φάσκειν έρεῖ· ού γὰρ ἡ σάρξ ἐκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ κατελήλυθεν.

2.7.8 | Through all these things, he shows that he is different from the Father. He presents the greatness of the Father's glory by saying that the Father sent him, and he himself was sent and came down from heaven "not to do his own will but the will of the one who sent him." And what would Marcellus say to this, hearing the one who came down from heaven teaching these things? For surely even now the flesh of the Savior would not say these things; for the flesh did not come down from heaven.

2.7.9 | τίνα τοίνυν έρεῖ τὸν έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ κατεληλυθότα καὶ ταῦτα διδάσκοντα; πότερα τὸν θεὸν αὐτὸν ἡ τὸν τούτῳ συνημμένον λόγον; ἀλλ' εί λέγοι τὸν πατέρα, γυμνῶς ἀνακαλύψας τὸν Σαβέλλιον, αὐτὸς αὐτὸν ὁ σωτὴρ έψευσμένον ἀπελέγξει λέγων »καταβέβηκα έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ ούχ ἴνα ποιήσω τὸ θέλημα τὸ έμὸν ἀλλὰ τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πέμψαντός με«,

2.7.9 | Who then would he say is the one who came down from heaven and is teaching these things? Would it be God himself or the Word that is joined to him? But if he says the Father, he would openly expose Sabellius, as the Savior himself would prove him wrong by saying, "I have come down from heaven not to do my own will but the will of the one who sent me."

2.7.10 | καὶ »ού δύναμαι έγὼ άπ' έμαυτοῦ ποιεῖν ούδέν· καθὼς άκούω κρίνω«, καὶ »ού ζητῶ τὸ θέλημα τὸ έμὸν άλλὰ τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πέμψαντός με«, καὶ »ὸ πατήρ μου μείζων μού έστιν«. ταῦτα γὰρ οἵεσθαι τὸν πατέρα φάσκειν έσχάτης ᾶν εἵη μανίας.

2.7.10 | And "I cannot do anything on my own; as I hear, I judge," and "I do not seek my own will but the will of the one who sent me," and "my Father is greater than I." For to think that the Father says these things would be the height of madness.

καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν αὐτοῦ, καθ' ἢν λογίζεται καὶ ἔνδον ἐν ἑαυτῷ διανοεῖται, τὰ προκείμενα διεξιέναι φησίν, καὶ πῶς ἀν ἡ ἐνθύμησις τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ἡ ἐν αὐτῷ διάνοια καταβέβηκεν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ; πῶς δ' ἐν τῷ σαρκὶ ῇ ἀνείληφεν γενομένη ταῦτα διεξήει; πῶς δ' ‹ὀ› ἐν τῷ θεῷ λόγος ἐρεῖ καταβεβηκέναι »ούχ ἴνα ποιήσῃ τὸ θέλημα αὐτοῦ ἀλλὰ τὸ τοῦ πέμψαντος« αὐτόν; |

united with God and his mind, in which he thinks and considers within himself, explains the things that are set before him, then how could the thought of God and his mind have come down from heaven? And how could it explain these things in the flesh that he took on? And how could the Word in God say that he has come down "not to do his own will but the will of the one who sent him"?

2.7.12 | διὰ τούτων μὲν ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ πρὸς τὸν πατέρα σέβας ἐαυτοῦ παρίστησιν· ὅτε δὲ τῶν γεννητῶν ἀπάντων καθηγεῖται τῶν δι' αὐτοῦ γεγενημένων, ὡς ἀν ἀπάντων ὑπάρχων σωτὴρ καὶ κύριος καὶ δημιουργός (»πάντα γὰρ δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ έγένετο ούδὲ ἔν«), τηνικαῦτα καὶ θεὸς καὶ δεσπότης καὶ σωτὴρ καὶ βασιλεὺς ἀναγορεύοιτο ἄν.

2.7.12 | Through these things, the Son of God shows his respect toward the Father. But when he leads all created things that came into being through him, as he is the Savior, Lord, and Creator of all (for "all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made"), then at that time he would be called both God and Master and Savior and King.

2.7.13 | διὸ καὶ σέβειν καὶ προσκυνεῖν καὶ τιμᾶν αύτὸν οἶα θεὸν ἡ έκκλησία αύτοῦ δεδίδακται, τοῦτο πράττειν παρ' αύτοῦ μαθοῦσα.

2.7.13 | Therefore, the church has been taught to honor, worship, and respect him as God, doing this after learning from him.

2.7.14 | λέγει δ' οὖν αὐτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ »οὐδὲ γὰρ ὁ πατὴρ κρίνει οὐδένα. άλλὰ τὴν ἄπασαν κρίσιν δέδωκεν τῷ υἰῷ, ἴνα πάντες τιμῶσιν τὸν υἰὸν καθὼς τιμῶσιν τὸν πατέρα«. διαρρήδην παρακελευόμενος τιμᾶν αὐτὸν μὴ ὁμοίως τοῖς προφήταις μηδ' ὁμοίως άγγέλοις ἢ ταῖς τούτων διαφερούσαις δυνάμεσιν, άλλ' αὐτῷ τῷ πατρὶ παραπλησίως. τοῦτο γὰρ αὐτὸς ὁ πατὴρ βουληθεὶς »πᾶσαν τὴν κρίσιν δέδωκεν τῷ υἰῷ, ἴνα πάντες τιμῶσιν αὐτὸν καθὼς τιμῶσι τὸν πατέρα«.

2.7.14 | The Savior says, "For the Father does not judge anyone. But he has given all judgment to the Son, so that all may honor the Son just as they honor the Father." He clearly commands that he should be honored not in the same way as the prophets or the angels or the powers that are different from them, but in a way similar to the Father. For this is what the Father wanted: "He has given all judgment to the Son, so that all may honor him just as they honor the Father."

2.7.15 | ἃ δὴ καὶ Θωμᾶς ὁ Δίδυμος ἀκριβῶς ἐπιστάμενος, ἄτε τοῦ χοροῦ τῶν δώδεκα γεγονὼς μαθητῶν, λαμπροῖς ῥήμασιν καὶ θεὸν αὐτὸν καὶ κύριον ἐπεγράφετο λέγων »ὁ κύριός μου καὶ ὁ θεός μου«. διὸ δὴ καὶ ἡμᾶς προσήκει μόνον τὸν υὶὸν καὶ μηδένα ἔτερον θεϊκῆ τιμῆ σέβειν, καθὼς τιμῶμεν τὸν πατέρα. καὶ ἐν τούτῳ τοῦ πατρὸς διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ τιμωμένου·

2.7.15 | Indeed, Thomas the Twin, knowing this well as one of the twelve disciples, called him both God and Lord with shining words, saying, "My Lord and my God." Therefore, we should honor only the Son with divine respect, just as we honor the Father. And in this, the Father is honored through the Son.

2.7.16 | δ δή καὶ αύτὸ διδάσκει λέγων »ὸ τιμῶν τὸν υὶὸν τιμῷ τὸν πατέρα τὸν πέμψαντα αὐτόν«. ὤσπερ γὰρ καὶ βασιλέως καταπεμφθεῖσαν είκόνα τιμῶντες τὸ πρωτότυπον τῆς είκόνος αὐτὸν ἂν τιμήσαιμεν τὸν βασιλέα, τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ὁ πατήρ ἂν εἵη διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ τιμώμενος, ὡς καὶ δι' αὐτοῦ ὁρώμενος.

2.7.16 | He also teaches this, saying,
"Whoever honors the Son honors the
Father who sent him." Just as when we
honor an image sent by a king, we would
also honor the king himself, in the same
way, the Father would be honored through
the Son, as he is seen through him.

2.7.17 | »ὸ« γὰρ »ὲωρακὼς« τὸν υὶὸν »ὲώρακεν τὸν πατέρα«, τὴν ἀγέννητον θεότητα, οἷα ἐν είκόνι καὶ κατόπτρῳ, ἐν τῷ υὶῷ χαρακτηριζομένην ὁρῶν· »ἀπαύγασμα γάρ έστιν φωτὸς ἀιδίου, καὶ ἔσοπτρον ἀκηλίδωτον τῆς τοῦ θεοῦ ἐνεργείας, καὶ είκὼν τῆς ἀγαθότητος αὐτοῦ«. πάντα δὲ ταῦτα παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς λαβών, ἐξ αὐτοῦ τε καὶ τῆς θεότητος τὴν δόξαν, ὡς ἀν υὶὸς γνήσιος καὶ μονογενής, είληφὼς ἔχει. άλλ' οὐ καὶ ὁ πατὴρ παρά τινος εἴληφεν, πάντων δ' αὐτὸς ὢν ἀρχὴ καὶ πηγὴ καὶ ῥίζα τῶν ἀγαθῶν είκότως εἷς καὶ μόνος ἀναγορεύοιτο ἀν θεός. |

2.7.17 | "For whoever has seen the Son has seen the Father," seeing the unbegotten divinity, as if in an image or mirror, is seen in the Son. "For he is the radiance of eternal light, and a spotless mirror of God's activity, and an image of his goodness." He receives all these things from the Father, having the glory from both the Father and his divinity, as a true and only Son. But the Father has not received from anyone, for he is the source and origin of all good things, rightly called one and only God.

## **Section 8**

2.8.1 | άλλὰ τούτων έν άγνοία τυγχάνων Μάρκελλος ού βούλεται μὲν άληθῶς τὸν 2.8.1 | But Marcello, being ignorant of these things, does not truly want to say that the

υὶὸν έκ τοῦ πατρὸς γεγεννῆσθαι, ὡς υἱὸν ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα, οἶα δὲ λόγον αύτὸν σημαντικόν τινος ή προστακτικόν προελθεῖν τοῦ θεοῦ φάσκει. ἄκουε δ' οὖν άκαλύπτως καὶ τοῦτο λέγοντος αύτοῦ ταῖσδε ταῖς φωναῖς (Nr. 31) τὸ μὲν οὖν πρὸ τῶν αίώνων αύτὸν γεγεννῆσθαι φῆσαι, άκολούθως είρηκέναι δοκεῖ· γέννημα γὰρ τὸ προελθὸν τοῦ προεμένου γίγνεται πατρός. θάτερον δὲ ούκέτι ὑγιῶς ούδ' εύσεβῶς αύτῶ παρείληπται. τὸ γὰρ μὴ λόγον εἶναι φῆσαι τὸν έξ αύτοῦ προελθόντα, καὶ τοῦτον εἶναι τὸν τῆς γεννήσεως άληθῆ τρόπον, άλλ' άληθῶς υὶὸν μόνον, ἔμφασίν τινα τοῖς άκούουσιν άνθρωπίνης ὄψεως παρέχειν εἴωθεν.

Son is born from the Father, as a living and existing Son, but claims that he comes from God in some significant or commanding way. Therefore, listen clearly to what he says with these words: he seems to say that the Son was born before the ages, which implies that he is a product of the Father. However, this is not a healthy or pious belief for him. For to say that the one who comes from him is not a word, and to claim that this is the true way of generation, but only to say that he is a Son, gives a certain human perspective to those who hear it.

2.8.2 | εἶθ' ὅτι μηδὲ γεγέννηται έκ τοῦ πατρὸς ὁ λόγος παριστὰς ὧδε λέγει πρὸς λέξιν (Νr. 28) ὁ τοίνυν ὶερὸς ἀπόστολος καὶ μαθητὴς τοῦ κυρίου Ἰωάννης ἀιδιότητος αὐτοῦ μνημονεύων άληθὴς ἐγίγνετο τοῦ λόγου μάρτυς, »ἐν άρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος« ‹λέγων› »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«, οὐδὲν γενέσεως ἐνταῦθα μνημονεύων τοῦ λόγου. οὕτω δὴ τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ άρνούμενος, τὸν ἐν τῷ θεῷ λόγον ποτὲ μὲν ἕνδον εἶναι ἐν τῷ θεῷ ἔφασκεν ποτὲ δὲ προϊέναι τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ ἄλλοτε πάλιν ἀναδραμεῖσθαι είς τὸν θεὸν καὶ ἔσεσθαι ἐν αὐτῷ,

2.8.2 | Then, since he says that the Word was not born from the Father, the holy apostle and disciple of the Lord, John, while remembering his eternity, became a true witness of the Word, saying, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God," mentioning nothing about the generation of the Word here. Thus, denying the Son of God, he claimed that the Word was sometimes within God, sometimes going out from God, and at other times returning to God and being in him.

2.8.3 | ώς καὶ πρότερον ἦν. ἐπάκουσον δ' ὅπως ταῦτα λέγει τούτοις τοῖς ῥήμασιν (Nr. 108) νυνὶ δὲ πιστεύω ταῖς θείαις γραφαῖς, ὅτι εἷς ὁ θεός. καὶ ὁ τούτου λόγος προῆλθεν μὲν τοῦ πατρός, ἴνα πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ γένηται· μετὰ δὲ τὸν καιρὸν τῆς κρίσεως καὶ τὴν τῶν ἀπάντων διόρθωσιν καὶ τὸν ἀφανισμὸν τῆς ἀντικειμένης

2.8.3 | As he was before. Listen to how he says these words: "Now I believe in the divine scriptures that there is one God. And the Word of this God came forth from the Father so that everything might come into being through him. But after the time of judgment and the correction of all things, and the destruction of all opposing forces,

ἀπάσης ένεργείας, »τότε αύτὸς ὑποταγήσεται τῷ ὑποτάξαντι αύτῷ τὰ πάντα« »θεῷ καὶ πατρί«, ἴν' οὕτως ιἦ έν τῷ θεῷ ὁ λόγος, ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν πρὸ τοῦ τὸν κόσμον εἶναι. οὐδενὸς γὰρ ὅντος πρότερον ἢ τοῦ θεοῦ μόνου, πάντων δὲ διὰ τοῦ λόγου γίγνεσθαι μελλόντων, προῆλθεν ὁ λόγος δραστικῆ ένεργεία, λόγος τοῦ πατρὸς ὤν.

'then he will be subjected to the one who subjected everything to him, to God and the Father,' so that the Word may be in God, just as he was before the world existed. For before anything existed except for God alone, and since everything was to come into being through the Word, the Word came forth with active power, being the Word of the Father.

2.8.4 | καὶ πάλιν τὴν αύτὴν διάνοιαν λευκότερον τίθησιν ὧδε γράφων (Nr. 54) πρὸ γὰρ τοῦ τὸν κόσμον εἶναι ἦν ὁ λόγος ἐν τῷ πατρί. | ὅτε δὲ ὁ παντοκράτωρ θεὸς πάντα τά τε ἐν ούρανοῖς καὶ ἐπὶ γῆς προέθετο ποιῆσαι, ἐνεργείας ἡ τοῦ κόσμου γένεσις ἐδεῖτο δραστικῆς· καὶ διὰ τοῦτο, μηδενὸς ὄντος ἐτέρου πλὴν θεοῦ (πάντα γὰρ ὁμολογεῖται ὑπ' αὐτοῦ γεγενῆσθαι), τότε ὁ λόγος προελθὼν ἐγίνετο τοῦ κόσμου ποιητής, ὁ καὶ πρότερον ἔνδον νοητῶς ὲτοιμάζων αὐτόν.

2.8.4 | And again, he expresses the same idea more clearly by writing: "Before the world existed, the Word was in the Father." When the Almighty God planned to create everything in heaven and on earth, the creation of the world needed active power. And for this reason, since nothing else existed except for God (for everything is agreed to have come into being by him), then the Word came forth and became the creator of the world, who had also been preparing it in thought before.

2.8.5 | καὶ αὖθις μετὰ πάντα έπιφέρει λέγων (Nr. 34) καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ούχ υὶὸν θεοῦ **ὲ**αυτὸν όνομάζει, άλλὰ πανταχοῦ υἰὸν άνθρώπου ὲαυτὸν λέγει, ἵνα διὰ τῆς τοιαύτης ομολογίας θέσει τον άνθρωπον διὰ τῆς πρὸς αύτὸν κοινωνίας υὶὸν θεοῦ γενέσθαι παρασκευάση καὶ μετὰ τὸ τέλος τῆς πράξεως αὖθις, ὼς λόγος, ὲνωθῆ τῷ θεῷ, πληρῶν έκεῖνο τὸ ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀποστόλου είρημένον· »τότε αύτὸς ὑποταγήσεται τῷ ὑποτάξαντι αύτῷ τὰ πάντα, ἵνα ιἦ τὰ πάντα καὶ έν πᾶσιν ὁ θεός«. ἔσται γὰρ τηνικαῦτα τοῦθ' ὅπερ πρότερον ἦν. τοσαῦτα Μάρκελλος περὶ τοῦ λόγου είπὼν τοῦ έν τῶ θεῶ, καθ' ὂν νοοῦμεν αὐτὸν λογικὸν εἶναι, δεινῆ δυσχωρία περιπέπτωκεν, τολμήσας έκτὸς τοῦ θεοῦ

2.8.5 | And again, he adds by saying: "And for this reason, he does not call himself the Son of God, but everywhere he calls himself the Son of Man, so that through this confession he may prepare for man to become the Son of God through his relationship with him. And after the end of the action, as the Word, he will be united with God, fulfilling what was said by the apostle: 'Then he will be subjected to the one who subjected everything to him, so that God may be all in all.' For at that time, it will be the same as it was before. Thus, Marcello, having said so much about the Word that is in God, which we understand to be rational, has fallen into a terrible difficulty, daring to say that the Word in

γεγονέναι ποτὲ φάναι τὸν έν αὐτῷ λόγον, καὶ πάλιν έντὸς αὐτοῦ μετὰ τὸν καιρὸν τῆς κρίσεως, ἴν' οὕτως ἦ έν τῷ θεῷ ἐνωθεὶς αὐτῷ, ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν.

him once came to be outside of God, and again within him after the time of judgment, so that he may be united with God, just as he was before.

# Section 9

2.9.1 | ὤρα τοίνυν έρωτῶσιν ἡμῖν αὐτὸν άποκρίνασθαι. τί οὖν έν τῶ μεταξὺ χρόνω, ότε έκτὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ, προσήκει νοεῖν; πῶς δὲ προῆλθεν; έν ὁποία δὲ ἦν ἄρα καταστάσει ὁ θεός, μὴ ἔχων έν ὲαυτῷ τὸν οίκεῖον λόγον; εί γὰρ έπὶ συντελεία τοῦ παντὸς ἔσται ὁ λόγος έν τῷ θεῷ, ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν πρὸ τοῦ καιροῦ τῆς συντελείας, πῶς ἔσται ὁ λόγος ὁ προελθὼν τοῦ θεοῦ; εί μὲν γὰρ καθ' ἑαυτὸν ὑφεστὼς **ἔτερος έγίγνετο τοῦ θεοῦ, μάταιος ὸ** Μαρκέλλου πόνος εί δὲ καὶ προελθών τοῦ θεοῦ, κατὰ τὸν έν ἡμῖν προφορικὸν λόγον, **ἔμενεν τοῦ πατρὸς ἀχώριστος, ούκοῦν άεὶ** καὶ διὰ παντὸς ἦν έν τῶ θεῶ, καὶ ὅτε ένήργει. |

2.9.1 | Now, therefore, they ask him to answer us. What then should we understand about the time in between, when the Word was outside of God? How did he come forth? In what state was God, not having the Word within himself? For if the Word will be in God at the completion of everything, just as he was before the time of completion, how will the Word that came forth from God be? If he existed by himself as another being apart from God, then Marcello's effort is in vain. But if. having come forth from God, he remained inseparable from the Father according to the spoken Word within us, then he was always and forever in God, even when he acted.

2.9.2 | πῶς οὖν είς τὸν τῆς κρίσεως άναπέμπει καιρόν, τότε λέγων αὐτὸν ἐνωθήσεσθαι τῷ θεῷ καὶ ἔσεσθαι ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν; εί γὰρ τότε ἔσται ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν, οὕτε ὁ λόγος ὁ προελθὼν τοῦ θεοῦ ὁποῖος ἦν πρότερον ὑπάρξει, άλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ θεὸς ἔσται ἑαυτῷ άνόμοιος, πάλαι μὲν ἔχων ἐν ὲαυτῷ τὸν λόγον καὶ ἐπὶ συντελείᾳ τοῦ παντὸς άποληψόμενος αὐτὸν καὶ γιγνόμενος τότε ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν, ἐν δὲ τῷ μεταξὺ χρόνῳ ἀνομοίως κείμενος. καὶ ὁ λόγος δὲ ὼσαύτως ἐκτὸς τοῦ θεοῦ γενόμενος οὐκ ἔσται πρὸ τῆς συντελείας τοῦ παντὸς οἷος ἦν πρότερον.

2.9.2 | How then, at the time of judgment, does he say that he will be united with God and will be as he was before? For if he will be as he was before, then the Word that came forth from God will not exist as it was before, and God himself will be unlike himself, having the Word within himself long ago and then receiving it at the completion of everything, becoming then as he was before, while in the time in between he is in a different state. And the Word, having become outside of God, will not be what it was before the completion of everything.

2.9.3 | καὶ τίς ἀν τούτων δυσσεβέστερος γένοιτ' ἀν λόγος; ὅλως γὰρ τὸ ἦν καὶ τὸ ἔσται καὶ τὸ γεγονέναι ποτὲ καὶ πάλιν μέλλειν ἔσεσθαι, τῆς έν χρόνῳ μεταβολῆς ὅντα δηλωτικά, άλλότρια ἀν εἵη τῆς άχρόνου καὶ ἀνάρχου καὶ ἀγενήτου καὶ ἀναλλοιώτου ούσίας, έφ' ῆς τὸ εἶναι μόνον έπιπρέπει νοεῖν καὶ εἶναι ἀπαραλλάκτως άεὶ κατὰ τὰ αύτὰ καὶ ὼσαύτως ἔχουσαν, μὴ μειουμένην, μὴ συστελλομένην, μηδ' έκτός τι καὶ ἐντὸς ἑαυτῆς ἔχουσαν, μηδ' ἄλλοτε ἄλλην γιγνομένην, μηδ' ἔτερον μὲν οὖσαν πρότερον εἶτα ἄλλο τί γιγνομένην καὶ πάλιν είς τὸ ἀρχαῖον ἀποκαθισταμένην.

2.9.3 | And who could be more impious than this idea? For the concepts of being, becoming, and having been, and again becoming, are all signs of change in time. They would be completely foreign to the timeless, unoriginated, and unchanging essence, in which being can only be understood as always existing in the same way, without decreasing, contracting, expanding, or simplifying, and having nothing outside or inside itself. It does not become something different at one time and then return to its original state.

2.9.4 | ὰ δὴ Μάρκελλος ἐτόλμα ὑποτίθεσθαι, πάλαι μὲν λέγων εἶναι τὸν θεὸν καί τινα ἡσυχίαν ἄμα τῷ θεῷ ὑπογράφων ἑαυτῷ (κατ' αὐτὸν ἐκεῖνον τὸν τῶν ἀθέων αἰρεσιωτῶν άρχηγὸν ὃς τὰ ἄθεα δογματίζων ἀπεφαίνετο λέγων· ἦν θεὸς καὶ σιγή), μετὰ δὲ τὴν σιγὴν καὶ τὴν ἡσυχίαν προελθεῖν τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ ἐν ἀρχῆ τῆς κοσμοποιίας δραστικῆ ἐνεργείᾳ· ὡς μηκέτ' εἶναι αὐτόν, οἷος ἦν ἐν σιωπῶντι τῷ θεῷ πρότερον ἡσυχάζων, άλλ' ἐνεργεῖν προερχόμενον τοῦ θεοῦ. καὶ πῶς ἄρα προήει;

2.9.4 | What Marcello dared to suggest was that God was once in a state of silence and tranquility with himself (according to him, he was the leader of the atheistic sects, who taught that God was silent). After this silence and tranquility, the Word of God came forth at the beginning of creation with active power. As if he was no longer the same as he was when he was silent with God before, but instead was acting as he came forth from God. And how could this be?

2.9.5 | πάντως που κατὰ προφορὰν φωνῆς ένάρθρου, φθεγγομένου δηλαδὴ καὶ λαλοῦντος τοῦ θεοῦ ὁμοίως άνθρώποις. τοῦτο γοῦν αὐτῷ έδόκει γράφοντι τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον (Nr. 55) ὤσπερ γὰρ τὰ γεγονότα πάντα ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς διὰ τοῦ λόγου γέγονεν, οὕτω καὶ τὰ λεγόμενα ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς διὰ τοῦ ποτρὸς διὰ τοῦ λόγου σημαίνεται.

2.9.5 | In any case, according to the spoken word, when God is expressed and speaking to humans. This is what he thought while writing in this way: just as all things that have happened came from the Father through the Word, so also the things that are said by the Father are signified through the Word.

2.9.6 | καὶ αὖθις (Nr. 56) πάντα γὰρ ὅσα ἀν ὁ πατὴρ λέγῃ, ταῦτα πανταχοῦ διὰ τοῦ λόγου λέγων φαίνεται. τοῦτο δὲ δῆλόν έστιν καὶ ἀφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν, ὅσα μικρὰ τοῖς μεγάλοις καὶ θείοις ἀπεικάσαι· καὶ ἡμεῖς γὰρ πάντα ὅσα ἀν θέλωμεν κατὰ τὸ δυνατὸν λέγειν τε καὶ ποιεῖν τῷ ἡμετέρῳ ποιοῦμεν λόγω. |

2.9.6 | And again, everything that the Father says is shown everywhere through the Word. This is also clear from ourselves, as we can compare small things to the great and divine. For we can say and do everything we want, as far as we are able, through our own creative word.

2.9.7 | εί δὴ οὖν οὕτως ὁ λόγος προῆλθεν τοῦ πατρὸς δραστικῆ ένεργεία, πόθεν Μαρκέλλω έπῆλθεν περιορίσαι χρόνον τῆ τοῦ λόγου ένεργεία τὸν τῆς συντελείας, καθ' ὂν ἔσεσθαι φάσκει τὸν λόγον έν τῷ θεῷ, ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν (πρότερον δὲ ἡσυχάζοντα αὐτὸν έδίδου έν σιωπῶντι τῷ θεῷ); οὐκοῦν καὶ μετὰ τὴν συντέλειαν ἡσυχία τις ἔσται, μηδὲν μέλλοντος ένεργεῖν τοῦ λόγου. άλλὰ πρὸ μὲν τῆς τῶν γενητῶν συστάσεως οὐδὲν ἦν, φησίν, πλὴν θεοῦ, καὶ έπεὶ μηδὲν ἦν, είκότως ὲαυτῷ τὴν ἡσυχίαν έτυποῦτο·

2.9.7 | If indeed the Word came forth from the Father with active power, then how did Marcello come to limit the time of the Word's activity to the moment of creation, when he claims the Word was in God, just as it was before (before it was given while being silent with God)? Therefore, after the creation, there will also be some silence, with the Word not acting at all. But before the creation of beings, he says, there was nothing except God, and since there was nothing, it is reasonable that he was silent to himself.

2.9.8 | κατὰ δὲ τὸν τῆς συντελείας καιρὸν Δανιὴλ ὁ προφήτης πρὸ τοῦ θρόνου τοῦ θεοῦ μυριάδας ἔσεσθαι θεσπίζει λέγων »χίλιαι χιλιάδες έλειτούργουν αὐτῷ, καὶ μύριαι μυριάδες παρειστήκεισαν ἕμπροσθεν αὐτοὺ«,

2.9.8 | But at the time of the creation, the prophet Daniel proclaims that there will be myriads before the throne of God, saying, "Thousands of thousands served him, and ten thousand times ten thousand stood before him."

2.9.9 | πάντες δέ που καὶ οὶ υὶοὶ τοῦ μέλλοντος αίῶνος τότε ἔσονται αἴ τε μακάριαι ψυχαὶ πατριαρχῶν καὶ προφητῶν καὶ ἀποστόλων ἄγιά τε πνεύματα μαρτύρων πάντα τε πρόβατα τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν τὰ ἐκ δεξιῶν αὐτοῦ στησόμενά τε καὶ ἀκουσόμενα »δεῦτε οὶ

2.9.9 | And then all the sons of the coming age will be there, both the blessed souls of patriarchs and prophets, and the holy spirits of martyrs, and all the sheep of our Savior, standing on his right and hearing, "Come, you blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the

εύλογημένοι τοῦ πατρός μου κληρονομήσατε τὴν ἡτοιμασμένην ὑμῖν βασιλείαν ἀπὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου«.

foundation of the world."

2.9.10 | τοσούτων τοίνυν έσομένων καὶ άθάνατον ζωὴν ζησομένων μετὰ τὸν τῆς κρίσεως καιρόν, διὰ τί μὴ ένεργήσει ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος καὶ τότε; πόθεν δὲ Μαρκέλλῳ παρέστη άποφήνασθαι, ὅτι οὐκέτι λαλήσει τοῖς ὰγίοις ὁ θεὸς τότε οὐδὲ χρήσεται ένεργῷ τῷ αὐτοῦ λόγῳ, άλλ' ἔσται. ὡς καὶ πρότερον ἦν, ἐν αὐτῷ σιωπῶν δηλαδὴ καὶ ἡσυχάζων; τοῦτο γὰρ παρίστη πολλάκις είπὼν τότε ἔσεσθαι αὐτὸν ὡς καὶ πρότερον ἦν· ἦν δὲ πρότερον, ὡς αὐτὸς ἔφη, ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ.

2.9.10 | Since so many will be there and will seek immortal life after the time of judgment, why will the Word of God not act then? And how did Marcello come to say that God will no longer speak to the saints at that time, nor will he use his active Word, but will be as he was before, silent and resting in himself? For he often said that he would be as he was before: he was before, as he himself said, in silence.

2.9.11 | ούκοῦν ἀποσιωπήσει τότε ὁ θεός, πρὸ τούτου μὲν λαλῶν καὶ τῷ λόγῳ χρώμενος ένεργῷ, τότε δὲ κατ' αὐτὴν τὴν ἐπηγγελμένην βασιλείαν ούρανῶν τοῦ οίκείου λόγου καὶ τῆς ἐν αὐτῷ σοφίας ἀποστερῶν τοὺς ὰγίους αὐτοῦ. ὀρᾶς εἰς οἷον κεχώρηκεν κρημνὸν μηδενὶ χειραγωγῷ χρησάμενος, μηδὲ ταῖς θείαις γραφαῖς· ταῦτα γοῦν πάντα ἀπὸ μιᾶς φωνῆς, ἡν μὴ νενόηκεν, ἑαυτῷ συνέθηκεν.

2.9.11 | So will God be silent then, who before spoke and acted through his Word, but then, in the promised kingdom of heaven, will deny his saints the Word and the wisdom within it? Do you see how he has withdrawn like a cliff, not using any guide or even the divine scriptures? All these things, indeed, he has gathered from a single voice, which he has not understood for himself.

2.9.12 | αὐτίκα 〈γὰρ〉 χωρήσας ἐπὶ τὰς ἀποδείξεις τῆς νέας καὶ καινῆς διαθήκης, πανταχόθεν μὲν ήλαύνετο στενοχωρούμενος, μίαν δὲ μόνην εὐρὼν λέξιν τῆ αὐ τοῦ συμβαλλομένην κακοδοξία, ὥσπερ τινὶ περιτυχὼν ἐρμαίῳ ταύτῃ μόνῃ συνεπλάκη, οὐδ' αὐτῆ ἐκ προσώπου τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν είρημένῃ άλλ' ἐκ προσώπου τοῦ εὐαγγελιστοῦ, δι' ῆς αὐτὸν ώνόμασεν, »ἐν ἀρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος« είπὼν »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«. ἔνθεν

2.9.12 | Immediately, having turned to the proofs of the new and fresh covenant, he was troubled from all sides, but finding only one word that matched his own false belief, he clung to it like someone who has stumbled upon a single statue. And he did not take it from the face of our Savior, but from the face of the evangelist, through whom he named him, saying, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." From

γοῦν ὀρμηθεὶς ὡς μηδὲν ὅντα ἔτερον ἡ λόγον ὅμοιον τῷ παρ' ἡμῖν έγνωσμένῳ τὸν υὶὸν ήρνήσατο. this, he rushed to deny that there was anything other than the Word, which is like the Son known to us.

# **Section 10**

2.10.1 | καίτοι ού λόγον μόνον αύτὸς ὁ μέγας καὶ θεῖος εύαγγελιστὴς κέκλπκεν, ὡς πολλάκις ἡμῖν εἴρηται, άλλὰ καὶ θεὸν καὶ φῶς καὶ υἰὸν καὶ μονογενῆ· αὐτόν τε τὸν σωτῆρα ἱστορεῖ ἐαυτὸν άποκαλοῦντα λόγον μὲν ούδαμοῦ τῆς γραφῆς, δι' ὅλου δὲ τοῦ εύαγγελίου ζωὴν καὶ φῶς καὶ μονογενῆ καὶ υὶὸν θεοῦ καὶ άλήθειαν καὶ ἀνάστασιν καὶ ἄρτον ζωῆς καὶ ἄμπελον καὶ ποιμένα καὶ μυρία ἔτερα, ὥσπερ οὖν ἤδη προδέδεικται.

2.10.1 | And yet, the great and divine evangelist has not only called him the Word, as has often been said to us, but also God, light, Son, and only-begotten. He tells the story of the Savior himself, calling him the Word nowhere in the scriptures, but throughout the whole gospel, he speaks of life, light, only-begotten Son of God, truth, resurrection, bread of life, vine, shepherd, and many other things, just as has already been shown.

2.10.2 | τί δήποτ' οὖν, τοσούτων ὄντων, έπὶ μὲν τῶν λοιπῶν ἀπάντων ούχ ἴσταται έπὶ τῆς λέξεως τὴν δὲ τῶν λεγομένων διάνοιαν πολυπραγμονεῖ, έπὶ δὲ μόνου τοῦ λόγου κυριολεκτεῖσθαι αὐτόν φησιν ὡς οὐδὲν ὅντα ἔτερον ἢ λόγον. γράφει δ' οὖν αὐτοῖς ρήμασιν λέγων (Nr. 40) οὐ καταχρηστικῶς όνομασθεὶς λόγος, κὰν διαρραγῶσιν οὶ ἑτεροδιδασκαλοῦντες ψευδόμενοι, άλλὰ κυρίως τε καὶ άληθῶς ὑπάρχων λόγος.

2.10.2 | So then, with so many things being true, why does he not stand on the rest of them, but instead meddles with the meaning of what is said, while claiming that the Word is nothing other than the Word? He writes to them, saying that he is not called the Word in a misleading way, even if those who teach differently break this down with lies, but that he is the Word that truly and genuinely exists.

2.10.3 | καὶ πάλιν (Nr. 39) μανθανέτω τοίνυν θεοῦ λόγον έληλυθέναι, οὐ λόγον καταχρηστικῶς όνομασθέντα, ὡς αὐτοί φασιν, 〈άλλ'〉 άληθῆ ὅντα λόγον. καὶ αὖθις (Nr. 43 = 81) πρότερον, ὥσπερ πολλάκις ἔφην, οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν ἡ λόγος. καὶ πάλιν (Nr. 42) πρὸ τοῦ κατελθεῖν καὶ διὰ τῆς παρθένου τεχθῆναι λόγος ἦν μόνον. ἐπεὶ τί ἔτερον ἦν πρὸ τοῦ τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην ἀναλαβεῖν σάρκα τὸ κατελθὸν »ἐπ'

2.10.3 | And again, let him learn that the Word of God has come, not called the Word in a misleading way, as they say, but being truly the Word. And again, as I have often said before, there was nothing other than the Word. And again, before he came down and was born of the virgin, there was only the Word. For what else was there before he took on human flesh, coming "in the last days," as he himself wrote, and the one

έσχάτων τῶν ἡμερῶν«, ὡς καὶ αὐτὸς ἔγραφεν, καὶ [τὸ] γεννηθὲν έκ τῆς παρθένου; οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν ἢ λόγος. ταῦτα Μαρκέλλῳ λέγοντι ἦν ἂν δίκαιον τοιανδὶ προσαγαγεῖν πεῦσιν· καὶ πόθεν ἡμῖν, ὧ οὖτος, |

born of the virgin? There was nothing other than the Word. When Markellius says these things, it would be fair to bring forth such a question: and from where to us, oh this one,

2.10.4 | τὸ ούδὲν ἔτερον προστίθης καὶ τὸ μόνον; τὸ μὲν γὰρ »έν άρχῃ ἦν ὁ λόγος « άκριβῶς ἔγνωμεν· καὶ ού μόνον, άλλὰ καὶ »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος « καὶ »φῶς ἦν τὸ φωτίζον πάντα ἄνθρωπον « καὶ »μονογενὴς ἦν υὶὸς « καὶ ὄσα κατείλεκται ἔτερα. ὅτι δὲ λόγος ἦν μόνον καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον ἢ λόγος ούκ ἀν ἔχοι τις είρημένον άποδεῖξαι.

2.10.4 | Why do you add "nothing other" and "only"? For we have known exactly that "in the beginning was the Word." And not only that, but also "and the Word was God" and "the light that enlightens every person was the light" and "he was the only-begotten Son" and all the other things that are stated. That the Word was only and nothing other than the Word, no one could prove otherwise.

2.10.5 | πόθεν οὖν τὸ τῆς προσθήκης τόλμημα; διὰ τί γὰρ ούχὶ μᾶλλον υὶὸν ἄν τις εἴποι αὐτὸν μόνον καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον ἢ υὶόν; διὰ τί δὲ ού θεὸν καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον ἢ θεόν; διὰ τί μὴ φῶς τοῦ κόσμου καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον ἢ τοῦτο; διὰ τί δὲ μὴ ζωὴν καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον ἔτερον; καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν παραπλησίων ταύτὸν ἄν τις δικαιότατα ἂν προτείνειεν.

2.10.5 | Where then does the boldness of the addition come from? For why would someone not rather say that he is only the Son and nothing other than the Son? And why not God and nothing other than God? Why not the light of the world and nothing other than this? And why not life and nothing other? And for similar things, someone could justly propose the same.

2.10.6 | άλλ' ὤσπερ ἄν τις, εί τοῦτο λέγοι, έλέγχοιτ' ἂν ὰμαρτάνων (πάντα γὰρ άθρόως έστὶν ταῦτα, εἷς ὢν υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ εἵ τι τούτων άνώτερον, καθ' ἐκάστην έπίνοιαν τῶν έν αὐτῷ θεϊκῶν δυνάμεων διαφόρων καὶ τῶν έπηγοριῶν ήξιωμένος), 2.10.6 | But just as if someone were to say this, they would be refuted for making mistakes (for all these things are together, being one Son of God, and if anything is greater than these, according to each idea of the divine powers within him and the honors he deserves),

2.10.7 | οὕτω καὶ έπὶ τοῦ λόγου ὁ φὰς μόνον λόγον αὐτὸν εἶναι καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον

2.10.7 | So also, regarding the Word, if someone were to say that it is only the

σφάλλεσθαι ἀν λέγοιτο είκότως· μόνου γὰρ τοῦ εὐαγγελιστοῦ Ἰωάννου λόγον αὐτὸν ἀποκαλέσαντος καὶ οὐ τοῦτο μόνον άλλὰ καὶ ἔτερα, τοῦ δὲ σωτῆρος φῶς καὶ άλήθειαν καὶ ζωὴν καὶ μονογενῆ υὶὸν καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ ἑαυτὸν άνειπόντος λόγον δὲ οὐδαμῶς, πῶς οὐκ ἄτοπον έπὶ μὲν ὧν αὐτὸς ὲαυτὸν ώνόμασεν μὴ λέγειν ἕν τούτων εἶναι καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτερον, έπὶ δὲ τῆς τοῦ εὐαγγελιστοῦ περὶ αὐτοῦ φωνῆς, τῆς λόγον αὐτὸν άνειπούσης, διαβεβαιοῦσθαι ὡς οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἦν ἡ λόγος.

Word and nothing other, they would be mistaken rightly; for only the evangelist John called it the Word and not just this, but also other things. But the Savior did not call himself the Word at all, but rather light, truth, life, and only-begotten Son, among other things. How is it not strange that regarding those things he named himself, he does not say that one of them is only this and nothing other, but regarding the voice of the evangelist, which named him the Word, he insists that it was nothing other than the Word?

2.10.8 | άλλὰ καὶ (Nr. 40) κυρίως (φησὶν) καὶ άληθῶς ὑπάρχων ‹λόγος. διατί δὲ μὴ κυρίως καὶ άληθῶς ὑπάρχων» θεός; ού γὰρ δὴ ἔτερος ἦν ὁ λόγον αὐτὸν άνειπών, έτερος δὲ ὁ θεὸν ἀποκαλέσας· εἶς δὲ καὶ ὁ αύτὸς εύαγγελιστής ὁμοῦ ‹θεὸν› καὶ λόχον αύτὸν έδίδαξεν είπων »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«, ὁ δ' αύτὸς καὶ φῶς αύτὸν ώνόμασεν. διατί οὖν μὴ κυρίως καὶ άληθῶς υὶὸν μονογενῆ καὶ ὄσα ἄλλα αύτὸς ὁ δεσπότης καὶ σωτήρ (διά) τοῦ εύαγγελιστοῦ περὶ ἑαυτοῦ μεμαρτύρηκεν; ο δὲ πάντα παρεὶς μόνον λόγον αύτον κυρίως καὶ άληθῶς ὑπάρχειν φησίν· καὶ προστίθησιν ώς ἄρα μόνον εἵη λόγος, έντεῦθέν τε καταπίπτει έπὶ τὴν τοῦ άνθρωπείου λόγου ομοιότητα.

2.10.8 | But also, he says, the Word truly and primarily exists. Why then is he not primarily and truly God? For the one who called himself the Word was not different from the one who called him God. The same evangelist taught that he is both God and the Word, saying, "And the Word was God," and he also called him light. Why then is he not primarily and truly the only-begotten Son and all the other things that the Lord and Savior testified about himself through the evangelist? But he insists that only the Word primarily and truly exists. And he adds that it would seem that it is only the Word, thus falling into the likeness of human speech.

### **Section 11**

2.11.1 | καὶ φῶς μὲν αύτὸν άκούων ούκ έκπίπτει έπὶ τὸ σωματικὸν φῶς, ούδ' ὅμοιον αύτὸν εἶναί φησι τῷ ἡλίου φέγγει, έπὶ δὲ τοῦ λόγου σημαντικὸν αύτὸν δίδωσιν καὶ ὅμοιον τῷ άνθρωπίνῳ· ὡς ποτὲ μὲν λέγειν αύτὸν ἡσυχάζειν έν τῷ θεῷ

2.11.1 | And when he hears that he is light, he does not fall into the idea of physical light, nor does he say that he is like the brightness of the sun. But regarding the Word, he gives a significant meaning and makes it similar to human understanding.

ποτὲ δὲ προϊέναι τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ έντὸς καὶ έκτὸς γίγνεσθαι αὐτοῦ, καθ' ὁμοιότητα τοῦ παρ' ἡμῖν λόγου, τοῦ τε ένδιαθέτου καλουμένου καὶ τοῦ κατὰ προφορὰν διὰ φωνῆς έξακουομένου.

Sometimes he says that it rests within God, and sometimes that it comes forth from God, and that it exists both within and outside of him, in a way similar to the way we understand the Word, both the inner word and the one that is heard through speech.

2.11.2 | λέγει δ' οὖν αὐτοῖς ῥήμασιν (Nr. 56) πάντα γὰρ ὅσα ‹ἄν› ὁ πατὴρ λέγῃ, ταῦτα πανταχοῦ διὰ τοῦ λόγου λέγων φαίνεται. τοῦτο δὲ δῆλόν έστιν καὶ ἀφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν, ὅσα μικρὰ τοῖς μεγάλοις καὶ θείοις ἀπεικάσαι· καὶ ἡμεῖς γὰρ πάντα ὅσα ἀν θέλωμεν κατὰ τὸ δυνατὸν λέγειν τε καὶ ποιεῖν τῷ ἡμετέρῳ ποιοῦμεν λόγῳ. καὶ αὖθις ταῦτά φησιν (Nr. 92) πρὸ γὰρ τῆς δημιουργίας ἀπάσης ἡσυχία τις ἦν, ὼς είκός, ὄντος έν τῷ θεῷ ‹τοῦ› λόγου. εἶτ' έπιφέρει (Nr. 108) οὐδενὸς γὰρ ὄντος πρότερον ἡ θεοῦ μόνου, πάντων δὲ διὰ τοῦ λόγου γίγνεσθαι μελλόντων, προῆλθεν ὁ λόγος δραστικῆ ένεργείᾳ.

2.11.2 | He says to them that everything the Father says is shown everywhere through the Word. This is clear even from ourselves, as we can compare small things to great and divine things. For we can say and do everything we want according to our ability through our own word. And again, he says that before all creation there was a kind of silence, as it seems, with the Word being in God. Then, when nothing existed before except God alone, and all things were about to come into being through the Word, the Word came forth with active power.

2.11.3 | τοσαῦτα περὶ τοῦ λόγου είπών, τὴν εὐαγγελικὴν φωνὴν έπάκουσον ὅπως πειρᾶται διερμηνεύειν ὧδε γράφων (Νr. 46) ὁ δὲ ὶερὸς ἀπόστολός τε καὶ μαθητὴς τοῦ κυρίου Ἰωάννης σαφῶς ‹καὶ› διαρρήδην ἐν ἀρχῇ τοῦ Εὐαγγελίου διδάσκων, ὡς ἀγνοούμενον ἐν ἀνθρώποις πρότερον, λόγον αὐτὸν τοῦ παντοκράτορος ὀνομάζων, οὕτως ἔφη »ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«. οὐ μιᾳ μαρτυρίᾳ χρώμενος τὴν ἀιδιότητα σημαίνει τοῦ λόγου.

2.11.3 | Having said so much about the Word, listen to the evangelic voice as it tries to explain this by writing. The holy apostle and disciple of the Lord, John, clearly and openly teaches at the beginning of the Gospel that the Word, which was unknown among people before, is called the Word of the Almighty. He said, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." He does not rely on just one testimony to show the eternal nature of the Word.

2.11.4 | καὶ πάλιν φησὶν (Nr. 48) τρισὶν

2.11.4 | And again, he says that he wants to

έπαλλήλοις μαρτυρίαις χρώμενος τὴν ἀιδιότητα τοῦ λόγου δεικνύναι βούλεται. καὶ αὖθις ἐπιλέγει (Νr. 47) ἴν' ἐν μὲν τῷ φῆσαι »ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος «δείξῃ δυνάμει ἐν τῷ πατρὶ εἶναι τὸν λόγον (άρχὴ γὰρ ἀπάντων τῶν γεγονότων ὁ θεὸς »έξ οὖ τὰ πάντα«), ἐν δὲ τῷ »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεὸν « Ι

show the eternal nature of the Word by using three overlapping testimonies. He also chooses to say "In the beginning was the Word" to show that the Word is in the Father (for God is the beginning of all things, "from whom are all things"), and in the phrase "and the Word was with God."

2.11.5 | ένεργεία πρὸς τὸν θεὸν εἶναι τὸν λόγον (»πάντα γὰρ δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ έγένετο οὐδὲ ἔν«), έν δὲ τῷ θεὸν εἶναι τὸν λόγον είρηκέναι μὴ διαιρεῖν τὴν θεότητα, έπειδὴ ὁ λόγος τε έν αὐτῷ καὶ αὐτὸς έν τῷ λόγῳ· »έν έμοὶ« γάρ φησιν »ὸ πατήρ, κάγὼ έν τῷ πατρί«. διὰ τοσούτων Μάρκελλος τὴν ὑπόστασιν τοῦ μονογενοῦς υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ άναιρῶν, τὸν θεῖον εὐαγγελιστὴν τῆς ὲαυτοῦ κακοδοξίας μαρτύρεται, ὡς οὐδὲν ἔτερον αὐτὸν έπιστάμενον ἢ λόγον, ποτὲ μὲν ένεργοῦντα ἄλλοτε δὲ ἡσυχάζοντα έν τῷ θεῷ καὶ ούδὲν ἕτερον ὄντα ἡ αὐτὸν τὸν θεόν.

2.11.5 | The Word is in action with God ("for all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made"). In saying that the Word is God, he does not divide the divine nature, since the Word is in him and he is in the Word. For he says, "The Father is in me, and I am in the Father." Because of these things, Marcellus denies the existence of the unique Son of God, showing that he believes the divine evangelist knows nothing other than the Word, sometimes acting and at other times resting in God, and being nothing other than God himself.

# **Section 12**

2.12.1 | καίτοι ὁ μέγας εύαγγελιστὴς ἄμα καὶ θεολόγος τρίτον έν ταὐτῷ μνημονεύσας τοῦ λόγου, οὐδ' ἄπαξ εἴρηκεν αὐτὸν θεοῦ λόγον. οὐ γὰρ εἶπεν· έν άρχῃ ἦν ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος, άλλ' ἀορίστως »έν άρχῃ ἦν ὁ λόγος«, ἡμῖν καταλιπὼν ζητεῖν ὁποῖος ἦν λόγος. καὶ πάλιν καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεὸν« εἶπεν δυνάμενος είπεῖν· καὶ ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ ἦν έν τῷ θεῷ. άλλὰ καὶ » ‹καὶ› θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος« οὐχί· καὶ θεοῦ ἦν ὁ λόγος, ἔφη, ἴνα μὴ τοῦ θεοῦ ένέργειάν τινα σημαντικήν τινος ἢ ποιητικὴν εἶναι αὐτὸν ὑπολάβωμεν.

2.12.1 | And yet the great evangelist and theologian, mentioning the Word a third time in the same context, has not once called him the Word of God. For he did not say, "In the beginning was the Word of God," but rather, "In the beginning was the Word," leaving us to seek what kind of Word he was. And again, he said, "And the Word was with God," meaning that the Word of God was in God. But he also said, "And the Word was God," not to suggest that the Word has some significant or creative action of God.

2.12.2 | δ δὴ Μάρκελλος οίηθεὶς ἀίδιον εἶναι αὐτὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον, τουτέστιν ἀγέννητον, πολλάκις ὼρίσατο· ού συνορῶν ὅτι εί μὲν ἔτερον τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν λόγον φάσκοι δύο ἔσται άίδια (ὁ λόγος καὶ ὁ θεός) καὶ οὐκέτ' ἔσται άρχὴ μία. εί δὲ εν λέγοι τὸ άίδιον τὸν αὐτὸν ὸριζόμενος εἶναι τὸν θεὸν τῷ λόγῳ γυμνὸν τὸν Σαβέλλιον ὁμολογήσει, υἰοπάτορα τὸν ἔνα κατ' αὐτὸν έκεῖνον είσάγων.

2.12.2 | Marcellus, thinking that the Word of God is eternal, meaning unbegotten, has often defined it this way. He does not see that if he claims the Word is different from God, there will be two eternal beings (the Word and God), and there will no longer be one beginning. But if he says that the eternal being is the same as God, he will openly agree with Sabellius, introducing a father-son relationship in that one being.

2.12.3 | ἔσται οὖν ὁ πατὴρ αὐτῷ γεννηθεὶς καὶ παθών, καὶ αὐτὸς ἔσται ὁ εὐχόμενος ἐαυτῷ καὶ ἀπεστάλθαι λέγων ὑφ' ἐαυτοῦ καὶ υἰὸν ἐαυτοῦ καὶ μονογενῆ, οὐκ έπαληθεύων σὺν είρωνείᾳ δὲ καταψευδόμενος. καὶ τίς ἀν ἔτερος τούτου δυσσεβέστερος γένοιτ' ἀν λόγος; άλλὰ γὰρ ἡμεῖς φέρε ἴδωμεν, ὁποῖον | ἡμῖν ὁ εὐαγγελιστὴς λόγον εὐαγγελίζεται φάσκων »έν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος. καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«.

2.12.3 | Therefore, the Father will be born and suffer for him, and he himself will be the one praying to himself, saying that he is both his own son and the only begotten, not confirming this but rather contradicting it with irony. And who could be more impious than this Word? But let us see what kind of Word the evangelist proclaims, saying, "In the beginning was the Word. And the Word was with God, and the Word was God."

### **Section 13**

2.13.1 | ἡ μὲν οὖν τὸν λόγον δηλοῦσα λέξις, δι' Ἑλληνικῆς γλώττης ποοενηνεγμένη, πολύσημον ὑποβάλλει τὴν έξ αὐτῆς θεωοίαν. α'. κέκληται γὰρ [ὸ] λόγος καὶ ὁ έν τῆ λογικῆ ψυχῆ καταβεβλημένος, καθ' ὂν τὸ λογίζεσθαι ἡμῖν πάρεστιν, β'. καὶ παρὰ τοῦτον ἔτερος. ὁ διὰ γλώττης καὶ φωνῆς ἐνάρθρου σημαίνων τι. γ'. καὶ κατὰ τρίτον τρόπον, ὁ διὰ γραφῆς τῷ γραφεῖ συντεταγμένος.

2.13.1 | The word, therefore, shows a meaning that, through the Greek language, suggests much about its nature. First, the Word is called the one that is placed in the rational soul, through which we are able to think. Second, there is another kind, the one that expresses something through language and voice. Third, in another way, the Word is arranged in writing by the one who writes.

2.13.2 | ⟨δ'.⟩ ήδη δὲ λόγον είώθαμεν

2.13.2 | Fourth, we have also come to call the Word the seed-like or vegetative one,

καλεῖν καὶ τὸν σπερματικὸν ἡ φυτικόν, καθ' ὂν δυνάμει τὰ μηδέπω φύντα έναπόκειται τοῖς σπέρμασιν, μέλλοντα ὅσον οὕπω τῇ ένεργεία είς φῶς προϊέναι. ε'. καὶ παρὰ ταῦτα ἐτέρως είωθασιν όνομάζειν λόγον τὸν ἐπιστημονικὸν τέχνης τινὸς ἡ ἐπιστήμης καὶ πάντων τῶν τοιωνδὶ θεωρημάτων καταληπτικόν, οἷον ίατρικὸν ἡ ἀρχιτεκτονικὸν ἡ γεωμετρικόν.

through which the potential for things that have not yet come into being lies in the seeds, waiting to come into light through actualization. Fifth, besides these, they are accustomed to name the scientific Word as something related to a certain art or knowledge, and capable of understanding all such theories, like those of medicine, architecture, or geometry.

#### **Section 14**

2.14.1 | διαφόρων τοίνυν τρόπων παρισταμένων έκ τῆς τοῦ λόγου φωνῆς, τοῦ τε εὐαγγελιστοῦ ἀπολύτως είρηκότος έν ἀρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«, προσήκει τὸν νοῦν έπιστήσαντα καταμαθεῖν, ὡς ξένον τι χρῆμα λόγου παρὰ τὰ έγνωσμένα ἡμῖν ὁ εὐαγγελιστὴς έπὶ τοῦ παρόντος παραδίδωσιν, ἀπολύτως μὲν είπὼν λόγον προσθεὶς δὲ τὸ ξένον καὶ παράδοξον τῆς ίδιαζούσης αὐτῷ δυνάμεως έν τῷ »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«.

2.14.1 | Since there are different ways of presenting the voice of the Word, and since the evangelist has clearly said, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God," it is fitting for the mind to carefully consider this. The evangelist is delivering something strange and unfamiliar to us, adding something foreign and paradoxical to its unique power in the phrase "and the Word was God."

2.14.2 | μὴ γὰρ τῶν πρός τι, φησίν, νόμιζε εἶναι καὶ τοῦτον, ὡς τὸν ἐν ψυχῇ λόγον ἢ ὡς τὸν διὰ φωνῆς ἀκουόμενον ἢ ὡς τὸν ἐν σωματικοῖς ὅντα σπέρμασιν | ἢ ὡς τὸν ἐν μαθηματικοῖς ὑφεστῶτα θεωρήμασιν· οὖτοι γὰρ πάντες τῶν πρός τι ὅντες ἐν ἐτέρᾳ προϋποκειμένῃ νοοῦνται οὐσίᾳ. ὁ δὲ θεὸς λόγος οὐχ ἐτέρου δεῖται τοῦ προϋποκειμένου, ἴν' ἐν αὐτῷ γενόμενος ὑποστῇ, καθ' ἑαυτὸν δέ ἐστιν ζῶν καὶ ὑφεστὼς ἄτε θεὸς ὤν. »θεὸς« γὰρ ἦν ὁ λόγος«.

2.14.2 | For he says not to think of this as something related to a particular thing, like the Word in the soul, or the one heard through voice, or the one in physical seeds, or the one that exists in mathematical theories. All of these are understood as being related to something else that underlies them. But the divine Word does not need anything else to exist; it exists in itself, living and standing as God. "For the Word was God."

2.14.3 | θεὸν δὲ αύτὸν άκούων, φησίν, μὴ

2.14.3 | Hearing that he is God, do not think

ἄναρχον καὶ ἀγέννητον ὁμοίως τῷ αὐτοῦ πατρὶ καὶ αὐτὸν εἶναι ὑπολάβης, μάνθανε δὲ ὅτι »έν ἀρχῆ ἦν οὖτος ὁ θεὸς λόγος. τίνα δ' αὐτοῦ τὴν άρχὴν ὑφίσταται, διασαφεῖ ἐξῆς, οὐκ είπών· καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν ὁ θεός, μετὰ τῆς τοῦ ἄρθρου προσθήκης, ἴνα μὴ αὐτὸν εἶναι τὸν έπὶ πάντων ὀρίσηται, άλλ' οὐδ'· έν τῷ θεῷ, ἴνα μὴ καταβάλῃ έπὶ τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην ὀμοιότητα, άλλὰ »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεὸν« ἔφη.

that he is without beginning and uncreated, like his Father. Learn that "this God, the Word, was in the beginning." He does not explain what the beginning of this Word is, saying instead, "And the Word was God," with the addition of the article, so that he is not defined as the one above all, but also "the Word was with God," so that he does not reduce to human likeness, but rather emphasizes that "the Word was with God."

2.14.4 | εί γὰρ είρήκει· καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν έν τῷ θεῷ, ὡς έν ὑποκειμένῳ συμβεβηκὸς καὶ ὡς ἔτερον έν ἐτέρῳ δούς, σύνθετον ὤσπερ 〈εὶ 〉 είσῆγεν ‹ἀν› τὸν θεόν, ούσίαν μὲν αὐτὸν ὑποτιθέμενος δίχα λόγου συμβεβηκὸς δὲ τῆ ούσία τὸν λόγον.

2.14.4 | For if he had said, "And the Word was in God," it would imply that the Word is something added to God, as if it were another thing in another thing, making it a composite, as if he were introducing God. This would suggest that the essence of the Word is separate from God, while the Word is merely an addition to the essence.

2.14.5 | ὅπερ οίηθεὶς Μάρκελλος τὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν υὶὸν ἐπὶ τὸ αύτὸ συνάγει, τὴν μὲν ούσίαν καλῶν τὸν πατέρα, τὸν δ΄ ἐν αύτῷ λόγον τὸν υἰόν· ού λογισάμενος ὡς ὁ τοῦτο διδούς, τὸν θεὸν ἄνευ λόγου ὑποθέμενος. ἀθέῳ καὶ δυσσεβεῖ περιπέσοι ἂν δόγματι θεὸν ἄλογον παραδεχόμενος, ἔχοντα μὲν λόγον ὡς συμβεβηκότα ἐν αύτῷ ού μὴν αὐτὸν ὅντα λόγον·

2.14.5 | This is what Marcello thought, bringing together the Father and the Son as one, calling the Father the essence and the Son the Word within him. He did not realize that by doing this, he was suggesting that God exists without the Word. He would fall into an atheistic and irreverent belief, accepting a god without reason, having a reason as something that happens to him, but not being the reason itself.

2.14.6 | δέον ἕν τι θεῖον, ἄρρητον, ἀγαθόν, ἀπλοῦν, ἀσύνθετον, μονοειδὲς τὸ ἐπέκεινα τῶν ὅλων ὁμολογεῖν, αὐτόθεον, αὐτονοῦν, αὐτόλογον, αὐτοσοφίαν, αὐτοφῶς, αὐτοζωήν, αὐτοκαλόν, αὐτοαγαθὸν ὄντα καὶ τούτων ὅ τι ἄν τις κρεῖττον ἐπινοήσειεν, μᾶλλον δ' ὑπὲρ πάντα νοῦν

2.14.6 | It is necessary to acknowledge one divine thing, which is unutterable, good, simple, uncompounded, and unique, existing beyond all things. It is self-god, self-mind, self-logic, self-wisdom, self-light, self-life, self-beauty, and self-goodness. Whatever someone might think is greater

καὶ πάσης έπέκεινα διανοίας τε καὶ ένθυμήσεως,

than these, it is even more so beyond all understanding and beyond all thought and reflection.

2.14.7 | τὸν δὲ τούτου μονογενῆ υἰόν, ὡς ἀν είκόνα τοῦ πατρὸς έξ αὐτοῦ φύντα πάντη τε καὶ κατὰ πάντα ὁμοιότατον ὅντα τῷ γεγεννηκότι, καὶ αὐτὸν θεὸν καὶ νοῦν καὶ λόγον καὶ σοφίαν καὶ ζωὴν καὶ φῶς εἶναι αὐτοῦ τε τοῦ καλοῦ καὶ άγαθοῦ είκόνα, ούκ αὐτὸν ὅντα τὸν πατέρα άλλὰ τὸν τοῦ πατρὸς μονογενῆ υἰόν, ούδ' αὐτὸν ὅντα τὸν άγέννητον καὶ ἄναρχον άλλὰ τὸν έξ αὐτοῦ φύντα καὶ άρχὴν ἐπιγραφόμενον τὸν γεγεννηκότα.

2.14.7 | The only-begotten Son, who is like an image of the Father, comes from him and is in every way and completely similar to the one who generated him. He is also God, mind, Word, wisdom, life, and light, being the image of the good and the beautiful. He is not the Father himself, but the only-begotten Son of the Father. He is not the ungenerated and eternal one, but the one who comes from him and is called the beginning of the one who generated him.

2.14.8 | εί δὲ τούτοις ἀντιλέγων Μάρκελλος ταύτὸν εἶναι φάσκοι τὸν θεὸν | καὶ τὸν έν αύτῷ λόγον, ἀσύνθετον καὶ ἀπλοῦν τὸν θεὸν ὁριζόμενος, ὤρα μήτε πατέρα μήτε υἰὸν ὁμολογεῖν αὐτόν, ἄντικρυς δὲ τὸν Ἰουδαῖον προβάλλεσθαι ἢ τὸν Σαβέλλιον είσάγειν, πατέρα καὶ υὶὸν τὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι φάσκοντα· ὤστε κατ' αὐτὸν τὸ ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος« ἴσον εἶναι τῷ ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ θεός, καὶ τὸ καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεὸν« ἴσον εἶναι τῷ καὶ ὁ θεὸς ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν,

2.14.8 | If Marcello argues that God and the Word within him are the same, defining God as uncompounded and simple, he would not acknowledge him as either Father or Son. Instead, he would bring up the Jew or introduce Sabellius, claiming that the Father and the Son are the same. Therefore, according to him, "in the beginning was the Word" would be equal to "the Word was with God," and "the Word was God" would be equal to "God was with God."

2.14.9 | ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ τὸ τρίτον ταύτὸν εἶναι τῷ καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ θεός, ἃ δὴ πρὸς τῷ ἀσυναρτήτῳ καὶ παραλογώτατα εἵη ἄν. πῶς δὲ καὶ τὸ »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο» χώραν ἔξει, ἐνὸς ὅντος τοῦ ὑποκειμένου; ού γὰρ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ φησιν οὐδ' έξ αὐτοῦ τὰ πάντα γεγενῆσθαι. άλλὰ »δι' αὐτοῦ«. ἡ δὲ διὰ πρόθεσις τὸ ὑπηρετικὸν σημαίνει, ὡς ὸ αὐτὸς εὐαγγελιστὴς προϊὼν ἕξῆς

2.14.9 | Similarly, it would be absurd to say that the third is the same as "the God was God." How can "all things were made through him" make sense if there is only one subject? For it does not say that all things came from him or out of him. Instead, it says "through him." The preposition "through" indicates a servant role, as the same evangelist later presents,

παρίστησιν λέγων ὁ νόμος διὰ Μωσέως έδόθη. ἡ χάρις καὶ ἡ άλήθεια διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ έγένετο«· ὡς γὰρ ὁ νόμος ούκ ῶν άνθρώπινος ούδ' αὐτοῦ Μωσέως ὑπάρχων άλλ' έκ τοῦ θεοῦ Μωσέα διάκονον καὶ ὑπηρέτην έπεγράψατο τῆς είς άνθρώπους έκδόσεως, καὶ διὰ τοῦτ' εἴρηται »ὁ νόμος διὰ Μωσέως έδόθη«, οὕτως καὶ »ἡ χάρις διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ έγένετο«, τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτὴν διὰ τοῦ Χριστοῦ κατεργασαμένου.

saying, "the law was given through Moses."
"Grace and truth came through Jesus
Christ." Just as the law was not human or
belonging to Moses, but was from God, who
appointed Moses as a servant and
messenger to people, it is said, "the law was
given through Moses." In the same way,
"grace came through Jesus Christ," which
the Father accomplished through Christ.

2.14.10 | ὼσαύτως οὖν εἴρηται καὶ τὸ »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο«, ἐτέρου μὲν πεποιηκότος, αὐτοῦ δὲ διακονησαμένου ὧσθ' ἔτερον ζητεῖν τὸν ποιητὴν τῶν ὅλων τὸν διὰ τοῦ θεολογουμένου τὰ πάντα ὑποστησάμενον. καὶ τίς ἂν γένοιτο οὖτος; άλλ' οὐκ ἂν ἔχοι είπεῖν.

2.14.10 | In the same way, it is said that "all things were made through him," with another being the creator and him serving. Therefore, one should seek a different creator of all things, who supports everything through the one being spoken of as God. And who could this be? But he would not be able to say.

2.14.11 | ὧν οὕτως έχόντων ὁμολογεῖν ἀνάγκη τὸν θεολογούμενον ὑπὸ τοῦ εὐαγγελιστοῦ μὴ τὸν έπὶ πάντων εἶναι θεὸν μηδ' αὐτὸν τὸν πατέρα, τὸν δὲ τούτου μονοχενῆ υἰόν, ού συμβεβηκότα τῷ πατρὶ οὐδ' ὡς έν ὑποκειμένῳ έν αὐτῷ ὅντα ούδὲ ἔν καὶ ταὐτὸν τῷ θεῷ ὅντα, υἰὸν δὲ άληθῶς ὅντα, ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα, έν άρχῆ τε ὅντα καὶ πρὸς τὸν θεὸν ὅντα καὶ θεὸν ὅντα, δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα δημιουργεῖ·

2.14.11 | With these things being so, it is necessary to agree that the one spoken of as God by the evangelist is not the God above all, nor is he the Father, but rather his only Son, who does not exist as a part of the Father, nor is he in any way the same as God, but is truly a Son, living and existing, being in the beginning, being with God, and being God, through whom all things are created.

2.14.12 | ὡς ὁρθῶς ἄν τινα ἐπὶ τὸ σαφέστερον μεταλαβόντα φάναι άντὶ τοῦ »ἐν άρχῇ ἦν ὁ λόγος«, τὸ ἐν άρχῇ ἦν ὁ υἰός, καὶ άντὶ τοῦ »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεὸν« τὸ καὶ ὁ υἰὸς ἦν πρὸς τὸν πατέρα, καὶ άντὶ τοῦ »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος« τὸ καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ υἰός. οὕτω δ' ἄν ὰρμόσειεν καὶ τὸ ἑξῆς ἐπαγόμενον, »πάντα« γὰρ »δι' αὐτοῦ

2.14.12 | As one might correctly say to be clearer, instead of "in the beginning was the Word," one could say "in the beginning was the Son," and instead of "and the Word was with God," one could say "and the Son was with the Father," and instead of "and the Word was God," one could say "and the Son was God." Thus, it would fit with what

έγένετο καὶ χωρὶς αύτοῦ έγένετο ούδὲ ἕν«.

follows, for "all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made."

2.14.13 | είκότως τοιγαροῦν ὁ θεῖος εὐαγγελιστὴς έν άρχῇ αὐτὸν ἔφη δοὺς αὐτῷ άρχήν, δῆλον δ' ὅτι τὴν γέννησιν, τὴν έκ τοῦ πατρός· πᾶν γὰρ τὸ ἔκ τινος γεννηθὲν άρχὴν ἔχει τὸν γεγεννηκότα. οὐ μὴν ἔθ' ὁμοίως ἐπήγαγεν τὸ καὶ 〈ò〉 λόχος ἦν ἐν τῷ θεῷ, άλλὰ »πρὸς τὸν θεὸν ἦν ὁ λόγος«, διδάσκων τὸν γεννηθέντα καὶ άρχὴν τὸν πατέρα κτησάμενον μὴ μακράν που εἶναι τοῦ πατρὸς μηδὲ ἀπεσχοινίσθαι καὶ πόρρω που άφεστάναι αὐτοῦ, άλλὰ παρεῖναι αὐτῷ καὶ σὺν αὐτῷ εἶναι·

2.14.13 | Therefore, it is fitting that the divine evangelist said that he had a beginning, clearly indicating his birth from the Father. For everything that is born from something has its beginning in the one who gave birth. However, he did not say "and the Word was in God," but rather "the Word was with God," teaching that the one who was born is not far from the Father, nor is he separated or distant from him, but rather is present with him and exists together with him.

2.14.14 | ὁ δὴ καὶ έν Παροιμίαις έδίδασκεν πρότερον φήσας »πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννῷ με«, ἔπειτα προσθεὶς »ὴνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«. οὕτως οὖν ὁ λόγος, δῆλον δ' ὅτι ὁ μονογενὴς υἰός, ἦν πρὸς τὸν ἑαυτοῦ πατέρα τὸν θεὸν συνὼν καὶ συμπαρὼν αὐτῷ ἀεὶ καὶ πάντοτε·

2.14.14 | This is also what he taught in the Proverbs, first saying, "Before all the mountains, he gave birth to me," and then adding, "When he was preparing the heavens, I was there with him." Therefore, the Word, clearly the only-begotten Son, was always present with his own Father, God, and was always alongside him.

2.14.15 | ὁ δὴ καὶ παρίστη λέγων »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν«. ἐπεὶ δὲ ἐχρῆν ἡμᾶς γνῶναι καὶ ὁποίου ὑπῆρχεν άξιώματος, ἀναγκαίως συνῆψεν τὸ »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«. πῶς γὰρ ούκ ἔμελλεν θεὸς εἶναι ὁ ἐκ τοῦ ἐνὸς καὶ μόνου άγεννήτου θεοῦ γεννηθείς; εί γὰρ τὸ »γεγεννημένον ἐκ τῆς σαρκὸς σάρξ ἐστιν, καὶ τὸ γεγεννημένον ἐκ τοῦ πνεύματος πνεῦμά ἐστιν« κατὰ τὴν σωτήριον διδασκαλίαν, ἀκολούθως καὶ τὸ γεγεννημένον ἐκ τοῦ θεὸς ἂν εἴη. διὸ καὶ »θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«·

2.14.15 | This is why it says, "And the Word was with God." Since we needed to know what kind of status he had, it necessarily added, "And the Word was God." For how could he not be God, being born from the one and only unbegotten God? If what is born from the flesh is flesh, and what is born from the Spirit is spirit, according to the saving teaching, then what is born from God would also be God. That is why it says, "The Word was God."

2.14.16 | καὶ θεὸς ποιητικὸς καὶ δημιουργικὸς πάντων. ὁ δὴ καὶ αύτὸ παρέστησεν ὁ εὐαγγελιστὴς ἐξῆς τὸ »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο« έπισυνάψας. ὁ μὲν οὖν παιδαγωγὸς νόμος διὰ Μωσέως έν τῆ κοσμοποιία τὸν θεὸν ποιητὴν τοῦ παντὸς είσάγων στοιχεῖά τε καὶ είσαγωγὰς θεοσεβείας παραδιδοὺς ἐδίδασκεν, λέγων »έν άρχῆ ἐποίησεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν ούρανὸν καὶ τὴν γῆν« καὶ τὰ τούτοις ἑξῆς,

2.14.16 | And God is the creator and maker of all things. This is what the evangelist also showed next by adding, "All things were made through him." The law, which was a teacher through Moses, introduced God as the creator of everything, giving elements and teachings of true worship, saying, "In the beginning, God made the heavens and the earth," and what follows after that.

2.14.17 | δι' ὧν τὸν Ἰουδαίων παιδαγωγῶν λαὸν γενητὸν εἶναι τὸν κόσμον ἡγεῖσθαι παρήνει. πρὸς τὸ μὴ τὴν κτίσιν παρὰ τὸν κτίσαντα« σέβειν.

2.14.17 | Through these things, he advised the people of the Jews to consider the world as created. He urged them not to worship the creation instead of the creator.

2.14.18 | ὅπως δὲ ὁ θεὸς καὶ διὰ τίνος τὰ σύμπαντα έδημιούργει, οὐκέτι Μωσῆς τοῖς ὑπ' αὐτὸν παρεδίδου, »ἦ« δὲ »χάρις καὶ ἡ άλήθεια διὰ Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ« τὸ σεσιγημένον ὑπὸ Μωσέως μυστήριον εὐαγγελιζομένη καινοτέρας καὶ μυστικῆς τῆ ἐκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ κατῆρξε διδασκαλίας, ἄντικρυς τοῖς πᾶσιν είς ἐξάκουστον βοῶσα τὸ »ἐν ἀρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«, καὶ τὸ »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο,

2.14.18 | But as to why God created everything and through whom, Moses no longer delivered to those under him, "For grace and truth came through Jesus Christ." This revealed the mystery that was hidden by Moses, announcing a new and secret teaching to the church of God. It boldly proclaimed to all, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God," and "All things were made through him."

2.14.19 | καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ έγένετο οὐδὲ ἔν«, καὶ ἔτι τούτοις προσθεῖσα τὸ »ὂ γέγονεν έν αὐτῷ ζωὴ ἦν. | καὶ ἡ ζωὴ ἦν τὸ φῶς τῶν άνθρώπων. καὶ τὸ φῶς έν τῆ σκοτίᾳ φαίνει«, καὶ τὰ τούτοις ἀκόλουθα, δι' ὧν τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τὰ έξαίρετα τοῦ θείου φωτὸς καὶ τῆς έν αὐτῷ ζωῆς, ὅπως τε δι' αὐτοῦ τὰ ὑπὸ Μωσέως είρημένα πάντα καὶ τὰ ἔτι τούτων έπέκεινα συνέστη,

2.14.19 | And without him, nothing was made. And adding to this, "What was made in him was life." And the life was the light of people. And the light shines in the darkness. Through these things, he teaches about the Son of God and the wonders of the divine light and the life in him, showing how through him everything said by Moses and even more was established. But none

παιδεύει. άλλὰ τούτων ούδὲν Μάρκελλος είδὼς ποτὲ μὲν Ἰουδαΐζων ποτὲ δὲ Σαβελλίζων ἀλίσκεται, of this was known by Marcellus, who sometimes followed Jewish ideas and sometimes Sabellian ideas.

2.14.20 | κατὰ μὲν τὸν Ἰουδαῖον πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου συστάσεως μηδὲν εἶναι φάσκων πλὴν τοῦ θεοῦ μόνου, 〈τῆς έκκλησίας〉 πρὸ τῆς τοῦ κόσμου συστάσεως τὸν πατέρα εἶναι καὶ τὸν υὶὸν ὁμολογούσης, κατὰ δὲ τὸν Σαβέλλιον ἔνα καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι άποφαινόμενος υὶὸν καὶ πατέρα καὶ ποτὲ μὲν αὐτὸν ένδιάθετον είσάγων λόγον ποτὲ δὲ προφορικόν.

2.14.20 | According to the Jew, before the creation of the world, there was nothing except God alone. The church acknowledges the Father and the Son before the creation of the world. But according to Sabellius, he claims that the Son and the Father are one and the same, sometimes introducing him as an internal Word and sometimes as an external Word.

2.14.21 | εί γὰρ προσποιεῖται ταύτας μὴ παραδέχεσθαι τὰς φωνάς, πλὴν δῆλός έστιν έκ τοῦ ποτὲ μὲν ἔνδον αύτὸν λέγειν έν τῷ θεῷ, ἄλλοτε δὲ δι' ένεργείας δραστικῆς προϊέναι αύτοῦ, άφ' ὧν τε τῷ άνθρωπίνω άπεικάζει αύτὸν λόγω. ὅ γε μὴν θεῖος εύαγγελιστὴς κατ' ούδένα τῶν άποδεδομένων τρόπων λόγον ὑπεστήσατο τὸν πρὸς αύτοῦ θεολογούμενον, άλλ' οἷον ἔπρεπεν τὸν μονογενῆ υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ νοεῖν, λόγον μὲν ὄντα καθ' ὃ πάντα λόγω συνεστήσατο καὶ χωρὶς λόγου τῶν ὅντων ούδὲν γέγονεν, θεὸν δὲ καὶ μονογενῆ καθ' ὃ μόνος άληθῶς ἦν υὶὸς τοῦ ἐπὶ πάντων θεοῦ, υὶὸς γνήσιος ὄντως καὶ άγαπητός, τῷ αύτοῦ πατρὶ κατὰ πάντα άφωμοιωμένος.

2.14.21 | For if he pretends not to accept these voices, it is clear that sometimes he speaks of him as being within God, and at other times he shows him going out through active power, from which he resembles him with human words. But the divine evangelist did not support any of the accepted ways of speaking about him, but rather, as it was fitting, he understood the only-begotten Son of God to be a Word that is, through which everything was made, and without the Word, nothing was made. He is truly God and the only-begotten, being the only true Son of the God above all, a genuine and beloved Son, fully resembling his Father in every way.

2.14.22 | διὸ καὶ φῶς ἦν άληθῶς καθ' ὁ τὸ νοερὸν καὶ λογικὸν ταῖς κατ' είκόνα τὴν αύτοῦ πεποιημέναις ψυχαῖς έναυγάζει φέγγος. διὸ οὐ πάντων αὐτὸν φῶς εἶναί φησιν, άλλὰ μόνων άνθρώπων· »ἦν« γὰρ τὸ φῶς »τὸ φωτίζον πάντα ἄνθρωπον έρχόμενον είς τὸν κόσμον« ἔφη. οὕτω δὲ

2.14.22 | Therefore, he was truly light, as he shines light on the rational and logical souls made in his image. That is why he does not say that he is the light of all, but only of humans; for "the light was the one that enlightens every person coming into the world," he said. In this way, life also truly

καὶ ζωὴ ὑπῆρχεν άληθῶς, καθ' ὁ πᾶσιν τοῖς ζῶσιν τὸ τῆς έξ αὐτοῦ χορηγίας παρέχει νᾶμα. καὶ καθ' ἐκάστην δὲ ἐπίνοιαν τῶν ἐν αὐτῷ θεϊκῶν δυνάμεων παραστατικὰς εὕροις ἀν αὐτοῦ καὶ άληθεῖς ἐπωνυμίας. κατὰ πάντα γὰρ άλήθεια ἦν ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ, ὁ δὴ παρίστησιν αὐτὸς λέγων »έγώ είμι ἡ άλήθεια«.

existed, as it provides the source of life to all living things. And in every thought of the divine powers in him, you would find his true and fitting names. For the Son of God was truth in every way, as he himself presents it by saying, "I am the truth."

#### **Section 15**

2.15.1 | αλλ' ο νέος συγγραφευς ταῦτα μὲν οὕτε συνίησιν οὕτε οἶδεν οὕθ' ὅτι άγνοεῖ οἶδεν, ἃ δὴ καὶ είδέναι αύχεῖ, ὅπως συνέστη. φέρε πάλιν εἶτα άναλαβόντες άκούσωμεν, ὡς τῷ έν άνθρώποις αὐτὸν άπεικάζει λόγῳ, τῷ τε κατὰ διάνοιαν καὶ τῷ κατὰ προφοράν, τοῦ τον γράφων τὸν τρόπον (Nr. 55) τοῦτο δὲ ῥάδιον, οἷμαι, τοῖς εὖ φρονοῦσιν καὶ άπὸ μικροῦ τινος καὶ ταπεινοῦ καθ' ἡμᾶς παραδείγματος γνῶναι. οὐδὲ γὰρ τὸν τοῦ άνθρώπου λόγον δυνάμει καὶ ὑποστάσει χωρίσαι τινὶ δυνατόν· ἔν γάρ έστιν καὶ ταύτὸν τῷ άνθρώπῳ ὁ λόγος, καὶ ούδενὶ χωριζόμενος ἑτέρω ἢ μόνῃ τῇ τῆς πράξεως ένεργεία.

2.15.1 | But the young writer neither understands these things nor knows that he is ignorant of them, even though he boasts that he knows them. Come, let us take this up again and listen, as he compares him to a human with words, both in thought and in speech, the way he writes (Nr. 55). I think this is easy for those who think well and can understand from a small and humble example. For it is not possible to separate the word of a human by power and substance; for the word is one and the same with the human, and it is not separated from anything else except by the action itself.

2.15.2 | έν δὴ τούτοις τῷ προφορικῷ λόγῳ κέχρηται είκόνι, τῷ δέ γε ένδιαθέτῳ έν οἶς ταῦτά φησιν (Nr. 53) ού γὰρ δὴ ἐτέρας ἐτοιμασίας, οἷον ὕλης ἢ ἄλλης τινὸς άνθρωπίνης, ὸ θεὸς ἐδεῖτο πρὸς κατασκευήν, άλλὰ ταύτης τῆς έν τῆ ἐαυτοῦ διανοίᾳ ἐτοιμασίας. ἐπεὶ οὖν άδύνατον ἦν χωρὶς λόγου καὶ τῆς προσούσης τῷ λόγῳ σοφίας έννοῆσαι περὶ τῆς τοῦ ούρανοῦ κατασκευῆς τὸν θεόν, είκότως ἔφη »ὴνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«.

2.15.2 | In these spoken words, he uses an image, but in the inner thought where he says these things (Nr. 53), God did not need any other material, like matter or something else human, for the creation, but rather this preparation in his own mind. Since it was impossible to understand God concerning the creation of the heavens without the word and the wisdom that belongs to the word, he rightly said, "When he was preparing the heavens, I was with him."

2.15.3 | εἶθ' ἑξῆς προϊὼν ὁμοῦ καὶ ένδιάθετον τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον παρίστη. γράφων οὕτως (Nr. 52) τίς γὰρ οὕτως ἣ τῶν ἀγίων άγγέλων ἢ ἀνδρῶν δικαίων άξιόπιστος ἦν τὴν έκ προσώπου τοῦ θεοῦ ὁρισθεῖσαν αὐτῷ τιμωρίαν λῦσαι, εί μὴ αὐτὸς ὁ λόγος ὁ συμπαρών τε καὶ συμπλάττων, πρὸς ὂν ὁ πατὴρ »ποιήσωμεν ἄνθρωπον« ἔφη;

2.15.3 | Then, moving on, he presents the inner word of God. Writing this way (Nr. 52), who among the holy angels or righteous men was trustworthy enough to lift the punishment set upon him by God, unless it was the word itself, who is present and shapes everything, to whom the Father said, "Let us make man"?

2.15.4 | τούτοις έξῆς διασαφεῖ ὁποῖον είσάγει λόγον φάσκων (Nr. 52) άλλ' εί τις μικρῷ τινι καὶ άνθρωπίνω καθ' ἡμᾶς παραδείγματι χρώμενος ώς διὰ είκόνος τὴν θείαν έξετάζοι πρᾶξιν· ώσπερ αν ει τις άνδριαντοποιός έπιστήμων άνηρ άνδριάντα πλάσαι βουλόμενος, πρῶτον | μὲν τοὺς τύπους αύτοῦ καὶ χαρακτῆρας έν έαυτῶ σκοπεῖ, ἔπειτα πλάτος τε καὶ μῆκος ŏσον εύπρεπὲς έννοεῖ, άναλογίαν τε τοῦ παντὸς έν τῷ καθ' ἔκαστον έξετάζει μέρει, χαλκοῦ τε τὴν πρόσφορον ὲτοιμάσας ὕλην καὶ τὸν έσόμενον άνδριάντα τῆ ἑαυτοῦ προτυπώσας διανοία καὶ νοητῶς ὁρᾶν νομίσας συνειδώς τε ὲαυτῷ συνεργεῖν τὸν λόγον, ὧ λογίζεται καὶ ὧ πάντα πράττειν εἴωθεν (ούδὲν γὰρ μὴ λόγω γιγνόμενον καλόν), άρχόμενος τῆς αίσθητῆς ταύτης έργασίας πρὸς ὲαυτὸν ὡς πρὸς ἔτερον παρακελεύεται λέγων· άγε ποιήσωμεν, άγε πλάσωμεν άνδριάντα· οὕτως ὁ τῶν ὅλων δεσπότης θεὸς τὸν ἔμψυχον έκ γῆς άνδριάντα ποιῶν ούκ ἄλλω τινὶ άλλὰ τῷ έαυτοῦ παρακελεύεται λόγω »ποιήσωμεν άνθρωπον« λέγων. διὰ τούτων έναργῶς καὶ ένδιάθετον λόγον ῷ διαλογίζεταί τις καὶ προφορικὸν ὧ διαλέγεται προσῆψεν τῷ θεῷ, τοιοῦτόν τινα οἷον τὸν καθ' ἡμᾶς καὶ τὸν έν τῷ θεῷ εἶναι λόγον ὑποθέμενος.

2.15.4 | Next, he explains what kind of word he introduces, saying (Nr. 52) that if someone uses a small and human example to examine the divine action through an image: just as a skilled sculptor, wanting to create a statue, first considers the shapes and features within himself, then thinks about how wide and tall it should be to look good, and examines the proportion of the whole in each part, preparing bronze as the suitable material, and imagining the future statue in his mind, he consciously believes that the word helps him, by which he thinks and by which he usually does everything (for nothing good happens without the word), he begins this visible work as if addressing another, saying: "Come, let us make, come, let us shape a statue." In the same way, the Lord God, creating a living statue from the earth, does not command anyone else but speaks to his own word, saying, "Let us make man." Through this, he clearly connects the inner word, by which someone thinks, and the spoken word, by which he speaks, suggesting that there is something like the word in us and the word in God.

### Section 16

2.16.1 | ταῦτα μὲν οὖν πάντα ὡς άρνητικὰ τυγχάνει τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ, οὐδὲν ποσεπεξεργάζεσθαι δεῖ. τοσοῦτον δὲ οἶμαι ποοσήκειν έπερωτῆσαι, εί δὴ εἶς θεὸς ἦν καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτερον, οὐ πατὴρ ούχ υἰός. τί κατεψεύδετο τῶν τοιούτων όνομάτων ἡ γραφή; τί δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς Μάρκελλος ὑποκρίνεται, τὸν μὴ υἰὸν άλλὰ λόγον υἰὸν άποκαλῶν; καὶ έπειδὴ κέχρηται παραδείγματι τῷ ἐν ἀνθρώποις λόγῳ, λεκτέον ὡς οὐ πᾶς ἄνθρωπος υὶὸν ἔχει, λογικὸς ών καὶ τὸν συμφυᾶ λόγον ἐν αὑτῷ κεκτημένος·

2.16.1 | All these things, then, are negative concerning the Son of God, and there is nothing to be worked out. But I think it is worth asking, if indeed there was one God and nothing else, neither Father nor Son, why does the scripture deceive with such names? And why does Marcellus pretend to call the word a son, not the son? And since he has used the example of the word in humans, it should be noted that not every human has a son, being rational and having the inherent word within himself.

2.16.2 | ούκοῦν ἔτερόν τί έστιν υὶὸς παρὰ τὸν λόγον. τὸν οὖν θεὸν εί λόγον ἔχειν ἐν ἑαυτῷ φάσκοι καὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον, ῷ καὶ διενοεῖτο, ῷ καὶ πρὸς ἑαυτὸν διελέγετο »ποιήσωμεν ἄνθρωπον« λέγων, τί καὶ μάτην υὶὸν όνομάζει; τί δὲ τὴν ἐκκλησίαν ὑποκρίνεται; τί δὲ μὴ πιστεύων είς τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ προσποιεῖται πιστεύειν, σχηματιζόμενος διὰ τοῦ τὸν λόγον τὸν ἐν τῷ θεῷ υὶὸν ἀποκαλεῖν, σαφῶς τοῦ παραδείγματος μακρῷ διεστάναι διδάσκοντος τὸν ἕμφυτον ἐν ψυχῆ λόγον | παρὰ τὸν ἔκ τινος γεννηθέντα καὶ καθ' ἑαυτὸν ὑφεστῶτα καὶ ζῶντα καὶ ένεργοῦντα υὶόν;

2.16.2 | So, what else is a son besides the word? If God is said to have the word within himself and nothing else, by which he also thought and spoke to himself, saying, "Let us make man," why does he call it a son in vain? And what does he pretend about the church? What does he, who does not believe in the Son of God, pretend to believe, forming the word in God to be called a son, clearly teaching that it is far from the example of the inherent word in the soul, compared to the one that was born from someone and exists by itself, living and acting as a son?

2.16.3 | άλλ' ούκ έγώ, φήσει ὼς είκός, άλλ' ὁ θεῖος εύαγγελιστὴς λόγον αύτὸν προσεῖπεν· άκόλουθον τοίνυν εἵη ἂν καὶ ἡμᾶς τούτῳ συνομολογεῖν. ναί φημι καὶ αύτός. 2.16.3 | But I will not say it, as it seems likely, but the divine evangelist said the word himself. Therefore, it would also be fitting for us to agree with this. Yes, I say, and I myself agree.

# **Section 17**

2.17.1 | πλὴν ούδ' ἐτέρως προσήκειν οἶμαι έξακούειν τῆς φωνῆς ἢ αὐτῷ πάλιν μαθητευομένους τῷ εὐαγγελιστῆ διδάσκοντι ὁποιον διωρίζετο λόγον. σαφῶς δὲ τοῦτον παρίστη οἶός τις ἦν, έπισυνάπτων ἐξῆς καὶ λέγων »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«· δυνάμενος γοῦν είπεῖν· καὶ ὁ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος, μετὰ τῆς τοῦ ἄρθρου προσθήκης, εἴγε εν καὶ ταὐτὸν ἡγεῖτο τὸν πατέρα εἶναι καὶ τὸν υὶὸν αὐτόν τε εἶναι τὸν λόγον τὸν ἐπὶ πάντων θεόν, ούχ οὕτως έξέδωκε τὴν γραφήν.

2.17.1 | However, I think it is not appropriate to hear the voice in any other way than to be taught by the evangelist himself, who defined the word. Clearly, he was able to present this, saying, 'And the word was God.' He could indeed say, 'And the word was God,' with the addition of the article, if he thought that the Father and the Son were one and the same, and that the word was the God above all. The scripture did not express it in this way.

2.17.2 | ἡ γὰρ καὶ θεοῦ ἦν ὁ λόγος έχρῆν είπεῖν ἡ ὁ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος, μετὰ προσθήκης τοῦ ἄρθρου, εί τῷ Μαρκέλλου διανοία σύμφωνον τὴν γραφὴν έποιεῖτο. νυνὶ δὲ καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν λόγον θεὸν εἶναι παρίστη, ὁμοίως τῷ πρὸς ὂν ἦν θεῷ· προειπὼν γὰρ »καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν«, έπάγει λέγων »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«, μονονουχὶ σαφέστερον ἡμᾶς διδάσκων πρῶτον μὲν ἡγεῖσθαι θεὸν τὸν έπέκεινα τῶν ὅλων αὐτὸν τὸν τοῦ λόγου πατέρα, πρὸς ὂν ἦν ὁ λόγος, ἔπειτα μετ' αὐτὸν μὴ άγνοεῖν ὡς καὶ ὁ λόγος αὐτοῦ ὁ μονογενὴς υὶός, ούχὶ αὐτὸς ἦν ὁ ἐπὶ πάντων θεός, άλλ' ὅτι καὶ αὐτὸς θεὸς ἦν.

2.17.2 | For it should have been said that the word was of God or that the word was God, with the addition of the article, if the scripture was made to agree with Marcello's thinking. But now it presents the word itself as God, in the same way as the God to whom it was. For having said, 'And the word was with God,' it goes on to say, 'And the word was God,' teaching us more clearly first to understand that the God above all is the Father of the word, to whom the word was, and then not to be unaware that the word itself is his only Son, not that he himself was the God above all, but that he was also God.

2.17.3 | ὁ γὰρ »καὶ« σύνδεσμος τῷ πατρὶ συνάπτει τὴν θεότητα τοῦ υἰοῦ. διό φησιν »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«, ἴν' ἴδωμεν θεὸν τὸν έπὶ πάντων πρὸς ὂν ἦν ὁ λόγος. καὶ θεὸν αύτὸν τὸν λόγον ἄκουε, ὡς είκόνα τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ είκόνα ούχ ὡς έν άψύχῳ ὕλῃ, άλλ' ὡς έν υἰῷ ζῶντι καὶ άκριβέστατα πρὸς τὴν άρχέτυπον θεότητα τοῦ πατρὸς

2.17.3 | For the word 'and' connects the divinity of the Son to the Father. That is why it says, 'And the word was God,' so that we may see the God above all, to whom the word was. And the word itself is heard as God, not as an image in lifeless matter, but as in a living Son, closely resembling the original divinity of the Father.

άφωμοιωμένω.

2.17.4 | έπεὶ δὲ τῷ ἀνθρωπίνῳ λόγῳ τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ παραβάλλειν έδόκει Μαρκέλλῳ, καὶ πόσῳ βελτίω εἶναι φήσομεν, είκόνι χρώμενον τῷ ἀνθρωπίνῳ λόγῳ, τούτῳ μᾶλλον χρήσασθαι τῷ παραδείγματι καὶ φάναι τοῦ παρ' | ἡμῖν λόγου πατέρα εἶναι τὸν νοῦν, ἔτερον ὅντα παρὰ τὸν λόγον. τὸν μὲν γὰρ νοῦν, ὅστις ποτὲ καὶ ὁποῖος ὢν τὴν οὐσίαν ὑπάρχει, οὐδεὶς πώποτε ἀνθρώπων ἔγνω, βασιλεὺς δ' οἶα έν ἀπορρήτοις εἴσω τοῖς αὐτοῦ ταμείοις ὶδρυμένος τὰ πρακτέα βουλεύεται· ὁ δ' έξ αὐτοῦ λόγος οἷα πατρὸς έξ άδύτων μυχῶν γεγεννημένος τοῖς έκτὸς πᾶσιν καθίσταται γνώριμος.

2.17.4 | But since Marcello thought it right to compare the human word to the word of God, we would say how much better it is to use an image related to the human word, and to say that the mind is the Father of the word, being something different from the word. For no one among humans has ever known the mind, which exists in its own essence, like a king hidden inside his own treasury, planning what should be done. But the word that comes from it is made known to all, like a Son born from the hidden depths of the Father.

2.17.5 | εἶθ' οὶ μὲν έκ τῆς τοῦ λόγου μεταλαμβάνουσιν ώφελείας, τὸν δ' άφανῆ καὶ άόρατον νοῦν, τὸν δὴ τοῦ λόγου πατέρα, οὐδεὶς πώποτε εἶδεν.

2.17.5 | Then some benefit from the word, but no one has ever seen the hidden and invisible mind, which is indeed the Father of the word.

2.17.6 | κατὰ τὰ αύτὰ δή, μᾶλλον δ' έπέκεινα πάσης είκόνος τε καὶ παραδείγματος, ο τοῦ παμβασιλέως θεοῦ τέλειος λόγος, ού κατὰ τὸν προφορικὸν άνθρώπων λόγον έκ συλλαβῶν καὶ ρημάτων καὶ όνομάτων συγκείμενος, οἶα δὲ μονογενής τοῦ θεοῦ υίὸς ζῶν καὶ ὑφεστὼς πρόεισιν μὲν τῆς πατρικῆς θεότητός τε καὶ βασιλείας, έπάρδει δὲ τῷ σύμπαντι κόσμῳ τὰς έξ αύτοῦ χορηγίας, ζωῆς καὶ λόγου καὶ σοφίας καὶ φωτὸς καὶ παντὸς άγαθοῦ μετουσίας τοῖς γενητοῖς ἄπασιν έπιλιμνάζων, τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ θεοῦ τῶν όλων έπέκεινα όντος άλήπτου τε καὶ άχωρήτου τοῖς πᾶσιν, κατὰ τὸν άφανῆ καὶ άόρατον νοῦν, παρ' δ καὶ »φῶς οίκῶν

2.17.6 | In the same way, and even beyond any image or example, the perfect word of the all-ruling God is not made up of sounds, words, and names like the spoken human word. Rather, like the only-begotten Son of God, it goes forth from the Father's divinity and kingdom, and it bestows gifts of life, word, wisdom, light, and all good things to all created beings, overflowing from the Father and God of all, who is beyond all, ungraspable and uncontainable by anyone, according to the hidden and invisible mind, of which it is said, 'It dwells in inaccessible light.'

άπρόσιτον« λέλεκται.

2.17.7 | άλλ' ὁ μὲν ἀπρόσιτος καὶ ἀχώρητος εἵη ἀν τοῖς πᾶσιν ὁ πατήρ, ὁ δὲ τοῖς πᾶσιν έγγίων, ἄτε δὴ τὰ πάντα πατρικῷ νεύματι διακυβερνῶν (διὸ ού περὶ τοῦ πατρός, περὶ δὲ τοῦ υἰοῦ λέλεκται τὸ »έν τῷ κόσμῳ ἦν, καὶ ὁ κόσμος δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο«)· καὶ ὁ μὲν έπέκεινα ἦν τῶν ὅλων καὶ ὑπὲρ πάντα »φῶς οίκῶν ἀπρόσιτον«, ὁ δὲ διὰ πάντων καὶ ἐν πᾶσιν κηδεμονικῆ διήκων προνοία, ὡς κατὰ τοῦτο μόνον αὐτῷ συμβάλλεσθαι τὴν τοῦ ἀνθρωπείου λόγου είκόνα. άλλὰ γὰρ τούτων ἡμῖν ἀποδεδειγμένων, είκός τινα τῶν φιλομαθῶν ἑρωτᾶν·

2.17.7 | But the Father would indeed be unapproachable and uncontainable by all, while the Son is near to all, since he governs everything by the Father's command. That is why it is said about the Son, 'He was in the world, and the world was made through him.' The Father is beyond all and above all, 'dwelling in inaccessible light,' while the Son is present through all and in all, caring for everything with his providence. Thus, only in this way can we relate the image of the human word to him. But since these things have been demonstrated to us, it is likely that some of the eager learners will ask...

#### Section 18

2.18.1 | διὰ τί δὲ τὸν μονογενῆ υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ἀρχόμενος τῆς ὲαυτοῦ γραφῆς ὁ εὐαγγελιστὴς λόγον ἀνεφώνησεν; πρὸς ὂν έροῦμεν ὅτι διὰ τὰς ἀνακρύπτους πάλαι περὶ αὐτοῦ προφητείας. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ έφ' ἐκάστῳ προφήτῃ »λόγος κυρίου ὂς έγενήθη« φέρε »πρὸς Ἡσαΐαν« εἴρηται καὶ »άρχὴ λόγου κυρίου ἐν Ὠσηέ« καὶ »λόγος κυρίου ὂς έγενήθη πρὸς Ἰωήλ« καὶ έγένετο λόγος κυρίου πρὸς Ἰωνᾶν«,

2.18.1 | Why then does the evangelist begin his writing with the only-begotten Son of God? To this, we will say that it is because of the hidden prophecies about him from long ago. For it is said for each prophet, 'The word of the Lord that came to Isaiah,' and 'The beginning of the word of the Lord in Hosea,' and 'The word of the Lord that came to Joel,' and 'The word of the Lord came to Jonah.'

2.18.2 | καὶ »πρὸς Μιχαίαν« ὁμοίως, καὶ πρὸς τοὺς λοιποὺς προφήτας παραπλησίως έφ' ἐκάστῳ προφήτῃ τὸ έγένετο προσέκειτο (τῆς θείας γραφῆς άκριβῶς καὶ ἀναγκαίως έπισημαινομένης ὡς έν ούδενὶ τῶν προφητῶν ἦν, άλλὰ καθ' ἔκαστον έγίγνετο, πρὸς ὂ ἡ ἐκάστου δύναμις οἴα τε ἦν χωρεῖν προσιὼν αὐτῆ καὶ

2.18.2 | And similarly, 'to Micah' and for the other prophets, it was said for each one, 'the word of the Lord came.' This is noted precisely and necessarily in the divine scripture, as it was not the same for all the prophets, but it happened for each one according to their own power, which was able to receive the appropriate spirit from

τῆ ἐκάστου ψυχῆ τὸ κατάλληλον παρέχων έξ αὐτοῦ πνεῦμα), καὶ νοερὰν είκότως ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος ὁ εὐαγγελιστὴς τοῦ λόγου ἔμελλεν εὐαγγελίζεσθαι οίκονομίαν, οὐκέθ' ὁμοίως τοῖς παλαιοῖς γεγονέναι αὐτὸν ‹πρὸς› ἔτερόν τινα διδάσκει, άλλ' αὐτὸν σάρκα ἀνειληφέναι καὶ ἄνθρωπον γεγονέναι. εἶτ' ἐπειδὴ τὴν είς ἀνθρώπους αὐτοῦ σωτήριον τοῖς πᾶσιν πάροδον κηρύττειν ἔμελλεν, ἐξῆς λέγων »καὶ ὁ λόγος σὰρξ έγένετο καὶ ἐσκήνωσεν έν ἡμῖν«, ἀναγκαίως ἐπὶ τὴν άρχὴν ἀνατρέχει, τὸν χθὲς καὶ πρώην σαρκωθέντα λόγον τίς ποτε ἦν καὶ ὁποῖος δεικνύς,

it and provide it to each soul. And now, the evangelist was about to announce the economy of the word, not in the same way as it happened to the old prophets, but teaching that he took on flesh and became a man. Then, since he was about to proclaim his saving presence to all people, he continues by saying, 'And the word became flesh and dwelt among us,' necessarily going back to the beginning to show who and what the word that was made flesh yesterday and before was.

2.18.3 | θεολογεῖ τε αὐτόν, ὁμοῦ τὴν γνῶσιν αὐτοῦ καὶ τὴν είς άνθρώπους θεοφάνειαν εὐαγγελιζόμενος. εἶθ' ὡς τῶν παλαιῶν έκ τῶν θείων άναγνωσμάτων προμεμαθηκότων τὸν έφ' ἐκάστῳ προφήτῃ γενόμενον λόγον, αὐτὸς τὴν θειοτέραν καὶ έξαίρετον άρχὴν αὐτοῦ κηρύττει, ἤν οὐδεὶς προφητῶν άνθρώποις γυμνῶς οὔτως καὶ ἀπαρακαλύπτως έξέφηνεν.

2.18.3 | He speaks of God, announcing both his knowledge and his divine appearance to people. Then, as those from the old divine writings learned about the word that came to each prophet, he himself proclaims his more divine and exceptional beginning, which no prophet has revealed to people so openly and without a veil.

2.18.4 | διὸ μεγάλη φωνῆ τοῖς πᾶσιν τὸ λεληθὸς καὶ κρύφιον περὶ τοῦ λόγου μυστήριον παραδιδοὺς έβόα λέγων έν άρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος. πάντα δι' αύτοῦ έγένετο. καὶ χωρὶς αύτοῦ έγένετο ούδὲ ἕν.

2.18.4 | Therefore, with a loud voice, he reveals to everyone the hidden and secret mystery about the word, shouting, 'In the beginning was the word, and the word was with God, and the word was God. All things were made through him. And without him, nothing was made.'

2.18.5 | εί γὰρ παρὰ τῶν προτέρων θείων γραφῶν παιδαγωγούμενοι πάλαι πρότερον μεμαθήκατε, φησίν, ὅτι λόγος κυρίου έγένετο πρὸς τόνδε τὸν προφήτην καὶ πρὸς ἔτερον πάλιν ὁμοίως έγένετο καὶ αὖθις

2.18.5 | For if you have been taught by the earlier divine writings, he says, that the word of the Lord came to this prophet and then to another in the same way, now it is necessary to announce not how it

πρὸς ἄλλον, άλλὰ νῦν ούχ ὅπως ἐγένετο άλλ' ὅπως ἦν ἐν άρχῇ τοῖς πᾶσιν άναγκαῖον εὐαγγελίσασθαι καὶ ὡς »θεὸς ἦν« καὶ ὡς »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο« καὶ ὡς αὐτὸς ἐκεῖνος ὁ θεὸς λόγος, »δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα«, φιλανθρωπία τοῦ πατρὸς »σὰρξ ἐγένετο καὶ ἐσκήνωσεν ἐν ἡμῖν«.

happened, but how it was in the beginning. It is necessary to proclaim to all that 'he was God' and 'all things were made through him' and that this same word of God, 'through whom all things,' became flesh by the love of the Father and dwelt among us.

2.18.6 | | ταῦτ' εὐηγγελίζετο Ἰωάννης ὁ μέγας τοῦ Χριστοῦ μαθητὴς καὶ ἀπόστολος, τὰ νέα καὶ καινὰ τοῦ σωτῆρος παιδεύων ἄπαντας άνθρώπους μυστήρια. ούχ ὅτι λογικὸς ἦν ὁ θεός, ούδ' ὅτι αὐτὸς πρὸς ἑαυτὸν διενοεῖτο καὶ ἑαυτῷ προσδιελέγετο »ποιήσωμεν ἄνθρωπον« λέγων, ούδ' ὅτι λόγοις κέχρηται τοῖς τῶν πρακτέων παραγγελτικοῖς. ταῦτα γὰρ πᾶς ἀρνούμενος τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ εἴποι ἄν.

2.18.6 | These things were announced by John, the great disciple and apostle of Christ, teaching all people the new and fresh mysteries of the Savior. Not that God was logical, nor that he thought to himself and spoke to himself, saying, 'Let us make man,' nor that he used words like those who give commands. For anyone who denies this would say that he is the Son of God.

2.18.7 | δ δὴ καὶ Μάρκελλος ποιῶν, ὡς ἐπὶ κρησφύγετον ἐπὶ τὴν παλαιὰν καταφεύγει γραφὴν καὶ τὰ νηπιάζοντι τῷ Ἰουδαίων λαῷ περὶ τοῦ μὴ είδωλολατρεῖν ἔνα δὲ μόνον είδέναι τε καὶ σέβειν θεὸν παρρηγγελμένα συνάγειν πειρᾶται. ἔνθα καὶ ηὐρόησεν αὐτῷ ἡ περὶ τοῦ ἐνὸς θεοῦ διδασκαλία, χρησίμως τότε καὶ κατὰ καιρὸν είδωλολατροῦσιν Ἰουδαίοις παραδεδομένη. καὶ δὴ ἐνταῦθα καταφυγὼν καὶ ὥσπερ ἐν όχυρώματι ὲαυτὸν τῷ Ἰουδαϊκῷ περιφράξας σκληροκαρδία, τὴν ἄρνησιν προύβάλλετο τοῦ υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ.

2.18.7 | Indeed, Markellius, seeking refuge in the old writings, tries to gather together the teachings given to the Jewish people about not worshiping idols, but knowing and honoring only one God. There he found the teaching about the one God, which was useful and timely for the Jews who worshiped idols. And so, having taken refuge there and, as if in a stronghold, he closed himself off with a hard heart, he presented the denial of the Son of God.

# **Section 19**

2.19.1 | έπάκουσον γοῦν οἴαις κέχρηται φωναῖς, ὧδε γράφων κατὰ λέξιν (Nr. 57) τίνα τοίνυν τὸν »έγώ είμι ὁ ὢν« λέγοντα Άστέριος εἶναι οἵεται. τὸν ὑιὸν ἢ τὸν

2.19.1 | Listen to what voices are used, as he writes word for word (Nr. 57). Who then does he think is saying 'I am the one who is'? Is it the Son or the Father? For there are

πατέρα; δύο γὰρ ὑποστάσεις, είς τὴν άνθρωπίνην ἢν ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος άνείληφεν σάρκα άφορῶν καὶ δι' αὐτὴν οὕτω φανταζόμενος, πατρός τε καὶ υἰοῦ ἔφησεν εἶναι, οὕτω τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ χωρίζων τοῦ πατρός, ὡς καὶ υὶὸν άν θρώπου χωρίσειεν ἄν τις τοῦ κατὰ φύσιν πατρός.

two persons, considering the human form that the Word of God took on, and through this he is seen as both Father and Son. Thus, he separates the Son of God from the Father, just as someone would separate a son from his natural father.

2.19.2 | καὶ ἐπιλέγει ἑξῆς (Νr. 58) εί τοίνυν τὸν πατέρα χωρίζοντα ἑαυτὸν τοῦ υὶοῦ πρὸς τὸν Μωσέα ταῦτ' είρηκέναι φήσει, οὐκ εἶναι τὸν υὶὸν θεὸν ὁμολογήσει. πῶς γὰρ έγχωρεῖ τὸν λέγοντα »έγώ είμι ὁ ὢν« μὴ συνομολογεῖν ὅτι κατὰ ἀντιδιαστολὴν τοῦ μὴ ὅντος ὁ ὢν ἑαυτὸν εἶναί φησιν; εί δὲ τὸν υὶὸν ὑποστάσει διῃρημένον τοῦτο φάσκοι λέγειν τὸ »έγώ είμι ὁ ὤν«, | ταὐτὸν αὖθις περὶ τοῦ πατρὸς λέγειν νομισθήσεται· ἐκάτερον δὲ τούτων άσεβές.

2.19.2 | And he goes on to say (Nr. 58) that if he claims to separate the Father from the Son in what he says to Moses, he will not admit that the Son is God. For how can he who says 'I am the one who is' not agree that, in contrast to what is not, the one who is claims to be himself? And if he says that the Son, being a separate person, speaks the words 'I am the one who is,' it will be thought that he is saying the same thing about the Father. But each of these would be disrespectful.

2.19.3 | καὶ πάλιν ‹εν› εἶναι τὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν υὶὸν έπιδεῖξαι πειρώμενος, οὕτως γράφει (Nr. 66) αύτὸς γὰρ ὁμολογεῖ λέγων »έν έμοὶ ὁ πατὴρ κάγὼ έν τῷ πατρί«· ὅτι δὲ τοῦτο ούχ ὰπλῶς ούδὲ ἀσκόπως εἴρηκεν, δῆλον καὶ άφ' ἐτέρας άποστολικῆς ῥήσεως. »εἷς« γὰρ ὁ είπὼν »κύριος, μία πίστις, ἒν βάπτισμα« »εἶς θεὸς« ἔφη »καὶ πατήρ, ὸ έπὶ πάντων καὶ διὰ πάντων καὶ έν πᾶσιν«. ορᾶς ότι ούδ' ένταῦθα άφίσταται τῆς συμφωνίας, άλλὰ καὶ ένταῦθα τὸ αύτὸ πέπονθεν. »εἷς« γὰρ είπὼν »κύριος« αὖθις »εἷς θεὸς« ἔφη, ἵνα ἡνίκα ᾶν τοῦ ἐνὸς κυρίου μνημονεύη περιλαμβάνη καὶ τὸν πατέρα, ἡνίκα δ' ἂν περὶ τοῦ πατρὸς λέγη μη έκτὸς εἶναι τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ μαρτυρῆ.

2.19.3 | And again, trying to show that the Father and the Son are one, he writes (Nr. 66) for he himself admits, saying 'the Father is in me and I am in the Father.' That he did not say this simply or without purpose is clear from another apostolic saying: 'One Lord, one faith, one baptism,' and he said 'one God and Father, who is over all and through all and in all.' You see that he does not depart from this agreement, but here too he has experienced the same thing. For having said 'one Lord,' he again said 'one God,' so that whenever he remembers the one Lord, he also includes the Father, and whenever he speaks of the Father, he does not testify outside of the Word of God.

2.19.4 | ταῦτα Μάρκελλος είπὼν ἑξῆς πλείους συνάγει γραφὰς άπὸ τῆς παλαιᾶς διαθήκης είς άπόδειξιν τοῦ μὴ ὑπάρχειν υὶὸν τῷ θεῷ.

2.19.4 | Having said these things, Marcellus then gathers more writings from the Old Testament to prove that there is no Son with God.

2.19.5 | μονάδα γοῦν εἶναι άδιαίρετον τὸν θεὸν φάσκων, ‹τὸν› αὐτὸν εἶναι πατέρα καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι υὶὸν παρίστη, γράφων τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον (Νr. 68) τί οὖν; εί μὴ τῷ πνεύματι προσέχοντες δυνάμει άδιαίρετον τὴν μονάδα εἶναι νομίζοιμεν, ἆρα ούχ ὰμαρτησόμεθα, σαφῶς τοῦ λόγου διδάσκοντος ἡμᾶς »κύριον τὸν θεόν σου προσκυνήσεις καὶ αὐτῷ μόνῳ λατρεύσεις«.

2.19.5 | Indeed, claiming that God is an indivisible unity, he presents the same being as both Father and Son, writing in this way (Nr. 68). So then, if we do not pay attention to the Spirit and think that the unity is indivisible, will we not sin, clearly taught by the word saying, 'You shall worship the Lord your God and serve him only'?

2.19.6 | τὸ αύτὸ δὲ καὶ διὰ τοῦ κατὰ Μᾶρκον Εύαγγελίου κηρύττει· ἐνὸς γάρ τινος γραμματέως προσελθόντος αὐτῷ καὶ πυνθανομένου, τίς εἴη πρώτη τῶν ἐντολῶν, ἀπεκρίνατο πρὸς αὐτὸν οὕτως είπὼν »πάντων πρῶτον· ἄκουε, Ἰσραήλ. κύριος ὁ θεὸς ἡμῶν κύριος εἶς έστιν, καὶ ἀγαπήσεις κύριον τὸν θεόν σου έξ ὅλης τῆς ψυχῆς σου καὶ έξ ὅλης τῆς ἰσχύος σου. αὕτη πρώτη· καὶ δευτέρα ὸμοία ταύτη· ἀγαπήσεις τὸν πλησίον σου ὼς σεαυτόν.

2.19.6 | The same is also preached through the Gospel according to Mark. For when a certain scribe came to him and asked, 'What is the first of all the commandments?' he answered him saying this: 'The first of all is: Hear, O Israel. The Lord our God, the Lord is one. And you shall love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your strength. This is the first; and the second is like it: You shall love your neighbor as yourself.'

2.19.7 | μείζων τούτων άλλη έντολη ούκ έστιν. καὶ εἶπεν αὐτῷ ὁ γραμματεύς· καλῶς, διδάσκαλε, έπ' άληθείας εἶπας ὅτι εἷς έστιν ὁ θεὸς καὶ ούκ ἔστιν πλὴν αὐτοῦ«.

2.19.7 | There is no other commandment greater than these. And the scribe said to him, 'Well said, teacher, you have spoken the truth that there is one God and there is no other besides him.'

2.19.8 | άλλ' ὁ μὲν γραμματεὺς διὰ τοῦ νόμου τὴν θεοσέβειαν μεμαθηκέναι δοκῶν, έπαινῶν τὸ τοῦ σωτῆρος ῥητὸν φαίνεται

2.19.8 | But the scribe, thinking he had learned true worship through the law, praises the Savior's saying, 'Hear, O Israel,'

»ἄκουε, Ίσραήλ«, λέγοντος »κύριος ὁ θεός σου εἶς έστιν« καὶ ὅρκῳ καλῶς είρῆσθαι πιστούμενος· »ἐπ' ἀληθείας« γάρ φησιν »εἶπας ὅτι εἶς έστιν ὁ θεὸς καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ἄλλος πλὴν αὐτοῦ«. οὶ δὲ τὰ τῆς νέας διαθήκης αὐχοῦντες είδέναι μυστήρια, οὖτοι καὶ δεύτερον ἀναπλάττειν θεὸν βούλονται ὑποστάσει καὶ δυνάμει χωριζόμενον τοῦ πατρός.

when he says, 'The Lord your God is one,' and he believes it is well said with an oath: 'You have truly said that there is one God and there is no other besides him.' But those who boast of knowing the mysteries of the New Testament want to reshape God a second time, separating him in essence and power from the Father.

2.19.9 | τούτοις προστίθησιν κατασκευάζων ότι εἶς έστιν ὁ θεὸς καὶ ότι μή έστιν υἰὸς διὰ τούτων (Nr. 68) ότι δὲ τὴν μονάδα κύριον καὶ θεὸν οἶδεν καλεῖν ἡ θεία γραφή, ἤδη καὶ ἐκ τῶν προειρημένων δῆλον γέγονεν, δι' ὧν πρὸς τὸν ἑαυτοῦ θεράποντα Μωσέα ὁ θεὸς ἔφη »εἶπεν δὲ ὁ θεὸς πάλιν πρὸς Μωσέα· οὕτως έρεῖς τοῖς υἰοῖς Ίσραήλ· κύριος ὁ θεὸς τῶν πατέρων ὑμῶν, ὁ θεὸς Άβραὰμ καὶ ὁ θεὸς Ίσαὰκ καὶ ὁ θεὸς Ίακώβ, ἀπέσταλκέν με πρὸς ὑμᾶς«. ὁρᾶς ὅπως εν ἐπιδεικνὺς ἡμῖν ἐνταῦθα πρόσωπον τὸ αὐτὸ κύριον καὶ θεὸν προσαγορεύει.

2.19.9 | He adds to these, explaining that there is one God and that there is no Son because of these things. That the one Lord and God is known, has already been made clear from what has been said before. For God said to his servant Moses, 'God said again to Moses: Thus you shall say to the children of Israel: The Lord, the God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, has sent me to you.' You see how he shows us here that he calls the same Lord and God one.

2.19.10 | αὔθίς τε ὁμοίως ἡ γραφὴ λέγει »καὶ ἐλάλησεν κύριος πάντας τοὺς λόγους τούτους λέγων· έγὼ κύριος ὁ θεός σου, ὁ έξαγαγών σε ἐκ γῆς Αίγύπτου, έξ οἴκου δουλείας. οὐκ ἔσονταί σοι θεοὶ ἔτεροι πλὴν ἐμοῦ«· ἀκούεις ὅπως διὰ τῆς ἀντωνυμίας ἕνα εἶναι θεὸν μόνον ἀποφαίνεται.

2.19.10 | Again, the scripture says, 'And the Lord spoke all these words, saying: I am the Lord your God, who brought you out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage. You shall have no other gods besides me.' You hear how, through the pronoun, it shows that there is only one God.

2.19.11 | καὶ αὖθις μικρὸν ὕστερον »έγώ είμι κύριος ὁ θεός σου« φησίν, ἑαυτὸν κύριον καὶ θεὸν εἶναι λέγων. τί δὲ καὶ δι' ἐτέρας γραφῆς μανθάνομεν; »καὶ γνώση σήμερον« φησὶν »καὶ ού διαστραφήση τῆ διανοία, ὅτι κύριος ὁ θεός σου, οὖτος θεὸς

2.19.11 | And again, a little later, it says, 'I am the Lord your God,' declaring himself to be Lord and God. What else do we learn from another scripture? It says, 'And you shall know today and not be led astray in your mind, that the Lord your God, this

έν τῷ ούρανῷ ‹ἄνω› καὶ έπὶ τῆς γῆς κάτω, καὶ ούκ ἔστι πλὴν αύτοῦ«. καὶ αὖθις έν τῷ αὐτῷ Δευτερονομίῳ »ἄκουε, Ίσραήλ·« φησὶν »κύριος ὁ θεὸς ἡμῶν κύριος εἷς έστιν· καὶ άγαπήσεις κύριον τὸν θεόν σου έξ ὅλης καρδίας σου καὶ έξ ὅλης ψυχῆς σου καὶ έξ ὅλης διανοίας«.

God, is in heaven above and on the earth below, and there is no other besides him.' And again, in the same Deuteronomy, it says, 'Hear, O Israel: The Lord our God, the Lord is one. And you shall love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your soul and with all your mind.'

2.19.12 | καὶ πάλιν έν τῷ αὐτῷ »ἴδετε ἴδετε ὅτι έγώ είμι, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν θεὸς πλὴν έμοῦν έγὼ ἀποκτενῷ καὶ ζῆν ποιήσων πατάξω καὶ ἰάσομαι«. πῶς οὖν Ἀστέριος ταῖς ὰγίαις γραφαῖς ἀπλῶς καὶ έμφόβως ἀκολουθεῖν προσποιούμενος οὐκ ἔγνω τὸ μέρος τοῦτο λέγον »κύριος ὁ θεός σου, οὖτος θεὸς έν τῷ οὐρανῷ ‹ἄνω› καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς κάτω, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ἔτι πλὴν αὐτοῦ«, καὶ ὅτι »εἷς έστιν« καὶ πλὴν αὐτοῦ ἔτερος »ούκ ἔστιν«;

2.19.12 | And again in the same scripture, it says, 'See, see that I am, and there is no god besides me; I kill and I make alive; I strike and I heal.' How then does Asterius, pretending to simply and fearfully follow the holy scriptures, not understand this part, saying, 'The Lord your God, this God, is in heaven above and on the earth below, and there is no other besides him,' and that 'he is one' and besides him there is no other?

2.19.13 | καὶ μεθ΄ ἔτερα τούτοις αὖθις προστίθησιν λέγων (Nr. 68) πῶς οὖν ὁ ἱερὸς προφήτης Ἱερεμίας οὐ φανερῶς αὐτὸν ἑτεροδιδασκαλοῦντα έλέγξει; τὰ γὰρ κατὰ τὸν σωτῆρα ἡμῖν προφητεύων οὕτως ἔφη »οὖτος ὁ θεὸς ‹ἡμῶν›, οὐ λογισθήσεται ἕτερος πρὸς αὐτόν. έξεῦρεν πᾶσαν ὸδὸν έπιστήμης, καὶ ἔδωκεν Ἰακὼβ τῷ παιδὶ αὐτοῦ καὶ Ἰσραὴλ τῷ ήγαπημένῳ ὑπ΄ αὐτοῦ. μετὰ ταῦτα ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς ὤφθη, καὶ τοῖς άνθρώποις συνανεστράφη«.

2.19.13 | And with other things, he adds again, saying (Nr. 68) how then does the holy prophet Jeremiah not clearly rebuke him for teaching differently? For prophesying about the Savior, he said this: 'This God of ours will not be considered another beside him. He found every path of knowledge and gave it to Jacob, his child, and to Israel, his beloved.' After this, he was seen on the earth and lived among men.

2.19.14 | καὶ πάλιν προστίθησιν λέγων (Nr. 50) άλλ' ἔοικεν έν τῷ λόγῳ ὁ πατὴρ εἶναι, κὰν Άστερίῳ μὴ δοκῆ καὶ τοῖς τὰ αὐτὰ έκείνῳ φρονοῦσιν. δοκεῖ γὰρ τοῦτο τῷ θεσπεσίῳ προφήτῃ Ἡσαΐᾳ, τῷ δι' ἀγίου λέγοντι πνεύματος »καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν σοι, | καὶ έν σοὶ προσεύξονται· ὅτι έν σοὶ ὁ

2.19.14 | And again he adds, saying (Nr. 50) but it seems that the Father is in the word, even if it does not seem so to Asterius and those who think the same as him. For this is what the divine prophet Isaiah says, speaking through the holy spirit: 'And they will worship you, and they will pray to you;

θεός, καὶ ούκ ἔστιν ἄλλος πλήν σου. σὺ γὰρ εἶ ὁ θεός.« ὁρᾶς ὅπως πρόρριζον άνατρέπει τὴν τῶν ἐτεροδιδασκαλούντων ἕντεχνον κακουργίαν;

for in you is God, and there is no other besides you. For you are God.' Do you see how he completely overturns the clever evil of those who teach differently?

2.19.15 | καὶ αὖθις ἐπιλέγει (Nr. 67) εί δὲ καὶ βούλει καὶ ἐτέρας ἀκοῦσαι τοῦ αὐτοῦ προφητείας ἔνα ἡμῖν θεὸν βεβαιούσης, »έγὼ θεὸς« φησὶν »πρῶτος, καὶ είς τὰ ἐπερχόμενα έγώ είμι«· τὸ γὰρ έγὼ ἐνὸς προσώπου δεικτικόν έστιν. αὶ γὰρ δύο ῥήσεις ἒν ἡμῖν πρόσωπον σημαίνουσιν. »έγὼ« γὰρ είπὼν ἐπάγει καὶ τὸ »είμί«, ὤστε διὰ τῶν δύο τοῦ λόγου μερῶν ἀντωνυμίας τε καὶ ῥήματος, τὴν τῆς θεότητος μαρτυρεῖσθαι μονάδα.

2.19.15 | And again he chooses (Nr. 67) if you also want to hear other parts of the same prophecy confirming one God for us, 'I am God,' he says, 'the first, and I am here for what is to come.' For the 'I' points to one person. For the two statements signify one person to us. For by saying 'I,' he also brings in 'am,' so through the two parts of the word, the pronoun and the verb, the unity of divinity is being testified.

2.19.16 | εί δὲ δέοιτο καὶ ἐτέρας μαρτυρίας, αὖθις τὸν αὐτὸν αὐτῷ παρέξομαι προφήτην λέγοντα »έγὼ πρῶτος καὶ έγὼ μετὰ ταῦτα. καὶ πλὴν έμοῦ οὐκ ἔστιν θεός«. εί ὑποστάσει διῃρημένον τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ πατρὸς ὡς υὶὸν ἀνθρώπου Ἀστέριος εἶναι οἵεται. ἀπὸ τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης σαρκὸς ἢν δι' ἡμᾶς ἀνέλαβεν σκανδαλιζόμενος. δεικνύτω ἡμῖν τὸν ταῦτα λέγοντα·

2.19.16 | But if he should ask for other testimony, again I will provide the same prophet saying to him, 'I am the first, and I am after these things. And besides me, there is no God.' If Asterius thinks that the Son of the Father is divided in substance as the Son of Man, let him show us the one who says these things.

2.19.17 | ἐνὸς γάρ έστιν κάνταῦθα προσώπου ἡ λεγομένη ῥῆσις. τίς οὖν ἔστιν ὁ λέγων »οὐκ ἔστιν πλὴν έμοῦ θεός«; άκουέτω δὲ καὶ ἐτέρας προφητείας λεγούσης »ούκ ἔστιν πλὴν έμοῦ δίκαιος καὶ σωτήρ«. εί δύο θεοὺς εἶναι νομίζοι, άνάγκη αὐτὸν τὸν ἔτερον μὴ δίκαιον ὁμολογεῖν εἶναι μηδὲ σωτῆρα. εί δὲ οὐ δίκαιος οὐδὲ σωτήρ, πῶς ἔτι θεὸς εἶναι δύναται; ἔνα γὰρ ἀποφαίνεται δίκαιον καὶ σωτῆρα εἶναι. καὶ αὖθις »ἔμπροσθέν μου« φησὶν »ούκ έγένετο ἄλλος, καὶ μετ' έμὲ ούκ ἔσται, έγὼ

2.19.17 | For the saying mentioned is of one person. So who is the one saying, 'There is no God besides me'? Let him also hear another prophecy saying, 'There is no one righteous and savior besides me.' If he thinks there are two gods, he must admit that the other is neither righteous nor a savior. But if he is neither righteous nor a savior, how can he still be a god? For it declares that there is one who is righteous and a savior. And again, he says, 'Before me, no other was made, and after me, there will

θεός, καὶ ούκ ἔσται παρὲξ έμοῦ σώζων«.

be none. I am God, and there will be no savior besides me.'

2.19.18 | εί δὲ βούλεται καὶ ἐτέρου προφητικοῦ ἀκοῦσαι ῥητοῦ, τάχα που πρὸς αὐτὸν καὶ τοὺς ὁμοίως περὶ θεότητος αὐτῷ διακειμένους λεχθέν 〈τος〉, ἀκουέτω αὐτοῦ Ἡσαΐου λέγοντος »μετανοήσατε, οὶ πλανώμενοι, έπιστρέψατε τῆ καρδία, καὶ μνήσθητε τὰ πρότερα ἀπὸ τοῦ αίῶνος, ὅτι έγὼ ὁ θεὸς καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν πλὴν έμοῦ«.

2.19.18 | But if he wants to hear another prophetic saying, let him listen to Isaiah saying, 'Repent, you who are wandering, return to your heart, and remember the former things from before the age, for I am God, and there is no one besides me.'

2.19.19 | ούκ εἶπεν· έγὼ θεός, ἵνα καὶ διὰ τῆς τοῦ ἄρθρου προσθήκης ἔνα θεὸν ὅντα σαφῶς ἐπιδείξη. τί δὲ καὶ Ὠσηὲ ὁ προφήτης; ού καὶ αὐτὸς τὰ αὐτὰ μαρτυρεῖ, »έγὼ ἀνήγαγόν σε έξ Αίγύπτου.« λέγων »καὶ θεὸν πλὴν έμοῦ ού γνώση, καὶ σώζων ούκ ἔστιν παρὲξ έμοῦ«; αὖθις τε ὁ Μαλαχίας »ούχὶ θεὸς εἷς

2.19.19 | He did not say, 'I am God,' so that he could clearly show that there is one God through the addition of the article. And what does the prophet Hosea say? He also bears witness to the same things, saying, 'I brought you up from Egypt.' He says, 'And you will not know a god besides me, and there is no savior except me.' Again, Malachi says, 'Is there not one God?'

2.19.20 | ἕκτισεν ὑμᾶς;« φησὶν »ούχὶ πατὴρ εἶς πάντων ὑμῶν«; άλλὰ τὸν Δαυὶδ φήσει που Άστέριος μηδὲν εἰρηκέναι περὶ τούτου, καίτοι πρεσβύτατον παρὰ Μωσέα τῶν ἄλλων προφητῶν ὅντα, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο άμφιγνοεῖν, εἴτε δύο θεοὺς |ὑποστάσει διῃρημένους νομίζειν εἶναι προσήκει εἴτε καὶ μή. οὐκοῦν ἴνα μὴ τοῦτο λέγῃ, ἀκόλουθον ἡγοῦμαι καὶ αὐτὸν ἐπιδεῖξαι αὐτῷ τὰ αὐτὰ τοῖς προειρημένοις ὰγίοις λέγοντα· »ἄκουσον,« φησὶν »λαός μου, καὶ λαλήσω σοι· Ἰσραήλ, καὶ διαμαρτύρομαί σοι. ἐὰν ἀκούσῃς μου, οὐκ ἔσται ἐν σοὶ θεὸς πρόσφατος, οὐδὲ προσκυνήσεις θεῷ άλλοτρίῳ.

2.19.20 | Did he not create you?" he says, "Is there not one father of all of you?" But he seems to say that David did not mention anything about this, even though he is the oldest among the prophets after Moses. And for this reason, he is uncertain whether it is right to think there are two gods divided in substance or not. Therefore, to avoid saying this, I think it is fitting to show him the same things that the previously mentioned holy ones say, saying, 'Listen,' he says, 'my people, and I will speak to you; Israel, and I will testify to you. If you listen to me, there will not be a new god among you, nor will you worship a

# foreign god.'

2.19.21 | έγὼ γάρ είμι κύριος ὁ θεός σου«. ὁ αὑτὸν έπιδεικνὺς καὶ λέγων »έγώ είμι«. ού δῆλός έστιν ἕνα θεὸν μόνον εἶναι λέγων, τουτέστιν ἑαυτόν;

2.19.21 | "For I am the Lord your God." He shows himself and says, "I am." Is it not clear that he is saying there is only one God, that is, himself?

## **Section 20**

2.20.1 | ταῦτα πάντα Μάρκελλος καὶ ἔτι τούτων πλείονα ἐπὶ ἀρνήσει τοῦ υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ συνεφόρει, δι' ἀνεπιστημοσύνην οὐ λογισάμενος ὅτι πρὸς τὴν σκληροκαρδίαν τοῦ Ἰουδαίων λαοῦ ἡ τοιαύτη αὐτοῖς παρείχετο διδασκαλία. οὐδὲ γὰρ οἶόν τε ἦν τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον διὰ τῶν προφητῶν τοῦ θεοῦ ἀνθρώποις ἀτελέσιν τὰς φρένας έντελῆ παραδοῦναι τὸν τῆς θεοσεβείας λόγον.

2.20.1 | All these things Marcello and even more than these argued against the Son of God, not realizing because of ignorance that such teaching was given to the hard-hearted people of the Jews. For it was not possible for the Holy Spirit through the prophets of God to give complete understanding to people who were unworthy of the true teachings of piety.

2.20.2 | ούτω γοῦν αὐτοῖς καὶ θυσίας έπιτελεῖν ένομοθέτει καὶ σώματος περιτομὴν καὶ σαββάτου φυλακὴν καὶ τοιωνδὶ βρωμάτων άποχὴν καὶ καθάρσια σωμάτων σωματικάς τε εύλογίας, ναὶ μὴν καὶ γῆς ῥεούσης »γάλα καὶ μέλι« άλλ' ού βασιλείας ούρανῶν έπαγγελίας. ὁ δὲ σωτὴρ καὶ κύριος ἡμῶν έρωτηθεὶς πῶς Μωσέως προστάξαντος διδόναι βιβλίον άποστασίου καὶ ἀπολύειν τὴν ἑαυτοῦ γυναῖκα τὸν βουλόμενον αὐτὸς τάναντία διατάττοι τὸν λόγον ἀπέδωκεν καθολικὸν φήσας »Μωσῆς πρὸς τὴν σκληροκαρδίαν ὑμῶν ἔγραψεν· ἀπ' άρχῆς δὲ ού γέγονεν οὕτως«.

2.20.2 | So indeed, he commanded them to offer sacrifices, to practice circumcision of the body, to keep the Sabbath, to avoid certain foods, and to perform physical cleansings, along with physical blessings of the land, like "milk and honey," but not the promise of the kingdom of heaven. And when our Savior and Lord was asked how Moses commanded to give a certificate of divorce and to send away one's wife, he gave a different teaching, saying, "Moses wrote this because of your hard hearts; but from the beginning it was not so."

2.20.3 | ταύτὸν οὖν εἶπεν ᾶν καὶ εἴ τις ήρετο, τί δήποτε θεὸν ἔνα σέβειν παρακελευόμενοι Μωσῆς καὶ οὶ μετ' αύτὸν 2.20.3 | Therefore, he would say the same if anyone asked why Moses and the prophets with him did not teach them about the

προφῆται ούκ έδίδασκον αύτοὺς τὴν περὶ τοῦ υἱοῦ γνῶσιν· ού γὰρ οἷοί τε ἦσαν οἱ συνεχῶς ἀπαγόμενοι τῇ πολυθέῳ πλάνῃ τὴν εὐαγγελικὴν παραδέχεσθαι χάριν. | διὸ πρὸς τὴν σκληροκαρδίαν αύτῶν τὴν περὶ ἐνὸς θεοῦ διδασκαλίαν ἐποιοῦντο, τέως παιδαγωγοῦντες αύτοὺς διὰ τοῦ ἀποτρέπειν μὲν τοῦ πολλοὺς εἶναι νομίζειν θεοὺς ἐπάγειν δὲ ἐπὶ τὴν είσαγωγὴν τοῦ ὲνός.

knowledge of the Son, while urging them to worship one God. For they were not able to accept the grace of the Gospel, being led away by the many gods. So, because of their hard hearts, they taught about one God, first guiding them by preventing them from thinking there were many gods and then leading them to the understanding of the one.

2.20.4 | ούτω δὲ Μωσῆς άτελῆ τὴν διδασκαλίαν τοῖς κατ' αύτὸν παρεδίδου διὰ τὸ άτελὲς αύτῶν τῆς φρονήσεως, ὡς μηδεμίαν αύτὸν μνήμην ποιήσασθαι άγγέλων κτίσεως έν τῷ τῆς κοσμοποιίας λόγῳ, καίτοι τῶν μετὰ ταῦτα προφητῶν ού μόνον άγγέλων μνημονευσάντων, άλλὰ καὶ θείων δυνάμεων καὶ πνευμάτων ὰγίων τῶν τε ὑπερουρανίων θεοῦ λειτουργῶν, περὶ ὧν ὁ Δανιὴλ διδάσκων ἔλεγεν »χίλιαι χιλιάδες έλειτούργουν αύτῷ, καὶ μύριαι μυριάδες παρειστήκεισαν ἕμπροσθεν αύτοῦ«.

2.20.4 | Thus, Moses delivered an incomplete teaching to those under him because of their limited understanding, so that he did not make any mention of the creation of angels in his account of the creation of the world. However, the later prophets not only remembered angels but also spoke of divine powers and holy spirits that serve the God of the heavens. About these, Daniel taught, saying, "Thousands upon thousands served him, and myriads upon myriads stood before him."

2.20.5 | άλλὰ γὰρ τούτων ἀπάντων σιωπῆ παρέδωκε τοὺς λόγους Μωσῆς καίπερ οὐκ ὢν αὐτῶν ἐν άγνοίᾳ, πάντων δὲ τὴν ἀκριβῆ γνῶσιν προειληφὼς τῷ θείῳ πνεύματιπλὴν ούδαμῶς αὐτῶν ἐν τῆ κοσμοποιίᾳ ὡς πρὸς τοῦ θεοῦ γενομένων ἐποιήσατο μνήμην.

2.20.5 | But indeed, he delivered the words of Moses in silence about all these things, even though he was not ignorant of them, having received the precise knowledge of all things from the divine spirit. However, he made no mention of them in the account of creation as related to God.

2.20.6 | καὶ τούτου τὴν αίτίαν ούδ' ἐτέραν εἴποι ἄν τις ἢ τὴν σκληροκαρδίαν τοῦ λαοῦ γεγενῆσθαι, ὤσπερ οὖν αύτὸς ἐδίδαξεν ὁ σωτήρ. καὶ ἄλλα δὲ μυρία εἶναι ἐν τῇ τοῦ παντὸς συστάσει μάθοις ἄν ἐκ τῶν τῆς καινῆς διαθήκης ἀναγνωσμάτων ούδ' ὅλως

2.20.6 | And no one could say any other reason for this except for the hardness of the people's hearts, just as the Savior himself taught. There are also many other things in the structure of the universe that one could learn from the readings of the

έν τῆ Μωσέως φερόμενα γραφῆ· ούκ οὖν έμνημόνευσεν άρχῶν ούδ' έξουσιῶν ούδὲ κοσμοκρατόρων ούδὲ πνευματικῶν »τῆς πονηρίας«, πρὸς ἃ τὴν πάλην ἡμῖν συνεστάναι φησὶν ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος.

New Testament, which are not at all mentioned in the writings of Moses. Therefore, he did not mention rulers, authorities, or world powers, nor spiritual forces of "evil," against which the divine apostle says we struggle.

2.20.7 | τί οὖν; έπεὶ μήτε παρὰ Μωσεῖ μήτε παρὰ τοῖς λοιποῖς προφήταις σαφῶς έστιν εὑρεῖν τοὺς περὶ τούτων λόγους, ἀπιστητέον τῷ ἀποστόλῳ; ἢ έπειδὰν τοῦ τῶν κρειττόνων τάγματος ὁ αὐτὸς πάλιν μνημονεύῃ λέγων τὸν Χριστὸν »έν δεξιᾳ« τοῦ θεοῦ καθέζεσθαι »ὑπεράνω πάσης ἀρχῆς καὶ έξουσίας καὶ δυνάμεως καὶ κυριότητος καὶ παντὸς όνόματος όνομαζομένου οὐ μόνον έν τῷ αίῶνι τούτῳ άλλὰ καὶ έν τῷ μέλλοντι«,

2.20.7 | What then? Since neither in Moses nor in the other prophets can one clearly find words about these things, should we disbelieve the apostle? Or when he again mentions the rank of the greater ones, saying that Christ "sits at the right hand" of God "above all rule and authority and power and dominion and every name that is named, not only in this age but also in the one to come,"

2.20.8 | καὶ αὖθις έπειδὰν όνομάζη θρόνους καὶ κυριότητας καὶ άρχὰς καὶ έξουσίας λέγων »έν αύτῷ γὰρ έκτίσθη τὰ πάντα τὰ έν τοῖς ούρανοῖς καὶ τὰ έπὶ τῆς γῆς, τὰ ορατά καὶ τὰ άόρατα, εἵτε θρόνοι εἵτε κυριότητες εἵτε άρχαὶ εἵτε έξουσίαι«, άρ' ού προσήκει ταῦτα παραδέχεσθαι διὰ τὸ μήτε Μωσέα μήτε τοὺς λοιποὺς προφήτας περὶ τούτων προειληφέναι; ή ταύτὸν καὶ περὶ τούτων έροῦμεν, ὼς ούχ οἷοί τε ἦσαν τοὺς περί τούτων πιστεύεσθαι λόγους οί »σκληροτράχηλοι καὶ άπερίτμητοι τῆ καρδία καὶ τοῖς ώσίν«; οἶς ούδὲ περὶ τῆς **ἄνω Ἱερουσαλὴμ άνεκάλυπτον οὶ τοῦ θεοῦ** προφῆται, ὤσπερ οὖν τῆ έκκλησία Χριστοῦ διασαφῶν καὶ τοὺς περὶ ταύτης λόγους ὁ Παῦλος έβόα λέγων »ἡ δὲ ἄνω Ίερουσαλἡμ έλευθέρα έστίν, ήτις έστιν μήτηρ ἡμῶν«, καὶ »προσεληλύθατε Σιὼν ὅρει καὶ πόλει θεοῦ ζῶντος, Ἱερουσαλὴμ έπουρανίω, καὶ μυριάσιν άγγέλων, πανηγύρει καὶ έκκλησία

2.20.8 | And again, when he names thrones and dominions and authorities and powers, saying, "For in him all things were created in the heavens and on the earth, the visible and the invisible, whether thrones or dominions or authorities or powers," should we not accept these things because neither Moses nor the other prophets spoke about them? Or should we say the same about these things, that those who were "stiff-necked and uncircumcised in heart and ears" were not able to believe the words about these matters? To them, even the prophets of God did not reveal anything about the heavenly Jerusalem. Just as Paul, explaining to the church of Christ, cried out about those things, saying, "But the Jerusalem above is free, which is our mother," and "You have come to Mount Zion and to the city of the living God, the heavenly Jerusalem, and to countless

πρωτοτόκων άπογεγραμμένων έν ούρανοῖς«.

angels, to the festival gathering and to the church of the firstborn who are registered in heaven."

2.20.9 | λεγέτω πρὸς ταῦτα Μάρκελλος, εί οἶός τέ έστιν έκ τῶν παλαιῶν γραμμάτων τὴν τούτων προφέρειν διδασκαλίαν.

2.20.9 | Let Marcello say about these things, if he is able to bring forth the teaching from the ancient writings.

2.20.10 | εί δὲ μὴ εὑρίσκοι, τὴν αἰτίαν ἀποδιδότω δι' ἢν σεσιώπητο τοῖς τοῦ θεοῦ προφήταις ταῦτά τε πάντα καὶ ἄλλα τούτοις άδελφὰ μυρία, περὶ ὧν ὁ αὐτὸς ἀπόστολος ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν έδεῖτο τοῦ θεοῦ, »ἴνα έξισχύσωμεν καταλαβέσθαι σὺν πᾶσιν τοῖς ὰγίοις τί τὸ πλάτος καὶ μῆκος καὶ βάθος καὶ ὕψος, γνῶναί τε τὴν ὑπερβάλλουσαν τῆς γνώσεως ἀγάπην τοῦ θεοῦ«.

2.20.10 | But if he does not find it, let him give the reason why the prophets of God were silent about all these things and many other related matters, for which the same apostle prayed to God on our behalf, "That we may be able to grasp with all the saints what is the width and length and depth and height, and to know the love of God that surpasses knowledge."

2.20.11 | καὶ αύτὸς δὲ ὁ σωτὴρ ἡμῶν πλεῖστα ὅσα μυστήρια περὶ τῆς βασιλείας τῶν ούρανῶν περί τε τῆς συντελείας τοῦ παντὸς περί τε τῶν ἐπαγγελιῶν ποτὲ μὲν διὰ παραβολῶν ποτὲ δὲ καὶ ἐν ἀπορρήτοις ἐξέφαινεν τοῖς αὐτοῦ μαθηταῖς, πρὸς οὺς ἔλεγεν »ὑμῖν δέδοται γνῶναι τὰ μυστήρια τοῦ θεοῦ«. ὧν οὐκ ἀν εὕροι τις τὰ ἴσα παρὰ Μωσεῖ ἡ παρὰ τοῖς λοιποῖς προφήταις.

2.20.11 | And our Savior revealed many mysteries about the kingdom of heaven, about the completion of all things, and about the promises, sometimes through parables and sometimes in secrets to his disciples, to whom he said, "It has been given to you to know the mysteries of God." No one would find the same things from Moses or the other prophets.

2.20.12 | τί οὖν; έπεὶ μὴ τῷ Ἰουδαίων λαῷ παρεδόθη ταῦτα, είς έπιτήδειον καιρὸν φυλαττόμενα τῇ έκκλησίᾳ Χριστοῦ, παρὰ τοῦτο χρῆν ἡμᾶς τὴν δωρηθεῖσαν ἡμῖν χάριν άθετεῖν ἢ μειζόνως εύχαριστεῖν τῷ τῆς τοσαύτης ἡμᾶς καταξιώσαντι γνώσεως; κατὰ τὸν αύτὸν οὖν τρόπον καὶ τὰς περὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ διδασκαλίας έκ τῆς αὐτοῦ καινῆς διαθήκης προσήκοι ἀν

2.20.12 | So what? Since these things were not given to the Jewish people, but were kept for the church of Christ for a suitable time, should we reject the grace that has been given to us or should we be even more thankful to the one who has made us worthy of such knowledge? In the same way, we should also receive the teachings about the Son from his new covenant,

άπολαμβάνειν, ούδὲν ξενιζομένους εί μὴ τῷ σκληροκαρδίῳ λαῷ τὴν περὶ αύτοῦ γνῶσιν άνεκάλυπτον οὶ τοῦ θεοῦ ἄνθρωποι, είς καιρὸν εὔκαιρον τὸν περὶ αύτοῦ ταμιευόμενοι λόγον.

without being surprised if the knowledge about him was not revealed to the hardhearted people, while the servants of God kept the words about him for a timely moment.

2.20.13 | ού γὰρ ἐδόκει ἐπιτήδειον εἶναι τὸ τηνικαῦτα ὑποσυρομένοις ἀνδράσιν ἐπὶ τὴν πολύθεον πλάνην παραδιδόναι τὸ περὶ πατρὸς καὶ υἰοῦ μυστήριον, οἷς ούδὲ τοὺς περὶ τῶν ὑποβεβηκυιῶν δυνάμεων έξεκάλυπτον λόγους, ὡς ἂν μὴ προφάσει ταύτῃ ἐπὶ τὴν Ἑλληνικὴν καταπέσοιεν πολυθεἵαν, οἳ πατέρα »ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε« τὸν παρ' αὐτοῖς ἀνεπλάσαντο θεόν.

2.20.13 | For it did not seem suitable at that time to reveal the mystery about the Father and the Son to men who were being led into the many-god error, to whom even the words about the fallen powers were not revealed, so that they would not use this as an excuse to fall into Greek polytheism, which made a god from their own father "of men and gods."

2.20.14 | διόπερ τῆς τοιαύτης αὐτοὺς άναστέλλων πλάνης ὁ λόγος τὸν ἔνα θεὸν έκήρυττεν, ού μὴν πατέρα εἶναι τὸν αὐτὸν ήρνεῖτο· καὶ τὸν άληθῆ δὲ σέβειν έδίδασκεν καὶ πλὴν αὐτοῦ μηδένα είδέναι παρήνει, ού μὴν ήρνεῖτο εἶναι αὐτὸν πατέρα. | εί δὲ καὶ κύριον καὶ θεὸν αὐτὸν καὶ δίκαιον καὶ σωτῆρα άπεκάλει, άλλ' οὐκ άπέκλειεν τοῦ καὶ πατέρα εἶναι αὐτὸν ἡγεῖσθαι τοῦ μονογενοῦς αὐτοῦ καὶ άγαπητοῦ υὶοῦ εἴτ' οὖν ὁ πατὴρ λέγοι εἴθ' ὁ υὶὸς τὸ »έγώ είμι ὁ ἄν«,

2.20.14 | Therefore, the word, raising them from such a delusion, proclaimed the one God, yet it did not deny that he was the same as the Father. It taught to truly honor the one God and advised that no one else should be known besides him, yet it did not deny that he was the Father. If he also called himself Lord and God, just and Savior, he did not exclude being understood as the Father of his only and beloved Son, whether the Father said it or the Son said, "I am the one who is."

2.20.15 | άληθεύοι ἂν ἐκατέρως ὁ λόγος. ὅ τε γὰρ πατὴρ »ὁ ὢν« εἵη ἄν, μόνος αὐτὸς ῶν »έπὶ πάντων, καὶ διὰ πάντων, καὶ έν πᾶσιν θεὸς« ὡς ὁ θεῖος ἐδίδαξεν ἀπόστολος. ὅ τε υὶὸς καὶ αὐτὸς χρηματίζων »ὸ ὢν« άληθεύοι ἄν, μόνος ὢν υὶὸς μονογενὴς τοῦ ὅντος· άλλὰ καὶ είκὼν τοῦ ἀοράτου θεοῦ ὑπάρχων κατὰ τοῦτο εἵη ἂν αὐτοῦ είκών, καθ' ὂ μόνος ἦν αὐτὸς »ὸ ὤν«· διὸ καὶ αὐτὸς χρηματίζοι ἂν »ὸ ὤν«, έπεὶ

2.20.15 | The word would be true in both ways. For the Father would be "the one who is," being the only one "above all, and through all, and in all," as the divine apostle taught. The Son, also calling himself "the one who is," would be true, being the only Son of the One. But he would also be the image of the invisible God, and in this way, he would be his likeness, as he alone was "the one who is." Therefore, he would also

καὶ θεὸς καὶ κύριος ὁμοίως τῷ πατρὶ καὶ αύτὸς διὰ πάσης άνηγόρευται τῆς θεοπνεύστου γραφῆς.

call himself "the one who is," since he is both God and Lord, just like the Father, and he is proclaimed throughout all the inspired scripture.

## Section 21

2.21.1 | τοῦτο δέ έστιν καὶ έκ τοῦ πρὸς τὸν Μωσέα χρηματισμοῦ γνῶναι λέγει δ' οὖν ἡ γραφή »έλάλησεν δὲ ὁ θεὸς πρὸς Μωσῆν καὶ είπεν πρὸς αὐτόν· έγὼ κύριος· καὶ ὤφθην πρὸς Άβραὰμ καὶ πρὸς Ίσσὰκ καὶ πρὸς Ἰακώβ, θεὸς ὢν αύτῶν«. ὁρᾶς ὅπως ώφθαι ὲαυτὸν τοῖς πατράσιν ἔφη. πότε δὲ *ἄφθη, πάλιν ἡ γραφὴ μαρτυρεῖ λέγουσα* »ὤφθη δὲ κύριος ὁ θεὸς τῷ Άβραὰμ πρὸς τῆ δρυἳ τῆ Μαμβρῆ, καθημένου αύτοῦ πρὸς τῆ θύρα«. πῶς δὲ ὤφθη ἄλλ' έν σχήματι άνθρωπίνω; τίνα δὲ χρῆν πιστεύειν εἶναι τοῦτον ή τὸν υἱὸν τοῦ θεοῦ; ὁ δὴ καὶ αύτὸς έν Εύαγγελίοις παρίστη λέγων πρὸς τοὺς Ίουδαίους »Άβραὰμ ὁ πατὴρ ὑμῶν ήγαλλιάσατο ίνα ίδη την ημέραν την έμην, καὶ εἶδεν καὶ έχάρης«·

2.21.1 | This is also known from the message to Moses, for the scripture says, "And God spoke to Moses and said to him: I am the Lord; and I appeared to Abraham and to Isaac and to Jacob, being their God." You see how he said he appeared to the fathers. When did he appear? The scripture again testifies, saying, "And the Lord God appeared to Abraham by the oak of Mamre, as he sat by the door." But how did he appear? In the form of a man? Who should be believed to be this one, or the Son of God? This is what he himself presents in the Gospels, saying to the Jews, "Abraham, your father, rejoiced to see my day, and he saw it and was glad."

2.21.2 | ὅτε καὶ θαυμασάντων ἐπὶ τῷ λόγῳ προσέθηκεν τὸ »πρὶν Ἁβραὰμ γενέσθαι ἐγώ είμιι«, τὴν προΰπαρξιν ἑαυτοῦ παριστὰς λευκότατα. τί δὴ οὖν συνίστησιν ὁ λόγος ἢ αὐτὸν εἶναι τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν χρηματίσαντα τῷ Μωσεῖ καὶ είρηκότα »ἐγώ είμι ὁ ὤν«; αὐτὸς γὰρ τῷ Ἁβραὰμ ὧφθαι ἑαυτὸν ἑδίδαξεν. ὅπως δὲ ἦν αὐτὸς »ὸ ὤν«, εἴρηται.

2.21.2 | When they were amazed at his words, he added, "Before Abraham was born, I am," clearly showing his pre-existence. So what does the word show? That he is the Son of God, who spoke to Moses and said, "I am the one who is"? For he taught that he appeared to Abraham. It is said how he was "the one who is."

2.21.3 | καὶ ὁ μέγας δὲ ἀπόστολος Παῦλος οἶδεν τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ μεσίτην γενόμενον τῆς διὰ Μωσέως νομοθεσίας, έν οἷς διδάσκει λέγων »ὸ νόμος διαταγεὶς δι'

2.21.3 | And the great apostle Paul knew that the Son of God became a mediator of the law given through Moses, in which he teaches, saying, "The law was given

άγγέλων, έν χειρὶ μεσίτου. ὁ δὲ μεσίτης ἐνὸς ούκ ἔστιν«. | ούκοῦν ὁ χρηματίσας τῷ Μωσεῖ ὁ μεσίτης ἦν, έξ έκείνου μεσιτεύων τῆ τῶν ἀνθρώπων σωτηρία, πρὶν ἢ τὴν σάρκα ἀναλαβεῖν. through angels, by the hand of a mediator. But the mediator is not of one." Therefore, the one who spoke to Moses was the mediator, interceding for the salvation of people before he took on flesh.

2.21.4 | τοῦτον δὲ εἶναι Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν ὁ αὐτὸς ἀπόστολος παρέστησε φήσας »εἶς θεός, εἶς καὶ μεσίτης θεοῦ καὶ ἀνθρώπων, ἄνθρωπος Ἰησοῦς Χριστός«. εἴτ' οὖν έξ αὐτοῦ  $\langle$ τοῦ $\rangle$  προσώπου λέγοιτο παρὰ Μωσεῖ τὸ »έγώ είμι ὁ ἄν«, εἴθ' ὁ πατὴρ ἦν ὁ δι' αὐτοῦ ταύτην προϊέμενος τὴν φωνήν, ἑκατέρως άληθεύοι ἀν ὁ λόγος.

2.21.4 | The same apostle presented that this is Jesus Christ, saying, "There is one God, and one mediator between God and men, the man Jesus Christ." So whether it was from his presence that Moses heard "I am the one who is," or if the Father was the one who sent this voice through him, both statements would be true.

2.21.5 | μὴ δὴ οὖν ἀπορείτω Μάρκελλος συλλογισμῷ χρώμενος, ὼς οἵεται, ἀφύκτῳ, δι' οὖ φησὶν (Nr. 57) τίνα τοίνυν τὸν »έγώ είμι ὁ ὢν« λέγοντα Άστέριος εἶναι οἵεται, τὸν υὶὸν ἢ τὸν πατέρα; εἶθ' ἐξῆς συνάγων, ὡς εί μὲν ὁ πατὴρ εἵη ὁ ὤν, οὐκέτ' ἔσται ὁ υὶὸς θεός· (Nr. 58) ὅτι κατὰ ἀντιδιαστολὴν τοῦ μὴ ὅντος ὁ ὢν ἐαυτὸν εἶναί φησιν. εί δὲ τὸν υὶὸν ὑποστάσει διῃρημένον τοῦτο φάσκοι λέγειν τὸ »έγώ είμι ὁ ὤν«, ταύτὸν αὖθις περὶ τοῦ πατρὸς λέγειν νομισθήσεται· ἐκάτερον δὲ τούτων άσεβές.

2.21.5 | Therefore, let not Marcellus be troubled, thinking, as he does, that it is impossible to know who is saying "I am the one who is." Does he think it is the Son or the Father? Then he goes on to argue that if the Father is the one who is, the Son will no longer be God. He claims that the one who is says he is himself in contrast to the one who is not. But if he says that the Son, being a separate being, speaks "I am the one who is," it will also be thought that he is saying the same about the Father. Yet both of these ideas are impious.

2.21.6 | ταῦτα δὲ λέγων [ὁ] αὐτὸς ἑκατέρῳ περιπέπτωκεν ⟨τῷ⟩ ἀτόπῳ, ἔνα μὲν ὁριζόμενος εἶναι τὸν ὅντα, θάτερον δὲ άρνούμενος. καὶ τίνα τοῦτον αὐτὸς ᾶν είδείη. ἢ γὰρ τὸν πατέρα δοὺς τὸν υὶὸν άρνήσεται, ἢ τὸν υὶὸν μόνον παραδεξάμενος άθετήσει τὸν πατέρα· μᾶλλον δὲ οὔτε πατέρα οὔτε υὶὸν είδὼς έλεγχθήσεται, ἕνα μόνον διδοὺς τὸν δ΄

2.21.6 | In saying these things, he has fallen into a contradiction. He defines the one who is as one, while denying another. And who would he know this to be? For if he claims the Father gives the Son, he will deny the Father, or if he only accepts the Son, he will reject the Father. More likely, he will be shown not to know either the Father or the Son, giving one and pushing the other away. And if he hears God saying,

ἔτερον έκποδὼν μεθιστάς. κᾶν άκούῃ δὲ λέγοντος τοῦ θεοῦ »έγὼ κύριος ὁ θεός σου, ὁ έξαγαγών σε έκ γῆς Αίγύπτου, έξ οἴκου δουλείας.

"I am the Lord your God, who brought you out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of slavery,"

2.21.7 | ούκ ἔσονταί σοι θεοὶ ἔτεροι πλὴν έμοῦ«, πάλιν κάνταῦθα μὴ ταραττέσθω τὴν ψυχὴν άλλὰ καὶ τῶν ἑξῆς συνημμένων άκουέτω· είπὼν γὰρ » ούκ ἔσονταί σοι θεοὶ ἔτεροι πλὴν έμοῦ«, ἐπήγαγεν »ού ποιήσεις σεαυτῷ εἴδωλον ούδὲ παντὸς ὸμοίωμα, ὅσα έν τῷ ούρανῷ ἄνω καὶ ὅσα έν τῆ γῆ κάτω καὶ ὅσα έν τοῖς ὕδασιν ὑποκάτω τῆς γῆς. ού προσκυνήσεις αύτοῖς ούδὲ μὴ λατρεύσεις αύτοῖς・ ἐγὼ γάρ είμι κύριος ὁ θεός σου, κύριος ζηλωτής«.

2.21.7 | You shall have no other gods besides me." Again, let not the soul be troubled here, but let it also listen to what follows. For after saying, "You shall have no other gods besides me," he added, "You shall not make for yourself an idol or any likeness of anything that is in heaven above, or on the earth below, or in the waters beneath the earth. You shall not bow down to them or serve them; for I am the Lord your God, a jealous God.

2.21.8 | ὁρᾶς ὅπως διεστέλλετο μὴ τῆ τῶν έθνῶν πολυθέω πλάνη συναπάγεσθαι, μόνον δὲ αὐτὸν είδέναι θεὸν καὶ κύριον. τίς δ' ἦν οὖτος; ὁ υὶὸς τὴν είκόνα τοῦ πατρὸς ἔχων έν ἑαυτῷ έξ αὐθεντείας τε αὐτοῦ τοῖς είδωλολατρείαν νοσοῦσιν ταῦτα διαταττόμενος. ὡς γὰρ »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο«, τοῦ πατρὸς διὰ τοῦ σωτῆρος τὴν τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων οὐσίαν ὑποστησαμένου, | οὕτως αὐτὸς ἦν ὁ πατὴρ τὴν είς αὐτὸν γνῶσίν τε καὶ εὐσέβειαν διὰ μεσίτου τοῦ υὶοῦ παραδιδοὺς ἀνθρώποις.

2.21.8 | Do you see how he instructed not to be led astray by the many gods of the nations, but to know only him as God and Lord? Who was this? The Son, having the image of the Father within himself, was commanding these things to those who suffer from idol worship. For "all things were made through him," as the Father, through the Savior, upheld the essence of all created beings. Thus, the Father was giving knowledge and reverence of himself to people through the mediation of the Son.

2.21.9 | τοῦτο δὲ καὶ ὁ ἀπόστολος αὐτοῖς ρήμασιν Γαλάταις γράφων έδίδασκεν, δι' ὧν ἔφη »ὁ νόμος διαταγεὶς δι' άγγέλων, έν χειρὶ μεσίτου. ὁ δὲ μεσίτης ἑνὸς οὐκ ἔστιν, ὁ δὲ θεὸς εἶς έστιν«.

2.21.9 | This is what the apostle also taught them when he wrote to the Galatians, saying, "The law was given through angels, by the hand of a mediator. But the mediator is not of one, and God is one."

2.21.10 | κᾶν λέγη »ἴδετε ἴδετε ὅτι έγώ είμι. καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν θεὸς πλὴν έμοῦ«, πάλιν ὸ

2.21.10 | And if he says, "See, see that I am. And there is no god besides me," again the

πατήρ ἦν ὼς διὰ είκόνος καὶ μεσίτου διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ τοῦτο φάσκων. εί γὰρ δὴ λέγοντος μὲν Ἡσαΐου τοῦ προφήτου »υὶοὺς έγέννησα καὶ ὕψωσα« καὶ πάλιν »Ίσραὴλ δέ με ούκ ἔγνω, καὶ ὁ λαός με ού συνῆκεν« καὶ αὖθις έγὼ πᾶσιν τοῖς ἄστροις ένετειλάμην, καὶ τῆ χειρί μου έστερέωσα τὸν ούρανὸν« καὶ ὄσα ἄλλα τοιαῦτα, ού τὸν Ήσαΐαν ταῦτα λέγειν φήσομεν, δι' αύτοῦ δὲ τὸν έν αύτῷ λαλοῦντα θεόν, ούχὶ δὴ καὶ έπὶ τοῦ μονογενοῦς υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ πρέποι ἂν τὸν πατέρα δι' αύτοῦ χρῆναι ταῦτα διαμαρτύρασθαι τοῖς τῶν τοιῶνδε παραγγελμάτων δεομένοις; είδωλολάτραι δ' ἦσαν οὖτοι, ὼς ἡ αύτὴ διδάσκει γραφὴ λέγουσα καὶ »εἶπεν κύριος∙ ποῦ είσὶν οὶ θεοὶ αύτῶν, έφ' οἶς έπεποίθεισαν έπ' αύτοῖς: ὧν τὸ στέαρ τῶν θυσιῶν αύτῶν ήσθίετε, καὶ έπίνετε τὸν οἶνον τῶν σπονδῶν αύτῶν· άναστήτωσαν καὶ βοηθησάτωσαν ὑμῖν. καὶ γενηθήτωσαν ύμῶν σκεπασταί«. τούτοις γὰρ συνῆπται τὸ »ἴδετε ἴδετε ὅτι έγώ είμι, καὶ ούκ ἔστιν θεὸς πλὴν έμοῦ«.

Father was speaking this through the image and mediator, the Son. For when the prophet Isaiah said, "I have begotten and raised sons," and again, "Israel does not know me, and my people do not understand," and again, "I commanded all the stars, and with my hand I established the heavens," we should not say that Isaiah is speaking these things, but rather the God who is speaking through him. Shouldn't the Father, through the only-begotten Son of God, testify to those who are asking for such commands? But these were idol worshipers, as the same scripture teaches, saying, "The Lord said: Where are their gods, in whom they trusted? They ate the fat of their sacrifices and drank the wine of their offerings; let them rise up and help you. And let them be your shelter." For this is connected to the saying, "See, see that I am, and there is no god besides me."

## Section 22

2.22.1 | κὰν μυριάκις τοίνυν διὰ τοῦ προφήτου βοῶν φάσκη »πλὴν έμοῦ οὐκ ἔστιν θεὸς« καὶ »πλὴν έμοῦ οὐκ ἔστιν δίκαιος καὶ σωτὴρ« καὶ »θεὸν πλὴν έμοῦ οὐ γνώση, καὶ σώζων οὐκ ἔστιν πάρεξ έμοῦ« καὶ ὅσα ἄλλα τούτοις άδελφὰ έν τοῖς λοιποῖς φέρεται προφήταις. θεὸς ἦν καὶ έξ έκείνου »έν Χριστῷ κόσμον καταλλάσσων ἑαυτῷ«. καὶ αὐτὸς ἦν ὁ πατὴρ ταῦτα διὰ τοῦ μονογενοῦς υὶοῦ, οἷα δι' ἐρμηνέως, άνθρώποις ὑποφθεγγόμενος. ὁ δὴ καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ υὶὸς έν Εὐαγγελίοις παρεδίδου, ἔνα μόνον είδέναι διδάσκων θεόν, έν οἷς ἔλεγεν »αὕτη δέ έστιν ἡ αίώ|νιος ζωή, ἵνα γινώσκωσίν σε τὸν μόνον άληθινὸν θεὸν

2.22.1 | And even if a thousand times through the prophet he cries out, "There is no god besides me," and "There is no righteous and savior besides me," and "You will not know a god besides me, and there is no savior except me," and all other similar statements are made by the other prophets, God was also the one "reconciling the world to himself in Christ." And it was the Father who was speaking these things through the only-begotten Son, as if through an interpreter, speaking to people. The Son himself also handed down in the Gospels, teaching that there is only one true God, in which he said, "And this is eternal

καὶ ὂν άπέστειλας Ίησοῦν Χριστόν«.

life, that they may know you, the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom you have sent."

2.22.2 | αὐτὸς οὖν ὁ άληθινὸς ἦν θεός, ὁ εἶς μόνος καὶ ἔτερος οὐκ ἔστιν πλὴν αὐτοῦ, ὁ μὴ μόνον διὰ τῶν προφητῶν άλλὰ διὰ τοῦ ίδίου υἰοῦ ταῦτα κατ' ἐκεῖνο καιροῦ είδωλολατρεύοντι τῷ Ίουδαίων ἐγκελευόμενος ἔθνει. αὐτίκα δ' οὖν ὁ Ἡσαΐας (μᾶλλον δὲ ὁ θεὸς δι' αὐτοῦ) είπὼν »πλὴν ἑμοῦ οὐκ ἔστιν θεός. τίς ὤσπερ έγώ;« καὶ ἑξῆς »μάρτυρες ὑμεῖς ἐστε εί ἔστιν θεὸς πλὴν ἑμοῦ«, ἐπήγαγεν τὸ »καὶ οὐκ ἦσαν τότε. οὶ πλάσσοντες καὶ οἱ γλύφοντες πάντες μάταιοι, ποιοῦντες τὰ καταθύμια αὐτῶν«.

2.22.2 | Therefore, the true God was the one, and there is no other besides him, who not only through the prophets but also through his own Son was commanding the idol-worshiping nation of the Jews at that time. Immediately, Isaiah (more accurately, God through him) said, "There is no god besides me. Who is like me?" And next, "You are my witnesses if there is a god besides me," he brought in the saying, "And there were none then. All who make idols and carve them are worthless, making their own worthless things."

2.22.3 | καὶ δι' ὅλης δὲ τῆς αὐτοῦ προφητείας εὕροις ἂν κοπτόμενα τὰ ἄψυχα ξόανα, καὶ παρ' ἐκάστω δὲ προφήτῃ ὁμοίως είς ἀποτροπὴν τῆς πολυθέου πλάνης τὸν ἔνα θεὸν κηρυττόμενον εὑρήσεις. οὕτω καὶ ἡμεῖς είωθαμεν Ἑλλήνων τοῖς δεισιδαίμοσιν έν τοῖς πρὸς αὐτοὺς διαλόγοις τὴν μὲν περὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ διδασκαλίαν είς εὕκαιρον ταμιεύεσθαι, τέως δὲ αὐτοῖς τῆς είδωλολάτρου πλάνης έλέγχους προσφέρειν καὶ τὸν ἕνα εἶναι θεὸν ἀποδεικτικοῖς κατασκευάζειν λόγοις.

2.22.3 | And throughout all his prophecy, you would find lifeless idols being cut down, and in each prophet, you will similarly find the one God being proclaimed to turn people away from the error of many gods. Thus, we have been accustomed to present the teaching about Christ to the superstitious Greeks in our discussions with them, first offering proofs against their idol-worshiping error and then building arguments to show that there is only one God.

2.22.4 | μανθανέτω τοίνυν Μάρκελλος, εί καταγηράσας έν έπισκοπῆ τῆς Χριστοῦ έκκλησίας οὔπω καὶ νῦν μεμάθηκεν, ὅτι τῷ παλαιῷ λαῷ ἡ τοῦ ἀποκεκρυμμένου μυστηρίου περὶ τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ γνῶσις κατάλληλος οὐδαμῶς ἦν, όλισθηρῶς ἔχοντι πρὸς είδωλολατρείαν, καὶ ὡς μόνη τῆ αὐτοῦ ἐκκλησίᾳ »τὸ μυστήριον τὸ ἀποκεκρυμμένον ἀπὸ τῶν αίώνων καὶ ἀπὸ

2.22.4 | Let Marcello learn, then, that if he has grown old in the leadership of the church of Christ and has not yet learned that knowledge of the hidden mystery about the Son of God was never suitable for the old people, who were slipping toward idol-worship. And that this mystery, "the hidden mystery from the ages and from generations," was kept safe only in his

τῶν γενεῶν« διὰ τῆς αύτοῦ χάριτος έταμιεύετο, έν ῷ μυστηρίῳ ὁ περὶ τῆς ὰγίας τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υὶοῦ καὶ ὰγίου πνεύματος περιείχετο λόγος.

church through his grace, in which the teaching about the holy Trinity of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit was contained.

2.22.5 | ὁ δὲ τοσαῦτα συναγαγὼν ὅσα ἀν εἴποι καὶ Ἰουδαίων διδάσκαλος περὶ τῆς ἐκτομῆς ὁμιλῶν ἐν Ἰουδαίων συναγωγῆ, σεμνύνεται τοῖς Χριστοῦ μαθηταῖς ταῦτα προβαλλόμενος, οὐκ είδὼς ὅτι πλείονα αὐτοῦ εἴποι ἀν ὁ σωματικὸς Ἰουδαῖος. ἐπὶ τούτοις μὲν οὖν αὐχεῖ, τὰς δ' άληθεῖς περὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θέο λογίας διαστρέφων οὐκ έγκαλύπτεται.

2.22.5 | But he, gathering so much as he can say, speaks as a teacher of the Jews about cutting off in the Jewish synagogue, boasting to the disciples of Christ with these things, not knowing that a physical Jew could say more than he. Therefore, while he boasts about these things, he is not covered up by distorting the true teachings about our Savior God.

## **Section 23**

2.23.1 | αύτίκα δ' οὖν ού συνίησιν τὰς φωνάς τοῦ θείου άποστόλου, διαφόρως διδάξαντος αύτὸν εἶναι είκόνα τοῦ θεοῦ δι' ὧν έξεθέμην αύτοῦ λέξεων, δέον έντεῦθεν συνεῖναι ὼς ού δύο θεοὺς ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ κηρύττει· ού γὰρ δύο άγέννητα ούδὲ δύο ἄναρχα, ὼς πολλάκις ἡμῖν εἴρηται, ούδὲ δύο ούσίας έξ ίσοτιμίας άντιπαρεξαγομένας άλλήλαις είσάγει, διὸ ούδὲ δύο θεούς, άλλὰ μίαν άρχὴν καὶ θεὸν καὶ τὸν αύτὸν πατέρα διδάσκουσα εἶναι τοῦ μονογενοῦς καὶ άγαπητοῦ υὶοῦ, ώσαύτως δὲ καὶ μίαν είκόνα »τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ άοράτου«, τὴν αύτὴν οὖσαν τῶ μονογενεῖ καὶ άγαπητῷ υὶῷ αύτοῦ. κάν λέγη δὲ ὁ άπόστολος θεολογῶν τὸν πατέρα »ὸ μακάριος καὶ μόνος δυνάστης« καὶ πάλιν » ο μόνος έχων άθανασίαν,

2.23.1 | Immediately, then, he does not understand the words of the divine apostle, who taught that he is the image of God through which I have set forth his words. It is necessary to understand from this that the church of God proclaims not two gods; for there are not two unbegotten or two without beginning, as has often been said to us, nor does it introduce two natures that are equal to each other. Therefore, there are not two gods, but one source and one God, teaching that the same Father is of the only-begotten and beloved Son. Likewise, there is one image of "the invisible God," which is the same as that of his only-begotten and beloved Son. And when the apostle speaks of the Father as "the blessed and only ruler" and again as "the only one who has immortality,"

2.23.2 | φῶς οἰκῶν ἀπρόσιτον« καὶ αὖθις τῷ δὲ βασιλεῖ τῶν αἰώνων, ἀφθάρτῳ

2.23.2 | "Light unapproachable" and again "to the King of ages, the immortal, invisible,

άοράτω μόνω θεῶ« καὶ πάλιν »μόνω σοφῶ θεῷ διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ἡ δόξα είς τοὺς αίωνας· άμήν«, καν τούτων ἔτι πλείονα λέγηται είς δοξολογίαν τοῦ ὲνὸς καὶ έπὶ πάντων θεοῦ, καὶ τούτων ὰπάντων χρὴ νοεῖν είκόνα εἶναι τὸν μονογενῆ υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ, ούχ ὡς άψύχω ὕλη άλλ' ὡς έν υἱῶ ζῶντι μεμορφωμένην. κἂν αύτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ μόνον άληθινὸν θεὸν διδάσκη εἶναι τὸν πατέρα λέγων »ἵνα γινώσκωσιν σὲ τὸν μόνον άληθινὸν θεόν«, άλλ' ούκ άποκνητέον καὶ αύτὸν θεὸν άληθινὸν ομολογεῖν ώς έν είκόνι καὶ τοῦτο κεκτημένον, ίνα ή τοῦ μόνου προσθήκη μόνω τῶ πατρὶ ὡς ἀν άρχετύπω τῆς είκόνος ὰρμόζη.

only God," and again "to the only wise God, through Jesus Christ be glory forever. Amen." Even if more is said about the glory of the one and only God, from all these things, it is necessary to understand that the only-begotten Son of God is the image, not as a lifeless substance, but as something living formed in the Son. And the Savior himself teaches that the Father is the only true God, saying, "that they may know you, the only true God." But it should not be doubted that he himself is also acknowledged as the true God, as in an image, and this is held, so that the addition of "only" to the Father fits as the archetype of the image.

2.23.3 | σαφέστατα γοῦν είκόνα καὶ άπαύγασμα τοῦ πατρὸς »έν μορφῆ« τε τοῦ θεοῦ εἶναι αύτὸν ὁ θεσπέσιος έδίδαξεν Παῦλος, ὼς διὰ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν άποδέδεικται. ὤσπερ οὖν ἑνὸς ὑφεστῶτος πατρὸς καὶ ἐνὸς υὶοῦ φύντος έκ τοῦ πατρὸς ούκ ἄν τις εὖ φρονῶν εἴποι δύο πατέρας ὑπάρχειν ούδὲ υὶοὺς δύο, καὶ ώσπερ βασιλέως κρατοῦντος ενος είκόνος δὲ αύτοῦ πανταχοῦ γῆς προφερομένης ούκ άν τις σωφρονῶν δύο εἴποι τοὺς κρατοῦντας, άλλ' ἕνα τὸν καὶ διὰ τῆς είκόνος τιμώμενον. κατά τὸν αύτὸν τρόπον (ώς καὶ πολλάκις ἡμῖν εἴρηται) καὶ ἡ έκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ ἔνα παρα|λαβοῦσα θεὸν σέβειν τὸν αύτὸν καὶ διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ. ὡς διὰ είκόνος, μένει προσκυνοῦσα.

2.23.3 | Clearly, then, Paul taught that he is the image and radiance of the Father "in the form" of God, as has been shown before. Just as no one who thinks rightly would say there are two fathers when there is one Father and one Son born from the Father, so also, when a king rules, no one who is sensible would say there are two rulers when there is one king and his image is honored everywhere on earth. In the same way (as has often been said to us), the church of God, receiving one God, worships the same God through the Son. It worships as through an image.

2.23.4 | ὰ δὴ μὴ συνεὶς Μάρκελλος τὴν εἰκόνα »τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτου« τὴν σάρκα εἶναι τοῦ σωτῆρος ἀπεφήνατο, ἢν ὁ ἀπόστολος »μορφὴν δούλου« κέκληκεν· ού λογισάμενος ὅτι καὶ πάντες ἄνθρωποι κατὰ

2.23.4 | Indeed, Marcello did not understand that the image of "the invisible God" is the flesh of the Savior, which the apostle called "the form of a servant." He did not consider that all humans are

τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος τυγχάνουσιν μεμορφωμένοι. καὶ τὸ μὲν σῶμα ὁ ἀνείληφεν ὁ σωτὴρ τῆς ἀγενήτου καὶ ἀρρήτου καὶ πατρικῆς θεότητος είκόνα εἶναι διισχυρίζεται, τὸν δὲ υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα συνέστη (»πάντα« γὰρ »δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ έγένετο οὐδὲ ἔν«) άρνεῖται εἶναι είκόνα τοῦ θεοῦ αὐταῖς συλλαβαῖς λέγων (Nr. 82) οὐκοῦν πρόδηλον, ὅτι πρὸ τῆς τοῦ ἡμετέρου σώματος ἀναλήψεως ὁ λόγος καθ' ὲαυτὸν οὐκ ἦν είκὼν τοῦ ἀοράτου θεοῦ. ὀρᾶς ὅση διαστροφῆ κέχρηται τῆς ἀποστολικῆς ὲρμηνείας.

formed according to the flesh of the Savior. He insists that the body which the Savior took is an image of the unbegotten, ineffable, and paternal divinity, but he denies that the only-begotten Son of God, through whom all things were made (for "all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made"), is an image of God, saying with these words (No. 82) that it is clear that before the assumption of our body, the Word was not an image of the invisible God. You see how twisted his understanding is of the apostolic interpretation.

2.23.5 | οὕτω δὲ τοῦ εὐαγγελιστοῦ Ἰωάννου τὸ παρὰ Μωσεῖ καὶ τοῖς λοιποῖς προφήταις κεκρυμμένως έγνωσμένον μυστήριον εὐαγγελιζομένου καὶ ἀνακαλύπτοντος μεγάλῃ τε κηρύττοντος βοῆ τὸ »έν άρχῃ ἦν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν, καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος.

2.23.5 | Thus, the evangelist John, while revealing the mystery that was secretly known to Moses and the other prophets, proclaims loudly the great message: "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God."

2.23.6 | πάντα δι' αύτοῦ έγένετο« καὶ μαρτυρομένου ὅτι »ἦν τὸ φῶς τὸ φωτίζον πάντα ἄνθρωπον έρχόμενον είς τὸν κόσμον. έν τῷ κόσμῳ ἦν, καὶ ὁ κόσμος δι' αύτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ ὁ κόσμος αύτὸν ούκ ἔγνω«, τήν τε υὶότητα σαφῶς παριστῶντος έν τῷ περὶ μὲν τοῦ πατρὸς λέγειν »θεὸν ούδεὶς ἐώρακεν πώποτε«, περὶ δὲ τοῦ υὶοῦ »ὁ μονογενὴς υὶὸς ὁ ὢν είς τὸν κόλπον τοῦ πατρός, ἐκεῖνος έξηγήσατο«,

2.23.6 | All things were made through him," and he testifies that "the light that gives light to everyone coming into the world was there. He was in the world, and the world was made through him, yet the world did not know him." He clearly presents the Son's nature by saying about the Father, "No one has ever seen God," but about the Son, "The only-begotten Son, who is in the bosom of the Father, he has made him known.

### **Section 24**

2.24.1 | ὁ θαυμαστὸς οὖτος τῶν Χριστοῦ λόγων διδάσκαλος τὰς έντολὰς τοῦ θεοῦ

2.24.1 | This wonderful teacher of the words of Christ gathers the commands of

καὶ τοὺς παραγγελτικοὺς τῶν πρακτέων λόγους άπὸ τῆς θείας συναγαγών γραφῆς τοιοῦτόν τινα ὁρίζεται τὸν »έν άρχῆ« λόγον. έπάκουσον δὲ ὅπως ταῦτα συνιστᾶν πειρᾶται, τοῦτον γράφων τὸν | τρόπον (Νr. 41) \* \* \* \* \* τῶν δὲ διδασκόντων αύτοὺς ώσπερ αίδουμένων μεμνησθαι τοῦ λόγου. δν ούτω πᾶσαι αὶ θεῖαι κηρύττουσιν γραφαί. Δαυίδ μὲν γὰρ περὶ αύτοῦ λέγει »τῷ λόγῳ κυρίου οὶ ούρανοὶ έστερεώθησαν«, αὖθίς τε ο αύτος »έξαπέστειλεν τὸν λόγον αύτοῦ καὶ ίάσατο αύτούς«. Σολομῶν δὲ »ζητήσουσίν με κακοί, καὶ ούχ εὺρήσουσιν. έμίσησαν γὰρ σοφίαν, τὸν δὲ λόγον κυρίου ού προείλοντο«. Ἡσαΐας τε »έκ Σιὼν έξελεύσεται νόμος, καὶ λόγος κυρίου έξ Ίερουσαλήμ«. καὶ αὖθις Ἱερεμίας »ήσχύνθησαν σοφοί« φησίν »καί έπτοήθησαν καὶ ὲάλωσαν, ὅτι τὸν λόγον κυρίου άπεδοκίμασαν«.

God and the practical sayings from the divine scriptures and defines the "Word" as "in the beginning." Listen to how he tries to present these things, writing in this way (No. 41). Those who teach them seem to be ashamed to remember the Word. All the divine writings proclaim him. For David says about him, "By the word of the Lord the heavens were made," and again he says, "He sent his word and healed them." Solomon says, "They will seek me in vain, and they will not find me. They hated wisdom and did not choose the word of the Lord." Isaiah says, "The law will go out from Zion, and the word of the Lord from Jerusalem." And again, Jeremiah says, "The wise are ashamed," and he adds, "They were terrified and captured because they rejected the word of the Lord."

2.24.2 | καὶ Ὠσηὲ δὲ ὁ προφήτης »έμίσησαν« ἔφη »έν πύλαις έλέγχοντα, καὶ λόγον ὅσιον έβδελύξαντο«. Μιχαίας τε ὁμοίως καὶ αὐτὸς περὶ τοῦ λόγου μνημονεύων »έκ Σιὼν« ἔφη »έξελεύσεται νόμος, καὶ λόγος κυρίου έξ Ἱερουσαλήμ«. ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τούτοις άδελφὰ συναγαγὼν κρατύνειν διὰ τούτων τὴν ἑαυτοῦ δόξαν ἡγεῖται.

2.24.2 | And the prophet Hosea said, "They hated him who reproved them at the gates, and they rejected the holy word." Micah also mentions the word, saying, "The law will go out from Zion, and the word of the Lord from Jerusalem." By gathering these and similar things, he thinks to strengthen his own glory.

2.24.3 | ὅρα δὲ πῶς φύρας τὰς ἀπὸ τῆς θείας γραφῆς μαρτυρίας ὁμοῦ συνήγαγεν καὶ τὸν ἀποστελλόμενον τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον, τὸν σωτήριον καὶ ἰατικόν, καὶ τὸν τῶν πρακτέων παραγγελτικόν. τὸ γὰρ »ἐμίσησαν ἐν πύλαις ἐλέγχοντα, καὶ λόγον ὅσιον ἐβδελύξαντο« ποῖον ὑποτίθεται λόγον ἢ πάντως που τὸν περὶ τῶν ὁσίων

2.24.3 | See how he has gathered together the testimonies from the divine scriptures and the Word of God that is sent, which is saving and healing, along with the practical commands. For when he says, "They hated him who reproved them at the gates, and they rejected the holy word," what kind of word is he suggesting? It is certainly about

καὶ δικαίων πράξεων παραγγελτικόν, πάντα τε μᾶλλον ἢ τὸν υἱὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ὁμολογεῖν βούλεται, ὤσπερ αίδούμενος μνημονεῦσαι τοῦ υἱοῦ. ἡμεῖς μὲν γὰρ οἴδαμεν τὸν υἱὸν τοῦ θεοῦ κυριώτατον ὄντα υἱὸν καὶ άληθῶς μονογενῆ τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ λόγον δὲ αὐτὸν ἀκριβῶς ἴσμεν ούχ ὅμοιον τῷ ‹ἐν› ἀνθρώποις, άλλ' οἷον προσήκει νοεῖν θεοῦ λόγον, ζῶντα καὶ ὑφεστῶτα καὶ υἱόν. ὁ δὲ λόγον σημαντικὸν καὶ τῶν πρακτέων παραγγελτικὸν είσάγων, είκότως ὤκνει τὸν υἱὸν ὁμολογεῖν, ὂν αὐτῷ ἔργω ἡρνεῖτο.

the commands concerning holy and righteous actions, and he wants to confess nothing more than the Son of God, as if he is ashamed to remember the Son. For we know that the Son of God is truly the greatest Son and the only-begotten of God, and we know that he is a Word not like that of humans, but rather the Word of God, living and existing as a Son. But since he introduces a significant word and the practical commands, it is understandable that he hesitates to confess the Son, whom he has denied in action.

#### **Section 25**

2.25.1 | εἶτα καὶ αύτὸς ἑαυτῷ τάναντία γράφων ούκ αἵσθεται. έν ὲτέροις μὲν γὰρ διισχυρίζεται μηδένα τοῦ προτέρου λαοῦ τὰ κατὰ τὸν λόγον έγνωκέναι, μυστήριον γὰρ εἶναι άποκεκρυμ|μένον. γράφει δὲ ὧδε αύταῖς λέξεσιν (Nr. 45) τί γὰρ ἔτερον ἦν άποκεκρυμμένον μυστήριον ή τὸ κατὰ τὸν λόγον; οὕτως δὲ ἦν ἀποκεκρυμμένον έν τῷ θεῷ τουτὶ πρότερον τὸ μυστήριον, ὤστε μηδένα τοῦ προτέρου λαοῦ σαφῶς τὰ κατὰ τὸν λόγον είδέναι, άλλ' ἡμᾶς τοῦ πλούτου τῆς δόξης καὶ τοῦ ἀποκεκρυμμένου μυστηρίου άπολαύειν νυνί. καὶ αὖθις έπιλέγει (Nr. 46) ὁ δὲ ἱερὸς ἀπόστολός τε καὶ μαθητής τοῦ κυρίου Ίωάννης σαφῶς καὶ διαρρήδην έν άρχῃ τοῦ εύαγγελίου διδάσκων, ως άγνοούμενον έν άνθρωποις πρότερον, λόγον αύτὸν τοῦ παντοκράτορος όνομάζων, οὕτως ἔφη »έν άρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος«. έν τούτοις μὲν οὖν άποκεκρυμμένον μυστήριον διορίζεται εἶναι τὸ περὶ τοῦ λόγον ἔχειν τὸν θεόν, έν δὲ ταῖς άρτίως παρατεθείσαις προφητικαῖς φωναῖς πάσας τὰς θείας γραφὰς κηρύττειν τὰ περὶ αύτοῦ συνίστησιν καὶ συνάγει περὶ

2.25.1 | Then he, writing contradictory things for himself, does not notice. For in other places, he insists that no one from the earlier people has known about the Word, for it is a mystery that has been hidden. He writes in these words (No. 45), "What other hidden mystery was there than that concerning the Word?" Thus, this mystery was hidden in God before, so that no one from the earlier people knew clearly about the Word, but now we enjoy the riches of glory and the hidden mystery. And again, the holy apostle and disciple of the Lord, John, clearly and openly teaches at the beginning of the Gospel, that it was unknown among humans before, calling it the Word of the Almighty, saying, "In the beginning was the Word." In these things, then, it is defined that the mystery concerning God is to have the Word, while in the recently presented prophetic voices, all the divine scriptures proclaim the things concerning him and gather many testimonies about the Word of God.

λόγου θεοῦ πλείστας ὄσας μαρτυρίας.

2.25.2 | ούκ ἄρα ἦν άγνοούμενος τῷ προτέρῳ λαῷ, πάντες γὰρ αὐτοὶ τοὺς τοιούτους λόγους ἤδεσαν, άνὰ στόμα καὶ διὰ μνήμης τὰ προφητικὰ λόγια φέροντες. άλλὰ τὸν γραμματέα τῶν Ἰουδαίων ἡμῖν ὁ Χριστιανὸς προΐσχεται, ούκ άκούων τοῦ σωτῆρος λέγοντος »ούαὶ ὑμῖν, γραμματεῖς καὶ Φαρισαῖοι ὑποκριταί«, θαυμάζει τε αὐτὸν ὅρκῳ πιστούμενον ὅτι »εἶς έστιν ὁ θεὸς καὶ ούκ ἔστιν πλὴν αὐτοῦ«, ούκ είδὼς ὅτι καὶ τὰ »δαιμόνια πιστεύουσιν καὶ φρίττουσιν«.

2.25.2 | Therefore, it was not unknown to the earlier people, for they all knew such words, bringing forth the prophetic sayings by mouth and from memory. But the Christian leads us to the scribe of the Jews, not hearing the Savior saying, "Woe to you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites," and he marvels at him, believing with an oath that "there is one God and there is none but him," not knowing that "even the demons believe and shudder."

2.25.3 | τήρει δὲ ὅπως έξ αὐτῶν τῶν προφητικῶν γραφῶν τὰ περὶ λόγου συνάγει τοῦ τῶν πρακτέων διδασκαλικοῦ· ὂν καὶ Ἰουδαίων παῖδες γνωρίζειν ὁμολογήσουσιν, ἄτε πρὸ ἡμῶν τοῦτον παρειληφότες. άλλ' οὐδὲ αὐτὸς Σαβέλλιος τὸν τοιοῦτον ήγνόει λόγον.

2.25.3 | He keeps in mind how he gathers the things about the Word from those prophetic writings of the practical teachings. The children of the Jews will also confess that they know him, since they received him before us. But even Sabellius did not know such a Word.

2.25.4 | πῶς οὖν Ἰουδαίους καὶ Σαβέλλιον κόπτων μὴ έγνωκέναι τὸν λόγον ἔφη δι' ὧν παρεθέμην αὐτοῦ φωνῶν; καὶ έπειδὴ ἀποπέφανται ὁ αὐτὸς διὰ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν ὡς οὐδεὶς έδύνατο τὸν θεὸν είδέναι οὐδὲ τὸν λόγον γνωρίζειν αὐτοῦ εί μὴ τὴν είκόνα είλήφει τοῦ ἀοράτου θεοῦ, δηλαδὴ τὴν σάρκα ὡς αὐτὸς ἔφη

2.25.4 | How then did the Jews and Sabellius say that they did not know the Word through those voices I presented? And since he has already said that no one could know God or know his Word unless they received the image of the unseen God, namely, the flesh, as he himself said?

2.25.5 | (διὰ μόνης γὰρ τῆς είκόνος γνωρίζεσθαι τὸν θεὸν καὶ τὸν τούτου λόγον διετείνατο, τὴν σάρκα λέγων εἶναι τὴν είκόνα), ὑπομνηστέον διὰ τῶν προκειμένων ὡς δίχα τῆς ένσάρκου παρουσίας Ἰουδαῖοι πάντες τὸν λόγον τοῦ

2.25.5 | (For he stretched out that God and his Word could be known only through the image, saying that the flesh is the image.) It should be remembered from what has been said that without the presence in the flesh, all the Jews knew the Word of God and the

θεοῦ καὶ τοὺς λόγους τοὺς έν ταῖς προφητικαῖς γραφαῖς τῶν ὁσίων ἔργων παραγγελτικοὺς ἀκριβῶς ἤδεσαν, έξ ἀπαλῶν | όνύχων τούτους καταμελετῶντες, καὶ τὸν θεὸν ὡμολόγουν τὸν πάντων ποιητὴν καὶ δημιουργόν, ὥσπερ οὖν καὶ ‹ὸ› γραμματεὺς ὁ δι' ὅρκου πιστούμενος τοῦτο.

words in the prophetic writings of the holy works very well, studying them from their early years. They acknowledged God as the creator and maker of all, just as the scribe who believes this with an oath does.

2.25.6 | ταῦτα μὲν οὖν είς ἀπόδειξιν τοῦ Σαβελλίζειν τὸν ἄνδρα. ὤρα δὲ λοιπὸν έπελθεῖν καὶ ἂς πεποίηται διαστρόφους τῶν θείων γραφῶν ἐρμηνείας, ὡς ἂν μάθοι πᾶς τῶν τὸν ἄνδρα τιμώντων ὅτι μὴ μόνης τῆς ὁρθῆς παρεξετράπη πίστεως, άλλὰ καὶ τῆς ὑγιοῦς τῶν θεοπνεύστων γραφῶν έντεύξεως τε καὶ θεωρίας. 2.25.6 | These things are indeed proof that the man follows Sabellius. Now it is time to also address the twists in the interpretations of the divine writings, so that everyone who honors the man may learn that not only has the right faith been misled, but also the healthy understanding of the God-inspired writings in both their meaning and vision.

## **Section Pin**

3.Pin.1 | Κεφάλαια τοῦ γ'. α' ὼς διαστρόφως ὁ Μάρκελλος τὰς θείας γραφὰς ὲρμηνεύειν έτόλμα. β' ὅπως τὸ »κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αύτοῦ« καὶ τὰ τούτω συνημμένα νοεῖται, καὶ ὅπως τὰ αύτὰ Μάρκελλος έπὶ τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος μετῆγεν. γ' ἔλεγχος τῶν ούκ όρθῶς είς τοὺς τόπους αύτῷ λελεγμένων καὶ τῶν αύτῶν ὑγιὴς ἐρμηνεία. δ' ὅπως Μάρκελλος, μὴ συνιεὶς τὰς γραφάς, μίαν είναι ὼρίζετο ὑπόστασιν πατρὸς καὶ υἱοῦ καὶ ὰγίου πνεύματος. ε' ὅπως ὁ σωτὴρ περὶ τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος έδίδασκεν. ς' ὅπως ἡ έκκλησία περὶ πατρὸς καὶ υὶοῦ καὶ ὰγίου πνεύματος φρονεῖν παραδίδωσιν. ζ' ὅπως Μάρκελλος την είκόνα τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ άοράτου τὴν σάρκα εἶναι διεβεβαιοῦτο. η' όπως ὁ αύτὸς μηδὲν ώφελεῖν τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος άπεφαίνετο. | θ' ὅπως καταλειφθήσεσθαι έν τῶ τοῦ παντὸς τέλει

3.Pin.1 | Chapters of the third book. 1. How Marcello dared to interpret the divine writings in a twisted way. 2. How "the Lord created me as the beginning of his ways" and what is connected to this is understood, and how Marcello transferred the same to the flesh of the Savior. 3. A refutation of the things that were not correctly said by him and a healthy interpretation of the same. 4. How Marcello, not understanding the writings, defined the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit as one substance. 5. How the Savior taught about the Holy Spirit. 6. How the church teaches about the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. 7. How Marcello insisted that the flesh is the image of the unseen God. 8. How he claimed that the flesh of the Savior was of no benefit. 9. How he dared to say that the flesh of the Savior would be left

τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος τοῦ λόγου ἔρημον έτόλμα λέγειν. ι' ὸποῖα αὶ θεῖαι γραφαὶ περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς τοῦ σωτῆρος διδάσκουσιν, καὶ ως τὰ έναντία Μάρκελλος έφρόνει. ια' όπως μὴ νοήσας τὴν εύαγγελικὴν φωνὴν σκανδαλίζειν έφη τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος καὶ μηδὲν ώφελεῖν. ιβ' ἐρμηνεία τῆς εύαγγελικῆς λέξεως. ιγ' ὅπως τὴν τοῦ Χριστοῦ βασιλείαν άρχὴν μὲν είληφέναι τὴν ἀπὸ τετρακοσίων έτῶν τέλος δὲ ἔξειν έν τῆ συντελεία διεβεβαιοῦτο, διαστρόφους ποιούμενος τὰς τῶν θείων γραφῶν ἑρμηνείας. ιδ' έξηγήσεις τῆς ύγιοῦς διανοίας τῶν άναγνωσμάτων. ιε' δπως εἴρηται τὰ πάντα ὑποταγήσεσθαι τῶ υὶῷ καὶ αύτὸν τῷ πατρὶ. ις' ὅπως εἴρηται τῷ ἀποστόλῳ τὸ »τότε ἔσται ὁ θεὸς τὰ πάντα έν πᾶσιν«. 75ν ιζ' ὅπως αὶ θεῖαι γραφαὶ τὰ περὶ τῆς τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν άτελευτήτου βασιλείας παριστῶσιν, καὶ ώς Μάρκελλος γυμνῆ τῆ κεφαλῆ παυθήσεσθαι αύτοῦ τὴν βασιλείαν άπεφαίνετο. ιη' ὅπως έν τῆ βασιλεία τοῦ υὶοῦ εν γενήσονται πάντες οὶ τῆς τότε μακαριότητος άξιούμενοι. ιθ' ότι ὤσπερ ὁ πατήρ καὶ ὁ υὶὸς ἔν εἶναι λέγονται, οὕτω καὶ πάντες ἔσονται οὶ ἄγιοι. κ' ὅτι ὡς ὁ πατὴρ έν τῷ υὶῶ, καὶ ὁ υὶὸς έν τῶ πατρί, οὕτω καὶ έν τοῖς ἀγίοις ἄπασιν ἔσται. κα' ὅπως χρὴ νοεῖν τὸ »ὸ ἑωρακὼς έμὲ ὲώρακεν τὸν πατέρα«.

desolate at the end of all things. 10. What the divine writings teach about the flesh of the Savior, and how Marcello thought the opposite. 11. How, not understanding the Gospel voice, he said that the flesh of the Savior was a scandal and of no benefit, 12. An interpretation of the Gospel word. 13. How he claimed that the kingdom of Christ would begin after four hundred years and would end at the completion, making twisted interpretations of the divine writings. 14. Explanations of the healthy understanding of the readings. 15. How it is said that all things will be subjected to the Son and he to the Father. 16. How it is said to the apostle, "Then God will be all in all." 17. How the divine writings present the things about our Savior's everlasting kingdom, and how Marcello claimed that his kingdom would cease without a head. 18. How in the kingdom of the Son, all who are worthy of that blessedness will become one. 19. That just as the Father and the Son are said to be one, so all the saints will be. 20. That just as the Father is in the Son, and the Son is in the Father, so it will be with all the saints, 21. How one must understand the saying, "He who has seen me has seen the Father."

### **Section 1**

3.1.1 | Ό μὲν οὖν μέγας εὐαγγελιστὴς Ἰωάννης τὴν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεολογίαν τὸν ἀποδοθέντα τρόπον διαφόρως εὐηγγελίζετο· Μάρκελλος δ' ὅπως ταύτην άρνησάμενος έπὶ τὴν Ἰουδαϊκὴν έξώκειλεν ἀπιστίαν. δεδήλωται δι' ὧν λέλεκται. ὡς δὲ καὶ διαστρόφως τὰς ὁμολογουμένας καὶ σαφεῖς περὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν

3.1.1 | The great evangelist John proclaimed the theology of our Savior in different ways. But Marcello, rejecting this, fell into Jewish unbelief. This is shown by what has been said. He also twisted the clear and accepted teachings about our Savior, so that you might learn from many other accounts of his, but also from this

παρηρμήνευεν διδασκαλίας, μάθοις αν καὶ έξ ἐτέρων μὲν πλείστων ὄσων αύτοῦ διηγήσεων, άτὰρ δὴ καὶ έκ τῆς οὕτως έχούσης. έν ταῖς Σολομῶνος Παροιμίαις είσάγεται ἡ σοφία αὐτοπροσώπως περὶ ἑαυτῆς ταῦτα διεξερχομένη »έγὼ ἡ σοφία κατεσκήνωσα βουλήν,

one. In the Proverbs of Solomon, wisdom is introduced speaking for herself, saying, "I am wisdom, I dwell in counsel."

- 3.1.2 | καὶ γνῶσιν καὶ ἔννοιαν έγὼ έπεκαλεσάμην. φόβος κυρίου μισεῖ κακίαν, ὕβριν τε καὶ ὑπερηφανίαν καὶ ὁδοὺς πονηρῶν· έμίσησα δὲ έγὼ διεστραμμένας ὁδοὺς κακῶν. έμὴ βουλὴ καὶ άσφάλεια, έγὼ σύνεσις, έμὴ δὲ ίσχύς.
- 3.1.2 | And knowledge and understanding I have called." "The fear of the Lord hates evil, pride, and the ways of the wicked. But I hate the crooked paths of the wicked. My counsel and safety, I am understanding; my strength.
- 3.1.3 | δι' έμοῦ βασιλεῖς βασιλεύουσιν, καὶ οὶ δυνάσται γράφουσιν δικαιοσύνην· δι' έμοῦ μεγιστᾶνες μεγαλύνονται, καὶ τύραννοι δι' έμοῦ κρατοῦσι γῆς. έγὼ τοὺς έμὲ φιλοῦντας άγαπῶ, οὶ δὲ έμὲ ζητοῦντες εὑρήσουσιν. πλοῦτος καὶ δόξα μοι ὑπάρχει, καὶ κτῆσις πολλῶν καὶ δικαιοσύνη.
- 3.1.3 | Through me kings reign, and rulers write justice. Through me nobles are honored, and tyrants rule the earth. I love those who love me, and those who seek me will find me. Wealth and glory are with me, and lasting riches and justice.
- 3.1.4 | βέλτιον έμὲ καρπίζεσθαι ὑπὲρ χρυσίον καὶ λίθον τίμιον, τὰ δὲ έμὰ γενήματα κρείσσω άργυρίου έκλεκτοῦ. έν ὁδοῖς δικαιοσύνης περιπατῶ, καὶ άνὰ μέσον ὁδῶν δικαιώματος άναστρέφομαι, ἴνα μερίσω τοῖς έμὲ άγαπῶσιν ὕπαρξιν, καὶ τοὺς θησαυροὺς αὐτῶν έμπλήσω άγαθῶν. έὰν άναγγείλω ὑμῖν τὰ καθ' ἡμέραν γιγνόμενα, μνημονεύσω τὰ έξ αίῶνος άριθμῆσαι.
- 3.1.4 | It is better to gain me than gold and precious stones, and my fruits are better than chosen silver. I walk in the ways of righteousness, and I go along the paths of justice, to give wealth to those who love me, and to fill their treasures with good things. If I announce to you the things that happen every day, I will remember the things from ages past.
- 3.1.5 | κύριος ἕκτισέν με άρχὴν ὀδῶν αύτοῦ είς ἕργα αύτοῦ, πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος έθεμελίωσέν με, έν άρχῇ πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι, πρὸ τοῦ τὸῦ τὰς άβύσσους ποιῆσαι,
- 3.1.5 | The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works. Before the ages, he established me, at the beginning before he made the earth, before

πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων, πρὸ τοῦ ὅρη ἑδρασθῆναι, πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννῷ με. κύριος ἐποίησεν χώρας καὶ ἀοικήτους καὶ ἄκρα οἰκούμενα τῆς ὑπ' οὐρανῶν. | ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ, ἡνίκα ἀφώριζεν τὸν ἑαυτοῦ θρόνον ἐπ' ἀνέμων. ἡνίκα ἰσχυρὰ ἐποίει τὰ ἄνω νέφη, καὶ ὡς ἀσφαλεῖς ἐτίθει πηγὰς τὰς ὑπ' οὐρανόν, καὶ ἰσχυρὰ ἐποίει τὰ θεμέλια τῆς γῆς, ἤμην παρ' αὐτῷ ὰρμόζουσα· έγὼ ἤμην ῇ προσέχαιρεν καθ' ἡμέραν· ηύφραινόμην δὲ ἐν προσώπῳ αὐτοῦ ἐν παντὶ καιρῷ· ὅτε ηύφραίνετο τὴν οίκουμένην συντελέσας, καὶ ηύφραίνετο έφ' υὶοῖς ἀνθρώπων«.

he made the depths, before the springs of water came forth, before the mountains were set, before all the hills, he gave birth to me. The Lord made the lands and the uninhabited places and the heights that are under heaven. When he prepared the heavens, I was there with him; when he marked out his throne over the winds. When he made the strong clouds above, and set the springs under heaven securely, and made the foundations of the earth strong, I was beside him, fitting together. I was his delight every day; I rejoiced in his presence at all times; when he rejoiced in the inhabited world and delighted in the children of men.

#### Section 2

3.2.1 | ταῦτα μὲν έν Παροιμίαις περὶ ἑαυτῆς ἡ σοφία. ὅλα δ΄ ἐπίτηδες ἀναγκαίως παρεθέμην, δεικνὺς ὡς ἔν έστιν πρόσωπον τὸλος ἐπί τὴν σάρκαν τοῦ σωτῆρος μετῆγεν. ταῦτα λέγον, οὐδεμιᾶς ἐν τῷ μεταξὺ γενομένης μεταβολῆς τοῦ λέγοντος δείκνυται τοίνυν ἡ σοφία ταῦτα περὶ ἑαυτῆς διδάσκουσα. κάνταῦθα δὲ πρῶτον ἐπιτηρητέον ὡς ἀπολύτως σοφία ώνόμασται· »έγὼ« γάρ φησιν » 〈ἡ σοφία

κατεσκήνωσα βουλὴν« καὶ ούκ εἴρηταισοφία τοῦ θεοῦ. ἀλλ' ὤσπερ παρὰ τῷ εὐαγγελιστῆ τὸ »ἐν ἀρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος« ἀπολύτως έγράφετο, καὶ πάλιν »ὁ λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν θεόν«, καὶ ούκ εἴρητο· ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ, ἴνα μὴ τῶν πρός τι νομίσῃ τις αὐτὸν λέγεσθαι, μηδ' ὡς συμβεβηκότα ἐν τῷ θεῷ, ἀλλ' ὡς ὑφεστῶτα καὶ ζῶντα (διὸ ἐπιλέγει »καὶ θεὸς ἦν ὁ λόγος«. οὐκ είπώνκαὶ θεοῦ ἦν ὁ λόγος), οὕτως καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς σοφίας·

3.2.1 | These things are about wisdom in Proverbs. I have arranged everything on purpose, showing that there is one face that the Savior took on. While saying this, there was no change in the one speaking. Therefore, wisdom shows these things about herself, teaching. And here it should first be noted that wisdom is called absolutely wise; for she says, 'I' (wisdom) 'have settled in counsel,' and it is not said 'the wisdom of God.' But just as the evangelist wrote 'In the beginning was the Word' absolutely, and again 'the Word was with God,' and it is not said 'the Word of God,' so that no one might think of it as something added to God, nor as something that happened in God, but as something that exists and lives (that is why it chooses to say 'and the Word was God'). It does not say 'and the Word was of God,' so also with wisdom.

3.2.2 | ἒν γὰρ καὶ ταύτὸν ἦν ὁ θεὸς λόγος καὶ ἡ σοφία. διὸ ἀπολύτως ἐν ταῖς Παροιμίαις όνομάζεται, ἔν τε τοῖς προτεθεῖσιν ῥητοῖς, ού μὴν άλλὰ καὶ δι' ὧν τοῦτον εἴρηται τὸν τρόπον »μακάριος ἀνὴρ ὂς εὖρεν σοφίαν« καὶ »ὸ θεὸς τῆ σοφία έθεμελίωσε τὴν γῆν« καὶ »εἶπον τὴν σοφίαν σὴν άδελφὴν εἶναι« καὶ »σὺ τὴν σοφίαν κήρυξον, ἴνα φρόνησίς σοι ἐπακολουθήση« καὶ »κρείσσων γὰρ σοφία λιθῶν πολυτελῶν« |

3.2.2 | For the Word of God and wisdom were one and the same. Therefore, in Proverbs, she is named absolutely, both in the sayings that have been presented and also through those that have been said in this way: 'Blessed is the man who has found wisdom,' and 'God established the earth with wisdom,' and 'I said that wisdom is your sister,' and 'Proclaim wisdom, so that understanding may follow you,' and 'For wisdom is better than precious stones.'

3.2.3 | καὶ »ἡ σοφία ὡκοδόμησεν ἐαυτῆ οἶκον, καὶ ὑπήρεισεν στύλους ἐπτὰ« καὶ ὅσα ἄλλα τούτοις ἀδελφὰ ἐν τῆ αὐτῆ φέρεται βίβλῳ· δι' ὧν ούδαμοῦ σοφία θεοῦ ώνόμασται, ἀλλὰ ἀδιορίστως ἡ σοφία, ἴνα μὴ σύμβαμά τι νομίσωμεν αὐτὴν εἶναι περὶ τὸν θεόν, ὡς ἐν τῷ ἐπιστήμονι ἀνδρὶ τὴν ἐπιστήμην, ἀλλ' ὑφεστῶσαν καὶ ζῶσαν σοφίαν, τὴν αὐτὴν οὖσαν τῷ υὶῷ τοῦ θεοῦ.

3.2.3 | And 'wisdom has built a house for herself, and she has set up seven pillars,' and all the other similar sayings are found in the same book. In these, the wisdom of God is not named, but simply wisdom, so that we do not think of her as something added to God, like knowledge in a knowledgeable man, but as wisdom that exists and lives, the same as the Son of God.

3.2.4 | εί δέ τις ἔξιν έν τῷ θεῷ σοφὴν καθ' ὁ νοοῦμεν τὸν θεὸν σοφὸν ὑπολάβοι εἶναι τὴν ένταῦθα λεγομένην σοφίαν, έπακουσάτω λεγούσης τῆς γραφῆς »εἶπον τὴν σοφίαν σὴν άδελφὴν εἶναι«. τίς δ' ἀν οὕτω μανείη, ὡς τὸν ἐπὶ πάντων θεὸν καὶ τὴν ἐν αὐτῷ σοφὴν ἔξιν ὑπολαβεῖν άδελφὴν τῶν ἐν ἀνθρώποις κατορθούντων λέγεσθαι;

3.2.4 | But if someone thinks that the wisdom spoken of here is the same as the wisdom of God, as we understand God to be wise, let him listen to the scripture saying, 'I said that wisdom is your sister.' Who would be so mad as to think that the God above all and the wisdom in Him could be called a sister to the wisdom of those who are among men?

3.2.5 | εί δὲ έπὶ τὸν Χριστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ έκλάβοις τὸ είρημένον (»Χριστὸς« γὰρ »θεοῦ δύναμις καὶ θεοῦ σοφία«), ούδὲν έμποδὼν ἔσται τῆ διανοία, έπεὶ καὶ τὴν πρὸς ἡμᾶς ούκ ἀναίνεται άδελφότητα δι'

3.2.5 | But if you take the saying about Christ of God ('for Christ is the power of God and the wisdom of God'), there will be no obstacle to your understanding, since the brotherhood towards us is not hidden

υπερβολὴν φιλανθρωπίας. εί δὲ εν καὶ ταὐτὸν ἦν ὁ θεὸς καὶ ἡ έν ταῖς Παροιμίαις είσαγομένη σοφία, ἔξις οὖσα σοφὴ έν αὐτῷ νοουμένη καθ' ὁ σοφὸς ὁ θεός, τί ἐκώλυεν ἀντὶ τῆς σοφίας τὸν θεὸν ἀναγεγράφθαι; ώστε τὸ »ἡ σοφία ὡκοδόμησεν ἐαυτῆ οἶκον, καὶ ὑπήρεισεν στύλους ἐπτά« καὶ τὰ τούτοις συνημμένα είρῆσθαι ἀντὶ τοῦ· ὁ θεὸς ὡκοδόμησεν ἐαυτῷ οἶκον καὶ τὰ ἑξῆς, καὶ πάλιν [άντὶ] τὸ

by an excess of love. But if God and the wisdom introduced in Proverbs were one and the same, being wise in the way that God is wise, what would stop God from being called instead of wisdom? So that 'wisdom has built a house for herself, and she has set up seven pillars,' and the things connected to this could be said instead of: God has built a house for himself and the following things, and again instead of...

3.2.6 | »εἶπον τὴν σοφίαν σὴν άδελφὴν εἶναι« λελέχθαι 〈άντὶ τοῦ〉 · εἶπον τὸν θεὸν σὸν άδελφὸν εἶναι. άλλ' ὁρᾶς ὡς τὴν άκοὴν πλήττει ἡ τοιαύτη φωνὴ ούκ ἔχουσα ἀρμονίαν.

3.2.6 | "I said that wisdom is your sister" could be said instead of: "I said that God is your brother." But you see how such a statement strikes the ear without harmony.

3.2.7 | εί δ' έπὶ τὸν υὶὸν έκλάβοις τὰ λόγια (αύτὸς γὰρ ἦν ἡ κοπία), πάντα σοι εύροήσει, μηδενὸς δυσσεβοῦς νοήματος έμποδὼν ἱσταμένου, συνεπιμαρτυροῦντος τοῦ άποστόλου Παύλου, ὂς τὸν σωτῆρα καὶ κύριον ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν λαμπρῷ τῆ φωνῆ σοφίαν ώνόμασεν είπὼν »Χριστὸς θεοῦ δύναμις καὶ θεοῦ σοφία«.

3.2.7 | But if you take the words about the Son (for he himself was the effort), you will find everything clear, with no unholy meaning standing in the way, supported by the apostle Paul, who named our Savior and Lord Jesus Christ as wisdom with a bright voice, saying, "Christ is the power of God and the wisdom of God."

3.2.8 | τούτων ὧδέ πη κατεσκευασμένων, άκόλουθόν έστιν μετὰ τῶν προεκτεθέντων ὰπάντων έξ αὐτοῦ 〈τοῦ〉 προσώπου καὶ τὸ »κύριος ἔκτισέν με ἀρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ« λελέχθαι· εί δὲ λέγει έκτίσθαι ὲαυτόν, ούχ ὡς έκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος είς τὸ εἶναι παρελθών τοῦτ' ἀν εἴποι ούδ' ὡς ὁμοίως τοῖς λοιποῖς κτίσμασιν καὶ αὐτὸς έκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος γεγονώς, ἢ τινες οὐκ όρθῶς ὑπειλήφασιν, άλλ' ὡς ὑφεστὼς μὲν καὶ ζῶν, προών τε καὶ προϋπάρχων τῆς τοῦ παντὸς κόσμου συστάσεως. | ἄρχειν δὲ τῶν

3.2.8 | With these things set up, it follows that, along with all that has been said, one should also say from his face, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works." And if he says he was created, he does not mean as coming from non-existence into being, nor like the other creations that came from non-existence, as some wrongly assume. Rather, he exists as one who is present and alive, having come before and existing before the creation of the whole world. And he is set to rule over all by the Lord, the same Father, where

όλων ὑπὸ κυρίου, τοῦ αύτοῦ πατρός, κατατεταγμένος, τοῦ »ἔκτισεν« ένταῦθα άντὶ τοῦ κατέταξεν ἢ κατέστησεν είρημένου.

"created" here means "appointed" or "established."

3.2.9 | διαρρήδην γοῦν τοὺς έν άνθρώποις ἄρχοντας καὶ ἡγεμόνας κτίσιν ώνόμασεν ὁ είπὼν άπόστολος »ὑποτάγητε πάση κτίσει άνθρωπίνη διὰ τὸν κύριον· εἴτε βασιλεῖ ὡς ὑπερέχοντι, εἴτε ἡγεμόσιν ὡς δι' αὐτοῦ πεμπομένοις«.

3.2.9 | Clearly, the apostle who said this named the rulers and leaders among humans as creations, saying, "Submit to every human creation for the Lord; whether to the king as being in authority, or to governors as sent by him."

3.2.10 | καὶ ὁ είπὼν δὲ προφήτης ὲτοιμάζου τοῦ έπικαλεῖσθαι τὸν θεόν σου, Ίσραήλ. διότι ίδοὺ στερεῶν βροντὴν καὶ κτίζων πνεῦμα καὶ ἀναγγέλλων είς ἀνθρώπους τὸν Χριστὸν αὐτοῦ« καὶ τὸ »γραφήτω αὐτη είς γενεὰν ἐτέραν, καὶ λαὸς ὁ κτιζόμενος αἰνέσει τὸν κύριον« τὸ κτίζων 〈ούκ〉 ἐπὶ τοῦ γεγονότος έξ ἀνυπαρξίας παρείληφεν. ού γὰρ τότε ἔκτισεν ὁ θεὸς τὸ πνεῦμα, ὅτε τὸν Χριστὸν αὐτοῦ δι' αὐτοῦ πᾶσιν άνθρώποις κατήγγειλεν· οὐδὲν γὰρ »πρόσφατον ὑπὸ τὸν ἤλιον«.

3.2.10 | And the prophet who said this prepared for Israel to call upon their God. For behold, he strengthens thunder and creates spirit and announces to people his Christ. And it is written, "Let this be written for another generation, and a people that is created will praise the Lord." The one who creates is not taken from what did not exist. For God did not create the spirit at the time when he announced his Christ to all people; for there is nothing "new under the sun."

3.2.11 | άλλ' ἦν μὲν καὶ προϋπῆρχεν, άπεστέλλετο δὲ καθ' ὂν καιρὸν ἦσαν οὶ ἀπόστολοι συνηγμένοι· ὅτε δίκην βροντῆς »έγένετο ἦχος έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ, ὤσπερ φερομένης πνοῆς βιαίας«, έπληρώθησάν τε »πνεύματος ὰγίου«, καὶ οὕτως είς πάντας άνθρώπους τὸν Χριστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ κατήγγειλαν άκολούθως τῆ προφητεία φησάση »διότι ίδοὺ στερεῶν βροντὴν καὶ κτίζων πνεῦμα καὶ άναγγέλλων είς άνθρώπους τὸν Χριστὸν αύτοὺ«. τοῦ μὲν »κτίζων« άντὶ τοῦ καταπέμπων ἡ κατατάσσων είρημένου, τῆς δὲ βροντῆς καθ' ἔτερον τρόπον τὸ εὐαγγελικὸν

3.2.11 | But he was indeed existing and had come before, and he was sent at the time when the apostles were gathered. When there was a sound from heaven like the rushing of a mighty wind, they were filled with the Holy Spirit, and thus they announced the Christ of God to all people, following the prophecy that says, "For behold, he strengthens thunder and creates spirit and announces to people his Christ." The word "creates" here means instead of "sending down" or "appointing," while the thunder shows in another way the gospel message. And the one who says, "Create in

κήρυγμα δηλούσης. καὶ ὁ λέγων δὲ »καρδίαν καθαρὰν κτίσον έν έμοί, ὁ θεός«, ούχ ὼς μὴ ἔχων καρδίαν τοῦτ' ἔλεγεν, καθαρὰν δὲ αὐτῷ τὴν διάνοιαν ἀποτελεσθῆναι ηὔχετο. me a clean heart, O God," does not say this as if he did not have a heart, but he was praying for his mind to be made pure.

3.2.12 | οὕτως εἴρηται καὶ τὸ »ἴνα τοὺς δύο κτίση είς ένα καινὸν ἄνθρωπον« άντὶ τοῦ συναγάγη· ὄρα μήποτε τοιοῦτόν έστιν καὶ τὸ »ένδύσασθε τὸν καινὸν ἄνθρωπον τὸν κατὰ θεὸν κτισθέντα« καὶ τὸ »εἴ τις οὖν έν Χριστῷ, καινὴ κτίσις« καὶ ὄσα ἄλλα εὕροι άν τις τοιουτότροπα την θεόπνευστον γραφήν διερευνώμενος. μή θαυμάσης οὖν εί μεταφορικῶς καὶ έν τῷ »κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὸδῶν αύτοῦ« τὸ ἔκτισεν άντὶ τοῦ κατέστησεν ἢ κατέταξεν είς τὸ ἄρχειν εἵρηται, έπεὶ καὶ έν Εύαγγελίοις λεγομένου ύπὸ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν τοῦ έξομολογοῦμαί σοι, πάτερ, κύριε τοῦ ούρανοῦ καὶ τῆς γῆς. ότι άπέκρυψας ταῦτα άπὸ σοφῶν καὶ συνετῶν, καὶ άπεκάλυψας αύτὰ νηπίοις« ού τὴν τῶν ὰμαρτημάτων ὁμολογίαν | (καθ' ο λέλεκται έν επέροις »έξομολογεῖσθε άλλήλοις τὰς ὰμαρτίας«) φαμὲν ὑπὸ τοῦ σωτῆρος δηλοῦσθαι, άλλὰ τὴν έπὶ τοῖς νηπίοις εύχαριστίαν άντὶ τοῦ »εύχαριστῶ σοι, πάτερ, κύριε τοῦ ούρανοῦ καὶ τῆς γῆς« τοῦ έξομολογεῖσθαι λελεγμένου.

3.2.12 | Thus it is also said, "That he might create the two into one new man" instead of "gathering them together." Look, perhaps this is also true for "put on the new man created according to God" and "if anyone is in Christ, he is a new creation," and all the other similar phrases one might find by examining the God-inspired scripture. So do not be amazed if in a figurative sense the phrase "the Lord created me the beginning of his ways" uses "created" instead of "established" or "appointed to lead," since it is also said in the Gospels by our Savior, "I thank you, Father, Lord of heaven and earth, that you have hidden these things from the wise and understanding and revealed them to little children." This does not refer to the confession of sins (as it is said in another place, "confess your sins to one another"), but rather to the thanksgiving to the little children instead of the "I thank you, Father, Lord of heaven and earth" that has been said.

3.2.13 | μυρία δ΄ ἄν τις εὕροι σχολῆ ζητήσας τῷ κατὰ μεταφορὰν τρόπῳ δι' ὅλης τῆς θείας γραφῆς είρημένα, καὶ ἄλλα πολύσημον ἔχοντα τὴν διάνοιαν, καὶ ὁμωνύμως ἔτερα διαφόρων πραγμάτων κατηγορούμενα. περὶ ὧν μακρὸν ᾶν εἵη καὶ ού τοῦ παρόντος καιροῦ διεξιέναι.

3.2.13 | If someone were to search carefully through all of the divine scripture, they would find countless examples said in a figurative way, and many other phrases that have deep meaning, as well as others that are named the same but refer to different things. Discussing these would take a long time and is not suitable for the present moment.

3.2.14 | οὕτως οὖν καὶ ένταῦθα τὸ »κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὸδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ« άντὶ τοῦ κατέταξέν με είς τὸ ἄρχειν τῶν ἔργων αὐτοῦ λέλεκται· διὸ ούχ ὰπλῶς »ἔκτισέν με« εἴρηται, άλλὰ μετὰ προσθήκης τῆς »άρχὴν ὸδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ.«

3.2.14 | So here too, the phrase "the Lord created me the beginning of his ways for his works" is said instead of "he appointed me to lead his works." Therefore, it is not simply said "he created me," but with the addition of "the beginning of his ways for his works."

3.2.15 | τοῦτο δὲ μάλιστα παρίστησιν ἡ Έβραϊκὴ λέξις. εί γοῦν τις έξακριβάσαιτο τὸν άληθῆ νοῦν τῆς θεοπνεύστου γραφῆς, εὕροι ἀν τὴν Ἑβραϊκὴν ἀνάγνωσιν ού περιέχουσαν τὸ »ἔκτισέν με«, διόπερ ούδὲ τῶν λοιπῶν ἑρμηνευτῶν ταύτῃ τις κέχρηται τῆ λέξει. αὐτίκα δ' οὖν ὁ μὲν Άκύλας κύριος ἐκτήσατό με κεφάλαιον τῶν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ« εἴρηκεν. ὁ δὲ Σύμμαχος »κύριος ἐκτήσατό με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ«, ὁ δὲ Θεοδοτίων »κύριος ἐκτήσατό με άρχὴν ὁδοῦ αὐτοῦ«.

3.2.15 | This is especially shown by the Hebrew word. If someone were to examine the true meaning of the God-inspired scripture closely, they would find that the Hebrew reading does not include "he created me," which is why none of the other translators have used this wording. Immediately, Aquila says, "the Lord acquired me as the head of his ways." The Symmachus says, "the Lord acquired me as the beginning of his ways," while Theodotion says, "the Lord acquired me as the beginning of his way."

3.2.16 | καὶ ἔχοι γ' ἂν λόγον ἡ ἐρμηνεία. τὸ γὰρ κεφάλαιον τῆς τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων, ὸρωμένων τε καὶ άφανῶν, συστάσεώς τε καὶ σωτηρίας αύτὸς ἦν, ὂν έγέννα μὲν ὁ πατήρ υίὸν μονογενῆ, γεννήσας δὲ κατέταττεν σωτῆρα τῶν ὅλων, άνακεφαλαιούμενος έν αύτῷ καὶ δι' αύτοῦ τὴν διάταξιν τοῦ παντός, ὼς έδίδαξεν ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος είπὼν »άνακεφαλαιώσασθαι πάντα έν τῷ Χριστῷ, τὰ έπὶ τοῖς ούρανοῖς καὶ τὰ έπὶ τῆς γῆς«, ὼς μὴ μόνον τὰ σύμπαντα δι' αύτοῦ συστῆναι έκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος είς τὸ εἶναι προελθόντα, άλλὰ καὶ τῆς τῶν ὅλων διοικήσεως τὴν πρόνοιαν άναδέχεσθαι αύτὸν ἄτε λόγον ὄντα καὶ σοφίαν καὶ ζωὴν παντός τε καλοῦ καὶ άγαθοῦ πλήρωμα, ώς

3.2.16 | And the translation makes sense. For the head of all created things, both seen and unseen, was himself the one who was born. The Father generated a unique Son, and having given birth, he appointed him as the savior of all, summarizing in him and through him the order of everything, as the divine apostle taught, saying, "to sum up all things in Christ, both things in heaven and things on earth." This means that not only did all things come into being through him from nothing, but he also takes on the care of the governance of all, being the Word, wisdom, and life, the fullness of all that is good and beautiful, so that through him all things are governed and saved.

δι' αύτοῦ κυβερνᾶσθαι καὶ διασώζεσθαι τὰ σύμπαντα.

3.2.17 | τοῦτο δὲ καὶ διὰ τῶν προτεθέντων λογίων αὐτὸς παρίστη, δι' ὧν ἔφασκεν δι' έμοῦ βασιλεῖς βασιλεύουσιν, καὶ οὶ δυνάσται γράφουσιν δικαιοσύνην· δι' έμοῦ μεγιστᾶνες μεγαλύνονται, καὶ τύραννοι δι' έμοῦ κρατοῦσι γῆς«.

3.2.17 | This is also shown through the previously mentioned sayings, in which it was said, "through me kings reign, and rulers write justice; through me nobles are honored, and tyrants rule the earth."

3.2.18 | λόγοις δ' οὖν ἀρρήτοις τῆς καθόλου σοφίας τε καὶ προνοίας τοῦ υὶοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ τὰ σύμπαντα διοικεῖται. ταῦτ' οὖν διὰ τῶν προεκτεθέντων ἐδίδασκεν, προὕτρεπέν τε ἔχεσθαι αὐτοῦ φάσκων »έγὼ τοὺς έμὲ φιλοῦντας άγαπῶ, | οὶ δὲ έμὲ ζητοῦντες εὑρήσουσιν«, ἀπέτρεπέν τε τῆς έναντίας ὸδοῦ δι' ὧν έπήγαγεν »έμίσησα δὲ έγὼ διεστραμμένας ὸδοὺς κακῶν«.

3.2.18 | With unspoken words, the whole wisdom and providence of the Son of God governs all things. Therefore, through the previously mentioned teachings, he taught these things, encouraging to hold on to him, saying, "I love those who love me, and those who seek me will find me." He also turned away from the opposite path, saying, "But I hated the crooked ways of evil."

3.2.19 | καὶ δὴ ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τούτοις άδελφὰ ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ υὶὸς (αὐτὸς γὰρ ἦν ἡ σοφία) διαστειλάμενος, ἑξῆς τοῖς δι' αὐτῶν προωφελημένοις τὴν μυστικὴν περὶ ἑαυτοῦ γνῶσιν παραδίδωσιν λέγων »έὰν ἀναγγείλω ὑμῖν τὰ καθ' ἡμέραν γιγνόμενα, μνημονεύσω τὰ έξ αίῶνος ἀριθμῆσαι«.

3.2.19 | And indeed, the Son of God, who was wisdom itself, having distinguished these things and their siblings, next gives the secret knowledge about himself to those who benefit from them, saying, "If I announce to you the things that happen every day, I will remember the things that have been counted from eternity."

3.2.20 | εί γὰρ μέλλοιμι, φησίν, τὰ έφ' 
ἐκάστης ἡμέρας ὑπ' έμοῦ πραττόμενα 
διδάσκειν, ἀνάγκη καὶ τῶν έξ ἀρχῆς τοῦ 
παντὸς αίῶνος ἔργων έμαυτοῦ 
μνημονεῦσαι καὶ παραστῆσαι ὡς έπὶ τούτῳ 
με ὁ γεννήσας πατὴρ ἄρχειν τῶν ὅλων 
κατέστησεν, έφ' ῷ τε ἡγεῖσθαι τῶν ὁδῶν 
αὐτοῦ καὶ τῶν ὑπ' αὐτοῦ δι' έμοῦ

3.2.20 | For if I am to teach the things that are done by me each day, he says, I must also remember the works from the beginning of all time and show how the Father who begot me appointed me to rule over all things, in which I lead the paths of his works made through me. Therefore, he goes on to say, "The Lord created me as the

πεποιημένων ἔργων. διὸ ἀκολούθως ἐπάγει τὸ κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ«, ἢ »κύριος ἐκτήσατό με« κατὰ τὴν ἀποδοθεῖσαν ἑρμηνείαν.

beginning of his ways for his works," or "The Lord acquired me," according to the given interpretation.

3.2.21 | τὸ γὰρ μέγα κτῆμα τοῦ θεοῦ ὁ μονογενὴς υὶὸς ἦν, καθ' ὁ μὲν έξ αὐτοῦ γεγένητο υὶὸς ὢν αὐτοῦ, καθ' ὁ δὲ τοῖς πᾶσιν κοινωφελὴς καὶ σωτήριος καθέστηκεν, τὸ μέγιστον καὶ τιμιώτατον κτῆμα τοῦ πατρὸς ὑπάρχων τε καὶ ώνομασμένος. οὐδὲ γὰρ ἄλλο τι γένοιτ' ἄν πατρὶ υὶοῦ τιμιώτερον κτῆμα. ἔνθεν καὶ ὁ πρωτόπλαστος Άδὰμ ὅτε τὸν έν ἀνθρώποις πρῶτον υὶὸν έκτήσατο, έλέχθη καὶ ἐπ' έκείνῳ έκτησάμην ἄνθρωπον διὰ τοῦ θεοῦ«, τῆς Ἑβραϊκῆς φωνῆς ἀντὶ τοῦ »έκτησάμην« κανιδες τι περιεχούσης.

3.2.21 | For the great possession of God was the only-begotten Son, who, being his Son, came from him, and who has been established as beneficial and saving for all. He exists as the greatest and most precious possession of the Father, both being and being called such. For nothing else could be a more precious possession for a father than a son. Hence, when the first-created Adam acquired the first son among men, it was said about him, "I have acquired a man through God," using the Hebrew word instead of "I have acquired."

3.2.22 | τὸ δὲ »έκτήσατο« κανα κανα παρ' Έβραίοις έκφωνεῖται. οὕτως οὖν έπὶ τοῦ Άβραὰμ εἴρηται »τὸν άγρὸν« »ὂν έκτήσατο Άβραάμ«, άνθ' οὖ τὸ Έβραϊκὸν κανα παρ' Έβραίοις καὶ έν τῷ »κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοὺ«. καὶ ένταῦθα γὰρ κειμένης τῆς κανα λέξεως, τὸ »έκτήσατο« πάντες συμφώνως έκδεδώκασιν οὶ ἑρμηνευταί·

3.2.22 | But the word "acquired" is pronounced as "kanah" among the Hebrews. Thus, it is said about Abraham, "the field which Abraham acquired," where the Hebrew "kanah" is included, with the same word being used among the Hebrews in "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works." And here too, since the word "kanah" is present, all the interpreters agree that "acquired" is the correct translation.

3.2.23 | τὸ δὲ »ἔκτισεν« παραλέλεκται παρ' Έβραίοις, ὅπερ οὐ κεῖται ἐπὶ τῆς προκειμένης γραφῆς. πλείστη δ' ἀν γένοιτο τοῦ »ἔκτισεν« καὶ τοῦ »έκτήσατο« διαφορὰ τῷ τὴν μὲν κτίσιν κατὰ τὴν κοινοτέραν διάνοιαν τὴν ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος είς τὸ εἶναι πάροδον σημαίνειν, τὴν δὲ κτῆσιν τοῦ προϋπάρχοντος ἰδιάζουσαν οίκειότητα

3.2.23 | But the word "created" is used among the Hebrews, which does not appear in the text at hand. There would be a great difference between "created" and "acquired," since the former generally means the act of bringing something from non-existence into existence, while the latter refers to a special relationship of

πρὸς τὸν κτώμενον.

ownership towards something that already exists.

3.2.24 | λέγων τοίνυν ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ »κύριος έκτήσατο με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ εἰς ἔργα αὐτοῦ«, ὁμοῦ καὶ τὴν προὅπαρξιν αὐτοῦ έδήλου καὶ τὴν ἱδιάζουσαν πρὸς τὸν πατέρα οἰκειότητα, ὁμοῦ καὶ τὸ χρήσιμον καὶ ἀναγκαῖον τῆς οἰκείας περὶ τὰ πατρῷα ἔργα προνοίας τε καὶ διοικήσεως.

3.2.24 | So, when the Son of God says, "The Lord acquired me as the beginning of his ways for his works," he shows both his pre-existence and his special relationship with the Father. At the same time, he highlights the usefulness and necessity of his role in the Father's works of care and management.

3.2.25 | διὸ ἐξῆς ἐπάγει »πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος έθεμελίωσέν με, έν άρχῃ πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι, πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ύδάτων, πρὸ τοῦ ὄρη ἐδρασθῆναι, πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννᾳ με«· δι' ὧν ὰπάντων τὸ έξ αύτοῦ λυσιτελὲς καὶ άναγκαῖον τοῖς πᾶσιν ένδείκνυται, ὅτι τε ἦν καὶ προῆν καὶ τοῦ σύμπαντος κόσμου προϋπῆρχέν τε καὶ καθηγεῖτο άναγκαίως διδάσκων. Μωσέως γὰρ έν τῆ κοσμοποιία τῶν μὲν ύπερκοσμίων καὶ άφανῶν δυνάμεων μηδεμίαν μνήμην πεποιημένου διὰ τὸ άτελὲς τῶν δι' αύτοῦ παιδαγωγουμένων, τοῦ δὲ ὁρατοῦ κόσμου τὴν σύστασιν διεξελθόντος, τεττάρων τε στοιχείων έν άρχῃ μνημονεύσαντος, ούρανοῦ καὶ γῆς καὶ άβύσσου καὶ ὕδατος, καὶ δύο μὲν πεποιῆσθαι ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ είρηκότος (»έν άρχῆ« γάρ φησιν »έποίησεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν ούρανὸν καὶ τὴν γῆν«), ούκέτι δὲ ὁμοίως καὶ έπὶ τοῦ ὕδατος καὶ τῆς άβύσσου μνησθέντος ώς άρα είη καὶ ταῦτα γενητά, άλλ' ὰπλῶς είρηκότος »καὶ σκότος έπάνω τῆς άβύσσου· καὶ πνεῦμα θεοῦ έπεφέρετο έπάνω τοῦ ὕδατος«. άναγκαίως διὰ τῶν προκειμένων διδάσκει καὶ περὶ αύτῶν ὸ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ ὅτι τε γενητὰ εἵη καὶ ὡς αύτὸς πρὸ πάντων εἵη καὶ δι' αύτοῦ τὰ

3.2.25 | Therefore, it follows that "Before the ages, he established me, at the beginning before he made the earth, before the springs of the waters came forth, before the mountains were set, before all the hills, he gave birth to me." Through all these things, it shows that his existence is useful and necessary for all, that he was and existed before the whole universe, and that he necessarily leads, teaching. For Moses, in the creation of the world, made no mention of the heavenly and invisible powers because of the incompleteness of those being taught through him. But he went through the creation of the visible world, mentioning the four elements at the beginning: heaven, earth, abyss, and water. And two were made by God, as it is said, "In the beginning, God made the heaven and the earth." But he did not similarly mention the water and the abyss as if these were also created, but simply said, "And darkness was over the abyss; and the Spirit of God was moving over the waters." Necessarily, through these things, the Son of God teaches that they are created and that he himself is before all and that through him all things were made.

πάντα πεποίητο.

3.2.26 | διό φησιν »πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος έθεμελίωσέν με, έν άρχῆ πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι καὶ πρὸ τοῦ τὰς άβύσσους ποιῆσαι, πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων«. τριῶν δὲ τούτων μνημονεύσας, γῆς καὶ άβύσσου καὶ ὕδατος, τὸ τέταρτον έπάγει τελευταῖον τὸ κρεῖττον φυλάξας τὸν ούρανόν· διὸ έπιφέρει ἑξῆς »ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανὸν συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«.

3.2.26 | For this reason, he says, "Before the ages, he established me, at the beginning before he made the earth and before he made the abysses, before the springs of the waters came forth." After mentioning these three—earth, abyss, and water—he brings in the fourth, keeping the greater one, heaven, last. Therefore, he continues, "When he prepared the heaven, he was present with him."

3.2.27 | τούτων τοίνυν ὑπὸ τῆς θείας γραφῆς ἐναργῶς παρισταμένων, βραχείας τε παρ' ἡμῶν ὡς ἐν ἐπιτομῆ διηγήσεως τετυχηκότων, ἐπακούσωμεν ὅπως Μάρκελλος χαμαὶ βαλὼν τὴν διάνοιαν ταῦτα πάντα διισχυρίζεται περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς εἰρῆσθαι, ῆς ἀνείληφεν ὁ σωτήρ, γράφων κατὰ λέξιν τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον

3.2.27 | Therefore, since these things are clearly presented by divine scripture, let us briefly recount, as in a summary of the narrative, how Markellos, having lowered his mind, insists on all these things being said about the flesh, which the Savior took on, writing in this exact way.

3.2.28 | (Nr. 10) τούτου τοίνυν οὔτως ἔχοντος, ἀκόλουθόν ἐστιν σκοπεῖν τῆ διανοία τὸ παροιμιωδῶς είρημένον τουτὶ κεφάλαιον »κύριος ἔκτισέν με ἀρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ«. ἔκτισεν γὰρ άληθῶς τὸ μὴ ὂν πεποιηκὼς ὁ δεσπότης ἡμῶν ὁ θεός· ούκ οὖσαν γὰρ τὴν σάρκα, ἢν ἀνείληφεν ὁ λόγος, άλλὰ μὴ οὖσαν ἕκτισεν. καὶ ἐπιλέγει (Nr. 17) ούκοῦν εί καὶ τὰ μάλιστα ἐπ' ἐσχάτων τῶν καιρῶν τουτὶ καινὸν ἐπεφάνη μυστήριον, ὼς | διὰ τοῦτο πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος τούτου προωρίσθαι, είκότως ὁ προφήτης ἔφη »πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος έθεμελίωσέν με«, δηλονότι τὴν σάρκα.

3.2.28 | Therefore, with this in mind, it is fitting to consider the proverbially stated chapter, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways." For truly, he created what did not exist, our Lord God; for the flesh, which the Word took on, did not exist, but he created it as not existing. And he chooses (Nr. 17) therefore, if even at the very end of times this new mystery has appeared, it is rightly said that before this age, it was foreordained, as the prophet said, "Before the ages, he established me," meaning the flesh.

εἶτα »έν άρχῆ« φησὶν »πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι«. γῆν ποίαν ταύτην 〈ἡ〉 δηλονότι τὴν ἡμετέραν σάρκα, τὴν μετὰ τὴν παρακοὴν γῆν αὖθις γενομένην; »γῆ« γὰρ »εἶ« φησὶν »καὶ είς γῆν άπελεύση«. ὑρᾶς ὄσον διήμαρτεν, τῆς μὲν εύθείας έκτραπείς, τραχεῖαν δὲ καὶ δύσβατον καὶ ὤσπερ τινὰ άνεξίτητον ὲαυτῷ περινοήσας ὁδόν.

18), "In the beginning," he says, "before he made the earth." What kind of earth is this, or rather, is it our flesh, which after the disobedience became earth again? For he says, "You are earth, and to the earth you shall return." You see how far he has strayed, turning away from the straight path and thinking of a rough and hard road, as if it were some kind of indelible path for himself.

3.2.30 | θέα γοῦν ὅπως έξεβιάσατο πάντα μᾶλλον άναίσχυντον καὶ άναιδῆ λόγον φθέγξασθαι ὑπομείνας ἡ τὴν σοφίαν αὐτὸν εἶναι τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ ὁμολογῆσαι.

3.2.30 | Indeed, how he was forced to speak a more shameless and bold word, rather than confess that the wisdom itself is the Son of God.

3.2.31 | τὸ μὲν οὖν »κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὸδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ« τῷ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἀναφέρει προσώπῳ, ὸμολογῶν αὐτὸν εἶναι τὸν έν Παροιμίαις ταῦτα φάσκοντα. λέγει δ' οὖν αὐτοῖς ῥήμασιν (Nr. 11) οὐκοῦν εἰκότως τῶν άρχαίων παρεληλυθότων, καινῶν δὲ ἔσεσθαι μελλόντων ἀπάντων διὰ τῆς τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν καινότητος. ὁ δεσπότης ἡμῶν ὁ Χριστὸς διὰ τοῦ προφήτου έβόα λέγων »κύριος ἕκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ«.

3.2.31 | So then, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works" refers to the person of the Savior, confessing that he is the one who speaks these things in Proverbs. And he says to them with these words (Nr. 11), "Surely, it is not in vain that the ancient things have passed, and all new things are about to come through the newness of our Savior." Our Lord Christ cried out through the prophet, saying, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways."

3.2.32 | τοῦτο δ' είπὼν έπὶ τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν καταβάλλει τὴν διάνοιαν, ἐξῆς έπιλέγων (Nr. 10) ἔκτισεν γὰρ άληθῶς τὸ μὴ ὂν πεποιηκὼς ὁ δεσπότης ἡμῶν ὁ θεός· οὐκ οὖσαν γὰρ τὴν σάρκα, ἢν άνείληφεν ὁ λόγος, άλλὰ μὴ οὖσαν »ἔκτισεν άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ«. καὶ ού συνίησιν ὁ γενναῖος ὼς μία τίς έστιν ἔκφρασις καὶ ἕν πρώσωπον τυγχάνει τὸ φῆσαν »έγὼ ἡ σοφία κατεσκήνωσα βουλήν« καὶ τὸ

3.2.32 | Having said this, he brings down the understanding about the flesh of our Savior, choosing next (Nr. 10) "For truly, our Lord God created what does not exist." For the flesh, which the Word took on, was not existing, but "he created the beginning of his ways." And the brave one does not understand how there is one expression and one person in saying, "I, Wisdom, have made my home in counsel," and "The Lord

»κύριος ἕκτισέν με«· ὤστε εί έπὶ τὴν σάρκα άνάγοιτο θάτερον, άνάγκη καὶ τὸ ἔτερον· καὶ ἡ σοφία ἔσται ἡ τὰ άμφότερα λέγουσα.

created me." So if one were to refer to the flesh, it is necessary to also refer to the other; and Wisdom will be the one saying both.

3.2.33 | εί δὲ ἡ σάρξ λέγοι κατὰ Μάρκελλον τὸ κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοὺ«, αὕτη ἂν εἵη ἡ σοφία· καὶ ἔσται ἡ σὰρξ ἡ φάσκουσα »δι' έμοῦ βασιλεῖς βασιλεύουσιν« καὶ »δι' έμοῦ μεγιστᾶνες μεγαλύνονται«. πῶς δ' ἂν εἵποι ἡ σὰρξ τὸ »μνημονεύσω τὰ έξ αίῶνος άριθμῆσαι«, οἷς έπάγει τὸ »κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ«; ὁ δὲ καὶ τίνες αὶ όδοὶ παρίστησιν λέγων ἑξῆς (Νr. 12) οὖτος γὰρ ἡμῖν τοῖς δικαίως πολιτεύεσθαι μέλλουσιν θεοσεβείας ὁδὸς γέγονεν· άρχὴ πασῶν τῶν μετὰ ταῦτα ὁδῶν.

3.2.33 | But if the flesh were to say according to Marcellus, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways," this would be Wisdom. And the flesh would be the one saying, "Through me kings reign," and "Through me rulers are honored." But how could the flesh say, "I will remember the things from eternity to count," to which it leads to "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways"? And what are the ways that he presents, saying next (Nr. 12), "For this has become the way of true worship for us who are about to live righteously; it is the beginning of all the ways that follow after this."

3.2.34 | καὶ προστίθησιν (Nr. 12) »άρχὴν« δὲ »ὸδῶν« διὰ τοῦτο είκότως εἴρηκεν τὸν δεσπότην ἡμῶν τὸν σωτῆρα, διότι καὶ τῶν ὲτέρων, ὧν έσχήκαμεν, ὁδῶν μετὰ τὴν πρώτην ὸδὸν άρχὴ γέγονεν, τὰς διὰ τῶν ὶερῶν ἀποστόλων δηλῶν παραδόσεις τῶν »μετὰ ὑψηλοῦ« κατὰ τὴν προφητείαν »κηρύγματος« κηρυξάντων ἡμῖν τὸ καινὸν τοῦτο μυστήριον. ταῦτα Μάρκελλος. |

3.2.34 | And he adds (Nr. 12) "the beginning of ways" for this reason, our Lord the Savior rightly said, because it has become the beginning of the other ways that follow after the first way, which are shown through the sacred traditions of the holy apostles, proclaiming this new mystery according to the prophecy of the "preaching." This is what Marcellus says.

### Section 3

3.3.1 | 3 εί μὲν οὖν μὴ καὶ τὴν Μωσέως καὶ τῶν μετὰ Μωσέα προφητῶν παρεδέχετο γραφήν, εἶχεν ἄν τινα εἰκότα αὐτῷ λόγον ἡ ἀπό δόσις· έπεὶ δὲ οὐ τῶν άθετούντων έστὶν τὴν παλαιὰν διαθήκην, τί δή ποτε τοὺς μὲν ἀποστόλους καὶ τούτων τὰς

3.3.1 | 3 If he did not accept the writings of Moses and the prophets after Moses, he would have had some reasonable argument for his opinion. But since it is not those who reject the old covenant, why then did he name the apostles and their teachings as

διδασκαλίας όδοὺς ώνόμασεν, ούχὶ δὲ πρόσεσχεν τοῖς τοῦ θεοῦ προφήταις μυρία περὶ ὁδῶν θεοῦ γεγραφόσιν; πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ Μωσῆς ὧδε γράφων ἔλεγεν »ὁδῷ βασιλικῆ πορεύση« καὶ »ίδοὺ τέθεικα πρὸ προσώπου σου τὴν ὁδὸν τῆς ζωῆς καὶ τὴν ὁδὸν τοῦ θανάτου«,

ways, and not pay attention to the many things written by the prophets of God about the ways of God? For first, Moses wrote here saying, "You will walk in the royal way," and "Behold, I have set before you the way of life and the way of death."

3.3.2 | καὶ ὁ Δαυὶδ ὁμοίως »γινώσκει κύριος ὁδὸν δικαίων, καὶ ὁδὸς άσεβῶν άπολεῖται«, καὶ Ἱερεμίας »στῆτε έν ταῖς ὁδοῖς καὶ έρωτήσατε τρίβους κυρίου αίωνίους καὶ ἵδετε ποία έστὶν ἡ ὁδὸς ἡ άγαθή, καὶ πορεύεσθε έν αὐτῆ«· καὶ ἔκαστον δὲ τῶν προφητῶν διαφόρως μνημονεύσαντα τῶν ὁδῶν τοῦ θεοῦ εὑρήσεις.

3.3.2 | And David similarly said, "The Lord knows the way of the righteous, but the way of the wicked will perish," and Jeremiah said, "Stand in the ways and ask for the eternal paths of the Lord, and see what is the good way, and walk in it." And each of the prophets, mentioning the ways of God in different ways, you will find.

3.3.3 | εί τοίνυν ὁ σωτὴρ καὶ κύριος ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς [τοῦ θεοῦ] ἀρχὴν εἶναι τῶν ὁδῶν τοῦ θεοῦ ἐαυτὸν διδάσκει λέγων »κύριος ἔκτισέν με ἀρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ« (πάντως που καὶ Μωσέως καὶ τῶν προφητῶν καὶ τῶν ἔτι ἀνωτέρω κατὰ τὰς ὁδοὺς τοῦ θεοῦ βεβιωκότων ὢν πρεσβύτερος), ἀλλ' οὐχὶ ἡ σὰρξ ἢν ἀνείληφεν ἐκείνων ὰπάντων ὑπῆρχεν προγενεστέρα, οὐκ ὀρθῶς ἐπὶ τὴν σάρκα έξείληπται τὸ λόγιον.

3.3.3 | If then our Savior and Lord Jesus Christ [of God] teaches that he is the beginning of the ways of God, saying, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works" (certainly also being older than Moses and the prophets and those who lived before according to the ways of God), it is not correct to take this saying as referring to the flesh he took from all of them, since that flesh existed before.

3.3.4 | ού διὰ τὴν σάρκα τοίνυν ταῦτ' ἔλεγεν ὁ σωτήρ, διὰ δὲ τὸ προϋπάρχειν αύτὸν καὶ καθηγεῖσθαι πασῶν τῶν ὁδῶν τοῦ θεοῦ, ας πάντες οὶ πάλαι θεοφιλεῖς ἄνδρες ὼδεύκασιν.

3.3.4 | Therefore, the Savior did not say these things because of the flesh, but because of his preexistence and his leading of all the ways of God, which all the ancient God-loving men followed.

3.3.5 | έπεὶ δὲ ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος λέγων »ὧ βάθος πλούτου καὶ σοφίας καὶ γνώσεως θεοῦ· ὡς ἀνεξερεύνητα τὰ κρίματα αύτοῦ,

3.3.5 | Since the divine apostle says, "Oh, the depth of the riches and wisdom and knowledge of God! How unsearchable are

καὶ ἀνεξιχνίαστοι αὶ ὁδοὶ αὐτοῦ« ἐτέρας τινὰς ὁδοὺς ὑποβάλλει, τὰς προνοητικὰς τῶν ὅλων, δι' ὧν ἀπορρήτω κρίσει καὶ λόγοις άλήπτοις τὰ σύμπαντα διακυβερνῷ θείᾳ δυνάμει, ἀκόλουθόν έστιν καὶ τούτων τῶν ὁδῶν ἀρχὴν εἶναι λέγειν τὸν είρηκότα »κύριος ἔκτισέν με ἀρχὴν ὸδών αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ«. ὁ δὲ μὴ νοήσας τὰς ὁδοὺς τοῦ θεοῦ, τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν | ἀρχὴν τούτων εἶναι ἀπεφήνατο.

his judgments, and how inscrutable are his ways," he presents other ways, the providential ones, through which by an unutterable judgment and ungraspable words, he governs all things by divine power. It is fitting to say that the one who said, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works," is the beginning of these ways. But the one who does not understand the ways of God has declared that the flesh of our Savior is the beginning of these.

3.3.6 | έρμηνεύει δὲ καὶ τὸ »είς ἔργα αύτοῦ « φάσκων (Nr. 13) »ἔκτισεν οὖν με « φησὶν »άρχὴν ὸδῶν αύτοῦ είς ἔρχα αὐτοῦ «. ποῖα δὲ ἔργα φησίν; περὶ ὧν ὁ σωτὴρ λέγει »ὸ πατήρ μου ἔως ἄρτι έργάζεται, κάγὼ έργάζομαι «, καὶ αὖθις »τὸ ἔργον « φησὶν έτελείωσα ὁ δέδωκάς μοι «.

3.3.6 | He also explains the phrase "for his works," saying, "Therefore, he created me as the beginning of his ways for his works." What works is he talking about? About which the Savior says, "My Father is working until now, and I am working," and again he says, "I have finished the work that you gave me."

3.3.7 | εἶθ' ὤσπερ διασαφῶν τὴν τῶν είρημένων διάνοιαν έπιλέγει (Nr. 13) τίς γὰρ πρὸ τῆς τῶν πραγμάτων ἀποδείξεως έπίστευσεν ἂν ὅτι λόγος θεοῦ διὰ παρθένου τεχθεὶς τὴν ἡμετέραν ἀναλήψεται σάρκα καὶ τὴν πᾶσαν θεότητα έν αὐτῇ σωματικῶς έπιδείξεται; καὶ ὤσπερ έντελῆ τὴν διάνοιαν ἀποδοὺς ἐπάγει (Nr. 113) οὐκοῦν τοῦτ' ἔστιν τὸ »κύριος ἕκτισέν με άρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἕργα αὐτοὺ«.

3.3.7 | Then, as if clarifying the meaning of what has been said, he asks, "Who would have believed before the proof of things that the word of God, having been born of a virgin, would take on our flesh and show all divinity in it physically?" And just as he gives a complete understanding, he leads to this: "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works."

3.3.8 | καὶ ταῦτα δὲ ἔγραφεν, ού δυνηθεὶς τοὺς τῆς διανοίας όφθαλμοὺς »είς ὕψος« ἄραι καὶ τῷ προφήτῃ παραπλησίως είπεῖν »ὅτι ὅψομαι τοὺς ούρανούς, ἔργα τῶν δακτύλων σου, σελήνην καὶ ἀστέρας ἃ σὺ έθεμελίωσας« ούδὲ μνημονεύσας τοῦ

3.3.8 | And he wrote these things, unable to lift the eyes of his mind "to the heights," and to say similarly to the prophet, "For I will see the heavens, the works of your fingers, the moon and the stars that you have established," nor remembering the

προφητικοῦ λόγου, δι' οὖ εἵρηται τὰ δὲ ἔργα κυρίου οὐκ έμβλέπουσιν, καὶ τὰ ἔργα τῶν χειρῶν αὐτοῦ οὐ κατανοοῦσιν«. prophetic word, through which it is said that they do not look at the works of the Lord, and they do not understand the works of his hands.

3.3.9 | εί γὰρ τούτοις προσεσχήκει, ἔγνω ἂν ὅτι πρὸ ούρανοῦ καὶ γῆς καὶ πρὸ τοῦ σύμπαντος κόσμου καὶ ού πρὸ τῶν ὁρατῶν μόνον άλλὰ καὶ πρὸ τῶν νοητῶν ἔργων τοῦ θεοῦ, τῶν ἐν ἀσωμάτοις καὶ ὑπερκοσμίοις δυνάμεσιν ἐν ὑποστάσει ὄντων, ἦν καὶ προῆν ὁ ταῦτα λέγων. ὁ δὲ μεταβὰς ἐπὶ τὸ »πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος ἐθεμελίωσέν με«, πάλιν τὴν σάρκα είς μέσον ἄγει λέγων οὕτως (Nr. 14) θεμέλιον τοῦτον όνομάζων, τὴν κατὰ σάρκα αὐτοῦ προορισθεῖσαν οίκονομίαν.

3.3.9 | For if he had paid attention to these things, he would have known that before heaven and earth and before the whole universe, and not only before the visible things but also before the invisible works of God, which exist in incorporeal and supernal powers, he was already there and existed. But moving to "He established me before the ages," he again brings the flesh into the middle, calling this foundation the arrangement made according to his flesh.

3.3.10 | ώς καὶ ὁ ἀπόστολος λέγει »θεμέλιον γὰρ ἄλλον ούδεὶς δύναται θεῖναι παρὰ τὸν κείμενον, ὄς έστιν Ἰησοῦς Χριστός«. καὶ έπιλέγει (Nr. 14) ἐνὸς δὲ αίῶνος ένταῦθα μέμνηται, ἀφ' οὖ τὰ κατὰ τὸν Χριστὸν τεθεμελιῶσθαι ἔφη, καίτοι πολλῶν παρεληλυθότων αίώνων, ὡς ὸ Δαυὶδ ἔφη »ὸ ὑπάρχων πρὸ τῶν αίώνων«.

3.3.10 | As the apostle says, "For no one can lay another foundation than the one that is laid, which is Jesus Christ." And he mentions here the foundation of one age, from which he said that the things concerning Christ are established, even though many ages have passed, as David said, "He who exists before the ages."

3.3.11 | καὶ μεταβὰς ἑξῆς ἐπὶ τὸ »ἐν ἀρχῆ πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι« καὶ τὴν γῆν πάλιν τὴν σάρκα εἶναι λέγει, γράφει δὲ οὕτως (Νr. 18) ποίαν ταύτην τὴν γῆν ἢ δηλονότι τὴν ἡμετέραν σάρκα, τὴν μετὰ τὴν παρακοὴν γῆν αὖθις γενομένην; »γῆ« γὰρ »εἶ« φησὶν »καὶ είς γῆν ἀπελεύση«. ἔδει γὰρ ταύτην ἱάσεως τυχεῖν. καὶ ταῦτα δὲ φάσκει, ού μνημονεύσας ὁ σοφώτατος ὡς καὶ ἀνωτέρω πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι ἐκτίσθαι τὴν σάρκα άρχὴν ὁδῶν τοῦ θεοῦ είρηκὼς ἔτυχεν.

3.3.11 | And moving next to "In the beginning, before making the earth," he again says that the earth is the flesh, and he writes this way about what this earth is, or rather our flesh, which was made again after the disobedience. For he says, "You are earth, and to the earth you shall return." For this needed to receive healing. And he says these things, not remembering that the wisest one also mentioned above that before making the earth, the flesh was created as the beginning of the ways of

3.3.12 | εί δὲ ὅλως διὰ τῆς γῆς τὴν σάρκα έδήλου, πῶς οὐ προϋπάρχειν τῆς σαρκὸς άνάγκη ὁμολογεῖν τὸν λέγοντα | πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι ἑαυτὸν εἶναι, »πρὸ« γὰρ »τοῦ αίῶνος« φησὶν »έθεμελίωσέν με, έν άρχῆ πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι«. ούκοῦν πρὸ τοῦ τὴν σάρκα ποιῆσαι ὁ ταῦτα λέγων ὑπῆρχεν.

3.3.12 | If he clearly showed the flesh through the earth, how can he not agree that he existed before the flesh? For he says, "Before making the earth, he established me; before the age, he laid the foundation." Therefore, the one who says these things existed before making the flesh.

3.3.13 | εί δὲ καὶ μὴ πολλῶν αίώνων έμνημόνευσεν, άλλ' ὲνός, οὖ καὶ ὁ σωτὴρ έμνήσθη είπὼν »οὶ υὶοὶ τοῦ αίῶνος τούτου γαμοῦσιν καὶ γαμίσκονται«, καὶ οὕτως ούχ ἡ σὰρξ ἢν ἀνείληφεν ὁ σωτὴρ ἡμῶν πρὸ τοῦ ένεστῶτος αίῶνος ὑπῆρχεν, άλλ' αὐτὸς ὁ ταῦτα διὰ Σολομῶνος διδάσκων. ὁρᾶς ὅσῃ περιπέπτωκεν δυσχωρία ὁ τῆς εὐθείας καὶ βασιλικῆς παρατραπεὶς ὁδοῦ.

3.3.13 | And if he did not mention many ages, but only one, of which the Savior also remembered when he said, "The sons of this age marry and are given in marriage," then the flesh that our Savior took did not exist before this present age, but he himself taught these things through Solomon. Do you see how much trouble the one who strayed from the straight and royal path has fallen into?

3.3.14 | ὁ δὲ πρὸς ταῖς είρημέναις ἔτι καὶ ταῦτα προστίθησιν λέγων (Nr. 19) »πρὸ τοῦ τὰς ἀβύσσους ποιῆσαι« φησίν ένταῦθα τὰς άβύσσους παροιμιωδῶς ὁ προφήτης τὰς τῶν ἀγίων καρδίας εἶναι λέγει τὰς έν τῷ ἑαυτῶν βάθει τὴν τοῦ πνεύματος έχούσας δωρεάν. καὶ ού συνίησιν ὡς πρὸ τῆς ένσάρκου τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν παρουσίας οὶ ἄγιοι τοῦ θεοῦ προφῆται τοῦ αὐτοῦ μετέσχον πνεύματος, Μωσῆς τε καὶ οὶ ἔτι Μωσέως παλαιότεροι. οὐκοῦν ἀνάγκη τούτων ἀπασῶν τῶν άβύσσων, εί δὴ αὶ τῶν ἀγίων καρδίαι ἦσαν αὖται, παλαιότερον εἶναι τὸν λέγοντα »πρὸ τοῦ τὰς άβύσσους ποιῆσαι«.

3.3.14 | But he also adds these things to what has been said, saying, "Before making the abysses." Here, the prophet speaks metaphorically, saying that the abysses are the hearts of the saints, which have the gift of the spirit deep within themselves. And he does not understand that before the presence of our Savior in the flesh, the holy prophets of God shared the same spirit, including Moses and those even older than Moses. Therefore, it is necessary that all of these abysses, if indeed these are the hearts of the saints, existed before the one who says, "Before making the abysses."

3.3.15 | πῶς οὖν δυνατὸν ἦν ἐπὶ τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ἀναφέρεσθαι ταῦτα; καὶ μὴν εἴ τις αὐτῷ παρῆν τῶν θείων γραφῶν ἐπιστήμη, οὐκ ἀν εὐχερῶς οὕτως ἀπεφαίνετο τὰς τῶν ἀγίων καρδίας εἶναι τὰς άβύσσους, ἐπιστήσας ὡς »σκότος« ἦν »ἐπάνω τῆς άβύσσου« κατὰ τὴν Μωσέως μαρτυρίαν καὶ ὡς πολὺς καὶ δυσερμήνευτος ὁ περὶ αὐτῶν ἐν τῆ θεία γραφῆ φέρεται λόγος. ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἀπόστολος »μὴ εἴπης« φησὶν »τίς ἀναβήσεται είς τὸν οὐρανόν;

3.3.15 | How then could these things be referred to the flesh of the Savior? And if anyone had knowledge of the divine scriptures, he would not easily say that the hearts of the saints are the abysses, having noted that "darkness was over the abyss," according to the testimony of Moses, and that the words about them in the divine scripture are many and hard to explain. For the apostle says, "Do not say, 'Who will ascend into heaven?'"

3.3.16 | τουτέστιν Χριστὸν καταγαγεῖν· ἢ τίς καταβήσεται είς τὴν ἄβυσσον; τουτέστιν Χριστὸν έκ νεκρῶν ἀναγαγεῖν«. ἔοικεν γοῦν έν τούτοις τὰ τοῦ ἄδου χωρία κεκληκέναι ἄβυσσον. καὶ αὐτὸς δὲ ὁ κύριος σαφέστερον τοῦτο παρίστη, έν τῷ πρὸς τὸν Ἰωβ χρηματισμῷ λέγων »ἦλθες δὲ ἐπὶ πηγὴν θαλάσσης, έν δὲ ἵχνεσιν άβύσσου περιεπάτησας; ἀνοίγονται δέ σοι φόβῳ πύλαι θυνάτου, πυλωροὶ δὲ ἄδου ίδόντες σε ἔπτηξαν«;

3.3.16 | That is, to bring Christ down; or who will descend into the abyss? That is, to bring Christ up from the dead. It seems that in these things, the places of Hades are called abysses. And the Lord himself makes this clearer in his message to Job, saying, "Have you come to the source of the sea, and have you walked in the depths of the abyss? The gates of death open to you in fear, and the keepers of Hades, seeing you, trembled."

3.3.17 | καὶ τὰς έν τῆ άβύσσω δὲ δυνάμεις πονηράς, περὶ ὧν εἵρηται »αίνεῖτε αὐτὸν έκ τῆς γῆς, δράκοντες καὶ πᾶσαι αὶ ἄβυσσοι«, καὶ ἔτι τὸ έπάνω τῆς άβύσσου σκότος, περὶ οὖ Μωσῆς ἔφη »καὶ σκότος έπάνω τῆς άβύσσου«, ταῦτα πάντα μαθών, εἵπερ ἦν έπιπόνως άλλὰ μὴ έξιτήλως ταῖς θείαις έντετυχηκὼς γραφαῖς, | ούκ ἂν τὰς τῶν ὰγίων καρδίας έτόλμα είπεῖν τὰς άβύσσους,

3.3.17 | And the evil powers in the abyss, about which it is said, "Praise him from the earth, you dragons and all abysses," and also the darkness over the abyss, concerning which Moses said, "And darkness was over the abyss," having learned all these things, if indeed he had studied the divine scriptures carefully but not superficially, he would not have dared to say that the hearts of the saints are the abysses.

3.3.18 | έγνω δ΄ αυ όπως είρηται καὶ τὸ »άβυσσος άβυσσον έπικαλεῖται είς φωνὴν

3.3.18 | And he knew that it is also said, "The abyss calls to the voice of your

τῶν καταρρακτῶν σου« καὶ αὖθις »έταράχθησαν ἄβυσσοι, πλῆθος ἥχους ὕδατος« καὶ πάλιν »ἄβυσσος ὡς ὶμάτιον τὸ περιβόλαιον αύτοῦ«. waterfalls," and again, "The abysses were troubled, the sound of many waters," and once more, "The abyss is like a garment wrapped around him."

3.3.19 | ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τούτοις άδελφὰ διηκρίβωσεν ἄν, εἴ τις ἦν αὐτῷ φροντὶς τῆς τούτων καταλήψεως. νῦν δὲ προχείρως οὕτως καὶ διαλελυμένως τὰς τῶν άγίων καρδίας τὰς άβύσσους εἶναι άποφηνάμενος, οὐδ' οὕτως συνῆκεν ὡς πρὸ τῶν ὰγίων καὶ θεοφιλῶν άνδρῶν τῶν έξ αίῶνος γενομένων ἐαυτὸν ὑπάρχειν ἐδίδαξεν ὁ είπὼν »πρὸ τοῦ τὰς άβύσσους ποιῆσαι«· ὡς πανταχόθεν μὴ δύνασθαι τῆ σαρκὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος έφαρμόζειν τὰς προκειμένας λέξεις.

3.3.19 | He could have explained these things and their related matters well if he had the understanding to grasp them. But now, speaking so carelessly and loosely about the hearts of the saints being the abysses, he did not understand as those holy and God-loving men from ancient times taught him, who said, "Before making the abysses." He could not apply the words given to the flesh of the Savior from everywhere.

3.3.20 | ὁ δὲ ἐφ' ἐτέραν μεταβὰς ῥῆσιν τῆς αὐτῆς γραφῆς, καὶ ταύτην οὕτως ἐρμηνεύει λέγων (Nr. 20) τί τοίνυν ἔστιν καὶ τουτὶ τὸ κεφάλαιον »πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων«; τοὺς ἱεροὺς ἀποστόλους εἶναί φησιν. τοῦτο δὲ ἡμῖν τὸ μυστήριον παρίστησιν ἡ τῆς Έξόδου γραφὴ τοὺς τῶν ἀποστόλων τύπους πάλαι προαγορεύουσα,

3.3.20 | But he, moving to another saying from the same scripture, interprets it like this, asking, "What then is this chapter, 'Before the springs of the waters came forth'?" He claims that it refers to the holy apostles. But this scripture from Exodus presents to us the mystery, long ago proclaiming the types of the apostles.

3.3.21 | δώδεκα γὰρ ὅντων τὸν ἀριθμὸν τῶν ἀποστόλων δώδεκα πηγῶν μέμνηται. καὶ ταῦτα λέγων ού συνίησιν ὡς καὶ τοῦ Ἰσραὴλ δώδεκα ἦσαν φυλαὶ καὶ δώδεκα οὶ τούτων πατριάρχαι μία τε βίβλος ἡ τῶν δώδεκα προφητῶν, άλλὰ καὶ δώδεκα τῆς ἡμέρας ὧραι καὶ μῆνες τοῦ παντὸς ἔτους δώδεκα.

3.3.21 | For there are twelve apostles, and he remembers twelve springs. And in saying this, he does not understand that there were also twelve tribes of Israel and twelve patriarchs of these tribes, as well as one book of the twelve prophets. There are also twelve hours of the day and twelve months in the whole year.

3.3.22 | τί οὖν μᾶλλον τοῖς ἀποστόλοις ἡ έκείνοις ἀπασιν ὁ τῶν δώδεκα διέφερεν

3.3.22 | What then is the difference for the apostles in the number of twelve compared

άριθμός, εί δὴ τοῦ ποσοῦ τις άριθμὸς τὴν αίτίαν αὐτῷ τῆς τοιασδὶ τοῦ λόγου παρεῖχεν ἐρμηνείας; χρῆν δὲ συνιδεῖν ὡς καὶ οὶ τοῦ θεοῦ προφῆται, καθ' ὁ τοῦ αὐτοῦ μετέσχον πνεύματος ἀγίου, οὐκ ἦσαν άλλότριοι τῶν πηγῶν. διὸ λέλεκται περὶ αὐτῶν ἐν Ψαλμοῖς »ἐν ἐκκλησίαις εὐλογεῖτε τὸν θεὸν κύριον ἐκ πηγῶν Ίσραήλ«.

to all those others, if indeed some number provided the reason for such an interpretation? It should be seen that the prophets of God, who shared in the same Holy Spirit, were not strangers to the springs. That is why it is said about them in the Psalms, "In the assemblies, bless the Lord God from the springs of Israel."

3.3.23 | ὤστε καὶ πρὸ ἐκείνων τῶν πηγῶν ἀναγκαῖον ὁμολογεῖν τὸν υἱὸν εἶναι τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν λέγοντα »πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων«. ὁ δὲ ἐπάκουσον ὅπως ὲρμηνεύει, λέγων (Nr. 20) εἰκότως οὖν περὶ τῆς κατὰ σάρκα γενέσεως ὁ δεσπότης διὰ τοῦ προφήτου Σολομῶνος λέγων »πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων« ἔφη.

3.3.23 | So, even before those springs, it is necessary to confess that the one who says "before the springs of the waters came forth" is the Son of God. And listen to how he interprets this, saying (Nr. 20) that it is fitting for the master to speak about the birth according to the flesh through the prophet Solomon, saying "before the springs of the waters came forth."

3.3.24 | καὶ ἐπιφέρει (Nr. 20) οὕτω γὰρ ὁ σωτὴρ πρὸς τὰς ἱερὰς πηγὰς ἔφη »πορευθέντες μαθητεύσατε πάντα τὰ ἔθνη«. εἶθ' ὤσπερ συναγαγὼν τὸν λόγον, τὸ συμπέρασμα ἐπάγει τῇ ἑαυτοῦ διηγήσει λέγων | (Nr. 21) πανταχόθεν δῆλόν ἐστιν τοὺς ἱεροὺς ἀποστόλους καὶ πηγὰς τροπικῶς ώνομάσθαι ὑπὸ τοῦ προφήτου. καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἀποστόλους τὰς πηγὰς εἶναι βούλεται. ούκ ἀρέσκουσιν δὲ αὐτῷ οἱ προφῆται·

3.3.24 | And he adds (Nr. 20), for the Savior said to the holy springs, "Go therefore and make disciples of all nations." Then, as if gathering the argument, he leads to his own narrative, saying (Nr. 21) that it is clear from everywhere that the holy apostles are called springs by the prophet. And he wants the apostles to be the springs. But the prophets do not please him;

3.3.25 | διατί, άλλ' 〈ἢ〉 ὅτι μὴ ἐδύνατο τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος πρὸ ἐκείνων διδόναι; καίτοι καὶ πρὸ τῶν κατὰ φύσιν αίσθητῶν πηγῶν, ὧν καὶ Μωσῆς ἐμνημόνευσεν ἐν τῆ κοσμοποιίᾳ είπὼν »πηγὴ δὲ ἀνέβαινεν ἐκ τῆς γῆς, καὶ ἐπότιζεν πᾶν τὸ πρόσωπον τῆς γῆς καὶ

3.3.25 | Why, then, is it that he could not give the flesh of the Savior before those? And yet, even before the natural springs, which Moses mentioned in the creation, saying, "And a spring rose up from the earth, and watered the whole face of the ground, and again a river went out of Eden

αὖθις ποταμὸς δὲ έκπορεύεται έξ Έδὲμ ποτίζειν τὸν παράδεισον, προϋπῆρχεν ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ υὶὸς ὁ ταῦτα έν Παροιμίαις περὶ ἑαυτοῦ διδάσκων. ὁ δὲ τῷ Ἰὼβ χρηματίζων καὶ πηγῶν θαλάσσης μνημονεύει λέγων »ἦλθες δὲ έπὶ πηγὴν θαλάσσης, έν δὲ ἵχνεσιν άβύσσου περιεπάτησας«;

to water the garden," the Son of God existed, teaching these things in Proverbs about himself. And he, speaking to Job and mentioning the springs of the sea, says, "But you came to the spring of the sea, and you walked in the traces of the abyss"?

3.3.26 | έπεὶ δὲ καὶ ὑπεράνω τῶν ούρανῶν ὑδατά τινα εἶναι ἡ γραφὴ διδάσκει ἡ λέγουσα »αίνεῖτε αὐτὸν οὶ ούρανοὶ τῶν οὑρανῶν, καὶ τὰ ὑδατα τὰ ὑπεράνω τῶν οὑρανῶν«, ἀκόλουθόν έστιν κάκείνων τῶν ὑδάτων (ὑποῖα [δ'] ἂν ὑπάρχῃ τὴν φύσιν) νοῆσαί τινας εἶναι πηγάς, ὅπως κάκείνων πρεσβύτερος ἀποδειχθῆ ὁ εἰρηκὼς ἑαυτὸν προϋπάρχειν »πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων«.

3.3.26 | Since the scripture teaches that there are some waters above the heavens, saying, "Praise him, you heavens of heavens, and you waters above the heavens," it follows that from those waters (whatever their nature may be) one can understand that there are some springs, so that the one who said he existed before "the springs of the waters came forth" may be shown to be older than those.

3.3.27 | ὁ δὲ μηδενὶ τούτων έπιστήσας τὸν νοῦν μηδὲ πονεῖν έθέλων, τοῖς αὐτοῖς έπιμένει, έπεὶ καὶ ταῦτα φάσκων (Nr. 22) »πρὸ τοῦ ὅρη ἑδρασθῆναι« φησὶν »πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννᾳ με«. ὅρη καὶ βουνοὺς τοὺς ἀποστόλους καὶ τοὺς τῶν ἀποστόλων διαδόχους λέγει, ἴνα παρὰ τοὺς ἄλλους ἀνθρώπους τὴν κατ' αὐτῶν δικαίαν πολιτείαν παροιμιωδῶς σημήνη.

3.3.27 | But he, not understanding any of these things and not wanting to think, insists on the same points, since he also says (Nr. 22), "Before the mountains were settled," and "Before all the hills, he gives birth to me." He calls the apostles mountains and the successors of the apostles hills, so that he may figuratively show their just way of life compared to other people.

3.3.28 | ούκοῦν οὶ αύτοὶ ἦσαν καὶ αὶ πηγαί, ⟨οὶ⟩ αύτοὶ καὶ τὰ ὅρη, οὶ αύτοὶ καὶ οὶ βουνοί. ἀλλ' ὤσπερ ούκ ἔχει φύσιν ἐπὶ τῶν θεωρουμένων πηγῶν τε καὶ όρῶν τὰ αύτὰ φάσκειν εἶναι (ούδὲν γὰρ κοινὸν ὡς ἐν ποιότητος λόγῳ πηγαῖς ὑδάτων πρὸς τὰ τῶν όρῶν ἀναστήματα), τὸν αύτὸν τρόπον καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν άλληγορικῶς κατὰ διάνοιαν θεωρουμένων παραστῆσαι χρὴ διαφορὰν

3.3.28 | So, the springs, the mountains, and the hills are all the same. But just as it is not right to say that the springs of water and the mountains are the same (for there is nothing in common between the qualities of water springs and the heights of mountains), in the same way, one must show the difference when considering the springs, mountains, and hills in a figurative

τῶν κατὰ τὴν θεωρίαν νοουμένων πηγῶν τε καὶ όρῶν καὶ βουνῶν. άλλ' ὁ μηδὲν βεβασανισμένον έπιστάμενος, τὰ πάντα φύρων δι' εὐχέρειαν, τοὺς αὐτοὺς εἶναι άποπέφανται καὶ πηγὰς ὑδάτων καὶ ὅρη καὶ βουνούς, φησίν τε πάντα ταῦτα εἶναι τοὺς άποστόλους, οὐ διαστειλάμενος τὸν τῆς διαφορᾶς τρόπον.

sense. However, he, knowing nothing that has been examined, claims that all are the same and says that the springs of water, mountains, and hills are all the apostles, without distinguishing the way they differ.

3.3.29 | τί οὖν ούχὶ καὶ πρὸ τῆς σαρκὸς τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν ἐν δικαιοσύνῃ καὶ θεοσεβείᾳ τελειωθέντας ὅρη καὶ βουνοὺς ὁμοίως ἐπεκάλει, άλλ 〈ῆ〉 ὅτι μὴ πρὸ ἐκείνων οἶός τε ἦν τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ὑφίστασθαι; ούκοῦν βίαιος καὶ κατηναγκασμένη τῶν προκειμένων λέξεων ἡ ἐπὶ τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ἀναφορά. | πῶς δὲ καὶ τὸ γεννῷ με« ἐφαρμόσειεν 〈ἀν

τῆ σαρκί; πρὸ γὰρ τῶν πηγῶν καὶ πρὸ τῶν όρῶν καὶ πρὸ τῶν βουνῶν γεγεννῆσθαι ἔφησεν ἐαυτὸν είπὼν κύριος ἔκτισέν με άρχὴν ὸδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ, πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος ἐθεμελίωσέν με«.

3.3.29 | So why did he not also call the mountains and hills perfect in righteousness and godliness before the flesh of our savior, except that he could not have the flesh of the savior existing before those? Therefore, the reference to the flesh of the savior is forced and unnatural. But how could "he gives birth to me" apply to the flesh? For he said that he was born before the springs, before the mountains, and before the hills, saying, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works; before the ages, he established me."

3.3.30 | εί δὴ οὖν ἡ σὰρξ ταῦτα φάσκοι, ὡς Μαρκέλλω δοκεῖ. πῶς τὸ πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννᾳ με« εἴποι ἀν ἡ σάρξ; ἔστω γὰρ πρὸ τῶν ἀποστόλων ἡ σάρξ· άλλὰ πῶς αὐτὴ ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ γεγεννῆσθαί φησιν ἑαυτήν; τὸ μὲν γὰρ έκτίσθαι μὴ οὖσαν πρότερον τὴν σάρκα έδόκει Μαρκέλλω λέγειν.

3.3.30 | If the flesh says these things, as Marcellus thinks, how could the flesh say, "he gives birth to me before all the hills"? Let the flesh be before the apostles; but how can it claim to be born by God? For Marcellus seems to say that the flesh was created without existing before.

3.3.31 | ἔφη γὰρ (Nr. 10) ἔκτισεν άληθῶς τὸ μὴ ὂν πεποιηκὼς ὁ δεσπότης ἡμῶν ὁ θεός· ούκ οὖσαν γὰρ τὴν σάρκα, ἢν άνείληφεν ὁ λόγος, άλλὰ μὴ οὖσαν ἔκτισεν. τὸ μὲν οὖν έκτίσθαι ἔγνωμεν, τὸ δὲ καὶ

3.3.31 | For he said that our Lord God truly created what did not exist; for the flesh, which the Word took, was not existing, but he created it from nothing. So we understand that it was created, but how

γεγεννῆσθαι αύτὴν ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ πῶς ἀν ἀποδοθείη. τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν λέγοντος τὸ γεγεννημένον έκ τῆς σαρκὸς σάρξ έστιν«, καὶ ὁ ἀπόστολος δέ φησιν »γενόμενος έκ γυναικός, γενόμενος ὑπὸ νόμον«.

could it also be said to be born by God? Our savior says that what is born from the flesh is flesh, and the apostle also says, "born of a woman, born under the law."

3.3.32 | πῶς οὖν ἡ σὰρξ εἶπεν ἂν περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ τῶν ὅλων τὸ »πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννῷ με«; ταῦτα μὲν οὖν ὡς βεβιασμένην ἔχει τὴν ὲρμηνείαν οἶμαι παντί τῳ καταφανὲς εἶναι. άβιάστως δ' ἂν εἴποι τις τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ δίχα παντὸς άλληγορικοῦ τρόπου ταῦτα ἐπαληθεύειν, ἐπεὶ καὶ τῆς γῆς προϋπῆρχεν, ἦν τε καὶ προῆν πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς σωματικὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων καὶ πρὸ τῶν αἰσθητῶς λεγομένων άβύσσων καὶ πρὸ τοῦ συστῆναι τὸ τῆς γῆς σχῆμα ἐν τοῖς τῶν ὀρῶν καὶ τῶν βουνῶν ἀναστήμασιν· πάντα« γὰρ »δι' αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο, καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ ἐγένετο ούδὲ ἔν«.

3.3.32 | How then could the flesh say about God, "he gives birth to me before all the hills"? I think that this interpretation seems forced and is clear to everyone. Someone could easily say that the Son of God confirms this without any allegorical way, since he existed before the earth and was there before the physical sources of water came forth and before the so-called abysses and before the shape of the earth was formed in the heights of the mountains and hills. For "all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made."

3.3.33 | εί δ' έρωτήσειέν τις, διατί μὴ πρὸ τῶν ούρανῶν μηδὲ πρὸ τῶν έν ούρανοῖς ἢ τῶν έπέκεινα θείων καὶ ὑπερκοσμίων δυνάμεών τε καὶ πνευμάτων ἑαυτὸν εἶναι ἑδίδασκεν. λελέξεται αὐτῷ ὅτι τούτων τέως 〈ούκ〉 έμνημόνευσεν ὁ λόγος, έπειδὴ παιδαγωγίαν τινὰ διὰ τῶν Παροιμιῶν τοῖς τὰς ψυχὰς νηπίοις παρεδίδου.

3.3.33 | But if someone were to ask why he did not teach that he existed before the heavens or before those in the heavens or the divine and transcendent powers and spirits beyond, it will be said to him that the Word did not mention these things before, since he was giving some kind of teaching through the Proverbs to those whose souls were like children.

3.3.34 | δ καὶ δῆλον τυγχάνει έκ τοῦ ποτὲ λέγεσθαι »ἄκουε, υὶέ, παιδείαν πατρός σου, καὶ μὴ ἀπώση θεσμοὺς μητρός σου«, ποτὲ δὲ υὶέ. έμοῖς νόμοις πρόσεχε, τοῖς δ' έμοῖς ῥήμασιν παράβαλε σὸν οὖς« καὶ αὖθις »υὶέ, μὴ όλιγώρει παιδείας κυρίου, καὶ μὴ

3.3.34 | This is also clear from the saying, "Listen, my son, to the teaching of your father, and do not reject the rules of your mother." And again, "My son, pay attention to my laws, and listen closely to my words." And again, "My son, do not despise the discipline of the Lord, and do not lose heart

έκλύου ὑπ' αύτοῦ έλεγχόμενος« καὶ υὶέ,

when you are corrected by him."

3.3.35 | έὰν καλῶς γένη σεαυτῷ, καλὸς ἔση καὶ τοῖς πλησίον· έὰν δὲ κακὸς ἀποβῆς, μόνος ἀντλήσεις τὰ κακά«. μυρία δ' ἂν καὶ αὐτὸς τούτοις ὅμοια καθ' ἑαυτὸν ἀπὸ τῆς βίβλου τῶν Παροιμιῶν ἀναλέξαις. δι' ὧν ὁ λόγος φαίνεται νηπίοις τὰς ψυχὰς προσ διαλεγόμενος.

3.3.35 | "If you are good to yourself, you will be good to those around you; but if you turn out bad, you will only bring bad things upon yourself." You could find many similar sayings for yourself from the book of Proverbs. Through these, the Word seems to be speaking to the souls of those who are like children.

3.3.36 | ούτω καὶ Μωσῆς παιδαγωγὸς τῶν ἀτελῶν τὰς φρένας ὑπάρχων. ούρανοῦ καὶ γῆς καὶ τῆς τῶν ὁρατῶν δημιουργίας έμνημόνευσεν, ού μὴν καὶ κτίσεως άγγέλων ούδὲ θείων δυνάμεων ούδὲ πνευμάτων ὰγίων, τῷ μὴ χωρεῖν πω τὴν τούτων διδασκαλίαν τοὺς αὐτῷ μαθητευομένους.

3.3.36 | So also, Moses, being a teacher of the imperfect, had in mind the thoughts of the heavens and the earth and the creation of visible things. However, he did not mention the creation of angels, nor of divine powers, nor of holy spirits, because he did not want to include their teaching for his students.

3.3.37 | είκότως τοιγαροῦν καὶ ὁ έν ταῖς Παροιμίαις λόγος ὡς τοιούτοις ἀπὸ τῶν περὶ γῆν όρῶν τε καὶ βουνῶν καὶ πηγῶν ὡς ἀπὸ γνωριμωτέρων τὴν διδασκαλίαν έποιεῖτο, ὡς έξ ὑποβάθρας ἀρξάμενος έπὶ τὰ κρείττω χειραγωγήσειεν τοὺς παιδαγωγουμένους, ἀπό τε τῶν σμικροτέρων έπὶ τὰ θειότερα διαβαίνειν παρασκευάσειεν.

3.3.37 | Therefore, the teaching in the Proverbs is made for such people, drawing from what is known about the earth, hills, and springs, as if starting from a lower level to guide the students to higher things. It prepares them to move from smaller matters to more divine ones.

3.3.38 | ταῦτα μὲν ούν, εί μηδέν τις πέρα τῆς λέξεως περιεργάζοιτο· εί δὲ βαθύτερόν τις καὶ ταῦτα νοεῖν έθέλοι, ού μᾶλλον έπὶ τοὺς ἀποστόλους ἡ έπὶ πάντας τοὺς πώποτε γενομένους δικαίους καὶ θεοφιλεῖς ἄνδρας αὐτὰ έκδέξεται, διαβήσεται δὲ ένθένδε καὶ έπὶ τὰς θείας καὶ άγγελικὰς δυνάμεις, ὅρη λέγων καὶ βουνοὺς

3.3.38 | These things, then, if no one were to go beyond the words, would be enough. But if someone wants to think more deeply about them, they will lead not only to the apostles but also to all the righteous and god-loving men who have ever existed. From here, one will also move on to the divine and angelic powers, speaking of

αίνίττεσθαι άγγέλων καὶ άρχαγγέλων καὶ πνευμάτων θείων διαφοράς, θρόνους τε καὶ κυριότητας καὶ άρχὰς καὶ έξουσίας,

mountains and hills as symbols of the differences among angels, archangels, and divine spirits, as well as thrones, dominions, authorities, and powers.

3.3.39 | ὅτι δὴ καὶ ταῦτα πάντα [τὰ] δι' αὐτοῦ καὶ είς αὐτὸν« ἐκτίσθαι καὶ πρὸ πάντων« αὐτὸν εἶναι τούτων ὁ θεῖος ἐδίδαξεν ἀπόστολος, ἔνθεν ὡς είκὸς ὁρμώμενος, διὰ τὸ λέγεσθαι ἐν τούτοις ἐκ προσώπου τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ »πρὸ τοῦ ὅρη ἐδρασθῆναι, πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννῷ με«. τὰ μὲν οὖν ἄλλα ἡδράσθαι ἔφη, μόνον δὲ αὐτὸν γεγεννῆσθαι πρὸ τῆς τῶν δηλωθέντων συστάσεως.

3.3.39 | Indeed, the divine apostle taught that all these things were created through him and for him, and that he is before all things. From this, as is fitting, he begins to speak in the person of the Son of God, saying, "Before the mountains were born, before the hills, I was brought forth." He said that all other things were created sweetly, but he alone was born before the creation of those things that were revealed.

3.3.40 | οἶδεν δὲ τὴν έπουράνιον Ίερουσαλὴμ ὁ αὐτὸς ἀπόστολος καὶ τὸ οὐράνιον ὅρος έφ' ῷ ταύτην εἶναί φησιν λέγων »προσεληλύθατε Σιὼν ὅρει καὶ πόλει θεοῦ ζῶντος, Ἱερουσαλὴμ έπουρανίῳ, καὶ μυριάσιν ἀγγέλων, πανηγύρει καὶ έκκλησίᾳ πρωτοτόκων ἀπογεγραμμένων έν ούρανοῖς«. καὶ τούτων τοιγαροῦν ἀπάντων προϋπάρχων ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ μονογενὴς υὶὸς τὰ τῆς οἰκείας ἀπορρήτου γεννήσεως έπικεκρυμμένως διὰ τῶν Παροιμιῶν ἡνίττετο. 3.3.40 | The same apostle knew about the heavenly Jerusalem and the heavenly mountain on which he says it exists, saying, "You have come to Mount Zion and to the city of the living God, the heavenly Jerusalem, and to countless angels, to the festival and assembly of the firstborn who are registered in heaven." Therefore, before all of these things, the only-begotten Son of God was hidden in the secret of his own birth, hinted at through the Proverbs.

3.3.41 | καὶ ὅτι γε ταῦτα ὑπέβαλλε νοεῖν, δῆλον ἀν γένοιτο άφ' ὧν συνάπτει ἑξῆς λέγων »ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανὸν συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«. ἔνθα ὁ γενναῖος τῶν γραφῶν ἑρμηνεὺς ἀποκλεισθεὶς ἔστη, μηκέθ' ὑπερβάς, τῷ μὴ δεδυνῆσθαι έξομαλίσαι τὴν ἀκολουθίαν τῆς ἀποδοθείσης αὐτῷ περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς τοῦ σωτῆρος ὑποθέσεως.

3.3.41 | And that he suggested these things to think about is clear from what he connects next, saying, "When he prepared the heavens alongside him." There, the brave interpreter of the scriptures stood excluded, no longer able to go beyond, because he could not smooth out the sequence of what was given to him regarding the flesh of the Savior's situation.

3.3.42 | ἄνω γὰρ καὶ κάτω τῆς σαρκὸς μνημονεύσας καὶ πάντα είρῆσθαι περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς άποφηνάμενος | μέχρι μὲν τῶν όρῶν καὶ τῶν βουνῶν ἔφθασεν τοὺς άποστόλους ταῦτ' είπὼν εἶναι, τὰ δέ γε τούτοις άκόλουθα ἐκὼν παρέδωκε σιωπῆ, μηκέτι τῆς γραφῆς περαιτέρω προελθεῖν τολμήσας.

3.3.42 | For having mentioned both above and below the flesh and having declared everything about the flesh, he reached the mountains and hills by saying that the apostles are these things. But he willingly handed over the following things in silence, no longer daring to go further in the scripture.

3.3.43 | ένταῦθα μὲν οὖν ἴστησιν τὸν λόγον· μετὰ πλεῖστα δὲ ὄσα μεταξὺ αύτῷ λελεγμένα άφίσταται μὲν τῆς έπὶ τὴν σάρκα έκδοχῆς, ὁμολογεῖ δὲ τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον εἶναι τὸν ταῦτα φήσαντα. λέγει δ' οὖν αύτοῖς ῥήμασιν (Nr. 54) πρὸ γὰρ τοῦ τὸν κόσμον εἶναι ἦν 〈ὸ〉 λόγος έν τῷ πατρί. ὅτε δὲ ὁ παντοκράτωρ θεὸς πάντα τὰ έν ούρανοῖς καὶ τὰ έπὶ τῆς γῆς ποιῆσαι προέθετο, ένεργείας ή τοῦ κόσμου γένεσις έδεῖτο δραστικῆς· καὶ διὰ τοῦτο, μηδενὸς οντος ετέρου πλην θεοῦ (πάντα γαρ ομολογεῖται ὑπ' αύτοῦ γεγενῆσθαι), τότε ὁ λόγος προελθών έγίνετο τοῦ κόσμου ποιητής, ὁ καὶ πρότερον ἔνδον νοητῶς έτοιμάζων αύτόν, ως διδάσκει ήμᾶς ὸ προφήτης Σολομών ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν« λέγων »συμπαρήμην αύτῷ« καὶ ως άσφαλεῖς έτίθει πηγὰς τῆς ὑπ' ούρανόν, ηνίκα ίσχυρα έποίει τα θεμέλια της γης, ήμην παρ' αύτῷ ὰρμόζουσα.

3.3.43 | Here, then, the word stands: after many things said between him, it departs from the teaching about the flesh, but it agrees that the word of God is the one who said these things. And he says to them in words, "Before the world existed, the word was in the Father." When the almighty God planned to make all things in heaven and on earth, the creation of the world needed active power. And for this reason, since there was no other being except God (for all things are acknowledged to have come into being by him), then the word, coming forth, became the creator of the world, who also prepared it within himself beforehand, as the prophet Solomon teaches us when he says, "When he prepared the heavens," saying, "He was alongside him," and as he firmly established the springs under heaven, when he made the strong foundations of the earth, I was beside him, fitting together.

3.3.44 | έγὼ ήμην ἢ προσέχαιρεν«· ἔχαιρεν γὰρ εἰκότως ὁ πατὴρ μετὰ σοφίας καὶ δυνάμεως διὰ τοῦ λόγου πάντα ποιῶν. ταῦτα Μάρκελλος. εί δὴ οὖν έκ προσώπου τοῦ λόγου εἰρῆσθαι αὐτὰ μόγις ποτὲ ὼμολόγησεν, ἀνάγκη αὐτὸν παραδέξασθαι πᾶσαν τὴν σύμφρασιν τῶν προκειμένων

3.3.44 | "I was beside him," for the Father rejoiced with wisdom and power, making all things through the word. This is what Markellius says. If indeed it is hard to admit that these things are said from the presence of the word, it is necessary to accept that all the connections of what is

έπὶ τὸν αύτὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον άναφέρεσθαι. presented refer to the same word of God.

3.3.45 | ὁ γὰρ είπὼν ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ« αὐτὸς ἦν ὁ καὶ τὸ »κύριος ἔκτισέν με ἀρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοὺ« φήσας· εν γὰρ καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ πρόσωπον ἀρχομένοις ἡμῖν έδείχθη, τὸ πάντα διεξοδεῦον τὰ προκείμενα. αὐτὸς τοιγαροῦν 〈ὸ〉 είπὼν »κύριος ἔκτισέν με ἀρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ« ἐπήγαγεν τὸ »πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος έθεμελίωσέν με« καὶ τὸ »έν ἀρχῃ πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι« καὶ τὸ πρὸ τοῦ ὄρη ἑδρασθῆναι, πρὸ δὲ πάντων 〈βουνῶν〉 γεννῷ με«·

3.3.45 | For the one who said, "When he prepared the heavens, I was beside him," is the same one who also said, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works." For one and the same person was shown to us at the beginning, who carries out all things that are presented. Therefore, the one who said, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works," also brought forth, "Before the ages, he established me," and "In the beginning, before he made the earth," and "Before the mountains were set," "Before all the hills, he gave birth to me."

3.3.46 | ὁ αύτὸς δὲ καὶ τὸ ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αύτῷ« συνῆψεν καὶ τὰ ἀκόλουθα τούτοις. εί δὴ ούν ὁ λόγος ἦν ὁ ταῦτα φήσας, πῶς ἔνδον ἦν έν τῷ θεῷ καί, συναγένητος ὢν τῷ θεῷ ἔν τε καὶ ταὐτὸν ὑπάρχων αὐτῷ, ἐκτίσθαι ἐαυτὸν ἔλεγεν καὶ γεγεννῆσθαι; ἔν γὰρ καὶ 〈 ταὐτὸν〉, ὡς ἔφην, ἀπεδείχθη πρόσωπον τὸ πάντα διεξιὸν τὰ προκείμενα.

3.3.46 | The same one who said, "When he prepared the heavens, I was beside him," also connected these things that follow. If indeed the word was the one who said these things, how could he be within God and, being born together with God, existing as one and the same with him, say that he was created and came into being? For, as I said, one and the same person was shown to be the one who carries out all things that are presented.

3.3.47 | εί δὲ καὶ όψέ ποτε ὡμολόγησεν έκ προσώπου ταῦτα είρῆσθαι τοῦ έν τῷ θεῷ λόγου, βεβίασται ἄρα αὐτῷ τὰ τῆς είς τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ἀποδόσεως. | καὶ πάντα ἀθρόως έκεῖνα ἔωλα καὶ μάταια 〈καὶ〉 περιττὰ πεφώραται. εί δὲ ἡ σὰρξ ἦν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡ λέγουσα τὸ κύριος ἔκτισέν με ἀρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ είς ἔργα αὐτοῦ« κατὰ

3.3.47 | But if at some later time he admitted that these things were said from the presence of the word in God, then he is forced to accept the things about the flesh of the Savior. And all those things are shown to be empty, vain, and unnecessary. If the flesh of the Savior was the one saying, "The Lord created me as the beginning of his ways for his works," according to the

τὴν ἀποδοθεῖσαν αὐτῷ ἑρμηνείαν. καὶ εί ἡ σὰρξ »πρὸ τοῦ αίῶνος έθεμελιώθη καὶ έν ἀρχῆ πρὸ τοῦ τὴν γῆν ποιῆσαι« (γῆν δηλαδὴ τὴν σάρκα ὡς αὐτῷ έδόκει διὰ τὸ είρῆσθαι »γῆ εἶ καὶ είς γῆν ἀπελεύση«), καὶ εἴπερ ἡ σὰρξ ἦν ἡ λέγουσα »πρὸ τοῦ τὰς άβύσσους ποιῆσαι, πρὸ τοῦ προελθεῖν τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων, πρὸ τοῦ ὅρη ἑδρασθῆναι, πρὸ δὲ πάντων βουνῶν γεννῷ με« (διὰ τὸ προγεγεννημένην 〈εἶναι〉 αὐτὴν τῆς τῶν ἀποστόλων έκλογῆς ὡς έδόκει τῷ σοφωτάτῳ), ἔπεται έκ προσώπου τῆς σαρκὸς λέγεσθαι καὶ τὸ ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῶ«.

interpretation given to him. And if the flesh was "established before the ages and in the beginning before he made the earth" (meaning the earth as the flesh, since it was said, "You are dust, and to dust you shall return"), and if the flesh was the one saying, "Before he made the depths, before the springs of the waters came forth, before the mountains were set, before all the hills, he gave birth to me" (because it was believed to have been born before the choice of the apostles, as it seemed to the wisest), it follows that it is said from the presence of the flesh, "When he prepared the heavens, I was beside him."

3.3.48 | άλλ' ούκ ἄν τις μὴ τῶν φρενῶν έκστὰς ταύτῃ ποτὲ συνθοῖτο τῇ ἑρμηνείᾳ· άντιφθέγξεται γὰρ αύτῷ μέγα βοήσας ὁ τῆς άληθείας λόγος, ἐπιδεικνὺς τίς ποτε ἦν ὁ ταῦτα διεξιών.

3.3.48 | But no one, unless they have lost their senses, would ever agree with this interpretation. For the great voice of the word of truth will respond to him, showing who he was that carried out these things.

3.3.49 | ὡς δ' οὖν ἔτερος ἦν ὁ έκ τοῦ θεοῦ γεγεννημένος μονογενὴς υὶός, ζῶν καὶ ὑφεστώς, ὡν καὶ πρὸ τῆς τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων συστάσεως, ὅδε παρίστησιν αὐτὸς δι' ὧν ἑξῆς ἐπάγει λέγων »ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν οὐρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«· συνῆν γὰρ καὶ παρῆν 〈αύ〉 τῷ πρὶν καὶ γενέσθαι τὸν οὐρανὸν καὶ τὰ ἐπέκεινα οὐρανοῦ τά τε ἐν οὐρανῷ πάντα. τοῦτο γοῦν ἡνίττετο διὰ τοῦ φάναι »ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν οὐρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«.

3.3.49 | As there was another, the only begotten son born from God, living and existing, being before the creation of all things, he himself presents this, saying, "When he prepared the heavens, I was beside him." For he was present with him before the heavens and the things beyond the heavens, and all things in heaven. This is indeed hinted at by saying, "When he prepared the heavens, I was beside him."

3.3.50 | πῶς δὲ ἡτοίμαζεν ὁ θεὸς ἡ πρὶν γενέσθαι αύτὸν νομοθετῶν καὶ διατυπούμενος ὂν ἔδει τρόπον αύτὸν

3.3.50 | But how did God prepare or establish him before he came to be, making laws and outlining how he should be

συστῆναι; ὁ μὲν οὖν πατὴρ διετύπου καὶ ἡτοίμαζεν, διανοούμενος ὅπως έχρῆν συστῆναι τὸν τοσοῦτον ούρανόν, ὅσου τε μεγέθους έδεῖτο καὶ ὁποίου σχήματος μέτρων τε καὶ μερῶν ὁπόσων, τῶν μελλόντων εἴσω τε αύτοῦ περιέχεσθαι χάριν, καὶ τῶν έκτὸς ἔσεσθαι αύτοῦ, τῶν τε έν αὐτῷ τὸν δρόμον ποιησομένων ἔνεκα· ὁ δὲ τοῖς τοῦ πατρὸς λογισμοῖς ένατενίζων καὶ μόνος έποπτεύων τὰ έν αὐτῷ βάθη. δι' ἔργων έχώρει τοῖς τοῦ πατρὸς έξυπηρετούμενος νεύμασιν.

formed? The Father was shaping and preparing, thinking about how the vast heaven should be formed, how big it needed to be, and what kind of shape, measurements, and parts it should have, both those that would be contained within it and those that would be outside of it, and those that would create paths within it. And he, looking at the thoughts of the Father and alone overseeing the depths within him, moved forward through works, serving the Father's commands.

3.3.51 | διὸ λέλεκταί που »αίνεῖτε τὸν κύριον έκ τῶν ούρανῶν, αίνεῖτε αύτὸν έν τοῖς ὑψίστοις.

3.3.51 | Therefore, it is said somewhere, "Praise the Lord from the heavens; praise him in the highest."

3.3.52 | αίνεῖτε αύτόν, πάντες οὶ ἄγγελοι αύτοῦ· αίνεῖτε αύτόν, πᾶσαι αὶ δυνάμεις αύτοῦ. αίνεῖτε αύτόν, ἤλιος καὶ σελήνη· αίνεῖτε αύτόν, πάντα τὰ ἄστρα καὶ τὸ φῶς. αίνεῖτε αύτόν, οὶ οὐρανοὶ τῶν οὐρανῶν, καὶ τὰ ὕδατα τὰ ὑπεράνω τῶν οὐρανῶν. αίνεσάτωσαν τὸ ὄνομα κυρίου· ὅτι αὐτὸς εἶπεν καὶ έγενήθησαν, | αὐτὸς ένετείλατο καὶ ἐκτίσθησαν«.

3.3.52 | Praise him, all his angels; praise him, all his powers. Praise him, sun and moon; praise him, all the stars and light. Praise him, you heavens of heavens, and you waters above the heavens. Let them praise the name of the Lord; for he spoke, and they came to be; he commanded, and they were created.

3.3.53 | πλὴν άλλὰ καὶ πρὶν γενέσθαι αύτὸν τὸν ούρανὸν καὶ τὰ έπέκεινα ούρανοῦ τά τε έν ούρανῷ πάντα (ἐξ ἐνὸς γὰρ τὰ πάντα περιληπτικῶς δεδήλωται) ἦν ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ τῷ πατρὶ παρῆν τε καὶ συνῆν ἔτι βουλευομένῳ περὶ τῆς τούτων ἀπάντων συστάσεως. διό φησιν »ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αύτῷ«. εἶθ' ὡς άγαθὸς γραφεὺς τὰς άρχετύπους ίδέας έκ τῶν πατρικῶν λογισμῶν ἀπολαμβάνων ἐπὶ τὰς τῶν ἔργων μετέφερεν ούσίας, τοιαῦτα ζωοπλαστῶν καὶ ὑφιστάμενος, ὁποῖα τῆ

3.3.53 | But even before the heavens and what is beyond the heavens came to be, the Son of God was there, and he was with the Father, still thinking about the creation of all these things. That is why it says, "When he was preparing the heavens, I was there with him." Then, as a good writer, he took the original ideas from the Father's thoughts and brought them into the realities of creation, forming living things and being, just as he saw what was in the

τοῦ πατρὸς διανοία προϋποκείμενα ὲώρα.

Father's mind.

3.3.54 | τούτων δ' αύτὸς γένοιτ' ἂν μάρτυς άξιόχρεως, έν Εύαγγελίοις ὧδε διδάσκων »άμὴν άμὴν λέγω ὑμῖν, ού δύναται ὁ υὶὸς ποιεῖν ἀφ' ἐαυτοῦ ούδέν, ἐὰν μή τι βλέπῃ τὸν πατέρα ποιοῦντα· ἃ γὰρ ἂν ἐκεῖνος ποιῆ, ταῦτα καὶ ὁ υὶὸς ὁμοίως ποιεῖ.

3.3.54 | He himself would be a worthy witness to these things, teaching here in the Gospels: "Truly, truly, I say to you, the Son can do nothing by himself unless he sees the Father doing it; for whatever the Father does, the Son does likewise."

3.3.55 | ὁ γὰρ πατὴρ φιλεῖ τὸν υἱὸν καὶ πάντα δείκνυσιν αὐτῷ ἃ αὐτὸς ποιεῖ«. ἔνθα τις είκότως ζητήσειεν ἂν πῶς τὰ ἄπαξ ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς γεγονότα ὁ υἰὸς αὐθις ποιεῖ; ἐπελύσατο δ' αὐτὸς τὸν λόγον είπὼν »ἃ γὰρ ἂν ὁ πατὴρ ποιῆ. ταῦτα καὶ ὁ υὶὸς ὁμοίως ποιεῖ«.

3.3.55 | For the Father loves the Son and shows him all that he himself does." Here, one might reasonably ask how the Son does again what has once been done by the Father. But he himself answered this by saying, "For whatever the Father does, the Son does likewise."

3.3.56 | ούκοῦν ὸμοιώματα τυγχάνει τὰ πρὸς τοῦ υὶοῦ γιγνόμενα ἔργων άρχετύπων έν άπορρήτοις τοῦ πατρὸς λογισμοῖς προϋφισταμένων, ἃ δὴ βλέπων άτενὲς έν τῆ τοῦ πατρὸς διανοία ὁ υὶὸς μιμήματα ὧν ὲώρα έποίει. τὸ δ' έποπτεύειν αύτὸν τὰ τοῦ πατρὸς βάθη τῆς πατρικῆς άγάπης ἔργον είναι παρίστη διασαφῶν ἐξῆς καὶ λέγων »ὸ γὰρ πατὴρ φιλεῖ τὸν υἱὸν καὶ πάντα δείκνυσιν αύτῷ ἃ αύτὸς ποιεῖ«. δεικνύντος **ἄρα τοῦ πατρὸς τὰ ἑαυτοῦ κρύφια θεωρῶν** ο υίος δι' ἔργων ὑφίστη τὰ τῆς πατρικῆς βουλῆς ἔργα. οὕτως οὖν συνὼν τῷ πατρὶ καὶ συμπαρών αύτῷ προετοιμάζοντι τὸν ούρανὸν καὶ τὰ έπ' αύτῷ τοῦτ' έδίδασκε λέγων »ὴνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αύτῷ«.

3.3.56 | Therefore, the works that happen through the Son are like the original patterns that exist in the hidden thoughts of the Father. The Son, seeing clearly in the Father's mind, creates imitations of what he has seen. The act of seeing the depths of the Father's love is shown by the following words: "For the Father loves the Son and shows him all that he himself does." Thus, as the Father reveals his hidden things, the Son establishes the works of the Father's will through his actions. So, being one with the Father and present with him as he prepared the heavens, he taught this, saying, "When he was preparing the heavens, I was there with him."

3.3.57 | ἔχαιρέν τε ὁ πατὴρ πρὶν ἢ καὶ τὸν κόσμον γενέσθαι, είς αύτὸν άφορῶν τὸν ἑαυτοῦ μονογενῆ υὶὸν καὶ ὤσπερ έν είκόνι

3.3.57 | The Father rejoiced before the world was created, looking at his only Son and seeing himself in him, like in a mirror.

ἐαυτὸν ἐνοπτριζόμενος ἐν αὐτῷ· διό φησιν ἡ σοφία »ἐγὼ ἤμην ῇ προσέχαιρεν καθ' ἡμέραν«. άλλὰ καὶ ὁ υὶὸς εύφροσύνης ἐπληροῦτο γανύμενος ἐπὶ τῇ τοῦ πατρὸς θέα· τοῦτο γοῦν αὐτὸς διδάσκει λέγων »ηὐφραινόμην δὲ ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ ἐν παντὶ καιρῷ, ὅτε ηὐφραίνετο τὴν οίκουμένην συντελέσας«,

That is why wisdom says, "I was there when he rejoiced every day." But the Son was also filled with joy, delighting in the presence of the Father. Indeed, he himself teaches this by saying, "I was rejoicing before him at all times, when he was happy creating the world."

3.3.58 | οίκουμένης ένταῦθα νοουμένης τῆς τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων συμπληρώσεως, ῆς έκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος είς τὸ εἶναι διὰ τοῦ υἰοῦ προαγομένης ὁ τῶν ἀπάντων ηὐφραίνετο θεός. ὁ μὲν οὖν άληθὴς λόγος, ὡς έν βραχέσιν καὶ έν έπιτόμῳ διηγήσει παριστάμενος έκ τῆς θείας γραφῆς, τοιοῦτός τις ἀν εἵη. ὁ δ' ἄνωθεν κάτω φερόμενος έπὶ τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν τὴν διάνοιαν έξώκειλεν παρατρέπων καὶ παρερμηνεύων τὸν άληθῆ νοῦν τῆς θεοπνεύστου γραφῆς.

3.3.58 | Here, the world is understood as the completion of all created things, which comes from non-existence to existence through the Son. The God of all rejoiced. The true word, as presented briefly and concisely from the divine scripture, would be something like this. The mind, being lifted from above to the flesh of our Savior, strayed by twisting and misinterpreting the true meaning of the inspired scripture.

3.3.59 | άλλὰ καὶ έπὶ τὸν λόγον μεταβὰς αύτὸν εἶναί φησιν τὸν ἕνδον έν τῷ πατρὶ ὡς έν διαλογισμῷ καὶ ένθυμήσει τὸν ούρανὸν ἡτοιμακότα.

3.3.59 | But also, moving to the word, he says that the one within the Father is like a thought and reflection, with the heaven being prepared.

3.3.60 | ἕλεγεν γοῦν αὐτοῖς ῥήμασιν· (Nr. 54) πρὸ γὰρ τοῦ τὸν κόσμον ποιῆσαι ἦν ὁ λόγος ἐν τῷ πατρί. ὅτε δὲ ὁ παντοκράτωρ θεὸς πάντα τὰ ἐν οὐρανοῖς καὶ τὰ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς ποιῆσαι προέθετο, ἐνεργείας ἡ τοῦ κόσμου γένεσις ἐδεῖτο δραστικῆς· καὶ διὰ τοῦτο, μηδενὸς ὅντος ἐτέρου πλὴν θεοῦ (πάντα γὰρ ὁμολογεῖται ὑπ' αὐτοῦ γεγενῆσθαι), τότε ὁ λόγος προελθὼν ἐγίνετο ποιητὴς τοῦ κόσμου, 〈ὸ〉 καὶ πρότερον ἕνδον νοητῶς ἐτοιμάζων αὐτόν, ὡς διδάσκει ἡμᾶς ὁ προφήτης Σολομὼν

3.3.60 | He said to them with these words: "Before the world was made, the word was in the Father. When the Almighty God planned to create everything in heaven and on earth, the creation of the world needed active power. And because there was nothing else but God (for everything is agreed to have come from him), then the word came forth and became the creator of the world, who had already been preparing it in thought, as the prophet Solomon teaches us, saying, 'When he prepared the

»ἡνίκα ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν ούρανόν, συμπαρήμην αύτῷ« λέγων.

heaven, I was there with him."

3.3.61 | έν οἷς προσήκει έπισκέψασθαι τίνα τρόπον έθελοκωφων τοῦ μὲν »συμπαρήμην« οὐκ άκούει, ὁ σημαίνει διαρρήδην παρουσίαν τοῦ υἰοῦ 〈σὺν〉 τῷ πατρί, άποφαίνεται δὲ τῇ γραφῇ έναντίως μηδένα εἶναι ἔτερον πλὴν τοῦ θεοῦ πρὶν γενέσθαι τὰ γενόμενα· καὶ οὐκ ἔφριξεν ταύτην ἀφεὶς τὴν φωνήν, ἀρνητικὴν οὖσαν τοῦ υἰοῦ, οὐδὲ τὴν θείαν γραφὴν έδυσωπήθη μαρτυροῦσαν πρὸ τῆς τοῦ οὐρανοῦ κτίσεως μόνον αὐτὸν συμπαρεῖναι τῷ πατρί. »ὴνίκα« γάρ φησιν »ἡτοίμαζεν τὸν οὐρανόν, συμπαρήμην αὐτῷ«.

3.3.61 | In which it is fitting to consider how some deaf people do not hear the word "I was there with him," which clearly means the presence of the Son with the Father. The scripture shows that there was no one else but God before the created things came to be. And he did not fear leaving this voice, which denies the Son, nor did he ignore the divine scripture that testifies that only he was with the Father before the creation of heaven. For it says, "When he prepared the heaven, I was there with him."

3.3.62 | ἡ γὰρ σὺν πρόθεσις τῷ παρεῖναι προσκειμένη τὴν κατὰ τὸ αύτὸ σὺν ἐτέρῳ παρουσίαν δηλοῖ. ούχ ὰπλῶς οὖν παρεῖναι, άλλὰ συμπαρεῖναι ἑαυτὸν τῷ πατρὶ διδάσκει. καὶ ὁ πατὴρ δὲ ούχ ὰπλῶς ἔχαιρεν, άλλὰ προσέχαιρεν τῆ παρουσία τοῦ υἰοῦ· διό φησιν »έγὼ ἤμην ἦ προσέχαιρεν καθ' ἡμέραν«.

3.3.62 | For the intention of being present alongside another shows a special kind of presence. Therefore, it does not just mean to be present, but it teaches that he was there with the Father. And the Father did not simply rejoice, but he especially rejoiced in the presence of the Son. That is why it says, "I was there when he rejoiced every day."

3.3.63 | τὸ δὲ καὶ εύφραίνεσθαι αύτὸν ένώπιον τοῦ πατρὸς πῶς ἂν ὰρμόσειεν μὴ ὑφεστῶτι λόγῳ, έν αὐτῷ δὲ τῷ θεῷ ὅντι καὶ σημαντικῶς ένεργοῦντι, ἄντικρυς τοῦ εύφραίνεσθαι καὶ τοῦ »ένώπιον αὐτοῦ τὴν ὑπόστασιν αὐτοῦ παριστώντων. άλλὰ τούτων Μάρκελλος οὐδένα λόγον ποιησάμενος άθετεῖ μὲν τὸν υὶόν, λόγον δέ φησιν ἔνδον ὅντα έν τῷ θεῷ ποτὲ μὲν ένεργείᾳ δραστικῆ προϊέναι, ποτὲ δὲ ἔνδον εἶναι ἐν αὐτῷ μὴ ένεργοῦντα· καὶ ούχ ὸρῷ

3.3.63 | But how can his rejoicing before the Father fit with a word that does not stand? For in that God, who is being and acting significantly, the essence of his being present is opposite to the idea of rejoicing and being "before him." However, Marcello, without saying anything about these things, denies the Son. He claims that at times the Son is within God, acting powerfully, and at other times he is within him without acting. And he does not see that saying something

ότι τὸ λέγειν | εἶναί τι έντὸς καὶ έκτὸς τοῦ θεοῦ σύνθετόν τι ὑποτίθεται καὶ σωματικὸν πάθος, ὅπερ ού θέμις ἐπὶ τῆς ἀγενήτου καὶ ἀσωμάτου φύσεως παραδέχεσθαι.

exists inside and outside of God suggests a composite and physical condition, which is not acceptable regarding the nature that is uncreated and incorporeal.

3.3.64 | πῶς δὲ καὶ προελθὼν ὁ λόγος έγίνετο τοῦ κόσμου ποιητής; πότερα γλώττη καὶ φωνῆ, 〈ῆ〉 πάντως δήπου καὶ διαλέκτῳ χρησαμένου τοῦ θεοῦ; καὶ τίνι ἀν διελέχθη μηδενὸς ἐτέρου συνόντος αὐτῷ; τίνι δ' ἀν καὶ ὡμίλησεν μὴ παρόντος τινός; άλλ' αὐτὸς ἐαυτῷ προσωμίλει φωνῆ καὶ διαλέκτῳ χρώμενος, ὡς καὶ τὸν λόγον έξ αὐτοῦ προελθεῖν;

3.3.64 | But how did the Word become the creator of the world? Did he use language and voice, or perhaps some kind of speech from God? And to whom would he have spoken if no one else was with him? And to whom would he have conversed if no one was present? Rather, he spoke to himself, using voice and speech, as if the Word came forth from him.

3.3.65 | καὶ πῶς ούχὶ καὶ ἔνδον ὅντος έν αύτῷ τοῦ λόγου δραστικῆ δυνάμει ταῦθ' **ἄπερ έβούλετο συνίστη, έπεὶ καὶ παρὰ** άνθρώποις οἱ πλεῖστοι τῶν δημιουργῶν καὶ σιωπῶντες τὰ ἑαυτῶν έκτελοῦσιν **ἔργα, καὶ μάλιστα ὅτε μηδεὶς αὐτοῖς** πάρεστι δημιουργοῦσιν; τί οὖν έκώλυεν καὶ τὸν θεὸν οὕτω πως τὰ πάντα συστήσασθαι, έχοντα έν αύτῷ τὸν λόγον; ὸ δὲ καὶ ὑποτίθεται είκόνα άνδριαντοποιοῦ **ἐ**αυτῷ προσδιαλεγομένου καὶ πρὸς **ἐ**αυτὸν φάσκοντος· (Nr. 52) ἄγε ποιήσωμεν, ἄγε πλάσωμεν άνδριάντα· οὕτω γάρ φησιν καὶ τὸν τῶν ὅλων δεσπότην θεὸν πρὸς ἑαυτὸν είρηκέναι τὸ »ποιήσωμεν ἄνθρωπον«, ως πολλάκις ήδη παρέστη διὰ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν, δι' ὧν οἶμαι τὴν είς τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ άρνησιν αύτοῦ γεγυμνῶσθαι.

3.3.65 | And how could the Word, being within him, not have the powerful ability to create what he wanted? After all, most of the creators among humans accomplish their works even while being silent, especially when no one is present with them. So what prevented God from creating everything in this way, having the Word within him? He even suggests an image of a sculptor talking to himself and saving. "Come, let us make a statue." For this is how the Lord God of all is said to have spoken to himself, "Let us make man," as he has often been shown to do before. I believe this reveals the denial of the Son of God.

3.3.66 | τὸ μὲν γὰρ αύτὸν πρὸς ἐαυτὸν διαλέγεσθαι τὸν θεὸν ἔνδον ἔχοντα τὸν ἑαυτοῦ λόγον φάσκειν Ἰουδαϊκοῦ τινος εἵη ἀν φρονήματος· τὸ δὲ αύτὸν εἶναι τοῦ έν

3.3.66 | For saying that God speaks to himself while having his own Word within him would be a Jewish way of thinking. But saying that he is the Father of the Word

αύτῷ λόγου πατέρα, καὶ υὶὸν αύτοῦ τὸν έν αύτῷ λόγον, τῆς Σαβελλίου κακοδοξίας ἦν γνώρισμα·

within him and that the Word is his Son is a mark of Sabellian heresy.

#### **Section 4**

3.4.1 | ώς  $α\tilde{v}$  πάλιν καὶ τὸ λέγειν τὰ τρία  $\langle$ 

ἒν εἶναι, τὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν υὶὸν καὶ τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα, Σαβελλίου γὰρ καὶ τοῦτο. ὂ δὴ καὶ αὐτὸ Μάρκελλος ὡδέ πη γράφων ἀπεφαίνετο (Νr. 60) ἀδύνατον γὰρ τρεῖς ὑποστάσεις οὔσας ἐνοῦσθαι μονάδι, εί μὴ πρότερον ἡ τριὰς τὴν άρχὴν άπὸ μονάδος ἔχοι. | ἐκεῖνα γὰρ ἀνακεφαλαιοῦσθαι ἔφησεν μονάδι ὁ ἱερὸς Παῦλος. ἄ μηδὲν τῆ ἐνότητι τῷ θεῷ διαφέρει· ἐνότητι γὰρ ὁ λόγος καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα τῷ θεῷ διαφέρει μόνα.

3.4.1 | For again, saying that the three are one—the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit—is also a view of Sabellius. This is what Marcellus was writing about, saying that it is impossible for three distinct persons to be united as one unless the Trinity has its origin from a single unity. For the holy Paul said that those things are summed up in one. They do not differ in the unity of God; for in unity, the Word and the Spirit differ only as individuals.

3.4.2 | εἶτα πειρᾶται τοῦτο κατασκευάζειν ἐξῆς προϊὼν καὶ λέγων (Νr. 60) εί τοίνυν ὁ λόγος φαίνοιτο έξ αὐτοῦ τοῦ πατρὸς έξελθὼν καὶ πρὸς ἡμᾶς έληλυθώς, τὸ δὲ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον, ὡς καὶ Άστέριος ὡμολόγησεν. παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεται, αὖθίς τε ὁ σωτήρ φησιν περὶ τοῦ πνεύματος ὅτι »ούκ άφ' ὲαυτοῦ λαλήσει, άλλ' ὅσα άκούσει λαλήσει, καὶ τὰ έρχόμενα ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν. έκεῖνός με δοξάσει, ὅτι ἐκ τοῦ ἐμοῦ λήψεται καὶ ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«, ού σαφῶς καὶ φανερῶς ένταῦθα ἀπορρήτῳ 〈δὲ〉 λόγῳ ἡ μονὰς φαίνεται. πλατυνομένη μὲν είς τριάδα, διαιρεῖσθαι δὲ μηδαμῶς ὑπομένουσα;

3.4.2 | Then he tries to build this argument, saying that if the Word seems to have come out from the Father and has come to us, and the Holy Spirit, as Asterius also admitted, proceeds from the Father. Again, the Savior says about the Spirit that "he will not speak on his own, but will speak whatever he hears, and will declare to you the things to come. He will glorify me, for he will take what is mine and declare it to you." Here, the unity seems to be hidden in a mysterious way. It expands into a Trinity, but it does not divide in any way.

3.4.3 | εί γὰρ ὁ μὲν λόγος έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεται, τὸ δὲ πνεῦμα καὶ αὐτὸ ὁμολογεῖται έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεσθαι, 3.4.3 | For if the Word proceeds from the Father, and the Spirit is also said to proceed from the Father, then when the Savior

αὖθίς τε περὶ τοῦ πνεύματος τὸν σωτῆρα λέγειν »έκεῖνος έκ τοῦ έμοῦ λήψεται καὶ ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«, ούκ ἄρα πρόδηλόν έστιν κεκρυμμένον ἀνακαλύπτεσθαί τι μυστήριον; πῶς γάρ, εί μὴ ἡ μονὰς άδιαίρετος οὖσα είς τριάδα πλατύνοιτο, έγχωρεῖ αὐτὸν περὶ τοῦ πνεύματος ποτὲ μὲν λέγειν ὅτι έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεται, ποτὲ δὲ λέγειν »έκεῖνος έκ τοῦ έμοῦ λήψεται καὶ ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«, αὖθίς τε έμφυσήσαντα τοῖς μαθηταῖς »λάβετε πνεῦμα ἄγιον« είρηκέναι;

speaks about the Spirit, saying, "He will take from what is mine and declare it to you," is it not clear that something hidden is being revealed as a mystery? For how could the unity, being undivided, expand into a Trinity, allowing him to sometimes say that the Spirit proceeds from the Father and at other times say, "He will take from what is mine and declare it to you," and also breathe on the disciples saying, "Receive the Holy Spirit"?

3.4.4 | πῶς γὰρ εί έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεται παρὰ τοῦ υἰοῦ τὴν διακονίαν ταύτην λαμβάνειν έπαγγέλλεται; ἀνάγκη γὰρ εί δύο διαιρούμενα, ὡς Ἀστέριος ἔφη, πρόσωπα εἵη, ἢ τὸ πνεῦμα έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορευόμενον μὴ δεῖσθαι τῆς παρὰ τοῦ υἰοῦ διακονίας (πᾶν γὰρ τὸ έκ πατρὸς έκπορευόμενον τέλειον εἶναι ἀνάγκη, μηδαμῶς προσδεόμενον τῆς παρ' ἐτέρου βοηθείας), ἤ, εί παρὰ τοῦ υἰοῦ λαμβάνοι καὶ έκ τῆς έκείνου δυνάμεως διακονοίη τὴν χάριν, μηκέτι έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεσθαι.

3.4.4 | For how could the Spirit be said to receive this ministry from the Son if he proceeds from the Father? For if there are two separate persons, as Asterius said, then either the Spirit, proceeding from the Father, does not need the ministry from the Son (for everything that comes from the Father must be perfect and does not need help from another), or if he receives from the Son and serves grace from his power, then he would no longer be proceeding from the Father.

3.4.5 | καὶ μεθ΄ ἔτερα ἐπάγει (Nr. 60) εί δὲ τὸ Εὐαγγέλιον ὅτι ἐμφυσήσας τοῖς μαθηταῖς »λάβετε πνεῦμα ἄγιον« ἔφησεν, δῆλον ὅτι ἐκ τοῦ λόγου τὸ πνεῦμα ἐξῆλθεν. πῶς οὖν, εί ἐκ τοῦ λόγου τὸ πνεῦμα προῆλθεν, πάλιν τὸ αὐτὸ ἐκ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐκπορεύεται; καὶ προστίθησιν μεθ΄ ἔτερα (Nr. 60) οὐκ ὁρθῶς οὖν οὐδὲ προσηκόντως εἴρηκεν τρεῖς ὑποστάσεις εἶναι, φήσας ούχ ἄπαξ άλλὰ καὶ δεύτερον. διὰ δὴ τούτων καὶ τῶν τούτοις ὁμοίων ὁ σοφώτατος πειρᾶται κατασκευάζειν ἔνα καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι 〈 τὸν〉 πατέρα καὶ τὸν υὶὸν καὶ τὸ ἄγιον

πνεῦνα, τριῶν όνομάτων κατὰ μιᾶς

3.4.5 | And he goes on to say that if the Gospel states that after breathing on the disciples he said, "Receive the Holy Spirit," it is clear that the Spirit came from the Word. So how, if the Spirit came from the Word, does he also proceed from the Father? And he adds that it is not correct or fitting to say there are three persons, stating it not just once but also a second time. Therefore, through these and similar points, the wisest one tries to show that the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit are one and the same, existing as three names in one essence.

ὑποστάσεως κειμένων.

3.4.6 | ούδὲ γὰρ έν τούτοις συνῆκεν ὅπως καὶ ὁ υὶὸς έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεσθαι λέγεται καὶ τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα ὸμοίως, ούδὲ νοῆσαι δεδύνηται πῶς περὶ τοῦ ἀγίου πνεύματος εἶπεν ὁ σωτὴρ τὸ »έκ τοῦ έμοῦ λήψεται καὶ άναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«, ούδὲ πῶς τοῖς αύτοῦ μαθηταῖς έμφυσήσας ἔφη »λάβετε πνεῦμα ἄγιον«. ὁ δὴ τοῖς εύσεβῶς θεωροῦσιν ῥαδίαν ἔξει τὴν λύσιν, εί λογίσαιτό τις ώς ὁ υὶὸς άεὶ συνών καὶ συμπαρών τῶ πατρὶ εἴσω που ὤσπερ έν άδύτοις καὶ άβάτοις τῆς πατρικῆς βασιλείας έτύγχανεν ὤν, εἶτα δὲ έπὶ σωτηρία τοῦ τῶν άνθρώπων γένους πρὸς τοῦ πατρὸς έκπεμπόμενος έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έξεληλυθέναι ἑαυτὸν ἔλεγεν. ὃ δὴ καὶ ετέρωθι διὰ παραβολῆς έδήλου περί έαυτοῦ λέγων »έξῆλθεν ὁ σπείρων τοῦ σπείραι«·

3.4.6 | For he did not understand how the Son is said to proceed from the Father and the Holy Spirit in the same way, nor could he grasp how the Savior said about the Holy Spirit, "He will take from what is mine and declare it to you," nor how after breathing on his disciples he said, "Receive the Holy Spirit." For those who think piously will find the answer easy if one considers that the Son is always present and united with the Father, as if he were in the hidden and inaccessible kingdom of the Father, and then, for the salvation of humanity, he is sent forth from the Father, saying that he has come out from the Father. This is also shown elsewhere through a parable when he says, "The sower went out to sow."

3.4.7 | πόθεν γὰρ έξῆλθεν ή έκ τῶν ένδοτάτω βασιλείων τῆς πατρικῆς θεότητος; κατὰ δὲ τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον καὶ τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα παρεστὸς άεὶ τῷ θρόνῳ τοῦ θεοῦ, έπεὶ καὶ »μυρίαι μυριάδες «παρεστήκασιν αὐτῷ κατὰ τὸν Δανιὴλ, άπεστέλλετο καὶ αὐτό, ποτὲ μὲν έν εἴδει περιστερᾶς έπὶ τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ άνθρώπου, ποτὲ δὲ έφ' ἔκαστον τῶν προφητῶν καὶ τῶν ἀποστόλων·

3.4.7 | For where did he come from, or from the innermost realms of the Father's divinity? According to the same reasoning, the Holy Spirit is always present at the throne of God, since "myriads upon myriads" stand before him, as Daniel said. The Spirit was also sent forth, sometimes in the form of a dove to the Son of Man, and at other times upon each of the prophets and apostles.

3.4.8 | διὸ έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έκπορεύεσθαι καὶ αὐτὸ εἴρηται. καὶ τί θαυμάζεις; ὁπότε καὶ περὶ τοῦ διαβόλου λέλεκται »καὶ έξῆλθεν ὁ διάβολος παρὰ τοῦ κυρίου« καὶ δεύτερον πάλιν εἴρηται »έξῆλθεν δὲ ὁ διάβολος άπὸ τοῦ κυρίου«. εὕροις δ' ἂν καὶ έπὶ τοῦ

3.4.8 | That is why it is said that he proceeds from the Father. And why are you amazed? It is also said about the devil, "And the devil went out from the Lord," and again it is said, "But the devil went out from the Lord." You would also find in the case of

Άχαάβ, ἔνθ' ἐπιφέρει ἡ γραφὴ »καὶ έξῆλθεν πνεῦμα πονηρὸν καὶ ἔστη ἐνώπιον κυρίου καὶ εἶπεν· έγὼ ἀπατήσω αὐτόν«.

Ahab, where the scripture says, "And a lying spirit went out and stood before the Lord and said, 'I will deceive him.'"

3.4.9 | άλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν έναντία πνεύματα, ὅπως δὲ καὶ τίνα τρόπον εἴρηται, οὐ νῦν καιρὸς πολυπραγμονεῖν. ὁ δὲ μονογενὴς υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ έκ τοῦ πατρὸς έξεληλυθέναι ὲαυτὸν διδάσκει διὰ τὸ συνεῖναι αὐτῷ πάντοτε, καὶ τὸ ἄγιον δὲ πνεῦμα ὁμοίως ἔτερον ὑπάρχον παρὰ τὸν υἰόν. ὂ δὴ σαφῶς αὐτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ παρίστησιν λέγων »έκ τοῦ έμοῦ λήψεται καὶ άναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«. ἄντικρυς γὰρ παραστατικὸν ᾶν εἴη τοῦτο τοῦ μὴ εἶναι εν καὶ ταὐτὸν τὸν υὶὸν καὶ τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα· τὸ γὰρ παρ' ἐτέρου λαμβάνον τι ἔτερον παρὰ τὸν διδόντα νοεῖται. |

3.4.9 | But these are opposing spirits, and it is not the time to discuss how and in what way this is said. The only-begotten Son of God teaches that he has come forth from the Father because he is always united with him, and the Holy Spirit also exists as another beside the Son. This is clearly shown by the Savior himself when he says, "He will take from what is mine and declare it to you." For this would directly suggest that the Son and the Holy Spirit are not one and the same; for receiving something from another implies that it is different from the one giving it.

#### **Section 5**

3.5.1 | καὶ ὅτι γε ἔτερόν έστιν τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ άγιον τοῦ υἱοῦ διαρρήδήν καὶ διαφόρως λευκοτάτοις ρήμασιν αύτος ο σωτήρ καί κύριος ἡμῶν 〈έδίδαξεν〉, έν οἶς πρὸς τοὺς αύτοῦ μαθητὰς ἔλεγεν »έὰν άγαπᾶτε με, τὰς έντολὰς τὰς έμὰς τηρήσετε. καὶ έγὼ έρωτήσω τὸν πατέρα καί ἄλλον παράκλητον δώσει ὑμῖν ἵνα ἦ μεθ' ὑμῶν είς τὸν αίῶνα. τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς άληθείας. ὃ ὁ κόσμος ού δύναται λαβεῖν«. ὁρᾶς ὅπως τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ παράκλητον ἔτερον εἶναί φησιν καὶ ἄλλο παρ' ἑαυτόν. εί δὲ έμφυσήσας τοῖς μαθηταῖς εἶπεν »λάβετε πνεῦμα ἄγιον«, ού χρὴ άγνοεῖν ὡς τὸ μὲν έμφύσημα καθαρτικόν πως ἦν τῆς τῶν ἀποστόλων ψυχῆς, έπιτηδείους αύτοὺς παρασκευάζον τῆς τοῦ ἀγίου πνεύματος ὑποδοχῆς.

3.5.1 | And that the Holy Spirit is different from the Son, the Savior and our Lord himself clearly taught with very clear words, in which he said to his disciples, "If you love me, you will keep my commandments. And I will ask the Father, and he will give you another Helper to be with you forever, the Spirit of truth. The world cannot receive him." You see how he says that the Helper is another and different from himself. And when he breathed on the disciples and said, "Receive the Holy Spirit," it should not be ignored that this breathing was somehow a cleansing of the souls of the apostles, preparing them to receive the Holy Spirit.

3.5.2 | ού γὰρ είς τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτῶν έμφυσῆσαι λέγεται οὐδ' ὅτι πνοὴν ζωῆς οὐδ' ὅτι πνεῦμα ἄγιον, ὡς ἐπὶ τοῦ Άδὰμ ἀναγέγραπται ὅτι »ἐνεφύσησεν ὁ θεὸς είς τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ πνοὴν ζωῆς«, ἀλλ' έμφυσῆσαι μὲν εἴρηται πρότερον, ἔπειτα είπεῖν »λάβετε πνεῦμα ἄγιον«. τὸ δὲ διδόναι αὐτὸν τὸ πνεῦμα πάλιν ἔτερον αὐτὸν παρίστη τοῦ διδομένου·

3.5.2 | For it is not said that he breathed into their faces, nor that it was the breath of life or the Holy Spirit, as it is written about Adam that "God breathed into his face the breath of life." Instead, it is said that he breathed first, and then he said, "Receive the Holy Spirit." The act of giving the Spirit again shows that it is different from the one giving it.

3.5.3 | ούκ αν γαρ ο αύτος ἦν ο διδους καὶ το διδόμενον. άλλ' ο μὲν παρέχων ἦν ο σωτήρ, το δὲ διδόμενον το άγιον πνεῦμα, οὶ δὲ λαμβάνοντες οὶ ἀπόστολοι, το δ' έμφύσημα καθαρτικὸν ὡς ἔφην τῶν ἀποστόλων ἢ καὶ ένεργητικὸν τῆς μεταδόσεως τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος, ἐκατέρως γὰρ νοεῖν δυνατόν.

3.5.3 | For the one giving and the one given cannot be the same. The one providing is the Savior, while the Holy Spirit is what is given. The apostles are the ones receiving, and the breathing, as I said, is a cleansing of the apostles or even an active preparation for the giving of the Holy Spirit, for both can be understood in different ways.

3.5.4 | πλὴν έκ τούτων δείκνυται ἔτερον ὑπάρχον παρ' αὐτὸν τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα, ὡς καὶ διὰ τῶν ἐπιφερομένων, δι' ὧν πάλιν ἀναγέγραπται φήσας »ἐάν τις άγαπῷ με, τὸν λόγον μου τηρήσει, καὶ ὁ πατήρ μου άγαπήσει αὐτόν, καὶ πρὸς αὐτὸν ἐλευσόμεθα καὶ μονὴν παρ' αὐτῷ ποιησόμεθα«.

3.5.4 | But from these things, it is shown that the Holy Spirit exists as something different from him, as it is also stated through those who are mentioned, where it is written, "If anyone loves me, he will keep my word, and my Father will love him, and we will come to him and make our home with him."

3.5.5 | οἷς έπιφέρει »ταῦτα λελάληκα ὑμῖν παρ' ὑμῖν μένων· ὁ δὲ παράκλητος, τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον ὁ πέμψει ὁ πατήρ μου έν τῷ όνόματί μου, ἐκεῖνος ὑμᾶς διδάξει πάντα καὶ ὑπομνήσει ὑμᾶς πάντα ὄσα εἶπον ὑμῖν«. | ἀκούεις ὅπως πληθυντικῷ κέχρηται τρόπῳ τὸ »ἐλευσόμεθα καὶ μονὴν παρ' αὐτῷ ποιησόμεθα« περὶ αὐτοῦ καὶ τοῦ πατρὸς φήσας, καὶ ὡς περὶ τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος διαλαλῶν ὡς περὶ ἐτέρου

3.5.5 | To those he says, "I have spoken these things to you while staying with you. But the Helper, the Holy Spirit, whom my Father will send in my name, he will teach you all things and remind you of everything I have said to you." | Do you hear how the phrase "we will come to him and make our home with him" is used in the plural about himself and the Father, and how when speaking about the Holy Spirit, he refers to him as another by saying "he will teach you

all things"?

3.5.6 | τοιοῦτον δὲ ἦν καὶ τὸ »έγὼ έρωτήσω τὸν πατέρα καὶ ἄλλον παράκλητον δώσει ὑμῖν ἴνα μεθ' ὑμῶν ἦ είς τὸν αίῶνα, τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς άληθείας«. οὐκοῦν ἔτερος ἦν παρ' αὐτὸν ὁ παράκλητος, περὶ οὖ τὰ τοσαῦτα έδίδασκεν. είκότως οὖν αὖθις προσετίθει λέγων ταῦτα λελάληκα ὑμῖν παρ' ὑμῖν μένων· ὁ δὲ παράκλητος, τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον ὁ πέμψει ὁ πατήρ μου έν τῷ όνόματί μου, ἐκεῖνος ὑμᾶς διδάξει πάντα καὶ ὑπομνήσει ὑμᾶς πάντα ὄσα εἶπον«.

3.5.6 | Such was also the statement, "I will ask the Father, and he will give you another Helper to be with you forever, the Spirit of truth." Therefore, the Helper was another one besides him, about whom he taught so many things. So again, he added, saying, "I have spoken these things to you while staying with you. But the Helper, the Holy Spirit, whom my Father will send in my name, he will teach you all things and remind you of everything I have said to you."

3.5.7 | έγω μὲν γὰρ τέως ταῦθ' ὑμῖν λελάληκα φησίν, τὸ δὲ πνεῦμα τῆς άληθείας, ὂ καὶ αύτὸ πέμψει ὁ πατήρ μου, πάντα ὑμᾶς διδάξει. ὅσα νῦν ού μεμαθήκατε διὰ τὸ μὴ χωρεῖν ὑμᾶς·

3.5.7 | For I have spoken these things to you so far, he says, but the Spirit of truth, whom my Father will send, will teach you all things. What you have not learned now is because you cannot bear it.

3.5.8 | άλλ' έκεῖνος έλθών. λέγω δὲ ὁ παράκλητος, ἀναπληρώσει τὴν διδασκαλίαν, μετὰ τοῦ καὶ τῶν νῦν λεγομένων ὑπ' έμοῦ μνήμην ὑμῖν έμποιῆσαι. καὶ αὖθις ἐπάγει »ὅταν δὲ ἕλθῃ ὁ παράκλητος ὃν έγὼ πέμψω ὑμῖν παρὰ τοῦ πατρός, τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς άληθείας ὂ παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐκπορεύεται. ἐκεῖνος μαρτυρήσει περὶ ἐμοῦ. δι' ὧν ὰπάντων σαφῶς παρίστησιν ἐκεῖνον τὸν ὑπ' αὐτοῦ πεμπόμενον καὶ [τὸν] μέλλοντα περὶ αὐτοῦ μαρτυρεῖν ἔτερον εἶναι παρ' ὲαυτόν.

3.5.8 | But when he comes, I say, the Helper will complete the teaching and will bring to your memory what I have said to you. And again, he says, "When the Helper comes, whom I will send to you from the Father, the Spirit of truth who comes from the Father, he will testify about me." Through all these things, he clearly shows that the one sent by him is another who will testify about him.

3.5.9 | έπεξεργάζεται δὲ τὸν λόγον ἔτι μᾶλλον καὶ δι' ὧν έπιλέγει »άλλ' έγὼ τὴν άλήθειαν λέγω ὑμῖν, συμφέρει ὑμῖν ἴνα έγὼ ἀπέλθω. ἐὰν γὰρ μὴ ἀπέλθω, ὁ παράκλητος

3.5.9 | He is working out the message even more and chooses these words: "But I tell you the truth, it is better for you that I go away. For if I do not go away, the Helper

ούκ ἔρχεται πρὸς ὑμᾶς· ἐὰν δὲ πορευθῶ, πέμψω αὐτὸν πρὸς ὑμᾶς«. ἀπελθεῖν δὲ ἐαυτὸν λέγων ἐν τούτοις τε τὸ πάθος ἐαυτοῦ ἐσήμαινεν καὶ τὴν μετὰ τοῦτο πρὸς τὸν πατέρα ἄνοδον.

will not come to you; but if I go, I will send him to you." By saying he will go away, he was indicating his suffering and the journey to the Father that would come after this.

3.5.10 | τίς οὖν οὕτως ήλίθιος ὡς μετὰ τοσαύτας φωνὰς ἔνα καὶ τὸν αύτὸν εἶναι φάναι τὸν ταῦτα λέγοντα καὶ τὸν περὶ οὖ ταῦτ' ἔλεγεν, ἀκούων αύτοῦ σαφῶς διοριζομένου τὴν ἀλήθειαν φάσκειν, καὶ τίς ἡ ἀλήθεια παριστῶντος, ὡς εί μὴ ἀπέλθοι αὐτός, μὴ ἄν ποτε ήξειν τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα.

3.5.10 | Who then is so foolish as to say that the one speaking these things and the one about whom he is speaking are the same, when he clearly defines the truth? And what is the truth being presented, as if he would not come unless he goes away?

3.5.11 | εί δὲ ποτὲ μὲν τὸν πατέρα πέμψειν τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον ποτὲ δὲ ἐαυτὸν διορίζεται, οὐκ ἐναντία δήπουθεν διδάσκει· πάντα γὰρ ὅσα ἐὰν »βλέπῃ τὸν πατέρα ποιοῦντα«, »ταῦτα ὁμοίως καὶ ὁ υὶὸς | ποιεῖ« καὶ »καθὼς άκούει, κρίνει«. διὸ τῆ τοῦ πατρὸς κρίσει, ὅτε καὶ βούλεται ὁ πατήρ. τηνικαῦτα ὁ υὶὸς καὶ † δι' αὐτοῦ ὁ σωτὴρ ἀποστέλλει τοῖς αὐτοῦ μαθηταῖς τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς άληθείας τὸ παράκλητον, πρὸς τὸ παρακαλεῖν αὐτοὺς καὶ παραμυθεῖσθαι ἐφ' οἷς κηρύττοντες τὸ εὐαγγέλιον ὑπὸ τῶν ἑλαυνόντων αὐτοὺς ἔπασχον·

3.5.11 | But if at some time the Father sends the Holy Spirit and at another time he defines himself, he is not teaching anything contradictory. For all that "he sees the Father doing," "the Son does likewise," and "as he hears, he judges." Therefore, by the Father's judgment, when the Father wishes. At that time, the Son and the Savior sends the Spirit of truth, the Helper, to encourage them and comfort them in the things they suffer while preaching the gospel.

3.5.12 | ού μόνον δὲ πρὸς τὸ παρακαλεῖν αὐτούς, άλλὰ καὶ πρὸς τὸ διδάξαι αὐτοὺς πᾶσαν τὴν άλήθειαν τῆς καινῆς διαθήκης, ἢν ούκ έχώρουν ὑπὸ τοῦ σωτῆρος διδάσκεσθαι, ὸπηνίκα ταῦτα αὐτοῖς ὼμίλει διὰ τὸ ἔτι τῆ Ἰουδαϊκῆ δεδουλῶσθαι άγωγῆ.

3.5.12 | Not only to encourage them, but also to teach them all the truth of the new covenant, which they could not bear to be taught by the Savior, when he spoke to them because they were still bound by the Jewish way of life.

3.5.13 | ταῦτα δὲ ἔργοις ἐπλήρου μετὰ τὴν έκ νεκρῶν ἀνάστασιν αὐτοῦ. μεθ' ἢν είπὼν

3.5.13 | These things were fulfilled in actions after his resurrection from the

τῆ Μαρία »μή μου ἄπτου, οὔπω γὰρ άναβέβηκα πρὸς τὸν πατέρα μου«, μετὰ ταῦτα ἄτε δὴ ἀνεληλυθὼς πρὸς τὸν πατέρα τοῖς μαθηταῖς ὤφθη, τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος ἀπεσταλμένου καὶ συνόντος αὐτῷ ἐτοίμου τε παρεστῶτος είς ἢν τέτακται διακονίαν, ὅτε καὶ ἄψασθαι αὐτοῦ έπιτρέπει.

dead. After saying to Mary, "Do not hold on to me, for I have not yet ascended to my Father," after this, having ascended to the Father, he appeared to the disciples, with the Holy Spirit sent and present with him, ready to assist in the ministry for which he was appointed, when he also allows them to touch him.

3.5.14 | τότε γὰρ »αύτοῖς ένεφύσησεν« καὶ τότε μέρος τι χαρίσματος τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος αύτοῖς, τὸ τῆς άφέσεως τῶν ὰμαρτημάτων ποιητικόν, έδίδου· »διαιρέσεις« γαρ »χαρισμάτων είσίν«, ὧν έκ μέρους μὲν αύτοῖς έδωρεῖτο τότε συνὼν καὶ παρών αύτοῖς, μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα μείζονος αύτους και τελεωτέρας δυνάμεως έπλήρου. περὶ ἦς έν ταῖς Πράξεσιν τῶν ἀποστόλων πρὸς αύτοὺς ἔφη »ὑμεῖς δὲ λήψεσθε έξ ύψους δύναμιν έπελθόντος τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος έφ' ὑμᾶς«· ὅτε καὶ βαπτισθήσεσθαι αύτοὺς έν πνεύματι ὰγίω έπηγγέλλετο, δ δη καὶ αύτὸ έπληροῦτο μετὰ τὴν άνάληψιν αύτοῦ, κατὰ τὴν ημέραν τῆς πεντηκοστῆς ἀποσταλέντος αύτοῖς τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος ταῖς αύτοῦ φωναῖς άκολούθως.

3.5.14 | Then he "breathed on them" and gave them a part of the gift of the Holy Spirit, which is the power to forgive sins. "There are different kinds of gifts," of which he gave them a portion while he was with them, and after this, he filled them with greater and complete power. About this, in the Acts of the Apostles, he said to them, "But you will receive power when the Holy Spirit comes upon you," when he also promised that they would be baptized in the Holy Spirit, which was fulfilled after his ascension on the day of Pentecost, when the Holy Spirit was sent to them with his voices.

3.5.15 | πλείονος δὲ έξεργασίας καὶ σαφηνείας δεομένων τῶν κατὰ τὸν τόπον οὐ νῦν ἐπεξιέναι καιρὸς τῇ τῆς έξετάσεως ἀκριβεία, ἐπεὶ μηδὲ τοῦθ' ἡμῖν πρόκειται, παραστῆσαι δ' έχρῆν ἔτερον εἶναι τοῦ υὶοῦ τὸ παράκλητον πνεῦμα, ὁ δὴ καὶ ἀπεδείχθη διαφόρως δι' ὧν αὐτὸς ἐπαίδευσεν ὸ σωτήρ, πρός τε τοῖς ἄλλοις καὶ ἐν οἷς ἔλεγεν »ἔτι πολλὰ ἔχω λέγειν ὑμῖν, ἀλλ' οὐ δύνασθε βαστάζειν ἄρτι· ὅταν δὲ ἔλθῃ 〈

έκεῖνος, τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς άληθείας,

3.5.15 | Since more work and clarity are needed about the place, it is not the right time to go into detail about the examination, since this is not what we are discussing. It was necessary to present another comforter, the Spirit of the Son, which was shown in different ways by the Savior, both to others and in what he said, "I still have many things to say to you, but you cannot bear them now. But when he comes, the Spirit of truth, he will tell you all the truth."

# διηγήσεται ὑμῖν τὴν άλήθειαν πᾶσαν·

3.5.16 | ού γὰρ ἀφ' ἑαυτοῦ λαλήσει, άλλ' ὅσα ἀκούσει, καὶ τὰ έρχόμενα ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν. ἐκεῖνος ἐμὲ δοξάσει, ὅτι ἐκ τοῦ ἐμοῦ λήψεται καὶ ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«. | ἐν οἷς πάλιν ἃ μὴ αὐτὸς ἑδίδαξεν ταῦτα μαθήσεσθαι τοὺς αὐτοῦ μαθητὰς ὑπὸ τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος ἐπαγγέλλεται, ὡς περὶ ἐτέρου λέγων τὸ »ὅταν ἔλθῃ ἐκεῖνος« καὶ τὸ »ού γὰρ άφ' ἑαυτοῦ λαλήσει« καὶ τὸ ἐκεῖνος ἐμὲ δοξάσει« καὶ τὸ »ὅτι ἐκ τοῦ ἐμοῦ λήψεται«• ταῦτα γὰρ πάντα ὑπολαμβάνειν τὸν σωτῆρα αὐτὸν περὶ ἑαυτοῦ λέγειν δεινὴ καὶ δυσθεράπευτος εὐήθεια.

3.5.16 | For he will not speak on his own, but he will speak what he hears and will announce to you what is to come. He will glorify me, because he will take from what is mine and declare it to you. In this, he again promises that the Holy Spirit will teach his disciples things that he himself did not teach them, as if speaking about another when he says, "When he comes" and "For he will not speak on his own" and "He will glorify me" and "Because he will take from what is mine." For all this shows that the Savior is saying something very deep and difficult to understand about himself.

3.5.17 | άλλὰ γὰρ σαφῶς διὰ τούτων αύτὸς ὸ σωτὴρ τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον ἔτερον ὑπάρχειν παρ' ἑαυτὸν έδίδαξεν, τιμῆ μὲν καὶ δόξη καὶ πρεσβείοις ὑπερέχον καὶ κρεῖττον καὶ ἀνώτερον πάσης τῆς νοερᾶς καὶ λογικῆς τυγχάνον οὐσίας (διὸ καὶ συμπαρείληπται τῆ ὰγία καὶ τρισμακαρία τριάδι).

3.5.17 | But clearly through these things, the Savior taught that the Holy Spirit exists as another beside himself, having honor, glory, and authority that surpasses and is greater and higher than all intellectual and rational being (which is why he is included with the holy and most blessed Trinity).

3.5.18 | ὑποβεβηκός γε μὴν [εἶναι] αὐτοῦ. ὂ δὴ παρίστη είπὼν »ού γὰρ άφ' ἑαυτοῦ λαλήσει, άλλ' ὄσα ἀκούσει λαλήσεις· παρὰ τίνος δὲ ἀκούσει, διασαφεῖ λέγων »έκ τοῦ έμοῦ λήψεται καὶ ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«, έκ τοῦ έμοῦ δηλαδὴ θησαυροῦ· έν αὐτῷ γάρ είσιν πάντες οὶ θησαυροὶ τῆς σοφίας καὶ γνώσεως ἀπόκρυφοι«.

3.5.18 | He has indeed come down from himself. He says, "For he will not speak on his own, but he will speak what he hears." And when he says, "He will take from what is mine and declare it to you," he is referring to his own treasure. For in him are all the hidden treasures of wisdom and knowledge.

3.5.19 | αύτὸς μὲν οὖν ἄτε υὶὸς μονογενὴς παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς λαμβάνει καὶ παρὰ τοῦ

3.5.19 | The only Son receives and hears from the Father, but the Holy Spirit is given

πατρὸς ἀκούει, τὸ δὲ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον παρ' αὐτοῦ χορηγεῖται· διό φησιν »έκ τοῦ έμοῦ λήψεται καὶ ἀναγγελεῖ ὑμῖν«. λέγεται μὲν οὖν καὶ ὁ έπὶ πάντων θεὸς πνεῦμα, ὡς αὐτὸς έδίδαξεν ὁ σωτὴρ είπὼν »πνεῦμα ὁ θεός, καὶ τοὺς προσκυνοῦντας αὐτὸν έν πνεύματι καὶ άληθεία δεῖ προσκυνεῖν«, καὶ ἔσται άληθῶς ἄγιος ὰγίων αὐτός, καὶ »έν ὰγίοις ἀναπαυόμενος«. άλλὰ καὶ ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ πνεῦμα ὢν τυγχάνει, καὶ πνεῦμα καὶ αὐτὸς ὰγίων ἄγιον, εί δὴ είκών έστιν τοῦ ἀοράτου·

from him. That is why he says, "He will take from what is mine and declare it to you." God, who is over all, is also called Spirit, as the Savior taught when he said, "God is Spirit, and those who worship him must worship in spirit and truth." He will truly be the Holy of Holies and will rest among the saints. But the Son of God is also Spirit, and he himself is the Holy Spirit, since he is the image of the invisible.

3.5.20 | διὸ καὶ περὶ αὐτοῦ λέλεκται »ὁ δὲ κύριος τὸ πνεῦμά έστιν« καὶ »πνεῦμα πρὸ προσώπου ἡμῶν χριστὸς κύριος«.

3.5.20 | That is why it is said about him, "The Lord is the Spirit," and "The Spirit is before us, Christ the Lord."

3.5.21 | άλλὰ γὰρ τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος ἐτέρου ὅντος παρὰ τὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν υἰόν, τὸ ίδίωμα παριστὰς ὁ σωτὴρ κέκληκεν αὐτὸ παράκλητον, τὸ κοινὸν τῆς ὁμωνυμίας άφορίζων διὰ τῆς τοῦ παρακλήτου προσηγορίας, έπεὶ καὶ αὶ άγγελικαὶ δυνάμεις εἶεν ᾶν πνεύματα· »ὸ« γὰρ »ποιῶν τοὺς άγγέλους αὺτοῦ πνεύματα« εἴρηται. άλλ' οὐδὲν τούτων έξισοῦσθαι δύναται τῷ παρακλήτῳ πνεύματι. διὸ τῆ ὰγίᾳ καὶ τρισμακαρίᾳ τριάδι μόνον τοῦτο συμπαρείληπται,

3.5.21 | But since the Holy Spirit is another being beside the Father and the Son, the Savior has called him the Advocate, distinguishing him by the name of the Advocate. This is because even the angelic powers could be called spirits; for it is said, "He makes his angels spirits." However, none of these can be compared to the Advocate Spirit. Therefore, this is only accepted in the Holy and Blessed Trinity.

3.5.22 | ούκ ἄλλως τοῦ σωτῆρος τοῖς ἀποστόλοις αύτοῦ διαταξαμένου τὸ μυστήριον τῆς αύτοῦ παλιγγενεσίας πᾶσιν τοῖς έξ έθνῶν είς αύτὸν πιστεύουσιν παραδιδόναι ἢ βαπτίζοντας »αύτοὺς είς τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ καὶ τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος«· | τοῦ μὲν πατρὸς αύθεντοῦντος καὶ δωρουμένου τὴν χάριν, τοῦ δὲ υὶοῦ ταύτη διακονουμένου (»ἡ« γὰρ

3.5.22 | In no other way did the Savior command his apostles to hand down the mystery of his rebirth to all those from the nations who believe in him, except by baptizing them "in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit." The Father gives grace and is in charge, the Son serves in this, for "grace and truth came through Jesus Christ," and the Holy Spirit,

»χάρις καὶ ἡ ἀλήθεια διὰ Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ έγένετο«), τοῦ δὲ ὰγίου πνεύματος, δηλαδὴ τοῦ παρακλήτου, αὐτοῦ ὅντος τοῦ χορηγουμένου κατὰ τὰς έν αὐτῷ διαιρέσεις τῶν χαρισμάτων, »ῷ μὲν γὰρ διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος δίδοται λόγος σοφίας, ἄλλῳ δὲ λόγος γνώσεως κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ πνεῦμα, ἑτέρῳ πίστις έν τῷ αὐτῷ πνεύματι« καὶ τὰ τούτοις κατηριθμημένα ὁμοίως.

that is, the Advocate, is the one who distributes according to the different gifts in him. "For to one is given through the Spirit the word of wisdom, to another the word of knowledge according to the same Spirit, to another faith by the same Spirit," and so on with the others listed similarly.

## Section 6

3.6.1 | 6 τὸ μὲν οὖν ἄγιον πνεῦμα μόνοις ὰγίοις έμφιλοχωρεῖν πέφυκεν, διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ χορηγούμενον οἷς ἂν ὁ πατὴρ κρίνειεν. καὶ τοῦτ' ᾶν εἴη ἔργον αὐτοῦ τὸ πάντας ὰγιάζειν, οἷς ᾶν ἐνός τινος ἢ καὶ πλειόνων μεταδιδῷ τῶν έν αὐτῷ χαρισμάτων, ὡς καὶ προφήτας καὶ άποστόλους καὶ πᾶσαν θεοφιλῆ ψυχήν, είκὸς δὲ καὶ τὰς κρείττους καὶ θείας δυνάμεις. τῆς έξ αὐτοῦ μεταλαμβάνειν ὰγιότητος. ὁ δὲ υὶὸς μόνος πατρικῆ θεότητι τετιμημένος, ποιητικὸς ᾶν εἵη καὶ δημιουργικὸς τῆς τῶν γενητῶν ἀπάντων, ὁρατῶν τε καὶ ἀοράτων, καὶ δὴ καὶ αὐτῆς τῆς τοῦ παρακλήτου πνεύματος ὑπάρξεως· πάντα γὰρ »δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο,

3.6.1 | The Holy Spirit has been given to the holy ones alone, distributed through the Son to whomever the Father chooses. This is indeed his work, to make all holy, by sharing with them some of the gifts in him, such as prophets, apostles, and every soul that loves God, and likely also the greater and divine powers. They receive holiness from him. The Son alone, honored with the Father's divine nature, would be the creator and maker of all things that are created, both visible and invisible, and indeed also of the very existence of the Advocate Spirit; for "all things were made through him."

3.6.2 | καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ έγένετο οὐδὲ ἔν« καὶ »έν αὐτῷ έκτίσθη τὰ πάντα τὰ έν τοῖς οὐρανοῖς καὶ τὰ έπὶ τῆς γῆς, εἴτε ὸρατὰ εἴτε άόρατα«. ὁ δ' έπέκεινα τῶν ὅλων θεὸς καὶ πατὴρ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ἄρρητόν τι ὢν άγαθὸν καὶ παντὸς λογισμοῦ καὶ διανοίας φωνῆς τε πάσης καὶ ένθυμήσεως κρεῖττον, ὁμοῦ τῶν πάντων ὅσα ποτὲ ὅντα καὶ ὁποῖα τυγχάνει, αὐτοῦ τε τοῦ ὰγίου πνεύματος προσέτι δὲ καὶ τοῦ μονογενοῦς υἰοῦ καθηγούμενος. μόνος είκότως ὁ έπὶ πάντων καὶ διὰ πάντων καὶ ἐν πᾶσιν θεὸς ἀνείρηται παρὰ τῷ

3.6.2 | And without him, nothing was made; and in him, all things were created that are in the heavens and that are on the earth, whether visible or invisible. But beyond all things is God and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, being something unspeakably good and greater than all thought, speech, and memory. He is above all that ever was and whatever happens, and he is also the Holy Spirit and the only-begotten Son. Only he, who is over all and through all and in all, is called God, as the apostle says, "One Lord, one faith, one baptism; one God and

άποστόλω φάντι »εἷς κύριος, μία πίστις, ἔν βάπτισμα· εἷς θεὸς πατὴρ πάντων, ὁ ἐπὶ πάντων καὶ διὰ πάντων καὶ ἐν πᾶσιν«. Father of all, who is over all and through all and in all."

3.6.3 | καὶ μόνος μὲν αύτὸς »εἶς θεὸς καὶ πατὴρ« »τοῦ | κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ« χρηματίζοι ἄν, ὁ δὲ υὶὸς »μονογενὴς θεὸς ὁ ὢν είς τὸν κόλπον τοῦ πατρός«· τὸ δὲ παράκλητον πνεῦμα οὕτε θεὸς οὕτε υἰός, έπεὶ μὴ έκ τοῦ πατρὸς ὁμοίως τῷ υὶῷ καὶ αὐτὸ τὴν γένεσιν εἴληφεν, ὲν δέ τι τῶν διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ γενομένων τυγχάνει, ὅτι δὴ »πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ έγένετο, καὶ χωρὶς αὐτοῦ έγένετο ούδὲ ἔν«.

3.6.3 | And he alone is "one God and Father" of our Lord Jesus Christ; the Son is "the only-begotten God who is in the bosom of the Father." But the Advocate Spirit is neither God nor Son, since he does not have his origin from the Father in the same way as the Son does. He is one of those things that came to be through the Son, because indeed "all things were made through him, and without him nothing was made."

3.6.4 | ταῦτα μὲν οὖν τῇ ἀγία καὶ καθολικῷ ἐκκλησία ὧδέ πη διὰ τῶν θείων φωνῶν παραδίδοται τὰ μυστήρια· Μάρκελλος δὲ πάντα φύρας. ποτὲ μὲν είς αὐτὸν ὅλον τὸν Σαβελλίου βυθὸν χωρεῖ, ποτὲ δὲ Παύλου τοῦ Σαμοσατέως ἀνανεοῦσθαι πειρᾶται τὴν αἴρεσιν, ποτὲ δὲ Ἰουδαῖος ὢν ἄντικρυς ἀπελέγχεται· μίαν γὰρ ὑπόστασιν τριπρόσωπον ὤσπερ καὶ τριώνυμον είσάγει, τὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι λέγων τὸν θεὸν καὶ τὸν έν αὐτῷ λόγον καὶ τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα.

3.6.4 | These things are therefore handed down to the holy and universal church through divine voices regarding the mysteries. Marcello mixes everything.

Sometimes he dives into the whole depth of Sabellius, sometimes he tries to renew the heresy of Paul of Samosata, and sometimes, being a Jew, he is directly refuted. For he introduces one substance with three faces, saying that God, the Word in him, and the Holy Spirit are the same.

3.6.5 | εἶτ' έντεῦθεν μεταβὰς έπὶ τὰς ἀποστολικὰς περὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ θεολογίας, πάλιν διαστρόφοις κέχρηται ἐρμηνείαις. τοῦ γὰρ θείου ἀποστόλου θεολογοῦντος διαρρήδην τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ λέγοντος »ὅς έστιν είκὼν τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτου, πρωτότοκος πάσης κτίσεως, ὅτι ἐν αὐτῷ ἐκτίσθη τὰ πάντα τά τε ἐν τοῖς οὐρανοῖς καὶ τὰ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, εἴτε ὀρατὰ εἴτε ἀρατα. εἴτε θρόνοι εἴτε κυριότητες εἴτε ἀρχαὶ εἴτε έξουσίαι· πάντα δι' αὐτοῦ καὶ είς αὐτὸν

3.6.5 | Then, having moved on to the apostolic teachings about Christ, he has again used twisted interpretations. For the divine apostle clearly speaks of the Son of God, saying, "He is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of all creation, for in him all things were created, both in the heavens and on the earth, whether visible or invisible, whether thrones or dominions or rulers or authorities; all things were created through him and for

ἔκτισται· καὶ αύτός έστιν πρὸ πάντων καὶ τὰ πάντα έν αύτῷ συνέστηκεν«,

him; and he is before all things, and in him all things hold together."

## Section 7

3.7.1 | 7 ὁ θαυμαστὸς οὖτος αὖθις έπὶ τὴν σάρκα 〈κατα〉 πεσών αύτὴν 5, εἶναι άποφαίνεται την είκόνα τοῦ άοράτου θεοῦ, ούκ άκούων ώς άρσενικῷ χαρακτῆρι έξενήνεκται τὸ »ὄς έστιν είκών«. τὸ γὰρ »δς« ούκ οἶδ ὅπως έφαρμόσας τῆ σαρκί, ταύτην ἔφη δηλοῦσθαι ὡς αύτῆς οὔσης τῆς είκόνος »τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτου«. καὶ πάλιν τὸ »αύτός έστιν πρὸ πάντων« περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς φησιν είρῆσθαι ούκ αίδούμενος τὸ »αύτὸς« έπὶ τῆς σαρκὸς έκλαμβάνειν.

3.7.1 | This marvelous one, having again fallen upon the flesh, shows that it is the image of the invisible God, not hearing that "who is the image" is expressed with a masculine character. For the word "who" does not know how to apply to the flesh, and he says that this is shown as being the very image of "the invisible God." And again, the phrase "he is before all things" speaks about the flesh, not being ashamed to take "he" in reference to the flesh.

3.7.2 | καὶ τὸν »πρωτότοκον πάσης κτίσεως« διὰ τὴν σάρκα κεκλῆσθαι λέγει, έπιμένει τε άδιατρέπτως έν τῆ σαρκὶ φάσκων »τὰ έν τοῖς ούρανοῖς καὶ τὰ έπὶ τῆς νῆς« έκτίσθαι, »τά τε ὁρατὰ καὶ τὰ άόρατα«, ούδὲ διατρέπεται θρόνους καὶ κυριότητας καὶ άρχὰς καὶ έξουσίας διὰ τῆς σαρκὸς τοῦ σωτῆρος λέγων τῆς έν Χριστῷ κτίσεως ήξιῶσθαι. ήδη δὲ διὰ τῆς πρὸ τούτου γραφῆς τὰς περὶ τούτων τοῦ Μαρκέλλου φωνὰς παραθέμενος, λόγου συμμετρίας φειδόμενος, τῆ αύτῶν έκείνων άρκεσθήσομαι μαρτυρία. ώς δὲ διάστροφος καὶ βεβιασμένη ή τοιαύτη τυγχάνει τῆς ἀποστολικῆς λέξεως διήγησις, οὔ μοι δεῖν ἡγοῦμαι κατασκευῆς πλείονος, διὰ τὸ καὶ παντί τω κατάφωρον εἶναι τὸ άναιδὲς τῆς ὲρμηνείας.

3.7.2 | And he says that the "firstborn of all creation" is called through the flesh, and he insists firmly that "the things in the heavens and the things on the earth" were created, "both the visible and the invisible," and he does not hesitate to mention thrones, dominions, rulers, and authorities through the flesh of the Savior, claiming that creation in Christ is worthy. Now, having presented the voices of Marcello about these things from the previous writings, I will be careful to use their testimony without excessive words. Since such a twisted and forced interpretation of the apostolic word is clear to everyone, I think I do not need to provide more explanation because the boldness of the interpretation is obvious.

## **Section 8**

σωτῆρος είπὼν ούδ' αύτὴν ἡμῖν φυλάττει σώαν· ὼς εἴθε γὰρ κᾶν είς αύτὴν τὸν εύσεβῆ διετήρει λογισμόν. νυνὶ δὲ μετὰ πάντα τὰ περὶ αὐτῆς αὐτῷ λελεγμένα έπὶ συντελεία τῶν αίώνων καταλείπεσθαι αὐτὴν ἔρημον τοῦ λόγου ἀπο φαίνεται γράφων οὕτως (Νr. 104) εί οὖν ὁμολογεῖ τὴν σάρκα μηδὲν ώφελεῖν αὐτόν, πῶς έγχωρεῖ τὴν έκ γῆς τε οὖσαν καὶ μηδὲν ώφελοῦσαν καὶ έν τοῖς μέλλουσιν αίῶσιν ὼς αὐτῷ λυσιτελοῦσαν συνεῖναι τῷ λόγω;

flesh of the Savior, he does not keep it safe for us. For I wish that even he would maintain a pious thought about it. Now, after all that has been said about it, he seems to leave it empty of the word at the end of the ages, writing this way: "If he therefore acknowledges that the flesh is of no benefit to him, how can he allow it, being from the earth and of no benefit, to be useful to the word in the ages to come?"

## **Section 9**

3.9.1 | καὶ δευτεροῖ τὸ αὐτὸ ἐν ἑτέρῳ φάσκων (Nr. 104) εἰ τοίνυν ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς ἀποκαταστάσεως ἀπάντων καὶ αὐτὴν τὴν κτίσιν ἐκ τῆς δουλείας εἰς τὴν ἐλευθερίαν μεταβληθήσεσθαι ὁ Παῦλος ἔφη (λέγει γὰρ »ὅτι καὶ αὐτὴ ἡ κτίσις ἐλευθερωθήσεται ἀπὸ τῆς δουλείας τῆς φθορᾶς εἰς τὴν ἐλευθερίαν τῆς δόξης τῶν τέκνων τοῦ θεοῦ«), πὼς ἔτι τὴν τοῦ δούλου μορφήν, ἢν ἀνείληφεν | ὁ λόγος, μορφὴν οὖσαν δούλου, συνεῖναι τῷ λόγῳ [δι' αὐτὴν] γένοιτ' ἀν δυνατόν;

3.9.1 | And he says the same thing again in another way: "If, then, Paul said that at the time of the restoration of all things, creation itself will be changed from slavery to freedom (for he says, 'that the creation itself will be set free from the bondage of corruption into the freedom of the glory of the children of God'), how can the form of a slave, which the word has taken, still be able to exist with the word?"

#### **Section 10**

3.10.1 | ὁρᾶς ὁποίας προέσθαι φωνὰς κατὰ τῆς σαρκὸς τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν τετόλμηκεν ούκ έπιστήσας ὡς καὶ πρὸ τῆς είς ούρανοὺς ἀναλήψεως ἔτι οὖσαν αὐτὴν έπὶ γῆς πρὸ τοῦ πάθους οὕτως ἐδόξασεν έν τῷ ὅρει. τρισὶν τοῖς ἐκκρίτοις αὐτοῦ μαθηταῖς μόνοις είκόνα δεικνὺς τῆς βασιλείας αὐτοῦ, ὡς ἐξαστράψαι τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ, καὶ φωτὸς μαρμαρυγὰς ἐκλάμψαι

3.10.1 | Do you see what kind of voices he has dared to speak against the flesh of our Savior, not realizing that even before the ascension into heaven, it was still on earth before the passion, and thus it was glorified on the mountain? Showing only to his three chosen disciples a glimpse of his kingdom, his face shone like the sun, and his clothes became bright as light.

3.10.2 | ( »έγένετο« γάρ φησιν ή θεία γραφή »τὸ πρόσωπον αύτοῦ ὡς ὁ ἥλιος καὶ τὰ ἱμάτια αύτοῦ λευκὰ ὡς τὸ φῶς«), άλλ' ούδὲ συνεὶς ὁποῖον σῶμα δόξης Χριστοῦ ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος ἔλεγεν καὶ ὡς καὶ τὰ ημέτερα σώματα σύμμορφα ἔσεσθαι έκείνω τῶ σώματι έδίδασκεν λέγων »ὂς μετασχηματίσει τὸ σῶμα τῆς ταπεινώσεως ήμῶν σύμμορφον τῷ σώματι τῆς δόξης αύτοῦ«, άλλ' ούδὲ νοήσας τίνι τρόπω καταποθήσεσθαι τὸ θνητὸν ὑπὸ τῆς ζωῆς έγραφεν φάσκων »έφ' ὧ ού θέλομεν έκδύσασθαι (άλλ' έπενδύσασθαι), ἵνα καταποθῆ τὸ θνητὸν ὑπὸ τῆς ζωῆς«· τούτων άμαθῶς ἔχων τὸν μὲν λόγον διαβεβαιοῦται εν καὶ ταύτὸν μέλλειν γίγνεσθαι τῷ θεῷ ὤσπερ καὶ πρότερον ἦν, τὴν δὲ σάρκα καταλειφθεῖσαν ὑπὸ τοῦ λόγου ούκ οἶδ' ὅ τι ποτὲ γενήσεσθαι.

3.10.2 | For the divine scripture says, "His face shone like the sun, and his clothes were white as light," but the divine apostle did not understand what kind of glorious body Christ had. He also taught that our bodies would be made like that body, saying, "He will transform our lowly body to be like his glorious body." But he did not realize how the mortal would be swallowed up by life, writing, "For we do not wish to be unclothed but to be further clothed, so that what is mortal may be swallowed up by life." Holding these things in ignorance, he confidently claims that the word will become one and the same with God, just as it was before, but he does not know what will happen to the flesh that is left behind by the word.

3.10.3 | έπάκουσον δ΄ οὖν ὅπως ταῦτά φησιν (Nr. 108) εί δέ τις περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς ταύτης τῆς ἐν τῷ λόγῳ άθανάτου γεγονυίας πυνθάνοιτο, τί φαμεν πρὸς αὐτόν; ὅτι δογματίζειν μὲν ἡμεῖς περὶ ὧν μὴ άκριβῶς παρὰ τῶν θείων μεμαθήκαμεν γραφῶν οὐκ άσφαλὲς εἶναι νομίζομεν. πῶς γὰρ τοῦτο πράττειν δυνατὸν τοῖς καὶ τὰ ἑτέρων άνατρέπουσιν δόγματα;

3.10.3 | Listen then to what he says. If someone were to ask about this flesh that has become immortal in the word, what should we say to him? We think that it is not safe to speculate about things we have not learned accurately from the divine scriptures. For how can it be possible to do this when they overturn the doctrines of others?

3.10.4 | άλλ' έροῦμεν πρὸς τοὺς τὸν ἀκριβῆ περὶ τούτου μαθεῖν παρ' ἡμῶν βουλομένους λόγον, ὅτι πειθόμενοι τῷ ἱερῷ ἀποστόλῳ ἴσμεν ὅτι οὕτως ἡμᾶς ὁρᾶν τὰ ἀποκεκρυμμένα μυστήρια προσήκει, ὡς αὐτὸς ἔφη· βλέπομεν γὰρ ἄρτι« φησὶν δι' έσόπτρου 〈έν〉 αἰνίγματι, τότε δὲ πρόσωπον πρὸς πρόσωπον« καὶ | τὰ ἑξῆς. ὥστε μή μου πυνθάνου περὶ ὧν σαφῶς παρὰ τῆς θείας γραφῆς μὴ μεμάθηκα· διὰ

3.10.4 | But we will say to those who wish to learn the truth about this, that believing the holy apostle, we know that it is fitting for us to see the hidden mysteries as he said: "For now we see in a mirror, dimly, but then face to face." So do not ask me about things I have not learned clearly from the divine scripture. Therefore, I will not be able to speak clearly about that divine scripture which shares in the flesh of the

τοῦτο τοίνυν ούδὲ περὶ τῆς θείας [γραφῆς] έκείνης τῆς τῷ λόγῳ κοινωνησάσης σαρκὸς σαφῶς είπεῖν δυνήσομαι. εἶθ' ὁ μηδὲν λέγειν δύνασθαι ὁμολογῶν μηδὲ μεμαθηκέναι τι ἀπὸ τῆς γραφῆς.

word. Then, I admit that I cannot say anything, nor have I learned anything from the scripture.

3.10.5 | τοιαῦτα περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς άπεφήνατο, οὕτ' άπὸ τῆς γραφῆς λαβὼν οὕτε άφ' ἐτέρων παραλαβὼν οὕτε άπὸ τῆς έκκλησίας μαθών ἐαυτῷ δὲ άναπλάσας καὶ τῆς οἰκείας ένθυμήσεως έπινοήσας ξένον καὶ τῆς άληθείας άλλότριον γέννημα, μηδὲ έν νῷ λαβὼν τὴν λέγουσαν γραφὴν »μὴ προσθῆς τοῖς λόγοις αὐτοῦ, μή ποτε έλέγξῃ σε, καὶ ψευδὴς γένῃ« καὶ »οὐαὶ τοῖς προφητεύουσιν άπὸ καρδίας αὐτῶν«.

3.10.5 | He has spoken such things about the flesh, neither taking from the scripture, nor receiving from others, nor learning from the church. He has reshaped it for himself and thought up something foreign and strange to the truth. He did not consider the saying of the scripture, "Do not add to his words, lest he rebuke you, and you be found a liar," and "Woe to those who prophesy from their own hearts."

3.10.6 | ὄρα δ' οὖν ὄση δυσσεβεία περιπέπτωκεν. ὁ γὰρ τὴν πᾶσαν περὶ τοῦ προϋπάρχοντος μονογενοῦς υἱοῦ θεολογίαν έπὶ τὴν σάρκα καταβαλὼν αύτοῖς τε ῥήμασιν νίκης στέφανον αύτὴν άναγορεύσας (οὕτως ζγάρ) ἔφη (Νr. 114) τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον τὴν άνθρωπίνην σάρκα διὰ τῆς άναστάσεως άθάνατον γενέσθαι παρεσκευακέναι καὶ ὤσπερ τινὰ νίκης στέφανον άναδησάμενον έν δεξιᾶ τοῦ πατρὸς καθέζεσθαι) οὧτος αύτός φησιν αύτην ἔρημον ἔσεσθαι καὶ όρφανην έν τῷ τοῦ παντὸς τέλει, ἐνῶν μὲν τὸν λόγον τῷ θεῷ τὴν δὲ σάρκα χωρίζων τῆς τοῦ λόγου ένεργείας καὶ καταλιπὼν αύτὴν ούκ οἶδ' ὅπως άθάνατον μὲν καὶ ἄφθαρτον <u>ἄψυχον δὲ καὶ άνενέργητον ὑπὸ τοῦ λόγου.</u>

3.10.6 | See then how great is the wickedness he has fallen into. For he who has brought down the whole theology about the pre-existing only-begotten Son to the flesh, calling it a crown of victory with his words (for he said that the word of God made human flesh immortal through the resurrection and seated it like a crown of victory at the right hand of the Father), this same person says that it will be desolate and orphaned in the fullness of all things, separating the word from God and leaving the flesh without the action of the word. I do not know how it can be immortal and incorruptible, yet soulless and inactive because of the word.

3.10.7 | καὶ ταῦτα δὲ πάλιν έκ τῶν θείων άναγνωσμάτων, ἃ μὴ νενόηκεν, συνιστᾶν πειρᾶται. τοῦ γὰρ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν πρὸς τοὺς μὴ συνιέντας τὰς περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς καὶ

3.10.7 | And again, he tries to establish these things from the divine readings, which he has not understood. For when our Savior spoke to those who do not

τοῦ αἴματος αύτοῦ διδασκαλίας είρηκότος τοῦτο ὑμᾶς σκανδαλίζει; έὰν οὖν θεωρῆτε τὸν υἱὸν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ἀναβαίνοντα ὅπου ἦν τὸ πρότερον; τὸ πνεῦμά έστιν τὸ ζωοποιοῦν, ἡ σὰρξ οὐκ ώφελεῖ οὐδέν· τὰ ῥήματα ἃ έγὼ λελάληκα ὑμῖν πνεῦμά έστιν καὶ ζωή έστιν«,

understand his teachings about the flesh and his blood, does this scandalize you? If you see the Son of Man going back to where he was before, what then? The spirit gives life; the flesh is of no help at all. The words that I have spoken to you are spirit and life.

#### Section 11

3.11.1 | ούκ έπστήσας τῆ διανοία τοῦ λόγου ὑπέλαβεν αύτὸν άθετεῖν τὴν σάρκα | ην άνείληφεν έκ τῆς ὰγίας παρθένου. εἶτ' έκ τούτου πειρᾶται καταστευάζειν ώς μετὰ τὴν συντέλειαν τοῦ παντὸς ἔρημον τῆς **ἐ**αυτοῦ ἐνεργείας ὁ ἐν τῷ θεῷ λόγος καταλείψει τὴν σάρκα. λέγει γοῦν αύτοῖς ρήμασιν (Nr. 104) περί γαρ τῆς σαρκός, ἣν έχων ὼμίλει τοῖς μαθηταῖς, οὕτω λέγει τοῦτο ἡμᾶς σκανδαλίζει; έὰν οὖν ἴδητε τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ άνθρώπου άπιόντα ὅπου ἦν τὸ πρότερον; τὸ πνεῦμα ζωοποιεῖ, ἡ σὰρξ ούδὲν ώφελεῖ«. εί οὖν ὁμολογεῖ τὴν σάρκα μηδὲν ώφελεῖν αύτόν, πῶς έγχωρεῖ τὴν έκ γῆς τε οὖσαν καὶ μηδὲν ώφελοῦσαν καὶ έν τοῖς μέλλουσιν αίῶσιν 〈ὡς〉 αύτῷ λυσιτελοῦσαν συνεῖναι τῷ λόγω; ὁρᾶς ὄση κέχρηται τοῦ εύαγγελικοῦ λόγου διαστροφή διὰ τὸ μὴ συνιέναι τοῦ λόγου τὴν σωτήριον φωνήν, ὅπως εἴρηται καὶ διὰ ποίαν αίτίαν.

3.11.1 | He did not understand the meaning of the word and assumed that he could reject the flesh, which he took from the holy virgin. Then, from this, he tries to build an idea that after the end of all things, the word of God will leave the flesh empty of its own action. He says to them, "Does this scandalize you?" For concerning the flesh, which he had and spoke with his disciples, he says, "If you see the Son of Man going back to where he was before, what then? The spirit gives life; the flesh is of no help at all." If he admits that the flesh is of no benefit to him, how can he allow the flesh, which is from the earth and of no help, to be joined with the word in the ages to come? You see how much he has twisted the gospel message because he does not understand the saving voice of the word, as it has been said and for what reason.

#### Section 12

3.12.1 | άλλὰ σύ γε άναλαβὼν τὴν εύαγγελικὴν γραφήν, θέα τὴν πᾶσαν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν διδασκαλίαν ὅπως ού περ ἦς άνείληφεν σαρκὸς διελέγετο, περὶ δὲ τοῦ μυστικοῦ σώματός τε καὶ αἴματος. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ τοῖς πέντε ἄρτοις ἔθρεψεν τὰ πλήθη,

3.12.1 | But you, taking up the gospel writing, should see the whole teaching of our Savior, how he did not speak about the flesh he took, but about the mystical body and blood. For when he fed the crowds with five loaves, this was a great miracle for

μέγα δὲ θαῦμα τοῦτο παρέσχεν τοῖς ἐωρακόσιν, Ἰουδαίων δὲ οὶ πλεῖστοι έξευτελίζοντες τὸ γεγονὸς ἔλεγον αὐτῷ »τί οὖν ποιεῖς σὺ σημεῖον, ἴνα ἴδωμεν καὶ πιστεύσωμεν«, εἶτα παρέβαλλον τὸ μάννα τὸ ἐν τῇ ἐρήμῳ λέγοντες οὶ πατέρες ἡμῶν τὸ μάννα ἔφαγον ἐν τῇ ἐρήμῳ, καθώς ἐστιν γεγραμμένον· ἄρτον ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς φαγεῖν«,

those who saw it. But most of the Jews, belittling the event, said to him, "What then do you do as a sign, so that we may see and believe?" Then they brought up the manna that was in the desert, saying, "Our fathers ate the manna in the wilderness, as it is written: 'He gave them bread from heaven to eat.'"

3.12.2 | πρὸς ταῦτα ὁ σωτὴρ ἀπεκρίνατο »ἀμὴν ἀμὴν λέγω ὑμῖν, ού Μωσῆς δέδωκεν ὑμῖν τὸν ἄρτον έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ, ἀλλ' ὁ πατήρ μου δίδωσιν ὑμῖν τὸν ἄρτον τὸν ἀληθινὸν έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ«. εἶτ' ἐπιφέρει »έγώ είμι ὁ ἄρτος τῆς ζωῆς« καὶ πάλιν »έγώ είμι ὁ ἄρτος ὁ καταβὰς έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ« καὶ αὖθις »ὸ δὲ ἄρτος ὸν έγὼ δώσω τὸ σῶμά μού ἐστιν«. καὶ πάλιν προστίθησιν άμὴν άμὴν λέγω ὑμῖν, έὰν μὴν φάγητε τὴν σάρκα τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου καὶ πίητε αὐτοῦ τὸ αἷμα, ούκ ἔχετε ζωὴν ἐν ὲαυτοῖς.

3.12.2 | In response to this, the Savior answered, "Truly, truly, I say to you, it was not Moses who gave you the bread from heaven, but my Father gives you the true bread from heaven." Then he adds, "I am the bread of life," and again, "I am the bread that came down from heaven," and once more, "The bread that I will give is my body." And he adds again, "Truly, truly, I say to you, unless you eat the flesh of the Son of Man and drink his blood, you do not have life in yourselves."

3.12.3 | ὁ τρώγων μου τὴν σάρκα καὶ πίνων μου τὸ αἶμα ἔχει ζωὴν αίώνιον, κάγὼ άναστήσω αὐτὸν έν τῇ έσχάτῃ ἡμέρᾳ. ἡ γὰρ σάρξ μου άληθής έστιν βρῶσις, καὶ τὸ αἷμά μου άληθής έστιν πόσις.

3.12.3 | The one who eats my flesh and drinks my blood has eternal life, and I will raise him up on the last day. For my flesh is true food, and my blood is true drink.

3.12.4 | ὁ τρώγων μου τὴν σάρκα καὶ πίνων μου τὸ αἷμα έν έμοὶ μένει κάγὼ έν αὐτῷ«. ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα μυστικώτερον αὐτοῦ διεξιόντος τινὲς τῶν αὐτοῦ μαθητῶν εἶπον »σκληρός έστιν ὁ λόγος, τίς δύναται αὐτοῦ άκούειν;« πρὸς οὺς ἀπεκρίνατο ὁ σωτὴρ λέγων τοῦτο ὑμᾶς σκανδαλίζει; έὰν οὖν θεωρῆτε τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ άνθρώπου

3.12.4 | The one who eats my flesh and drinks my blood remains in me, and I in him." As he spoke these and similar mysterious things, some of his disciples said, "This is a hard saying; who can listen to it?" To them, the Savior answered, "Does this offend you? What if you see the Son of Man ascending to where he was before?"

# άναβαίνοντα ὅπου ἦν τὸ πρότερον;

3.12.5 | τὸ πνεῦμά έστιν τὸ ζωοποιοῦν, ἡ σὰρξ ούκ ώφελεῖ ούδέν· τὰ ῥήματα ἃ λελάληκα ὑμῖν πνεῦμά ἐστιν καὶ ζωή έστιν«. δι' ὧν έπαίδευεν αύτοὺς πνευματικῶς άκούειν τῶν περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς καὶ τοῦ αἴματος αύτοῦ λελεγμένων· μὴ γὰρ τὴν σάρκα 〈, φησίν.〉 ἢν περίκειμαι νομίσητέ με λέγειν, ως δέον αύτὴν έσθίειν, μηδὲ τὸ αίσθητὸν καὶ σωματικὸν αἷμα πίνειν ὑπολαμβάνετέ με προστάττειν, άλλ' εὖ ἴστε ὅτι »τὰ ῥήματά μου ἃ λελάληκα ύμῖν πνεῦμά έστιν καὶ ζωή έστιν«, ὤστε αύτὰ εἶναι τὰ ῥήματα καὶ τοὺς λόγους αύτοὺς τὴν σάρκα καὶ τὸ αἶμα. ὧν ὁ μετέχων άεὶ ὼσανεὶ ἄρτω ούρανίω τρεφόμενος τῆς ούρανίου μεθέξει ζωῆς.

3.12.5 | It is the spirit that gives life; the flesh is of no help at all. The words that I have spoken to you are spirit and life." By this, he was teaching them to understand spiritually the things he said about his flesh and blood. "Do not think that I am saying you should eat the flesh that I have, or drink the physical blood. Rather, know well that 'my words that I have spoken to you are spirit and life,' so these words are the flesh and blood. Whoever shares in these is always nourished like with heavenly bread, partaking in heavenly life.

3.12.6 | μὴ δὴ οὖν, φησίν, σκανδαλιζέτω ὑμᾶς τοῦτο, ὁ περὶ βρώσεως τῆς έμῆς σαρκὸς καὶ περὶ πόματος τοῦ έμοῦ αἴματος εἴρηκα, μηδὲ ταραττέτω ὑμᾶς ἡ πρόχειρος ἀκοὴ τῶν περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς καὶ αἴματος εἰρημένων μοι· ταῦτα γὰρ »οὐδὲν ώφελεῖ« αἰσθητῶς ἀκουόμενα, τὸ δὲ πνεῦμά έστιν τὸ ζωοποιοῦν τοὺς πνευματικῶς ἀκούειν δυναμένους.

3.12.6 | Do not let this, about eating my flesh and drinking my blood, cause you to stumble, nor let the quick hearing of what I said about flesh and blood disturb you. For these things are 'of no help' when heard in a physical way, but the spirit is what gives life to those who can hear spiritually.

3.12.7 | άλλ' ὁ καινὸς έξηγητης τῶν εὐαγγελικῶν μαθημάτων μηδὲν τούτων συνείς, ἐπάκουσον ὅπως γράφει λέγων αὐταῖς συλλαβαῖς (Νr. 105) τοῦ άνθρωπίνου ὰψάμενος σώματος καὶ δείξας αὐτὸ τοῖς ὁρῶσιν τοῦτο ὑμᾶς σκανδαλίζει;« ἔφη ἐὰν οὖν ἴδητε τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ἀνιόντα, ὅπου ἦν τὸ πρότερον; τὸ πνεῦμα ζωοποιεῖ, ἡ σὰρξ

3.12.7 | But the new interpreter of the gospel teachings does not understand any of this. Listen to what he says about touching the human body and showing it to those who see; does this cause you to stumble? He said, 'What if you see the Son of Man ascending to where he was before? The spirit gives life; the flesh is of no help at all.'

ούδὲν ώφελεῖ«.

3.12.8 | πρὸς ὂν ἦν είπεῖν· καὶ πόθεν σοι, ὧ γενναῖε, ἡ προσθήκη τῶν μὴ φερομένων έν τῆ εύαγγελικῆ γραφῆ; τὸ γὰρ τοῦ άνθρωπίνου σώματος ὰψάμενον τὸν σωτῆρα καὶ δείξαντα αύτὸ τοῖς ὁρῶσιν είρηκέναι τοῦτο ὑμᾶς σκανδαλίζει;« παρὰ σαυτοῦ πλάττεις καὶ τὴν προσθήκην άπὸ σαυτοῦ ποιεῖσθαι τολμᾶς. ὁ δὴ τόλμημα παρ' ὲαυτοῦ λαβὼν έντεῦθεν οἵεται παρασκευάζειν, ἔρημον τῆς ένεργείας τοῦ λόγου τὴν σάρκα τοῦ σωτῆρος καταλειφθήσεσθαι, λέγων (Nr. 104) εί οὖν ομολογεῖ τὴν σάρκα μηδὲν ώφελεῖν αύτόν, πῶς έγχωρεῖ τὴν έκ γῆς τε οὖσαν καὶ μηδὲν ώφελοῦσαν καὶ έν τοῖς μέλλουσιν αίῶσιν ώς αύτῷ λυσιτελοῦσαν συνεῖναι τῷ λόγῳ; | ούτω μὲν οὖν άμαθῶς καὶ άνεπιστημόνως τὴν εύαγγελικὴν παρερμηνεῦσαι φωνὴν ώρμήθη. καὶ τῶν ἀποστολικῶν δὲ ὁμοίως ρημάτων διαστρόφους έξηγήσεις έποιεῖτο.

3.12.8 | To whom was this to be said? And from where, noble one, do you add things not found in the gospel writings? For does the Savior touching the human body and showing it to those who see cause you to stumble? You dare to create this addition from yourself. Taking this boldness from yourself, do you think to prepare the flesh of the Savior to be left empty of the power of the word, saying that if he admits the flesh is of no help, how can it be that the flesh, which is from the earth and of no help, can be useful to the word in the ages to come? Thus, he foolishly and ignorantly began to misinterpret the gospel voice. And he also made twisted explanations of the apostolic sayings.

#### **Section 13**

3.13.1 | αὐτίκα γοῦν έν ταῖς Πράξεσιν τῶν ἀποστόλων τοῦ Πέτρου περὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν είρηκότος«ὂν δεῖ οὐρανὸν μὲν δέξασθαι ἄχρι χρόνων ἀποκαταστάσεως«, μὴ νοήσας τὴν τοῦ λόγου διάνοιαν έντεῦθεν τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ Χριστοῦ περιγράφειν πειρᾶται, ὄρον τινὰ φάσκων καὶ προθεσμίαν τῆς βασιλείας αὐτοῦ δηλοῦσθαι διὰ τὸ λέγεσθαι »ἄχρι χρόνων ἀποκαταστάσεως«.

3.13.1 | Indeed, in the Acts of the Apostles, Peter speaks about our Savior, saying, 'He must be received in heaven until the times of restoration.' Not understanding the meaning of the word, he tries to describe the kingdom of Christ from this, claiming that a certain limit and deadline for his kingdom is shown by the phrase 'until the times of restoration.'

3.13.2 | τὸ αύτὸ δὲ τοῦτο συνίστασθαί φησιν καὶ διὰ τοῦ φάσκοντος Ψαλμοῦ »κάθου έκ δεξιῶν μου ἔως ᾶν θῶ τοὺς

3.13.2 | He says the same thing also through the saying of the Psalm, 'Sit at my right hand until I make your enemies a

έχθρούς σου ὑποπόδιον τῶν ποδῶν σου«, καὶ Παῦλον δὲ τὸν ἀπόστολον διὰ τοῦτο είρηκέναι τὸ δεῖ γὰρ αύτὸν βασιλεύειν ἔως άν θῆ τοὺς έχθροὺς ὑπὸ τοὺς πόδας αύτοῦ«· τὸ γὰρ »ἔως« καὶ τὸ »ἄχρι« περιωρισμένου χρόνου σημαντικὸν εἶναι ὑπέλαβεν. έπάκουσον γοῦν ὅπως κατὰ λέξιν γράφει λέγων (Nr. 104) διὰ τοῦτο γάρ μοι δοκεῖ καὶ ὁ παντοκράτωρ θεός, ὁ δεσπότης, πρὸς αύτὸν λέγειν »κάθου έκ δεξιῶν μου ἔως ἂν θῶ τοὺς έχθρούς σου ύποπόδιον τῶν ποδῶν σου«. ένεργεία μόνη διὰ τὴν άνθρωπίνην σάρκα χωρίζειν αύτὸν δοκῶν καὶ ὤσπερ ῥητόν τινα χρόνον ὸρίζων αύτῶ τῆς έν δεξιᾶ καθέδρας οὕτω φησὶν πρὸς αύτὸν »ἔως ἂν θῶ τοὺς έχθρούς σου ὑποπόδιον τῶν ποδῶν σου«.

footstool for your feet.' And Paul the apostle has said this too, for it is necessary for him to reign until he puts his enemies under his feet. For he thought that 'until' and 'up to' indicate a limited time. Listen then to how it is written word for word, saying, 'For this reason, it seems to me that the Almighty God, the Lord, says to him, "Sit at my right hand until I make your enemies a footstool for your feet."' He thinks that by the mere action of the human flesh, he separates him and sets a certain time for him on the right hand, thus he says to him, 'until I make your enemies a footstool for your feet.'

3.13.3 | τοῦτο δὲ τὸ προφητικὸν τοῦ Δαυίδ ρητον σαφέστερον ημίν ερμηνεύων ο ιερος άπόστολος οὕτω πως ἔφη δεῖ γὰρ αύτὸν βασιλεύειν έως αν θη τους έχθρους αύτοῦ ύποπόδιον τῶν ποδῶν αύτοῦ«, ούκοῦν δρον τινὰ ἔχειν δοκεῖ ἡ κατὰ ἄνθρωπον αύτοῦ οίκονομία τε καὶ βασιλεία. καὶ μεθ' έτερα έπιλέγει (Nr. 104) καὶ διὰ τοῦτο αὶ Πράξεις τῶν ἀποστόλων περὶ τοῦ άνθρώπου τούτου, ὂν άνείληφεν ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος καὶ άνειληφώς έν δεξιᾶ τοῦ πατρὸς καθέζεται, οὕτως διδάσκουσιν λέγουσαι »ὂν δεῖ ούρανὸν μὲν δέξασθαι άχρι χρόνων άποκαταστάσεως«, καὶ αὖται ώσπερ ὄρον τινὰ καὶ προθεσμίαν ὸρίζουσαι.

3.13.3 | This prophetic saying of David is explained more clearly by the holy apostle, who says that it is necessary for him to reign until he puts his enemies under his feet. Therefore, it seems that his governance and kingdom have a certain limit according to his humanity. And he chooses other things, and for this reason, the Acts of the Apostles teach about this man, whom the word of God took up, and having taken him up, he sits at the right hand of the Father. They say, 'He must be received in heaven until the times of restoration,' and these words set a certain limit and deadline.

3.13.4 | οἷς μεθ' ἔτερα έπιλέγει (Nr. 104) πῶς ἔτι τὴν τοῦ δούλου μορφήν, ἢν ἀνείληφεν ὁ λόγος μορφὴν οὖσαν δούλου, συνεῖναι τῷ λόγῳ [δι' αὐτοῦ] δυνατὸν γένοιτ' ἄν; σαφῶς γοῦν καὶ διαρρήδην έν

3.13.4 | With other things, he asks how it could be possible for the form of a servant, which the word took on, to be united with the word itself. Clearly, in a brief time, it has happened that the governance of the

βραχεῖ τινι χρόνῳ τῶν τε παρεληλυθότων καὶ τῶν μελλόντων αίώνων τὴν κατὰ σάρκα οίκονομίαν τοῦ λόγου δι' ἡμᾶς γεγενῆσθαι συμβεβηκέναι καὶ ταύτην ὥσπερ άρχὴν οὕτω καὶ τέλος ἔχειν ὁ θεσπέσιος εἴρηκεν Παῦλος, οὕτω πως είπὼν εἶτα τὸ τέλος, ὅταν παραδιδῷ τὴν βασιλείαν τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρί«.

word according to the flesh has taken place for us, and this has both a beginning and an end, as the divine Paul has said, saying that the end will come when he hands over the kingdom to God the Father.

# **Section 14**

3.14.1 | διὰ τοσούτων Μάρκελλος καὶ τὸ σῶμα τῆς δόξης« τοῦ υὶοῦ ήγνόησεν καὶ τὴν άτελεύτητον αύτοῦ βασιλείαν άρνεῖται, ούκ έπιστήσας ως κατά τινα συνήθειαν τῆς γραφῆς ίδιάζουσαν τὸ ἕως παραλαμβάνεσθαι εἴωθεν. οὕτω γοῦν ὁ σωτήρ τοῖς μαθηταῖς ἔλεγεν »ίδοὺ έγὼ μεθ' ύμῶν είμι πάσας τὰς ἡμέρας ἔως τῆς συντελείας τοῦ αίῶνος«, ούκ άναιρῶν τὸ συνέσεσθαι αύτοῖς καὶ μετὰ τὴν συντέλειαν, διδάσκων δ' ότι καὶ νῦν μὲν **ἔσται σὺν αύτοῖς ἔως τῆς συντελείας** έπισκοπῶν καὶ φυλάττων πάντας τοὺς αύτῷ μαθητευομένους, καὶ μετὰ τὴν συντέλειαν δὲ κρειττόνως αύτοῖς συνέσται κοινωνούς αύτούς άποφαίνων τῆς αύτοῦ βασιλείας.

3.14.1 | Because of these things, Markellius has ignored the body of the glory of the Son and denies his endless kingdom, not realizing that according to a certain custom of scripture, it is usual to say 'until' when referring to being taken up. Thus, the Savior said to his disciples, 'Behold, I am with you all the days until the end of the age,' not taking away the fact that he will be with them even after the end, teaching that even now he will be with them until the end, watching over and keeping all those who are his disciples, and after the end, he will be even more closely united with them, showing them the glory of his kingdom.

3.14.2 | οὕτως οὖν καὶ τὸ καθου έκ δεξιῶν μου ἔως ἀν θῶ τοὺς έχθρούς σου ὑποπόδιον τῶν ποδῶν σου« καὶ τὸ »ὂν δεῖ οὐρανὸν μὲν δέξασθαι ἄχρι χρόνων ἀποκαταστάσεως« ούχ ὼς μηκέτ' έσομένου μετὰ ταῦτα λέλεκται, άλλ' ὡς μέλλοντος κατὰ τὸν τῆς συντελείας καιρὸν διανίστασθαι ἀπὸ τοῦ θρόνου τοῦ πατρικοῦ καὶ δευτέραν ποιεῖσθαι κάθοδον έκ τοῦ ούρανοῦ, περὶ ῆς ὸ ἀπόστολος διδάσκει λέγων »ὅτι αὐτὸς ὸ κύριος έν κελεύσματι, έν φωνῆ ἀρχαγγέλου καὶ έν

3.14.2 | Thus, 'sit at my right hand until I make your enemies a footstool for your feet' and 'heaven must receive him until the times of restoration' is not said as if he will no longer be there after this, but as if he will leave the throne of the Father at the time of the end and make a second coming from heaven. About this, the apostle teaches, saying, 'The Lord himself will descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trumpet of God, and the dead in Christ will

σάλπιγγι θεοῦ, καταβήσεται άπ' ούρανοῦ, καὶ οὶ νεκροὶ έν Χριστῶ άναστήσονται πρῶτοι. ἔπειτα ἡμεῖς οὶ ζῶντες οὶ περιλειπόμενοι ἄμα σὺν αύτοῖς αρπαγησόμεθα έν νεφέλαις είς απάντησιν τοῦ κυρίου είς άέρας· καὶ οὕτω πάντοτε σὺν κυρίω έσόμεθα«. | δεῖ τοίνυν τὸν σωτῆρα μένειν έν ούρανῷ καὶ καθέζεσθαι έν δεξιᾶ τοῦ πατρὸς ἔως τῆς συντελείας«, κατὰ δὲ αύτὴν τὴν συντέλειαν τοῦ παντὸς την ένδοξον καὶ δευτέραν αύτοῦ παρουσίαν ποιησάμενος τοὺς ὰγίους αύτοῦ παραλήψεται είς τὸ πάντοτε αύτῷ συνεῖναι, ούχὶ μέχρι τινὸς χρόνου άλλ' είς ἄπειρον αίῶνα∙ οὓτω« γάρ φησιν πάντοτε σύν κυρίω έσόμεθα«.

rise first. Then we who are alive and remain will be caught up together with them in the clouds to meet the Lord in the air; and so we will always be with the Lord.' Therefore, the Savior must remain in heaven and sit at the right hand of the Father until the end. At that end, he will make his glorious and second coming and will take his saints to be with him forever, not just for a time, but for an endless age; for he says, 'we will always be with the Lord.'

3.14.3 | ούκοῦν οὶ ἄγιοι πάντοτε συνεσόμενοι αὐτῷ τῆς αὐτοῦ βασιλείας ἀπολαύσουσιν έν τῆ κατηγγελμένη τῶν ούρανῶν βασιλείᾳ. ἀλλ' ὁ γενναῖος ταῦτα μὴ συνείς, τοῦ θείου ἀποστόλου περὶ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν είρηκότος τὸ δεῖ γὰρ αὐτὸν βασιλεύειν ἄχρι οὺ θῆ τοὺς έχθροὺς ὑπὸ τοὺς πόδας αὐτοῦ. ἔσχατος έχθρὸς καταργεῖται ὁ θάνατος« καὶ πάλιν »ὅταν δὲ αὐτῷ ὑποταγῆ τὰ πάντα,

3.14.3 | Therefore, the saints will always enjoy the glory of his kingdom in the proclaimed kingdom of heaven. But the brave one does not understand these things, as the divine apostle has said about our Savior, 'For it is necessary for him to reign until he puts his enemies under his feet.' The last enemy to be destroyed is death; and again, 'when all things are subjected to him.'

3.14.4 | τότε καὶ αὐτὸς ὑποταγήσεται τῷ ὑποτάξαντι αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα, ἴνα ἡ ὁ θεὸς τὰ πάντα έν πᾶσιν«. τῇ συνηθείᾳ ταὐτῃ χρησάμενος καὶ ταῦτα παρερμηνεύει, ούκ οἶδ' ὅπως τὸ ὑποταγήσεσθαι τῷ πατρὶ τὸν υὶὸν άντὶ τοῦ ἐνωθήσεσθαι τῷ θεῷ τὸν λόγον έξακούων. καὶ πότε γὰρ αὐτῷ οὐκ ἦν ἡνωμένος, εί δὴ λόγος ἦν ἀιδίως ὑπάρχων έν τῷ θεῷ; πῶς οὖν »τότε« φησὶν »ὑποταγήσεται« »τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρί«;

3.14.4 | "Then he himself will be subjected to the one who subjected all things to him, so that God may be all in all." Using this custom, he misinterprets these things, not knowing how the Son being subjected to the Father is different from the Word being united with God. For when was he not united with him, if the Word existed eternally in God? How then does he say 'then he will be subjected to God and the Father'?

#### **Section 15**

3.15.1 | χρὴ δὲ έπιστῆσαι τὸν νοῦν ὡς καὶ αὐτῷ τῷ υἰῷ τὰ πάντα ὑποταγήσεσθαι ὁ ἀπόστολος ἔφη καὶ ὡς τῆ αὐτῆ ὑποταγῆ καὶ αὐτὸν ὑποταγήσεσθαι τῷ πατρὶ διδάσκει λέγων »ὅταν δὲ τὰ πάντα αὐτῷ ὑποταγῆ. τότε καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ υἰὸς ὑποταγήσεται τῷ ὑποτάξαντι αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα,

3.15.1 | It is necessary to understand that the apostle said that all things will be subjected to the Son, and he teaches that with the same submission, he himself will be subjected to the Father, saying, 'When all things are subjected to him, then the Son himself will be subjected to the one who subjected all things to him.'

3.15.2 | ἴνα ἦ ὁ θεὸς τὰ έν πᾶσιν« οὐκ ἀκούει· οὐ γὰρ έν τῷ υἱῷ φησιν τὰ πάντα« ἔσεσθαι τὸν θεόν, άλλ' »έν πᾶσιν«· ὅπερ μᾶλλον ἔδοξεν ἀν συνάφειάν τινα πάντων καὶ ἔνωσιν σημαίνειν, εί πάντα μέλλοι ὁ θεὸς έν πᾶσιν εἶναι. τὴν δ' ὑποταγὴν τοῦ υὶοῦ ἕνωσιν ἑρμηνεύει τοῦ λόγου, | ἒν καὶ ταὐτὸν γενησομένου τῷ πατρὶ καθ' ἃ καὶ πρότερον ἦν, ὡς αὐτὸς ἔφη.

3.15.2 | "That God may be all in all." He does not hear this; for he does not say that all things will be in the Son, but 'in all.' This seems to mean a connection and unity of all things, if God is to be in all. And he interprets the Son's submission as a unity of the Word, becoming one and the same with the Father, as he said before.

3.15.3 | εί τοίνυν ἕνωσιν εἶναι τὴν ὑποταγὴν τὴν πρὸς τὸν πατέρα ὁρίζεται, ἀκόλουθον ἂν εἵη καὶ τὴν πάντων πρὸς τὸν υἱὸν ὑποταγὴν τὴν αὐτὴν πρὸς αὐτὸν ἕνωσιν σημαίνειν, ὡς μηκέτ' εἶναι τὸν υἱὸν καθ' ἑαυτὸν μηδὲ τὰ ὑποταγησόμενα αὐτῷ πλήθη τῶν σῳζομένων ίδίαν ζήσεσθαι ζωήν, συναλοιφὴν δέ τινα καὶ σύγχυσιν γίγνεσθαι τῶν ὅλων, ἕν καὶ ταύτὸν γενησομένων τοῦ υἰοῦ καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἀπάντων, καὶ ού μόνον άλλὰ καὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ.

3.15.3 | If then the submission to the Father is defined as a unity, it would follow that the submission of all things to the Son also means the same unity with him, so that the Son would no longer exist on his own, nor would the many things that are subjected to him live their own separate lives. Instead, there would be a blending and confusion of all things, becoming one and the same with the Son and all the rest, and not only that but also with God himself.

3.15.4 | εί γὰρ τὰ μὲν πάντα τῷ υἰῷ, ὁ δὲ υὶὸς τῷ πατρὶ έν τῷ ὑποτάττεσθαι ἑνοῦται, ὅρα τί συμβαίνει έκ τοῦ λόγου.

3.15.4 | For if all things are subjected to the Son, and the Son is united to the Father in his submission, see what follows from this statement.

3.15.5 | άλλ' ὤσπερ ούχ ἔνωσιν ὁ ἀπόστολος ἐδήλου λέγων ὑποταγήσεσθαι τῷ υὶῷ »τὰ πάντα«, άλλὰ τὴν έξ αύθεκουσίου προαιρέσεως ὑπακοὴν καὶ τὴν δόξαν καὶ τὴν τιμὴν ἢν ἀποδώσει αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα οἷα σωτῆρι καὶ βασιλεῖ τῶν ὅλων, τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ τὸ αὐτὸν ὑποταγήσεσθαι τῷ πατρὶ ούδὲν ἔτερον σημαίνοι ἀν ἢ τὴν δόξαν καὶ τὴν τιμὴν καὶ τὸ σέβας τήν τε μεγαλοπρέπειαν τήν τε αύθεκούσιον ὑπακοήν, ἢν καὶ αὐτὸς ἀποδώσει τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρί«, ἐπειδὰν τοὺς πάντας άξίους τῆς πατρικῆς θεότητος εἶναι παρασκευάση.

3.15.5 | But just as the apostle did not show a unity by saying that 'all things will be subjected to the Son,' but rather the obedience that comes from free choice, and the glory and honor that all things will give him as the Savior and King of all, in the same way, the submission to the Father would mean nothing different than the glory, honor, and reverence, along with the great and voluntary obedience that he himself will give to God and the Father, when he prepares all those who are worthy of the Father's divinity.

3.15.6 | τέως μὲν γάρ, ὡς ὅτε ούκ ἄξιοι ταύτης είσίν, προλαβὼν αύτὸς οἶά τις κοινὸς ἀπάντων σωτὴρ διορθωτικὴν τῶν ἀτελῶν καὶ θεραπευτικὴν τῶν θεραπείας δεομένων βασιλείαν βασιλεύει τοὺς τῆς βασιλείας έχθροὺς ὑπάγων τοῖς αὐτοῦ ποσίν· ὂ δὴ παρίστησιν ὁ φάσκων Ψαλμὸς »εἶπεν ὁ κύριος τῷ κυρίῳ μου· καθοῦ ἐκ δεξιῶν μου ἔως ἀν θῶ τοὺς έχθρούς σου ὑποπόδιον τῶν ποδῶν σου«,

3.15.6 | For indeed, just as when they are not worthy of this, he himself, like a common Savior for all, rules over the kingdom that corrects the imperfect and heals those in need of healing, leading the enemies of the kingdom to his own feet; this is what the psalmist says: 'The Lord said to my Lord: Sit at my right hand until I make your enemies a footstool for your feet.'

3.15.7 | ὅτε ⟨δὲ⟩ τοὺς μὲν έχθροὺς ὑπὸ πόδας θήσει, τοὺς δὲ τῆς αὐτοῦ βασιλείας άξίους έν άτελευτήτῳ ζωῆ καταστήσει, τηνικαῦτα [γὰρ] καὶ ὁ πάντων έχθρὸς θάνατος ἔσχατος καταργηθήσεταιμηδενὸς γὰρ θνήσκοντος ἔτι, έν αίωνίῳ δὲ ζωῆ ζησομένων τότε τῶν τῆς βασιλείας άξίων. τὸν θάνατον άργήσειν είκὸς μηκέτ' ἔχοντα οὺς θανατώσειεν.

3.15.7 | When he puts the enemies under his feet, he will establish those worthy of his kingdom in eternal life. For then, even death, the last enemy of all, will be destroyed; for no one will die anymore, while those worthy of the kingdom will be living in eternal life. It is likely that death will no longer have those whom it could kill.

3.15.8 | ὧν ώδε εύτρεπισθέντων τῷ υἰῷ

3.15.8 | When these things are prepared for

τοῦ θεοῦ σωτήριον ὑποταγὴν πάντες οὶ ἄγιοι ὑποταγήσονται· αὐτῷ ζωῇ μὲν ὅντι ζησόμενοι αίωνίως, σοφία δὲ ὅντι σοφοὶ γενόμενοι, λόγῳ δὲ ὅντι λόγιοι ἀπεργασθέντες. οὕτω δὲ καὶ χριστοὶ γενήσονται πνεύματι τῆς αὐτοῦ εὐωδίας χρισθέντες, φωστῆρές τε ἀναδειχθήσονται τοῦ νέου αίῶνος έξ αὐτοῦ τὰ φῶτα χορηγούμενοι, καὶ υἱοὶ δὲ θεοῦ ἔσονται τῷ τῆς υἰοθεσίας πνεύματι κοσμηθέντες, καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν έν αὐτῶ δυνάμεων μεθέξουσιν οὶ αὐτῷ ὑποταγησόμενοι, ὡς καὶ δικαίους γενέσθαι έκ τῆς αὐτοῦ δικαιοσύνης καὶ ἀγίους έκ τῆς αὐτοῦ ἀγιωσύνης. ἐπεὶ 〈δὲ

the Son of God, all the saints will submit to him in a saving way. They will live eternally, being wise in wisdom, and having become learned in the word. In this way, they will also become Christ-like, anointed with his fragrant spirit, shining as lights of the new age, receiving light from him. They will be sons of God, adorned with the spirit of adoption, and those who submit to him will share in the other powers, becoming righteous from his righteousness and holy from his holiness. Since 'the Word was God,' he will not be envious of their divinity.

3.15.9 | ὥστε έπαληθεῦσαι τὸν ἀπόστολον κληρονόμους μὲν θεοῦ, συγκληρονόμους δὲ Χριστοῦ« γενήσεσθαι τοὺς ὰγίους προφήσαντα. ναὶ μὴν καὶ σώμασιν οὐρανίοις ἡλίῳ καὶ σελήνῃ καὶ ἄστροις χρήσονται παραπλησίοις. καὶ έν τούτω τῆς

θεότητος αύτοῖς φθονήσει.

αύτοῦ μεταληψόμενοι δόξης.

3.15.9 | So that the apostle's words will be fulfilled, saying that the saints will become 'heirs of God and co-heirs with Christ.' Yes, they will also use heavenly bodies like the sun, moon, and stars. And in this, they will share in his glory.

3.15.10 | δ δὴ παρίστη 〈δ〉 αὐτὸς φήσας έξ οὖ καὶ σωτῆρα ἀπεκδεχόμεθα κύριον Ίησοῦν Χριστόν, ὂς μετασχηματίσει τὸ σῶμα τῆς ταπεινώσεως ἡμῶν σύμμορφον τῷ σώματι τῆς δόξης αὐτοῦ, κατὰ τὴν ένέργειαν τοῦ δύνασθαι αὐτὸν καὶ ὑποτάξαι αὑτῷ τὰ πάντα«. ὀρῷς ὅπως τῷ δύνασθαι αὐτὸν ὑποτάξαι αὑτῷ τὰ πάντα καὶ τοῦ σώματος τοῦ ἡμετέρου μετασχηματισμὸν ποιήσει είς τὸ σύμμορφον τῷ σώματι τῆς δόξης αὐτοῦ« καὶ τὸ ἡμέτερον ἀπεργάσασθαι.

3.15.10 | Indeed, he himself presents, saying from whom we also expect a Savior, the Lord Jesus Christ, who will transform our lowly body to be like his glorious body, according to the power that enables him to subject all things to himself. Do you see how he can subject all things to himself and will change our body to be like his glorious body, and will accomplish this for us?

3.15.11 | εί δὲ τὸ ἡμέτερον σύμμορφον ἔσται τῷ σώματι τῆς δόξης αύτοῦ«, πῶς ούχὶ καὶ πολὺ πρότερον τὸ τῆς αύτοῦ δόξης σῶμα τῆς αύτοῦ κοινωνὸν ἔσται βασιλείας; καὶ εί τὸ ἡμέτερον καταποθήσεται ὑπὸ τῆς ζωῆς, ὼς ὁ ἀπόστολος έμαρτύρησε φήσας »έφ' ῷ ού θέλομεν έκδύσασθαι άλλ' έπενδύσασθαι, ίνα καταποθή τὸ θνητὸν ύπὸ τῆς ζωῆς«, πῶς ούχὶ πολὺ πρότερον τὸ αύτοῦ σῶμα ὡς ἂν έξυπηρετησάμενον τῆ αύτοῦ θεότητι καταποθήσεται. ούχ ὑπὸ μόνης τῆς ζωῆς ὁμοίως τῷ ἡμετέρῳ άλλὰ καὶ ὑπὸ τῆς αύτοῦ θεότητος; μὴ δὴ οὖν πυνθανέσθω μηδ' άπορείτω τις πρός **ὲ**αυτόν, τί δὴ προσήκει λογίζεσθαι περὶ τοῦ σωτηρίου σώματος\_, τρανῶς Παύλου λέγοντος άκούων, δεῖν καταποθήσεσθαι »

(τὸ) θνητὸν ὑπὸ τῆς ζωῆς«, καὶ τὸ
 ἡμέτερον σῶμα σύμμορφον ἔσεσθαι » 〈τῷ
 σώματι〉 τῆς δόξης τοῦ Χριστοῦ κατὰ τὴν
 ἐνέργειαν τοῦ δύνασθαι αύτὸν 〈καὶ〉
 ὑποτάξαι ἑαυτῷ τὰ πάντα«.

3.15.11 | If our body will be like his glorious body, how much more will his glorious body be a partner in his kingdom? And if our body will be swallowed up by life, as the apostle testified, saying, 'we do not wish to be unclothed, but to be clothed, so that what is mortal may be swallowed up by life,' how much more will his body, having served his divinity, be swallowed up? Not just by life like ours, but also by his divinity? Therefore, let no one ask or be confused about what to think regarding the saving body, clearly hearing Paul say that the mortal must be swallowed up by life, and that our body will be like the body of Christ's glory according to the power that enables him to subject all things to himself.

3.15.12 | ἄρ' οὺν ὑποτάξει ἑαυτῷ τὰ πάντακαὶ τοιαύτην τινὰ τὴν σωτήριον ὑποταγὴν χρὴ νοεῖν, καθ' ἢν »καὶ αύτὸς ὁ υὶὸς ὑποταγήσεται τῷ ὑποτάξαντι αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα«, ἄλεκτόν τινα καὶ ἄρρητον καὶ μόνῳ αὐτῷ πρέπουσαν ὑποταγὴν ποιησόμενος, ὅτε τοὺς ὑπ' αὐτοῦ πάντας χοροῦ δίκην περιστοιχισάμενος »τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρὶ προσάξει | τὴν δόξαν αὐτῷ καὶ τὴν τιμὴν καὶ τὸ σέβας καὶ τὴν μεγαλωσύνην ἄτε δὴ πάντων τῶν άγαθῶν αίτίῳ προσοίσων.

3.15.12 | Will he then subject all things to himself? And we must understand this kind of saving submission, in which 'the Son himself will be subjected to the one who subjected all things to him,' making a unique and unspoken submission that belongs only to him. When he gathers all those under him like a dance, he will present to God and the Father the glory, honor, reverence, and greatness, as he brings all good things to their source.

### **Section 16**

άποστολικῆς διδασκαλίας τέλους τεύξεται φῆσαν » ίνα ἦ ὁ θεὸς τὰ πάντα έν πᾶσιν«. ὃ δή καὶ αύτὸ νοήσομεν έξ ὲτέρας έπαγγελίας, δι' ής έξ αύτοῦ εἴρηται τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ »ένοικήσω έν αύτοῖς, καὶ έμπεριπατήσω έν αύτοὶς καὶ ἔσομαι αύτῶν θεός. καὶ αύτοὶ ἔσονταί μου λαός«. άλλὰ νῦν μὲν κατὰ τὸν παρόντα αίῶνα είς βραχεῖς μόνους τοὺς άξίους καὶ έκ μέρους ἡ έπαγγελία προχωρεῖ κατὰ τὸ »έκ μέρους γινώσκομεν, καὶ έκ μέρους προφητεύομεν«· μετὰ δὲ τὴν συντέλειαν τοῦ παντὸς έπιστάντος τοῦ νέου αίῶνος, ούκέτ' έν βραχέσιν τισὶν ένοικήσει, άλλ' έν **ἄπασιν τοῖς τότε τῆς τῶν ούρανῶν** βασιλείας άξίοις.

apostolic teaching is reached, it says, 'so that God may be all in all.' We will understand this from another promise, in which God says, 'I will dwell in them and walk among them; and I will be their God, and they will be my people.' But now, in this present age, the promise only goes to a few worthy ones and partially, as it is said, 'we know in part, and we prophesy in part.' After the end of everything, when the new age arrives, he will no longer dwell in just a few, but in all those who are worthy of the kingdom of heaven.

3.16.2 | οὕτως οὖν ἔσται »πάντα έν πᾶσιν«, δηλαδή »ένοικῶν έν αύτοῖς καὶ έμπεριπατῶν έν αύτοῖς« καὶ »θεὸς αύτῶν« ούκ άπαξιῶν εἶναι λαόν τε αύτοῦ τοὺς πάντας οίκειούμενος. οὕτω μὲν οὖν ἔσται έν αύτοῖς ὼς καὶ έν αύτῶ λέγεται εἶναι τῶ υὶῷ, καθὼς διδάσκει λέγων αύτὸς »ὸ πατὴρ έν έμοὶ κάγὼ έν τῷ πατρί«. καὶ »πάντα« δὲ **ἔσται έν αύτοῖς τῷ πᾶσιν ἑαυτὸν παρέχειν,** καθ' δ ή ἐκάστου δύναμις χωρεῖ τῆς αύτοῦ θεότητος μεταλαγχάνειν· τῆς τρισμακαρίας έλπίδος καὶ τῆς άτελευτήτου καὶ άφθάρτου ζωῆς έν τούτω καταστησομένης τῷ τέλει, έν ῷ ὁ μὲν θεὸς ἔσται »πάντα έν πᾶσιν« ταῖς τῆς θεότητος αύτοῦ μαρμαρυγαῖς άλέκτου φωτὸς έμπιπλῶν τοὺς πάντας, ὁ δὲ υὶὸς έναγαλλόμενος καὶ χαίρων τῷ κατορθώματι στεφάνου δίκην περιθέμενος τοὺς δι' αύτοῦ τῆς μακαριότητος ήξιωμένους ὑπὸ έφόρω τῶ πατρὶ τὴν άγήρω καὶ άτελεύτητον διατελέσει βασιλείαν, τῶν περὶ αύτοῦ λογίων τηνικαῦτα πληρωθησομένων τῶν τε ἄλλων, ἄταρ δὴ καὶ τῶν τοῦ άγγέλου Γαβριὴλ πρὸς τὴν παναγίαν παρθένον |

3.16.2 | Thus, it will be 'all in all,' meaning 'dwelling in them and walking among them' and 'being their God,' not refusing to have all his people as his own. So, he will be in them just as it is said to the Son, as he teaches, saying, 'the Father is in me and I am in the Father.' And 'all' will be in them, providing himself to everyone, as each person's power shares in his divinity. This will establish the blessed hope and the endless and incorruptible life in the end, where God will be 'all in all,' filling everyone with the shining light of his divinity. The Son, rejoicing and happy with the achievement, will crown those who are made worthy of blessedness through him, and he will present to the Father an everlasting and endless kingdom, fulfilling the words about him at that time, including those of the angel Gabriel to the holy virgin, saying that he will 'reign forever, and his kingdom will have no end.'

είρημένων περὶ τοῦ έξ αὐτῆς τεχθησομένου, ὡς ἄρα »βασιλεύσει είς τοὺς αίῶνας, καὶ τῆς βασιλείας αὐτοῦ ούκ ἔσται τέλος«.

3.16.3 | βασιλεύων δὴ τότε ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ θεοῦ τοὺς ὑπ' αὐτῷ βασιλευομένους πάντας τῷ αὐτοῦ παραδώσει πατρί. οὐ παυσόμενος τῆς βασιλείας οὐδ' άναχωρῶν αὐτῆς. οὐδὲ γὰρ παύσεσθαι αὐτὸν τῆς βασιλείας ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος ἔφη (ἦ γὰρ ἂν τάναντία ἔγραφεν τῷ Γαβριὴλ θεσπίσαντι πρὸς τὴν παρθένον βασιλεύσειν αὐτὸν είς τοὺς αίῶνας καὶ τῆς βασιλείας αύτοὺ« μὴ ἔσεσθαι »τέλος«), άλλὰ παραδώσειν »τὴν βασιλείαν«, δηλαδὴ τοὺς ὑπ' αὐτῷ βασιλευομένους, »τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρί«.

3.16.3 | Then the Son of God, reigning, will hand over all those who are ruled by him to the Father. He will not stop his reign or withdraw from it. For the divine apostle said that he would not cease to reign, as he wrote to Gabriel, who announced to the virgin that he would 'reign forever, and his kingdom will have no end.' Instead, he will hand over 'the kingdom,' meaning those who are ruled by him, 'to God and the Father.'

3.16.4 | τελειωθέντας γὰρ ὑπ' αύτῷ τοὺς πάντας ώσανεὶ φαιδρυνόμενος τῷ κατορθώματι παραδώσει τῷ πατρὶ οἶά τινα παραθήκην άποδεδεγμένος δ δή διδάσκει λέγων αύτὸς »πάντα μοι παρεδόθη ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρός μου«. διὸ άκολούθως οἷα φύλαξ άγαθὸς σώαν καὶ κατὰ πάντα έρρωμένην τὴν παραθήκην φέρων παραδώσει τῷ θεῷ, άρχιερέως δίκην τοὺς ὑπ' αύτῷ ἱερουμένους πάντας λευχείμονας καὶ ὼσπερεὶ λαμπροτάταις στολαῖς τοῖς τῆς άναστάσεως άφθάρτοις σώμασιν έξαστράπτοντας παριστῶν αύτῷ, ίνα μη μόνης τῆς ὑπ' αύτῷ βασιλείας άπολαύωσιν άλλὰ καὶ τῶν τοῦ πατρὸς άρρήτων άγαθῶν έμπιπλῶνται.

3.16.4 | For when all are made perfect by him, he will joyfully hand them over to the Father, as if presenting a gift he has received. He teaches this by saying, 'All things have been handed over to me by my Father.' Therefore, like a good guardian, he will carry the complete and strong gift to God, presenting all those who are made holy under him, like a high priest, shining in the purest robes of the resurrection, with their incorruptible bodies shining brightly before him. This is so that they may not only enjoy the kingdom he rules, but also be filled with the unspeakable goods of the Father.

3.16.5 | οὕτω γὰρ ἔσται κατὰ τὸν ἀπόστολον »ὸ θεὸς πάντα έν πᾶσιν«, τοῦ υἰοῦ τοὺς βασιλευομένους προσάγοντος αὐτῷ, ἴν' ὅλον τοῦτο γένηται, λέγω δὲ τὸ

3.16.5 | For it will be, according to the apostle, 'God will be all in all,' with the Son bringing those who are ruled by him to God, so that all this may happen. I mean

»πάντα έν πᾶσιν« εἶναι τὸν πατέρα. ὡς γὰρ ἦν πρότερον έν τῷ υἰῷ κατὰ τὸ »ὁ πατὴρ έν έμοὶ κάγὼ έν τῷ πατρί«, οὕτως ἔσται τότε καὶ έν πᾶσιν τοῖς ὑπὸ τῷ υἰῷ τελειωθεῖσιν.

that 'all in all' refers to the Father. Just as the Father was in the Son, as it is said, 'the Father is in me and I am in the Father,' so it will be then in all those who are made perfect under the Son.

3.16.6 | ού γὰρ παύσεσθαι μὲν τῆς βασιλείας τὸν υὶὸν τὸν δὲ θεὸν βασιλεύσειν ἔφη ὁ ἀπόστολος, ἀλλὰ τὸν μὲν υὶὸν »τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρὶ τὴν βασιλείαν« παραδώσειν, σώαν τὴν παραθήκην καὶ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς λατρείαν τε καὶ ἱερωσύνην έπαρκοῦσαν ένδεικνύμενον, τὸν δὲ θεὸν ἔσεσθαι »πάντα έν πᾶσιν« ὡς θεὸν ένοικοῦντα καὶ έμπεριπατοῦντα »έν αὐτοῖς« καὶ πάντα αὐτοῖς γινόμενον. τῶν μὲν γὰρ ἔσται δεσπότης, τῶν δὲ βασιλεύς, τῶν δὲ ἔτερόν τι· πάντων δὲ άθρόως θεός, ταῖς έν αὐτῷ θεϊκαῖς ἀρεταῖς τε καὶ δυνάμεσιν τὰ πάντα αὐτοῖς γιγνόμενος. |

3.16.6 | For the apostle said that the Son will not stop his reign, but he will hand over the kingdom to God and the Father, showing the complete gift that is sufficient for the worship and priesthood of the Father. And God will be 'all in all,' dwelling and walking 'in them' and becoming all things to them. For he will be the master of some, the king of others, and something else for others; but he will be God overall, becoming all things to them through his divine qualities and powers.

3.16.7 | τοῦτο τέλος τῆς τρισμακαρίας έλπίδος ὑπέγραψεν ὁ μέγας ἀπόστολος είπὼν »ίνα ἦ ὁ θεὸς πάντα έν πᾶσιν«. άλλ' έν μὲν τοῖς πᾶσιν πάντα ἔσται ἀναλόγως τῆ ἐκάστου δυνάμει τὰς τῆς αὐτοῦ θεότητος διαφόρους έπινοίας χορηγῶν τοῖς πᾶσιν, μόνῳ δὲ τῷ μονογενεῖ αὐτοῦ υἰῷ τὴν έξαίρετον καὶ πατρικὴν τοῖς τε λοιποῖς ἄπασιν ἀκοινώνητον δόξαν καὶ τιμὴν καὶ βασιλείαν φυλάξει.

3.16.7 | This is the end of the most blessed hope, as the great apostle wrote, 'so that God may be all in all.' But in all, he will be all things according to each person's strength, giving different gifts of his divinity to everyone. However, he will keep for his only-begotten Son the special and paternal glory, honor, and kingdom, which will not be shared with the others.

## **Section 17**

# 3.17.1 | 17 εί δὲ χρὴ ταῦτα

έπισφραγίσασθαι προφητική σφραγίδι, είς βεβαίαν πίστωσιν τῶν είρημένων μάρτυρι χρήσομαι προφητικῷ πνεύματι δι' Έζεκιὴλ τοῦ προφήτου αὐτὰ δὴ [δὴ] ταῦτα

3.17.1 | If it is necessary to confirm these things with a prophetic seal for a sure belief in what has been said, I will use the prophetic spirit as a witness through Ezekiel the prophet, who speaks with such

τοιαύταις θεσπίζοντι φωναῖς »τάδε λέγει κύριος κύριος· ίδοὺ έγὼ ζητήσω τὰ πρόβατά μου καὶ έπισκέψομαι αὐτά. ὤσπερ ζητεῖ ὁ ποιμὴν τὸ ποίμνιον αὐτοῦ έν ἡμέρα ὅταν ἦ γνόφος καὶ νεφέλη έν μέσω προβάτων διακεχωρι σμένων. οὕτως έκζητήσω τὰ πρόβατά μου.« καὶ μεθ' ἔτερα »καὶ σώσω τὰ πρόβατά μου, καὶ ού μὴ ὧσιν ἔτι είς προνομήν. καὶ κρινῶ ἀνὰ μέσον κριοῦ πρὸς κριόν.

voices: 'Thus says the Lord God: Behold, I will seek my sheep and visit them. Just as a shepherd seeks his flock on a day when there is darkness and cloud among scattered sheep, so I will seek my sheep.' And with other words: 'And I will save my sheep, and they will no longer be for prey. And I will judge between sheep and sheep.'

3.17.2 | καὶ ἀναστήσω ἐπ' αὐτοὺς ποιμένα καὶ ποιμανεῖ αὐτούς. τὸν δοῦλόν μου Δαυίδ καὶ ἔσται αὐτῶν ποιμήν· καὶ ἐγὼ κύριος ἔσομαι αὐτοῖς είς θεόν, καὶ Δαυὶδ ἐν μέσῳ αὐτῶν ἄρχων· ἐγὼ κύριος ἐλάλησα, καὶ διαθήσομαι τῷ Δαυὶδ διαθήκην«. καὶ μεθ' ἔτερα δευτεροῖ τὴν αὐτὴν ποφητείαν λέγων »καὶ ῥύσομαι αὐτοὺς ἀπὸ πασῶν τῶν ἀνομιῶν αὐτῶν ὧν ἡμάρτοσαν ἐν αὐταῖς, καὶ καθαριῶ αὐτούς, καὶ ἔσονταί μοι είς λαόν, καὶ ἐγὼ ἔσομαι αὐτοῖς είς θεόν· καὶ ὁ δοῦλός μου Δαυὶδ ἄρχων ἐν μέσῳ αὐτῶν· καὶ ποιμὴν ἔσται πάντων αὐτῶν«.

3.17.2 | And I will raise up a shepherd over them, and he will tend them. My servant David will be their shepherd; and I, the Lord, will be their God, and David will be a ruler among them. I, the Lord, have spoken, and I will make a covenant with David." And with other words, repeating the same prophecy: "And I will rescue them from all their sins in which they have sinned, and I will cleanse them, and they will be my people, and I will be their God. And my servant David will be a ruler among them, and he will be the shepherd of all of them.

3.17.3 | καὶ αὖθις ἐπιλέγει »καὶ Δαυὶδ ὁ δοῦλός μου ἄρχων αὐτῶν είς τὸν αίῶνα«. θέα δὲ ἐν πᾶσιν τούτοις ὡς ὁ μὲν θεὸς ού ποιμὴν οὐδὲ ἄρχων άλλὰ θεὸς ἔσεσθαι τῶν τοῦ μακαρίου τέλους καταξιωθησομένων λέγεται· τῶν δὲ αὐτῶν τούτων προφητεύεται ἄρχων καὶ ποιμὴν γενήσεσθαι ὁ Δαυίδ, ἐπικεκαλυμμένως ένταῦθα τοῦ Δαυὶδ τὸν Χριστὸν αίνιττομένου διὰ τὸ »έκ σπέρματος« αὐτοῦ γεγονέναι.

3.17.3 | And again it is chosen: 'And David, my servant, will be their ruler forever.' But in all these things, it is said that God will not be a shepherd or ruler, but will be God for those who are worthy of the blessed end. And it is prophesied that David will become a ruler and shepherd, hinting here at Christ, who comes from the seed of David.

προτεθνηκέναι τὸν Δαυὶδ τοῦ χρόνου καθ' ὂν ταῦτ' ἐλέγετο. σαφέστερον δὲ ταῦτα καὶ Δανιὴλ ὁ προφήτης θεσπίζει τὸν Χριστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ υὶὸν ἀνθρώπου ὀνομάζων λευκῶς οὕτως, ὤσπερ οὖν καὶ ἡ τῶν ἱερῶν Εὐαγγελίων εἴωθεν αὐτὸν ἀποκαλεῖν γραφή. λέγει δὲ τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον· »έθεώρουν ἐν ὀράματι τῆς νυκτός, καὶ ίδοὺ μετὰ τῶν νεφελῶν τοῦ ούρανοῦ ὡς υὶὸς ἀνθρώπου ἐρχόμενος ἦν, καὶ ἔως τοῦ παλαιοῦ τῶν ἡμερῶν ἔφθασεν· καὶ προσηνέχθη 〈αὐτῷ〉. καὶ αὐτῷ ἑδόθη ἡ ἀρχὴ καὶ ἡ τιμὴ καὶ ἡ βασιλεία, καὶ πάντες οὶ λαοί, φυλαί, γλῶσσαι αὐτῷ δουλεύσουσιν·

David had already died at the time when these things were said. Daniel the prophet also more clearly speaks of Christ as the Son of God, calling him plainly like this, just as the sacred Gospels are accustomed to call him. He says in this way: 'I saw in a night vision, and behold, with the clouds of heaven, one like a son of man was coming, and he came to the Ancient of Days. And he was presented to him. And to him was given dominion, glory, and a kingdom, and all peoples, nations, and languages will serve him.'

3.17.5 | ἡ έξουσία αύτοῦ έξουσία αίώνιος ήτις οὐ παρελεύσεται, καὶ ἡ βασιλεία αύτοῦ οὐ διαφθαρήσεται«. συνορᾶς ὡς καὶ έν τούτοις ἄφθαρτον καὶ ἀγήρω καί άτελεύτητον οὐ τοῦ λόγου τοῦ έν τῷ θεῷ τὴν βασιλείαν ἔσεσθαι, άλλὰ τοῦ υἰοῦ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου παρίστησιν ὁ προφήτης, ἔτερόν τε παρὰ τὸν παλαιὸν τῶν ἡμερῶν σαφῶς διδάσκει τὸν υἰὸν εἶναι τοῦ ἀνθρώπου τὸν τὴν ἄφθαρτον βασιλείαν παρὰ τοῦ παλαιοῦ τῶν ἡμερῶν, δηλαδὴ παρὰ τοῦ αὐτοῦ πατρός, ὑποδεξάμενον.

3.17.5 | "His authority is an everlasting authority that will not pass away, and his kingdom will not be destroyed." You see that in these things, the kingdom will not be corruptible, ageless, or endless, not because of the word that is in God, but the prophet presents the Son of Man. He clearly teaches that the Son of Man has the incorruptible kingdom from the Ancient of Days, that is, from the same Father, who receives him.

3.17.6 | άλλὰ Μάρκελλος ἔνα καὶ τὸν αύτὸν εἶναι ὁρίζεται, καὶ μίαν ὑπόστασιν δυσὶν όνόμασιν ὑποκειμένην· ἔτι μὴν καὶ τὴν βασιλείαν αύτοῦ περιγράφειν τολμῷ καὶ τέλος ἔσεσθαι τῆς Χριστοῦ βασιλείας άναιδῶς οὕτως άποφαίνεται μετὰ τὸν τῆς κρίσεως καιρόν, τοῦτον γράφων τὸν τρόπον (Nr. 101) μέγιστον ἡμῖν μυστήριον ένταῦθα ὁ ἀπόστολος ἀνακαλύπτει, τέλος 〈μὲν〉 ἔσεσθαι φάσκων τῆς Χριστοῦ

3.17.6 | But Marcellus defines that there is one and the same, and one essence under two names. Moreover, he even dares to describe his kingdom and shamelessly claims that there will be an end to Christ's kingdom after the time of judgment, writing in this way (Nr. 101). Here, the apostle reveals to us a great mystery, saying that there will be an end to Christ's kingdom.

## βασιλείας.

3.17.7 | τέλος δὲ τότε, ὅταν πάντα ὑποταγῆ ὑπὸ τοὺς πόδας αὐτοῦ. καὶ ἐπεξεργάζεται τὸν λόγον ῷδέ πη λέγων (Νr. 102) ἔφαμεν έν τοῖς προάγουσιν ἡμῶν ῥητοῖς τὸν δεσπότην ἡμῶν τὸν Χριστὸν άρχὴν ἐσχηκέναι βασιλείας, ἐκ τῶν θείων γραφῶν ἀποδείξεσιν χρώμενοι. καὶ ἐπιλέγει (Nr. 102) καὶ ὅλως μυρίων ῥητῶν πρὸς μαρτυρίαν ἔστιν εὑπορήσαντα δεῖξαι. ὅτι ἀρχὴν βασιλείας εἴληφεν ὁ ἄνθρωπος διὰ τοῦ λόγου. |

3.17.7 | But there will be an end then, when everything is put under his feet. And he works through the word, saying (Nr. 102) that we have said in our earlier statements that our Lord Christ has taken the beginning of the kingdom, using proofs from the divine scriptures. And he chooses (Nr. 102) and there are many statements to testify that it is necessary to show. That the man has received the beginning of the kingdom through the word.

3.17.8 | εί οὖν εἴληφεν άρχὴν βασιλείας πρὸ έτῶν ὅλων ού πλειόνων ἢ τετρακοσίων, ούδὲν παράδοξον εί τὸν πρὸ οὕτως όλίγου χρόνου τῆς βασιλείας ταύτης τυχόντα ὁ ἀπόστολός φησιν παραδώσειν τὴν βασιλείαν τῷ θεῷ.

3.17.8 | If then he has received the beginning of the kingdom more than four hundred years ago, it is not strange if the apostle says that he will hand over the kingdom to God shortly before the end of this kingdom.

3.17.9 | καὶ προστίθησιν μεθ' ἔτερα λέγων (Nr. 103) τί τοίνυν μανθάνομεν περὶ τῆς άνθρωπίνης σαρκός, ἣν δι' ἡμᾶς (άν)είληφεν ὁ λόγος πρὸ τετρακοσίων ούχ όλων έτῶν; πότερόν ποτε ταύτην καὶ έν τοῖς μέλλουσιν αίῶσιν ὁ λόγος ἔξει. ἡ ἄχρι μόνου τοῦ τῆς κρίσεως καιροῦ; Μάρκελλος μὲν οὖν τοιαῦτα λέγειν έτόλμα∙ οὶ δέ γε τοῦ θεοῦ προφῆται άτελεύτητον τοῦ Χριστοῦ τὴν βασιλείαν ὸριζόμενοι μετὰ τὸν τῆς κρίσεως καιρὸν τοῦ νέου αίῶνος τὴν άρχὴν καθέξειν αύτόν φασιν. θέα γὰρ ὼς ὸ προφήτης Έζεκιὴλ κριτήριον τῶν τοῦ θεοῦ προβάτων ὑποθέμενος μετὰ τὴν τούτων κρίσιν τὸν Δαυὶδ αύτοῖς έφίστησιν ποιμένα καὶ τὸν αύτὸν ἄρχοντα αύτῶν ἔσεσθαι θεσπίζει.

3.17.9 | And he adds, saying (Nr. 103) what then do we learn about the human flesh, which the word has taken for us more than four hundred years ago? Will this flesh also exist in the future ages? Or only until the time of judgment? Marcellus dares to say such things; but the prophets of God declare that the kingdom of Christ will be everlasting, stating that after the time of judgment, he will establish the beginning of the new age. For as the prophet Ezekiel sets a standard for the sheep of God after their judgment, he appoints David as their shepherd and proclaims that he will be their ruler.

3.17.10 | ὁ δὲ Δανιὴλ προειπὼν »έθεώρουν **ἔως ὅτου θρόνοι ἐτέθησαν, καὶ παλαιὸς** ημερῶν έκάθητο, καὶ τὸ ἔνδυμα αύτοῦ λευκὸν ὡς χιών, καὶ ἡ θρὶξ τῆς κεφαλῆς αύτοῦ ὼσεὶ ἔριον καθαρόν· ὁ θρόνος αύτοῦ φλὸξ πυρός, οὶ τροχοὶ αύτοῦ πῦρ φλέγον. ποταμὸς πυρὸς εἶλκεν ἔμπροσθεν αύτοῦ· χίλιαι χιλιάδες έλειτούργουν αύτῷ, καὶ μύριαι μυριάδες παρειστήκεισαν ἔμπροσθεν αύτοῦ· κριτήριον έκάθισεν, καὶ βίβλοι ήνεώχθησαν«, ταῦτα προθεασάμενος έξῆς τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ άνθρώπου θεωρεῖ έπὶ τῶν νεφελῶν τοῦ ούρανοῦ προσιόντα τῷ παλαιῷ τῶν ἡμερῶν καὶ τὴν ἄφθαρτρν βασιλείαν ύποδεχόμενον. ούκοῦν καὶ τότε διαφερόντως καὶ κρειττόνως ἢ νῦν άρχὴν έξει τοῦ βασιλεύειν τῶν τῆς μακαριότητος άξίων.

3.17.10 | But Daniel said, 'I watched until thrones were set up, and the Ancient of Days sat down. His clothing was white like snow, and the hair of his head was like pure wool. His throne was like a flame of fire. and its wheels were burning fire. A river of fire flowed out before him; thousands upon thousands served him, and myriads upon myriads stood before him. The court sat in judgment, and the books were opened.' After saying these things, he sees the Son of Man coming on the clouds of heaven, approaching the Ancient of Days and receiving the everlasting kingdom. Therefore, even then, he will have the beginning of ruling those who are worthy of blessedness, differently and more powerfully than now.

3.17.11 | τούτοις μαρτυρεῖ τὸ Εύαγγέλιον, έν οἷς αύτὸς έπισφραγίζεται ὁ σωτὴρ τὰς προφητικάς λέξεις, τοὺς περὶ συντελείας λόγους παραδιδούς τοῖς ὲαυτοῦ μαθηταῖς δι' ὧν ταῦτ' έδίδασκεν λέγων »ὅταν δὲ έλθη ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ άνθρώπου έν τῆ δόξη αύτοῦ καὶ πάντες οὶ ἄγγελοι μετ' αύτοῦ, τότε καθίσει έπὶ θρόνου δόξης αύτοῦ· καὶ συναχθήσονται ἔμπροσθεν αύτοῦ πάντα τὰ ἔθνη, καὶ άφοριεῖ αύτοὺς άπ' άλλήλων. ώσπερ ὁ ποιμὴν άφορίζει τὰ πρόβατα άπὸ τῶν έρίφων, καὶ στήσει τὰ μὲν πρόβατα έκ δεξιῶν αύτοῦ, τὰ δὲ έρίφια έξ εύωνύμων. τότε έρεῖ ὁ βασιλεὺς τοῖς έκ δεξιῶν αύτοῦ· δεῦτε οὶ εύλογημένοι τοῦ πατρός μου, κληρονομήσατε την ητοιμασμένην ύμιν βασιλείαν άπὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου. < όπως δὲ ταῦτα συνάδει τοἶς έν τῆ προφητεία περί προβάτων καί [περί] κριῶν κρίσεως είρημένοις τήρει, καὶ ὅπως

3.17.11 | The Gospel bears witness to these things, where the Savior confirms the prophetic words, giving the words about the end to his own disciples, teaching them, saying, 'When the Son of Man comes in his glory, and all the angels with him, then he will sit on his glorious throne. And all the nations will be gathered before him, and he will separate them from one another, just as a shepherd separates the sheep from the goats. He will place the sheep on his right and the goats on his left. Then the king will say to those on his right, "Come, you blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world." As these things agree with what is said in the prophecy about the judgment of sheep and goats, the Son of Man enters after the judgment of the sheep. But his

βασιλεύς μὲν ὁ υὶὸς τοῦ άνθρώπου μετὰ τὴν τῶν προβάτων κρίσιν είσῆκται. πατὴρ δὲ αὐτοῦ ὁ θεός.

Father is God.'

3.17.12 | τοῦτο γὰρ καὶ αὐτὸς ἐδίδασκεν είπὼν »τότε έρεῖ ὁ βασιλεὺς τοῖς ἐκ δεξιῶν αὐτοῦ, δεῦτε οὶ εὐλογημένοι τοῦ πατρός μου,« ἀναλόγως τῷ ἐν τῇ προφητείᾳ ώνομασμένῳ Δαυίδ. ὂν ποιμένα καὶ ἄρχοντα ἔσεσθαι τῶν προβάτων ὁ λόγος διδάσκει ὑπὸ ἐφόρῳ τῷ θεῷ μέλλοντα ποιμαίνειν καὶ ἄρχειν τῶν τοῦ θεοῦ θρεμμάτων.

3.17.12 | For he also taught, saying, 'Then the king will say to those on his right, "Come, you blessed of my Father,"' just like what is named in the prophecy about David. The word teaches that he will be a shepherd and ruler of the sheep, under the authority of God, who will shepherd and rule over the creatures of God.

3.17.13 | καὶ ἐκείνῳ δὲ ἀναγκαῖον ἐπιστῆσαι τὸν νοῦν ὡς τὸ παρὰ τῷ ἀποστόλῳ λελεγμένον ἐν τῷ »ὅταν καταργήσῃ πᾶσαν ἀρχὴν καὶ πᾶσαν έξουσίαν καὶ δύναμιν« ἡνίξατο ἡ προφητεία φήσασα »καὶ ἀφανιῶ θηρία πονηρὰ ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς.«

3.17.13 | And it is also necessary for him to understand the mind, as it is said by the apostle in 'when he abolishes all rule and all authority and power.' The prophecy hinted at this, saying, 'And I will destroy wicked beasts from the earth.'

3.17.14 | τίνα γὰρ ἂν γένοιτο τὰ θηρία τὰ πάλαι πρότερον τοῖς προβάτοις διενοχλοῦντα ἢ αὶ άντικείμεναι δυνάμεις; ὧν έκποδὼν άρθεισῶν, πάσης κακίας καθαρθείς ὁ νέος καὶ καινὸς αίὼν βασιλέα μὲν ἔξει τὸν υὶὸν τοῦ άνθρώπου (ἡ κατὰ τὴν προφητείαν έπικεκρυμμένως αίνιττομένην ποιμένα καὶ ἄρχοντα τὸν Δαυίδ, διὰ τὸ »έκ σπέρματος« αύτὸν γεγονέναι Δαυίδ), τὸν δὲ θεὸν ού ποιμένα ούδὲ ἄρχοντα λέγεται κτήσεσθαι, άλλ' αύτόθεον, έπινοία κρείττονι ή κατά ποιμένα καὶ ἄρχοντα καὶ βασιλέα τῆ τῆς θεϊκῆς δυνάμεως μεγαλοπρεπεία ού τῶν προβάτων μόνον άλλὰ καὶ αύτοῦ τοῦ ποιμένος ἡγησόμενον. »ἔσται« γὰρ »αύτοῖς« φησὶν »ὁ δοῦλός μου Δαυὶδ

3.17.14 | For what would the beasts be that troubled the sheep before, except for the opposing powers? When they are removed, the new and fresh age will have the Son of Man as king (or according to the prophecy, secretly hinted at, the shepherd and ruler David, because he was born from the seed of David). But God is not said to be a shepherd or ruler, but rather God himself, in a greater thought than being a shepherd, ruler, or king, will be seen as the majestic power of divinity, not only over the sheep but also over the shepherd himself. For it says, 'My servant David will be their shepherd; and I will be their God.' David is called a servant because 'from the seed of David' the Savior and our Lord took on 'the

ποιμήν· καὶ έγὼ κύριος ἔσομαι αὐτοῖς είς θεόν«· δοῦλον δὲ καλεῖ τὸν Δαυὶδ δι΄ ἢν »έκ σπέρματος Δαυὶδ« ἀνείληφεν »μορφὴν δούλου« ὁ σωτὴρ καὶ κύριος ἡμῶν.

form of a servant.'

# **Section 18**

3.18.1 | καὶ τοῦτ' ἦν τὸ τρισμακάριον τέλος καθ' ὂ τὴν έπηγγελμένην τῶν ούρανῶν βασιλείαν τοῖς ταύτης άξίοις τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν πιστουμένου, ὁ έπὶ πάντων θεὸς καὶ πατὴρ αύτοῦ, τὸ πάντων άνώτατον άγαθόν, αύτὸς ὲαυτὸν τοῖς ὑπὸ τῷ υἰῷ βασιλευομένοις δωρήσεται, »πάντα έν πᾶσιν« γιγνόμενος. ὂ δὴ παρίστη πάλιν αύτὸς ὁ σωτὴρ καί κύριος ἡμῶν. τὴν μεγάλην εύχὴν ὑπὲρ τῶν αύτοῦ γνωρίμων άναπέμπων »τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρί«. δι' ἦς έξαιτεῖται λέγων »ἴνα πάντες εν ὧσιν. καθὼς σύ. πάτερ, έν έμοὶ κάγὼ έν σοί, ἴνα καὶ αὐτοὶ ἐν ἡμῖν εν ὧσιν. ἴνα ὁ κόσμος πιστεύῃ ὅτι σύ με ἀπέστειλας.

3.18.1 | And this was the most blessed end, by which the promised kingdom of heaven will be given to those worthy of it, believing in our Savior, the God and Father of all, the highest good of all. He will give himself to those ruled by the Son, becoming 'all in all.' This is what our Savior and Lord presents again. He offers a great prayer for his own, saying 'to God and the Father.' Through this, he asks, saying 'that they may all be one, just as you, Father, are in me and I in you, that they also may be one in us, so that the world may believe that you sent me.'

3.18.2 | κάγὼ τὴν δόξαν ἢν δέδωκάς μοι δέδωκα αὐτοῖς. ἴνα ὧσιν ἔν καθὼς ἡμεῖς ἔν έσμεν· έγὼ έν αὐτοῖς καὶ σὺ έν έμοί, ἴνα ὧσιν τετελειωμένοι είς τὸ ἔν. ἴνα γινώσκῃ ὁ κόσμος ὅτι σύ με ἀπέστειλας καὶ ἡγάπησας αὐτοὺς καθὼς έμὲ ἡγάπησας.

3.18.2 | And I have given them the glory that you gave me, so that they may be one, just as we are one. I in them and you in me, so that they may be made perfect in one. So that the world may know that you sent me and loved them as you loved me.

3.18.3 | πάτερ, οὺς δέδωκάς μοι, θέλω ἴνα ὅπου είμὶ έγὼ κάκεῖνοι ὧσιν μετ' έμοῦ, ἰνα θεωρῶσιν τὴν δόξαν ἢν δέδωκάς μοι«. αὕτη ἡ μεγάλη τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν πρεσβεία, ἴν' ὧμεν σὺν αύτῷ ὅπου ἀν ἢ αύτὸς καὶ ἴνα θεωρῶμεν τὴν δόξαν τὴν αύτοῦ καὶ ἴνα άγαπήσῃ ἡμᾶς καθὼς αύτὸν ἡγάπησεν ὁ αὐτοῦ πατήρ, 〈καὶ〉 ὅπερ αὐτῷ έδωρήσατο τοῦτο δῷ καὶ ἡμῖν, καὶ

3.18.3 | "Father, those whom you have given me, I want them to be with me where I am, so that they may see the glory that you have given me." This is the great intercession of our Savior for us, so that we may be with him wherever he is, and so that we may see his glory and so that he may love us as his Father loved him. And whatever he has given to him, let him also

τὴν δόξαν ἢν αὐτῷ ἔδωκεν ταύτην δῷ καὶ ἡμῖν· ποιῶν ἡμᾶς ἒν τοὺς πάντας, ἴνα μηκέτι ὧμεν πολλοὶ άλλ' οὶ πάντες εἶς, ἐνωθέντες αὐτοῦ τῆ θεότητι καὶ τῆ δόξῃ τῆς βασιλείας, οὐ κατὰ συναλοιφὴν μιᾶς οὐσίας κατὰ δὲ τελείωσιν τῆς είς ἄκρον άρετῆς.

give to us, and let him give us the glory that he has given to him. Making us all one, so that we may no longer be many but all one, united with him in his divinity and in the glory of the kingdom, not by mixing into one substance, but by the perfection of the highest virtue.

3.18.4 | τοῦτο γὰρ ἐδίδαξεν είπών »ἴνα ώσιν τετελειωμένοι«. οὕτω γὰρ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ σοφία καὶ φρονήσει καὶ δικαιοσύνη καὶ εύσεβεία καὶ άρετῇ πάσῃ τέλειοι κατεργασθέντες τῷ πατρικῆς θεότητος άλέκτῳ φωτὶ συναφθησόμεθα φῶτα καὶ αὐτοὶ ἐκ τῆς πρὸς αὐτὸν συναφείας γενησόμενοι, καὶ υὶοὶ θεοῦ κατὰ μετοχὴν τῆς τοῦ μονογενοῦς αὐτοῦ κοινωνίας άποτελεσθέντες μετουσία τῶν τῆς θεότητος αὐτοῦ μαρμαρυγῶν. 19

3.18.4 | For this he taught, saying 'so that they may be made perfect.' For in this way, through his wisdom, understanding, righteousness, piety, and every virtue, we will be made perfect. We will be joined to the light of the Father's divinity, becoming lights ourselves from our connection to him. And we will be made sons of God by sharing in the communion of his only Son, transformed by the shining of his divinity.

## **Section 19**

3.19.1 | καὶ δὴ κατὰ τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον ε̈ν πρὸς τὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν υὶὸν οὶ πάντες γενησόμεθα. ὤσπερ γὰρ αύτὸς ὲαυτόν τε καὶ τον πατέρα εν εἶναι ἔλεγεν φάσκων »έγὼ καὶ ὁ πατὴρ ἔν έσμεν«, οὕτω καὶ πάντας ἡμᾶς κατὰ τὴν αύτοῦ μίμσιν τῆς ένότητος τῆς αύτῆς μετασχεῖν εὔχεται· ού κατὰ Μάρκελλον τοῦ λόγου ἐνουμένου τῷ θεῶ καὶ τῆ ούσία συναφ συναφθησομένου, καθώς δὲ έμαρτύρησεν ἡ άλήθεια, ὁ σωτὴρ αύτὸς είπών »τὴν δόξαν ἢν ἔδωκάς μοι δέδωκα αύτοῖς, ἵνα ὧσιν εν καθώς ἡμεῖς εν· έγὼ έν αύτοῖς καὶ σὺ έν έμοί, ἵνα ὧσιν τετελειωμένοι είς τὸ ἔν«· οὕτω γὰρ καὶ τότε καὶ τὸ ἀποστολικὸν λόγιον τέλους τεύξεται τὸ φῆσαν »ἵνα ἦ ὁ θεὸς πάντα έν πᾶσιν«.

3.19.1 | And in this way, we will all become one with the Father and the Son. Just as he said that he and the Father are one, saying 'I and the Father are one,' he also wishes for all of us to share in this same unity. Not like Marcellus, who thought the Word was mixed with God and became one substance, but as the truth testified, the Savior himself said, 'The glory that you gave me, I have given to them, so that they may be one just as we are one; I in them and you in me, so that they may be made perfect in one.' For in this way, the apostolic saying will also reach its conclusion, saying 'so that God may be all in all.'

3.19.2 | καὶ ἐπειδὴ τρισὶν ῥητοῖς Μάρκελλος καὶ οὶ τὸν ὅμοιον αὐτῷ τρόπον Σαβελλίζοντες χρῆσθαι είωθασιν τὸν πατέρα καὶ τὸν υὶὸν εν εἶναι πειρώμενοι δεικνύναι, ποτὲ μὲν 〈τὸ〉 έγὼ καὶ 〈ὁ〉 · πατὴρ ἔν έσμεν« θρυλοῦντες, ποτὲ δὲ τὸ »ὁ πατὴρ έν έμοὶ κάγὼ έν τῷ πατρί« καὶ τὸ »ὸ εωρακὼς έμὲ ἐώρακεν τὸν πατέρα«, πρὸς μὲν τὸ »έγὼ καὶ ὁ πατὴρ ἔν έσμεν« τὰ προκείμενα αὐτοῖς προσακτέον δι' ὧν ὑπερεύχεται τῶν αὐτοῦ μαθητῶν, ἴνα καὶ πάντες αὐτοὶ τῆς αὐτῆς ἐνώσεως τύχωσι, λέγει δ' οὖν ἵνα ὧσιν εν καθὼς ἡμεῖς ἔν·

3.19.2 | And since Marcellus and those who think like him, the Sabellians, are used to saying that the Father and the Son are one, trying to show this with three sayings, sometimes saying 'I and the Father are one,' and sometimes 'the Father is in me and I am in the Father,' and 'he who has seen me has seen the Father,' they must also consider the context of 'I and the Father are one.' They wish for all of his disciples to share in the same unity. Therefore, he says that they may be one just as we are one.

3.19.3 | έγω έν αύτοῖς καὶ σὺ έν έμοί, ἵνα ὦσιν τετελειωμένοι είς τὸ ἔν«. πρὸς δὲ τὸ » ὁ πατήρ έν έμοὶ κάγὼ έν τῷ πατρὶ« παραθήσομεν την αύτοῦ πάλιν φωνην δι' ἧς ὑπερευχόμενος αύτῶν ἔλεγεν »καθὼς σύ, πάτερ, έν έμοὶ κάγὼ έν σοί, ἵνα καὶ αύτοὶ έν ἡμῖν ὧσιν, κάγὼ τὴν δόξαν, ἡν δέδωκάς μοι, δέδωκα αύτοῖς«· δι' ὧν σαφῶς παρίστησιν οὕτως εἶναι έν αύτῷ τὸν πατέρα καθώς καὶ έν ἡμῖν εἶναι βούλεται· ούχ ότι καθ' ὑπόστασιν μίαν εἷς ών τυγχάνει αύτὸς καὶ ὁ πατήρ, άλλ' ὅτι τοῦ πατρὸς μεταδεδωκότος αύτῷ τῆς οίκείας δόξης, καὶ αύτὸς ὁμοίως τοῖς οίκείοις, τὸν πατέρα μιμούμενος, μεταδίδωσιν.

3.19.3 | I in them and you in me, so that they may be made perfect in one." And to the saying "the Father is in me and I am in the Father," we will add his voice again, in which he prayed for them, saying, "Just as you, Father, are in me and I am in you, so that they may also be in us, and I have given them the glory that you gave me." Through this, he clearly shows that the Father is in him just as he wants to be in us. It is not that he and the Father are one in substance, but that the Father has given him his own glory, and he, following the Father, shares it with his own.

3.19.4 | διό φησιν, »κάγὼ τὴν δόξαν ἢν ἔδωκάς μοι δέδωκα αύτοῖς, ἴνα ὧσιν εν καθὼς ἡμεῖς ἔν.« »καθὼς σύ, πάτερ, έν έμοὶ κάγὼ έν σοί, ἴνα καὶ αύτοὶ έν ἡμῖν ὧσιν. οὕτως οὖν ἔν είσιν ὁ πατὴρ καὶ ὁ υὶὸς κατὰ τὴν κοινωνίαν τῆς δόξης, ῆς τοῖς αύτοῦ μαθηταῖς μεταδιδοὺς τῆς αύτῆς ἐνώσεως

3.19.4 | Therefore, he says, 'And I have given them the glory that you gave me, so that they may be one just as we are one.' 'Just as you, Father, are in me and I am in you, so that they may also be in us.' Thus, the Father and the Son are one in the sharing of glory, and by sharing this same

καὶ αύτοὺς ήξίου.

unity with his disciples, he makes them worthy of it.

### Section 20

3.20.1 | καὶ πάλιν οὕτως ἦν ὁ πατὴρ ἐν αὐτῷ καὶ αὐτὸς ἐν τῷ πατρί. ὡς ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος καὶ περὶ πάντων διδάσκει τῶν τῆς ἐπουρανίου βασιλείας άξίων λέγωντότε ἔσται ὁ θεὸς πάντα ἐν πᾶσιν«, ὡς καὶ τὸ »ἐνοικήσω ἐν αὐτοῖς καὶ ἑμπεριπατήσω« λέλεκται. άλλὰ καὶ ἡμεῖς »ἐν αὐτῷ ζῶμεν καὶ κινούμεθα καί ἐσμεν«. καὶ ταῦτα πάντα περὶ ἡμῶν λέλεκται, τῶν κατ' ίδίαν ὑπόστασιν ὑφεστώτων καὶ ζώντων καὶ μηδὲν ἐχόντων κοινὸν πρὸς τὴν πατρικὴν θεότητα.

3.20.1 | And again, the Father was in him and he was in the Father. As the divine apostle teaches about all those worthy of the heavenly kingdom, saying, 'Then God will be all in all,' just as it is said, 'I will dwell in them and walk among them.' But we also 'live and move and exist in him.' And all these things are said about us, who have our own individual existence and life, and have nothing in common with the divine nature of the Father.

3.20.2 | τί δὴ οὖν χρὴ θαυμάζειν, εί καὶ έπὶ τοῦ υὶοῦ αὶ παραπλήσιοι φέρονται φωναί. οὐκ άναιροῦσαι μὲν αὐτοῦ τὴν ὑπόστασιν ούδ' αὐτὸν εἶναι πατέρα καὶ υὶὸν διδάσκουσαι, τὴν δὲ τοῦ πατρὸς πρὸς αὐτὸν ίδιάζουσαν καὶ έξαίρετον τιμὴν καὶ δόξαν τῆς μονογενοῦς καὶ θεϊκῆς κοινωνίας παριστῶσαι;

3.20.2 | What then is there to wonder at, if similar voices are also attributed to the Son? They do not deny his existence nor teach that he is not both Father and Son, but they present the unique and special honor and glory of the Father in relation to him, showing the special nature of their divine relationship.

#### Section 21

3.21.1 | οὕτως οὖν καὶ ὁ ἑωρακὼς« αύτὸν ἑώρακεν τὸν πατέρα«. τῷ μόνον αὐτὸν καὶ μηδένα ἄλλον είκόνα εἶναι τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἀοράτου« καὶ »ἀπαύγασμα τῆς δόξης καὶ χαρακτῆρα τῆς ὑποστάσεως αὐτοῦ« »έν μορφῆ τε θεοῦ« ὑπάρχειν κατὰ τὰς ἀποστολικὰς διδασκαλίας. ὡς γὰρ καὶ ὁ τὴν βασιλικὴν είκόνα τὴν έπ' ἀκριβὲς ἀφωμοιωμένην αὐτῷ τεθεαμένος τοὺς τῆς μορφῆς τύπους διὰ τῆς γραφῆς

3.21.1 | Thus, he who has seen him has seen the Father. He is the only image of the invisible God and the radiance of his glory and the exact representation of his being, existing in the form of God, according to the apostolic teachings. Just as someone who has seen the royal image, perfectly resembling the king, imagines the king by removing the types of the form through writing, in the same way, a pure mind,

άποματτόμενος φαντασιοῦται τὸν βασιλέα, τὸν αύτὸν τρόπον μᾶλλον δ' ὑπὲρ πάντα λόγον παντός τε έπέκεινα παραδείγματος ὁ νῷ διαυγεῖ καὶ ψυχῆς κεκαθαρμένοις ὰγίω τε πνεύματι πεφωτισμένοις ὄμμασιν τῷ τε μεγέθει τῆς τοῦ μονογενοῦς υὶοῦ καὶ κυρίου δυνάμεως ένατενίσας | καὶ έννοήσας, ὅπως »έν αὐτῷ κατοικεῖ πᾶν τὸ πλήρωμα τῆς πατρικῆς θεότητος« καὶ ὡς τὰ σύμπαντα δι' αύτοῦ έγένετο« καὶ »έν αύτῶ έκτίσθη τὰ πάντα τὰ έν τοῖς ούρανοῖς καὶ τὰ έπὶ τῆς γῆς, τὰ ορατὰ καὶ τὰ άορατα«, λογισάμενος τε ώς μόνον αύτὸν υὶὸν μονογενῆ έγέννα ὁ πατὴρ κατὰ πάντα άφωμοιωμένον αύτῶ. δυνάμει καὶ αύτὸν ὄψεται τὸν πατέρα διὰ τοῦ υὶοῦ, θεωρούμενον τοῖς τὴν διάνοιαν κεκαθαρμένοις περί ὧν εἵρηται τὸ μακάριοι οὶ καθαροὶ τῆ καρδία, ὅτι αύτοὶ τὸν θεὸν ὄψονται«.

cleansed of the soul and illuminated by the holy spirit, gazes upon the greatness of the only Son and Lord's power. And having understood that 'all the fullness of the Father's deity dwells in him' and that 'all things were made through him' and 'everything in heaven and on earth, visible and invisible, was created in him,' he considers that the Father alone has begotten him as the only Son, perfectly resembling him in every way. By this power, he will also see the Father through the Son, being regarded by those whose minds are pure, as it is said, 'Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God.'

3.21.2 | ταῦτα μὲν οὖν προθεμένοις ἡμῖν σκοπὸν τὴν βραχυλογίαν ὡς ἐν ἐπιτομῆ γεγυμνάσθω. πλείστης δὲ ὄσης έξεργασίας δεομένων τῶν κατὰ τὸν τόπον ὅτω μέλει τῆς τούτων άκριβοῦς καταλήψεως τοῖς εύαγγελικοῖς καὶ άποστολικοῖς άναγνώσμασιν την διάνοιαν έπερείσας τὸν πάντα νοῦν έξ αύτῶν έπὶ σχολῆς άναλέξεται. μυρίων γε μήν καὶ άλλων άσυναρτήτως έν τῷ Μαρκέλλου συγγράμματι συμπεφορημένων, πλείστων τε ὄσων έναντίως τῷ βουλήματι τῆς γραφῆς είρημένων επέρων τε βεβιασμένων καὶ μηδεμίαν σωσάντων άκολουθίαν, κοινὸν τὸν ἔλεγχον κατάφωρον γεγονέναι παρὰ τοῖς εὖ φρονοῦσιν διὰ τῶν ἡμῖν έξητασμένων ήγούμενος, τούτοις άρκεσθήσομαι.

3.21.2 | Therefore, while setting these things before us, let us aim for brevity as if in a summary. But since we need much work on the place where we care about accurately understanding these things, let the mind be stirred up by the gospel and apostolic readings, and let it gather all thoughts from them in leisure. Indeed, many other unrelated things have been mixed together in Markell's writing, and many more have been said that go against the intention of the text, along with other forced claims that do not save any sequence. The common refutation has become clear to those who think well, based on what we have examined, and I will be satisfied with these.

## Indexes

The person and place indices have been generated based on named entity recognition of the Greek text. As the text was translated, person names and place names were algorithmically identified in the text using the machine learning (ML) model UGARIT/grc-ner-xlmr. Entries are sorted by transliteration, with the Greek form of the name in parentheses. Locations in the text are referred to using book-section-paragraph citations, which are standard for editions of this work. Some people or places will have more than one entry as the entries are based on forms found in the Greek text, which may be inflected or have varying accentuation. The transliterated form found in these indices may also be different than the spelling of the person or place name as it is rendered in the text. On the whole, despite these infelicities, it seemed better to be able to include person and place indices even if the names are not in complete agreement with the text of the translation.

The index of Bible references is generated based on a sentence-level semantic similarity analysis that semantically compared this translation of  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta \varsigma \, \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta \varsigma \, \theta \epsilon o \lambda o \gamma i \alpha \varsigma$  to the text of the Bible. The analysis was performed using the model sentence-transformers/Labse. Where areas of the translation were found to be highly similar to particular Bible verses, an association was made and recorded in the index. Due to the automated nature of this index, there will be associations with references that, while similar, are not direct allusions or quotations. As with the person and place indices, it seemed better to include this index despite its shortcomings.

### **Index of Persons**

**Abraa** (Άβραὰ): 2.21.1

**Abraam** (Άβραὰμ, Άβραάμ): 1.20.17; 2.19.9; 2.21.1; 2.21.2; 3.2.22

**Adam** (Άδὰμ): 3.5.2

**Akulas** (Άκύλας): 3.2.15

**Asterio** (Άστερίω): 2.1.3; 2.19.14

**Asterios** (Άστέριος): 2.1.5; 2.3.2; 2.4.2; 2.19.1; 2.19.12; 2.19.16; 2.19.20; 2.21.5; 3.4.2; 3.4.4

**Christon** (Χριστὸν, Χριστόν): 1.5.2; 1.16.6; 1.20.54; 1.20.92; 2.2.5; 2.20.7; 3.3.10; 3.3.16; 3.17.7

**Christos** (Χριστός, Χριστὸς): 1.18.1; 1.20.45; 1.20.75; 1.20.90; 1.20.96; 2.1.4; 3.2.5; 3.2.31

**Christou** (Χριστοῦ): 1.Pin.1; 1.8.2; 1.11.2; 1.11.3; 1.17.8; 1.18.4; 1.20.72; 1.20.73; 1.20.91; 2.2.1; 2.2.4; 2.7.1; 2.18.6; 2.20.8; 2.20.12; 2.22.3; 2.22.4; 2.22.5; 2.24.1; 3.6.5; 3.10.2; 3.13.1; 3.15.9; 3.17.6; 3.17.9

**Daniel** (Δανιήλ): 1.20.79; 2.9.8; 2.20.4; 3.17.4; 3.17.10

**Dauid** (Δαυὶδ, Δαυίδ): 1.6.1; 1.20.75; 1.20.83; 1.20.86; 2.19.20; 2.24.1; 3.3.2; 3.3.10; 3.13.3; 3.17.2; 3.17.3; 3.17.4; 3.17.9; 3.17.14

**Didymos** (Δίδυμος): 2.7.15

**Esaia** (Ἡσαΐα): 2.19.14

**Esaian** (Ἡσαΐαν): 2.21.10

**Esaias** (Ἡσαίας, Ἡσαΐας): 1.20.86; 2.22.2; 2.24.1

**Esaiou** (Ἡσαΐου): 2.19.18; 2.21.10

**Euangelion** (Εύαγγέλιον): 3.4.5; 3.17.11

Euangeliou (Εύαγγελίου): 2.11.3

**Ezekiel** (Έζεκιὴλ): 3.17.1; 3.17.9

**Gabriel** (Γαβριήλ): 3.16.2; 3.16.3

**Iakob** (Ἰακὼβ, Ἰακώβ): 1.20.17; 2.19.9; 2.19.13; 2.21.1

**Ieremias** (Ἱερεμίας): 2.19.13; 2.24.1; 3.3.2

Ieremiou (Ἱερεμίου): 2.1.3

**Ieremiou Threnon** (Ἱερεμίου Θρήνων): 2.1.4

**Iesou** (Ἰησοῦ): 1.18.4; 1.20.59; 2.14.9

**Iesou Christou** (Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ): 1.20.67; 2.1.1; 2.14.18; 2.23.2; 3.5.22; 3.6.2; 3.6.3

**Iesoun** (Ἰησοῦν, Ἰησουν): 1.8.2; 1.14.2; 1.20.54; 1.20.64; 1.20.66; 2.21.4; 3.2.7

**Iesoun Christon** (Ίησοῦν Χριστὸν, Ίησοῦν Χριστόν): 1.2.1; 2.6.2; 3.15.10

**lesous** (Ἰησοῦς): 1.6.1; 1.18.1; 1.20.57; 1.20.90

**Iesous Christos** (Ἰησοῦς Χριστός, Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς): 1.20.54; 1.20.56; 1.20.57; 1.20.60; 1.20.61; 1.20.67; 2.2.1; 2.21.4; 3.3.3; 3.3.10

**Ioannen** (Ἰωάννην): 1.20.15

**Ioannes** (Ἰωάννης): 1.18.1; 1.18.3; 1.20.1; 1.20.13; 1.20.14; 1.20.48; 2.8.2; 2.11.3; 2.18.6; 2.25.1; 3.1.1

**Ioannou** (Ἰωάννου): 1.20.14; 1.20.15; 1.20.16; 2.10.7; 2.23.5

**Iob** (Ἰὼβ): 3.3.16; 3.3.25

**Iordanou** (Ἰορδάνου): 1.9.4

**Isaak** (Ίσαὰκ): 1.20.17; 2.19.9

**Israel** (Ἰσραήλ, Ἰσραήλ): 1.20.87; 2.19.6; 2.19.8; 2.19.9; 2.19.13; 2.19.20; 2.21.10; 3.2.10; 3.3.21; 3.3.22

**Malachias** (Μαλαχίας): 2.19.19

**Markel** (Μαρκέλ): 2.Pin.1

**Markello** (Μαρκέλλω): 1.5.2; 1.10.3; 1.14.1; 1.14.2; 1.20.4; 1.20.17; 1.20.51; 1.20.71; 1.20.92; 2.Pin.1; 2.1.1; 2.4.2; 2.9.7; 2.9.10; 2.10.3; 2.17.4; 3.3.30

**Markellon** (Μάρκελλον): 1.10.2; 1.16.6; 1.20.19; 1.20.54; 3.2.33; 3.19.1

Markellos (Μάρκελλος): 1.Pin.1; 1.5.1; 1.7.2; 1.14.3; 1.15.2; 1.16.6; 1.16.7; 1.17.5; 1.18.4; 1.20.6; 1.20.22; 1.20.39; 1.20.43; 1.20.64; 1.20.90; 1.20.91; 1.20.96; 2.Pin.1; 2.3.1; 2.5.1; 2.6.2; 2.7.8; 2.8.1; 2.8.5; 2.9.4; 2.11.5; 2.12.2; 2.14.5; 2.14.8; 2.14.19; 2.16.1; 2.18.7; 2.19.4; 2.20.1; 2.20.9; 2.21.5; 2.22.4; 2.23.4; 3.Pin.1; 3.1.1; 3.2.27; 3.2.34; 3.3.44; 3.3.63; 3.4.1; 3.6.4; 3.14.1; 3.17.6; 3.17.9; 3.19.2

**Markellou** (Μαρκέλλου): 1.Pref.1; 1.17.4; 1.20.41; 2.2.1; 2.9.1; 2.17.2; 3.7.2; 3.21.2

Markon Euangeliou (Μᾶρκον Εύαγγελίου): 2.19.6

**Michaian** (Μιχαίαν): 2.18.2

**Michaias** (Μιχαίας): 2.24.2

**Mosea** (Μωσέα): 1.20.17; 2.14.9; 2.19.2; 2.19.9; 2.19.20; 2.20.8; 2.21.1; 3.3.1

**Mosei** (Μωσεῖ): 1.16.6; 1.20.58; 2.20.7; 2.20.11; 2.21.2; 2.21.3; 2.21.4; 2.23.5

**Mosen** (Μωσῆν): 2.21.1 **Sabellion** (Σαβέλλιον): 1.Pin.1; 1.1.1; 1.1.2; 1.1.4; 1.5.1; 1.5.2; 1.14.4; 1.16.1; **Moseos** (Μωσέως): 1.20.65; 2.14.9; 1.16.3; 1.16.6; 1.17.5; 1.20.42; 1.20.44; 2.14.16; 2.14.18; 2.14.19; 2.20.2; 2.20.6; 1.20.96; 2.1.2; 2.2.5; 2.4.2; 2.5.1; 2.7.9; 2.21.3; 3.2.25; 3.3.1; 3.3.3; 3.3.14; 3.3.15 2.12.2; 2.14.8; 2.14.20; 2.25.4 **Moses** (Μωσῆς): 1.20.17; 1.20.59; **Sabellios** (Σαβέλλιος): 1.7.2; 1.14.3; 2.14.18; 2.20.2; 2.20.3; 2.20.4; 2.20.5; 1.15.1; 1.16.5; 1.16.6; 1.17.4; 1.20.14; 3.3.1; 3.3.14; 3.3.17; 3.3.25; 3.3.36; 3.12.2 1.20.93; 2.25.3 **Osee** ('Ωσηὲ): 2.24.2 **Sabelliou** (Σαβελλίου): 1.Pin.1; 1.16.2; 1.16.5; 1.17.4; 1.17.7; 2.Pin.1; 3.3.66; 3.4.1 Paulianoi (Παυλιανοί): 1.20.43 **Sabellikan>on** (Σαβελλικαν>όν): 2.2.5 **Paulianos** (Παυλιανὸς): 1.20.45 Samosatea (Σαμοσατέα): 1.14.2 **Paulon** (Παῦλον): 3.13.2 Samosateos (Σαμοσατέως): 3.6.4 **Paulos** (Παῦλος): 1.12.1; 1.20.54; 1.20.58; 2.20.8; 2.21.3; 2.23.3; 3.4.1; 3.9.1; Samosateus (Σαμοσατεύς): 1.20.43 3.13.4 **Simon** (Σίμων): 1.16.1 **Paulou** (Παύλου): 3.2.7; 3.6.4; 3.15.11 **Solomon** (Σολομών, Σολομῶν): 1.20.81; **Petron** (Πέτρον): 1.16.1 1.20.82; 2.24.1; 3.3.43; 3.3.60 **Petrou** (Πέτρου): 3.13.1 **Solomonos** (Σολομῶνος): 1.11.6; 1.20.83; 2.2.3; 3.3.13; 3.3.23 **Psalmos** (Ψαλμὸς): 3.15.6 **Summachos** (Σύμμαχος): 3.2.15 **Psalmou** (Ψαλμοῦ): 3.13.2 Theodotion (Θεοδοτίων): 3.2.15 **Sabellio** (Σαβελλίω): 1.16.5; 1.16.7 **Zorobabel** (Ζοροβάβελ): 1.20.76; 1.20.77 Sabellio Markellos (Σαβελλίω Μάρκελλος): 1.17.4 **Index of National and Religious Groups** Christianos (Χριστιανὸς): 2.25.2 **Ebraiken** (Ἑβραϊκὴν): 3.2.15 **Christianou** (Χριστιανοῦ): 1.17.7 **Ebraikes** (Ἑβραϊκῆς): 3.2.21 **Christianous** (Χριστιανούς): 1.Pin.1 **Ebraikon** (Ἑβραϊκὸν): 3.2.22

**Ebraiois** (Ἑβραίοις): 3.2.22; 3.2.23

**Ebraion** (Ἑβραίων): 1.13.4

**Ellen** (Έλλην): 1.8.2; 1.20.93

**Ebionaioi** (Έβιωναῖοι): 1.20.43

**Ebraike** (Ἑβραϊκή): 3.2.15

Ebionaious (Έβιωναίους): 1.14.1

**Elleniken** (Ἑλληνικὴν): 2.20.13

**Ellenikes** (Ἑλληνικῆς): 1.8.1; 2.13.1

**Ellenon** (Ἑλλήνων): 1.2.1; 1.16.6; 2.22.3

Farisaioi (Φαρισαῖοι): 2.25.2

**Galatais** (Γαλάταις): 2.21.9

**Ioudaike** (Ἰουδαϊκῆ): 1.17.8; 2.18.7;

3.5.12

**Ioudaiken** (Ἰουδαϊκὴν): 3.1.1

Ioudaikes (Ἰουδαϊκῆς): 1.8.1

**Ioudaikou** (Ἰουδαϊκοῦ): 3.3.66

**Ioudaioi** (Ἰουδαῖοι): 2.25.5

**Ioudaiois** (Ἰουδαίοις): 2.18.7

**Ioudaion** (Ἰουδαίων, Ἰουδαῖον): 1.2.1;

1.4.1; 1.7.2; 1.8.2; 1.15.1; 1.16.6; 1.17.7;

1.20.6; 1.20.87; 1.20.89; 1.20.92; 2.2.1;

2.2.5; 2.14.8; 2.14.17; 2.14.20; 2.18.7;

2.20.1; 2.20.12; 2.22.2; 2.22.5; 2.25.2;

2.25.3; 3.12.1

**Ioudaios** (Ἰουδαῖος): 1.5.2; 1.20.55; 2.2.5;

2.22.5; 3.6.4

**Ioudaious** (Ἰουδαίους): 1.16.1; 1.16.6;

2.21.1; 2.25.4

**Ioudaizon** (Ἰουδαΐζων): 2.14.19

**Maria** (Μαρία): 3.5.13

**Person** (Περσῶν): 1.20.76

## **Index of Places**

**Aiguptou** (Αίγύπτου): 1.20.59; 2.19.10;

2.19.19; 2.21.6

**Exodou** (Έξόδου): 3.3.20

**Ierousalem** (Ἱερουσαλἡμ, Ἱερουσαλἡμ, Ἱερουσαλήμ): 2.20.8; 2.24.1; 2.24.2; 3.3.40

# **Index of Bible References**

**Gen 1:1**: 2.8.4, 3.2.25, 3.3.43, 3.3.60 **Gen 44:19**: 2.21.5

**Gen 1:3**: 1.20.5, 1.20.8 **Gen 46:6**: 2.19.13

**Gen 1:27**: 1.20.8, 1.20.63, 1.20.69, **Gen 46:7**: 2.19.13

1.20.74, 2.2.4, 2.19.1

**Gen 2:19**: 3.2.16 **Exod 2:10**: 1.20.59

Gen 2:23: 1.16.2

**Exod 3:6**: 2.21.1

**Gen 4:25**: 1.20.19, 3.5.21 **Exod 3:13**: 2.19.9

Gen 7:16: 1.20.90 Exod 3:14: 2.21.2

**Gen 12:7**: 3.2.22, 3.17.11 **Exod 3:15**: 1.20.88, 2.19.9, 2.21.1

**Mambre** (Μαμβρῆ): 2.21.1

2.24.2; 3.3.40

Gen 48:19: 2.7.2

**Sion** (Σιὼν): 1.20.87; 2.20.8; 2.24.1;

**Gen 16:13**: 2.17.3 **Exod 4:16**: 2.18.3

Gen 18:1: 2.21.1 Exod 8:20: 3.3.25

Gen 22:14: 1.20.79

**Exod 15:26**: 3.2.20 **Gen 26:24**: 2.7.7

**Exod 20:2**: 2.21.6

**Gen 29:35**: 1.20.18 **Exod 20:3**: 2.19.10, 2.19.16, 2.21.7

**Gen 31:16**: 1.17.2, 1.20.94, 2.18.5 **Exod 20:4**: 2.21.7

**Gen 32:9**: 2.19.9 **Exod 20:5**: 2.21.7

**Gen 38:4**: 1.1.2

**Exod 20:22**: 2.7.8 **Gen 38:5**: 1.1.2

**Exod 23:33**: 2.21.7

**Gen 38:7**: 1.20.14 **Exod 25:8**: 3.18.2, 3.19.3

**Gen 43:5**: 3.5.9 **Exod 29:46**: 2.21.6, 3.17.2

<b>Exod 33:1</b> : 2.19.9	<b>Num 17:6</b> : 3.3.21
Exod 33:14: 1.20.13	<b>Num 20:16</b> : 2.21.6
Exod 34:27: 3.3.1	Num 23:19: 1.17.6, 2.18.6
<b>Lev 5:3</b> : 1.15.2	Num 23:29: 3.2.5
<b>Lev 5:4</b> : 1.15.2	Num 24:16: 1.20.24
<b>Lev 7:7</b> : 1.20.53	<b>Num 29:40</b> : 3.2.10
<b>Lev 18:21</b> : 2.21.7	Num 30:5: 3.5.11
Lev 19:4: 2.21.7	Num 30:15: 1.5.2
<b>Lev 19:12</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Deut 1:21</b> : 2.14.3
<b>Lev 19:14</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Deut 3:22</b> : 2.21.7
<b>Lev 19:18</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Deut 4:19</b> : 3.15.5
<b>Lev 19:26</b> : 3.12.5	<b>Deut 4:29</b> : 2.19.6, 2.19.11
<b>Lev 19:31</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Deut 4:35</b> : 1.9.3, 2.14.5, 2.19.7, 3.3.61
Lev 20:7: 2.21.7	<b>Deut 4:39</b> : 2.19.11
<b>Lev 21:6</b> : 1.Pref.1	<b>Deut 5:6</b> : 2.21.6
<b>Lev 21:24</b> : 2.Pin.1	<b>Deut 5:7</b> : 2.19.10, 2.19.16, 2.21.7
<b>Lev 22:33</b> : 2.21.6	<b>Deut 5:8</b> : 2.21.7
<b>Lev 23:43</b> : 2.21.6	<b>Deut 5:9</b> : 2.21.7
<b>Lev 25:17</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Deut 5:24</b> : 1.20.76
<b>Lev 25:38</b> : 2.21.6	<b>Deut 6:2</b> : 3.14.1
<b>Lev 25:43</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Deut 6:4</b> : 2.19.6
<b>Lev 25:55</b> : 2.21.6	<b>Deut 6:5</b> : 2.19.6, 2.19.11
Num 1:48: 2.14.2	<b>Deut 7:21</b> : 2.21.7
Num 5:10: 3.5.3	<b>Deut 8:5</b> : 2.19.1
Num 15:13: 3.15.8, 3.16.4	<b>Deut 10:11</b> : 3.17.11

**Deut 10:12**: 2.19.6, 2.19.11

**Num 15:41**: 2.21.6

<b>Deut 10:14</b> : 3.1.5, 3.3.49	<b>Judg 5:31</b> : 3.15.7
<b>Deut 11:1</b> : 2.14.14, 2.19.6, 2.19.11	Judg 6:8: 2.21.6
<b>Deut 11:13</b> : 2.19.6, 2.19.11	Judg 13:6: 1.20.1, 3.7.1
<b>Deut 14:1</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Judg 13:14</b> : 3.10.5
<b>Deut 16:17</b> : 2.14.10	<b>1Sam 2:12</b> : 2.16.1
<b>Deut 17:3</b> : 3.15.9	<b>1Sam 2:30</b> : 1.11.2
<b>Deut 17:19</b> : 3.14.1	<b>1Sam 10:11</b> : 2.20.3
<b>Deut 18:22</b> : 3.4.3	<b>1Sam 10:18</b> : 2.19.10, 2.21.6
<b>Deut 26:14</b> : 1.Pref.2	<b>1Sam 10:24</b> : 1.20.82
<b>Deut 26:19</b> : 3.15.12	<b>1Sam 16:8</b> : 1.17.4
<b>Deut 27:3</b> : 2.9.5	<b>1Sam 16:10</b> : 1.17.4
<b>Deut 28:47</b> : 2.19.6, 2.19.11	<b>1Sam 18:14</b> : 3.3.44
<b>Deut 29:13</b> : 1.20.17	<b>1Sam 18:23</b> : 3.3.66
<b>Deut 29:29</b> : 1.20.29, 2.17.7	<b>1Sam 26:9</b> : 1.5.1
<b>Deut 30:2</b> : 2.19.11	<b>1Sam 26:17</b> : 3.3.66
<b>Deut 30:6</b> : 2.19.6, 2.19.11	<b>2Sam 5:12</b> : 1.20.86, 3.17.3
<b>Deut 30:10</b> : 2.19.11	<b>2Sam 6:12</b> : 1.20.86
<b>Deut 32:29</b> : 3.3.19	<b>2Sam 9:11</b> : 3.17.12
<b>Deut 32:38</b> : 2.21.10	<b>2Sam 14:14</b> : 3.10.2
<b>Deut 32:39</b> : 2.19.12, 2.19.19	<b>2Sam 22:32</b> : 1.1.3, 2.10.4, 2.10.5, 2.10.8
<b>Deut 33:9</b> : 3.20.2	<b>1Kgs 1:13</b> : 1.20.83
Josh 19:15: 3.3.21	<b>1Kgs 1:37</b> : 3.15.11
Josh 21:44: 3.15.6	<b>1Kgs 1:47</b> : 1.20.83
Judg 2:1: 2.19.10	<b>1Kgs 2:24</b> : 3.3.10

**1Kgs 5:5**: 2.7.3

**1Kgs 6:12**: 3.2.20

Judg 2:18: 1.1.1

Judg 4:10: 2.20.4

1Kgs 8:23: 2.19.11, 2.21.7	<b>1Chr 28:8</b> : 2.9.9
<b>1Kgs 8:53</b> : 2.21.6	<b>1Chr 28:9</b> : 3.4.6
1Kgs 18:10: 2.23.3	<b>1Chr 29:11</b> : 1.13.2, 1.20.70
1Kgs 20:13: 3.4.8	<b>2Chr 1:1</b> : 2.14.14
1Kgs 22:40: 3.20.1	<b>2Chr 7:3</b> : 3.15.12
<b>2Kgs 1:16</b> : 2.19.8	<b>2Chr 8:9</b> : 3.3.36
<b>2Kgs 2:6</b> : 1.11.1	<b>2Chr 9:29</b> : 2.25.5
<b>2Kgs 4:27</b> : 3.5.13	<b>2Chr 20:6</b> : 2.19.11
<b>2Kgs 14:3</b> : 2.9.4	<b>2Chr 30:9</b> : 3.4.6
<b>2Kgs 15:9</b> : 1.14.3	<b>2Chr 31:21</b> : 3.3.50
<b>2Kgs 16:13</b> : 2.21.10	<b>2Chr 34:2</b> : 3.3.2
<b>2Kgs 17:14</b> : 2.14.3	<b>2Chr 34:23</b> : 3.2.10
<b>2Kgs 17:39</b> : 2.19.6	<b>Ezra 7:6</b> : 2.21.3
<b>2Kgs 18:12</b> : 1.20.52	Neh 9:6: 1.13.2
<b>2Kgs 21:21</b> : 3.3.50	Neh 9:31: 2.21.7
<b>2Kgs 22:15</b> : 3.2.10	<b>Neh 9:32</b> : 2.9.9, 2.9.11, 2.10.1, 3.3.3
<b>2Kgs 23:5</b> : 3.3.40	<b>Neh 9:34</b> : 2.6.4
<b>1Chr 14:2</b> : 1.20.86, 3.17.9	<b>Job 7:10</b> : 2.9.3
1Chr 15:2: 3.3.4	<b>Job 7:11</b> : 3.10.4
<b>1Chr 16:9</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Job 12:10</b> : 2.14.22
<b>1Chr 17:24</b> : 1.20.84	Job 12:13: 3.2.2
1Chr 21:30: 2.4.2	<b>Job 13:7</b> : 3.3.64
1Chr 22:1: 3.17.3	<b>Job 16:21</b> : 1.20.39
1Chr 22:9: 1.20.82	<b>Job 22:27</b> : 1.11.4
<b>1Chr 25:5</b> : 1.20.9, 2.7.17	<b>Job 25:4</b> : 1.9.1, 1.20.64, 2.14.15, 3.3.30
1Chr 28:6: 2.7.3	<b>Job 26:4</b> : 3.10.7, 3.12.8

<b>Job 28:20</b> : 1.20.16	<b>Ps 80:3</b> : 1.20.87
<b>Job 28:24</b> : 3.3.49	<b>Ps 81:9</b> : 2.19.10, 2.19.20
<b>Job 31:2</b> : 3.3.51, 3.4.7	<b>Ps 85:4</b> : 1.20.87
<b>Job 32:17</b> : 2.16.3	<b>Ps 85:7</b> : 1.20.87
Job 37:16: 2.19.14	<b>Ps 86:12</b> : 2.19.6, 2.19.11
<b>Job 38:17</b> : 3.3.16	<b>Ps 89:3</b> : 3.17.2
<b>Ps 18:31</b> : 1.1.3, 2.10.4, 2.10.5, 2.10.8	<b>Ps 89:11</b> : 3.2.29, 3.3.11
<b>Ps 19:1</b> : 2.18.3	<b>Ps 91:14</b> : 3.4.2, 3.5.16
<b>Ps 21:4</b> : 1.20.77	<b>Ps 91:15</b> : 1.11.4
<b>Ps 24:2</b> : 3.2.26, 3.3.12	<b>Ps 95:3</b> : 3.16.6
<b>Ps 27:9</b> : 2.21.7	<b>Ps 96:11</b> : 3.3.52
<b>Ps 31:14</b> : 2.19.21	<b>Ps 100:3</b> : 2.24.3
<b>Ps 36:9</b> : 1.20.76	<b>Ps 102:18</b> : 3.2.10
<b>Ps 45:6</b> : 1.20.84	<b>Ps 103:20</b> : 3.3.52
<b>Ps 45:7</b> : 1.20.84	<b>Ps 103:22</b> : 3.3.52
<b>Ps 46:4</b> : 1.20.78	<b>Ps 105:2</b> : 3.3.52
<b>Ps 49:9</b> : 1.20.77	<b>Ps 106:13</b> : 1.14.3
<b>Ps 57:5</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Ps 108:5</b> : 3.3.52
<b>Ps 57:11</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Ps 110:1</b> : 3.13.2
<b>Ps 68:20</b> : 2.19.6	<b>Ps 112:3</b> : 3.1.3
<b>Ps 69:34</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Ps 117:1</b> : 3.3.52
Ps 72:11: 3.3.52	<b>Ps 118:14</b> : 3.1.2
<b>Ps 78:5</b> : 1.13.4	<b>Ps 119:128</b> : 1.8.1
<b>Ps 78:6</b> : 2.25.3	<b>Ps 135:6</b> : 1.9.2, 2.1.5, 3.1.5, 3.3.49
<b>Ps 78:35</b> : 1.20.79	<b>Ps 146:6</b> : 1.9.2

**Ps 148:1**: 3.3.51

**Ps 78:55**: 1.13.4

<b>Ps 148:2</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Prov 8:27</b> : 3.1.5, 3.3.61
<b>Ps 148:3</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Prov 8:30</b> : 3.1.5
<b>Ps 148:4</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Prov 8:31</b> : 3.1.5
<b>Ps 148:5</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Prov 9:1</b> : 3.2.3
<b>Ps 148:9</b> : 3.3.28	<b>Prov 9:12</b> : 3.3.35
<b>Ps 148:13</b> : 3.3.52	<b>Prov 12:17</b> : 3.5.10
<b>Prov 1:8</b> : 3.3.34	<b>Prov 22:1</b> : 3.1.4
<b>Prov 1:29</b> : 2.24.1	<b>Prov 24:4</b> : 3.5.18
<b>Prov 2:6</b> : 3.3.5, 3.5.18	<b>Eccl 3:19</b> : 1.19.2
<b>Prov 2:15</b> : 1.12.1	Eccl 5:19: 1.20.26, 1.20.30
<b>Prov 3:11</b> : 3.3.34	<b>Song 5:11</b> : 3.17.10
<b>Prov 3:12</b> : 2.7.14, 2.7.15, 2.19.1, 3.3.55	<b>Song 6:10</b> : 1.20.7
<b>Prov 3:14</b> : 3.1.4	<b>Isa 3:15</b> : 3.12.8
<b>Prov 4:20</b> : 3.3.34	<b>Isa 7:14</b> : 2.7.1
<b>Prov 5:7</b> : 3.3.34	Isa 9:6: 2.7.1
<b>Prov 7:4</b> : 3.2.6	Isa 32:1: 1.20.81, 3.1.3, 3.2.33
<b>Prov 7:24</b> : 3.3.34	<b>Isa 42:1</b> : 1.20.75
<b>Prov 8:13</b> : 3.1.2	Isa 42:5: 2.7.11
<b>Prov 8:14</b> : 3.1.2	Isa 43:11: 2.19.16, 2.19.17
<b>Prov 8:15</b> : 1.20.81, 3.1.3, 3.2.17	<b>Isa 43:12</b> : 1.20.51
<b>Prov 8:16</b> : 1.20.81, 3.1.3	Isa 43:23: 3.12.6
<b>Prov 8:17</b> : 3.1.3	Isa 44:9: 2.22.2
<b>Prov 8:18</b> : 3.1.3	<b>Isa 44:15</b> : 2.7.5
<b>Prov 8:19</b> : 3.1.4	<b>Isa 44:17</b> : 2.7.5
<b>Prov 8:20</b> : 3.1.4	Isa 44:24: 2.19.10
<b>Prov 8:22</b> : 3.1.5	<b>Isa 45:5</b> : 2.19.17, 2.19.19

Isa 45:18: 1.1.3, 1.1.4, 1.13.2, 1.17.1,	<b>Jer 30:4</b> : 2.Pin.1
1.20.70, 2.6.1, 2.7.12, 2.8.4, 2.9.7, 2.14.4, 2.14.11, 2.23.4, 3.2.1, 3.2.8, 3.2.26, 3.2.28,	<b>Jer 30:9</b> : 3.16.3, 3.17.2, 3.17.14
3.3.12, 3.3.31, 3.3.43, 3.3.45, 3.3.46, 3.3.60, 3.6.5	Jer 30:22: 2.19.21
Isa 45:22: 2.19.17	<b>Jer 31:23</b> : 3.3.22
Isa 48:17: 3.2.31	<b>Jer 31:33</b> : 3.16.1
Isa 49:7: 3.2.9	<b>Jer 32:38</b> : 3.17.2
Isa 57:15: 1.18.1, 2.1.2, 3.5.17	Jer 33:2: 1.10.1, 3.2.8, 3.2.14
Isa 63:11: 3.3.14	<b>Jer 33:21</b> : 3.17.2
Isa 65:7: 3.3.29	<b>Jer 34:13</b> : 2.19.10, 2.21.6
<b>Jer 1:14</b> : 3.17.13	<b>Jer 34:17</b> : 3.15.5
<b>Jer 7:8</b> : 3.2.6	<b>Jer 35:17</b> : 2.21.10
<b>Jer 7:16</b> : 2.6.4	<b>Jer 42:9</b> : 3.2.10
<b>Jer 9:12</b> : 3.5.10	<b>Jer 42:12</b> : 1.20.87
<b>Jer 11:4</b> : 2.21.6	<b>Jer 42:15</b> : 2.21.6
<b>Jer 19:14</b> : 2.1.3	<b>Jer 42:20</b> : 1.11.2
Jer 21:8: 3.3.1	<b>Jer 42:21</b> : 3.5.7
Jer 23:32: 1.19.1, 2.10.2	Jer 44:25: 2.20.11
Jer 23:35: 1.20.15	<b>Jer 44:26</b> : 1.20.46
Jer 23:36: 1.17.1	<b>Jer 44:30</b> : 3.15.6
Jer 25:30: 3.2.11, 3.3.17	Ezek 6:3: 3.3.38
Jer 26:8: 3.3.42	Ezek 11:17: 2.19.10, 2.21.6
Jer 27:7: 3.17.4	Ezek 14:20: 2.20.14
<b>Jer 27:9</b> : 3.3.36	Ezek 17:19: 3.3.6
<b>Jer 28:9</b> : 1.20.53	Ezek 20:12: 3.18.2
<b>Jer 29:19</b> : 2.7.6	Ezek 20:42: 2.21.6
Y 00 0 0 0 40 4	Ezek 28:2: 2.14.11

Jer 29:25: 2.18.1

Ezek 28:25: 3.2.22	<b>Dan 11:37</b> : 1.10.4
Ezek 29:20: 1.20.5	<b>Hos 1:1</b> : 2.18.1
Ezek 34:12: 3.17.1	<b>Amos 1:2</b> : 2.24.1
Ezek 34:22: 3.17.1	<b>Amos 3:1</b> : 2.21.6
Ezek 34:23: 3.17.2, 3.17.14	Mic 1:1: 2.24.2
Ezek 34:24: 3.17.2, 3.17.14	<b>Zech 3:7</b> : 3.2.17
Ezek 34:30: 3.16.1, 3.17.2, 3.17.14	<b>Zech 8:3</b> : 3.3.40
Ezek 34:31: 3.17.2	<b>Zech 12:8</b> : 3.17.2
Ezek 36:4: 3.3.28, 3.3.37, 3.3.38	<b>Zech 13:2</b> : 3.17.13
Ezek 36:6: 3.3.29, 3.3.37, 3.3.42	<b>Zech 13:3</b> : 3.3.33, 3.4.3
Ezek 36:22: 2.19.20	<b>Mal 4:2</b> : 1.20.80
Ezek 37:24: 3.17.2, 3.17.14	<b>Matt 3:17</b> : 1.9.4
Ezek 37:25: 3.17.2	<b>Matt 10:40</b> : 1.20.47, 3.5.3
Ezek 37:27: 3.17.2, 3.17.14	<b>Matt 11:27</b> : 1.20.29
Ezek 38:17: 1.20.88	<b>Matt 12:18</b> : 1.20.75
Ezek 46:16: 3.2.24, 3.16.5	<b>Matt 14:33</b> : 1.7.1
<b>Dan 1:11</b> : 2.20.4	<b>Matt 16:16</b> : 1.16.1
<b>Dan 4:3</b> : 3.17.5	<b>Matt 16:17</b> : 1.16.1
<b>Dan 7:9</b> : 3.17.10	<b>Matt 17:2</b> : 3.10.1, 3.17.10
<b>Dan 7:10</b> : 3.17.10	<b>Matt 17:8</b> : 1.20.60
<b>Dan 7:13</b> : 3.17.4	<b>Matt 18:18</b> : 3.2.29, 3.3.11
<b>Dan 7:14</b> : 3.17.4, 3.17.5	<b>Matt 19:6</b> : 2.23.1, 3.2.12, 3.4.1
<b>Dan 7:18</b> : 3.14.3	Matt 19:8: 2.20.2
<b>Dan 7:27</b> : 3.17.4, 3.18.1	Matt 22:37: 2.19.6, 2.19.11
<b>Dan 9:14</b> : 3.2.28	<b>Matt 22:39</b> : 2.19.6

Matt 22:44: 3.13.2

**Dan 11:36**: 2.8.5

Matt 22:45: 1.20.46, 2.5.1, 3.4.4	<b>Luke 10:22</b> : 1.12.7, 1.20.29
Matt 23:18: 1.20.48	<b>Luke 10:27</b> : 2.14.21, 2.19.6, 2.19.11
Matt 25:32: 3.17.11	<b>Luke 12:57</b> : 3.4.8
Matt 25:33: 3.17.11	<b>Luke 14:26</b> : 2.20.14
Matt 25:34: 3.17.11, 3.17.12	<b>Luke 18:34</b> : 2.15.1, 2.20.5, 3.12.7
<b>Matt 26:54</b> : 1.20.44	Luke 20:28: 2.20.2
Matt 27:43: 2.7.4	<b>Luke 20:30</b> : 2.20.7
<b>Matt 28:3</b> : 3.17.10	<b>Luke 20:37</b> : 2.21.1
Mark 4:2: 1.20.38	<b>Luke 20:41</b> : 1.20.96
Mark 9:32: 3.3.27	<b>Luke 20:44</b> : 1.9.1
Mark 10:9: 1.20.64, 3.3.65	<b>Luke 22:57</b> : 1.20.6
Mark 10:29: 3.12.2	<b>Luke 22:70</b> : 2.21.2
Mark 12:30: 2.19.6, 2.19.11	<b>Luke 24:8</b> : 3.10.3
<b>Mark 12:31</b> : 2.19.6	Luke 24:25: 2.24.2
Mark 12:31: 2.19.6 Mark 12:32: 2.19.7	Luke 24:25: 2.24.2 Luke 24:44: 3.5.5
	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1,
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7 Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1  John 1:2: 2.2.2
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7  Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3  Mark 16:14: 1.5.2	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7  Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3  Mark 16:14: 1.5.2  Mark 16:15: 3.3.24	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1  John 1:2: 2.2.2  John 1:3: 1.9.2, 1.20.4, 1.20.68, 2.2.1,
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7  Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3  Mark 16:14: 1.5.2  Mark 16:15: 3.3.24  Luke 1:37: 2.14.2	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1  John 1:2: 2.2.2  John 1:3: 1.9.2, 1.20.4, 1.20.68, 2.2.1, 2.6.5, 2.14.12, 2.14.19, 2.18.4, 3.3.32,
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7  Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3  Mark 16:14: 1.5.2  Mark 16:15: 3.3.24  Luke 1:37: 2.14.2  Luke 2:20: 2.25.5	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1  John 1:2: 2.2.2  John 1:3: 1.9.2, 1.20.4, 1.20.68, 2.2.1, 2.6.5, 2.14.12, 2.14.19, 2.18.4, 3.3.32, 3.6.2, 3.6.3
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7  Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3  Mark 16:14: 1.5.2  Mark 16:15: 3.3.24  Luke 1:37: 2.14.2  Luke 2:20: 2.25.5  Luke 4:41: 1.20.21	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1  John 1:2: 2.2.2  John 1:3: 1.9.2, 1.20.4, 1.20.68, 2.2.1, 2.6.5, 2.14.12, 2.14.19, 2.18.4, 3.3.32, 3.6.2, 3.6.3  John 1:4: 2.14.19
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7  Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3  Mark 16:14: 1.5.2  Mark 16:15: 3.3.24  Luke 1:37: 2.14.2  Luke 2:20: 2.25.5  Luke 4:41: 1.20.21  Luke 6:33: 3.3.35	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1  John 1:2: 2.2.2  John 1:3: 1.9.2, 1.20.4, 1.20.68, 2.2.1, 2.6.5, 2.14.12, 2.14.19, 2.18.4, 3.3.32, 3.6.2, 3.6.3  John 1:4: 2.14.19  John 1:5: 2.14.19
Mark 12:32: 2.19.7  Mark 12:36: 3.13.2, 3.13.3  Mark 16:14: 1.5.2  Mark 16:15: 3.3.24  Luke 1:37: 2.14.2  Luke 2:20: 2.25.5  Luke 4:41: 1.20.21  Luke 6:33: 3.3.35  Luke 7:16: 3.2.11	Luke 24:44: 3.5.5  John 1:1: 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.11.3, 2.12.1, 2.12.3, 2.14.8, 2.14.12, 2.14.18, 2.17.1  John 1:2: 2.2.2  John 1:3: 1.9.2, 1.20.4, 1.20.68, 2.2.1, 2.6.5, 2.14.12, 2.14.19, 2.18.4, 3.3.32, 3.6.2, 3.6.3  John 1:4: 2.14.19  John 1:5: 2.14.19  John 1:8: 1.20.3

John 1:11: 1.20.3

John 1:12: 1.20.21	John 5:27: 1.16.2
John 1:14: 1.20.10, 1.20.30	John 5:30: 2.7.6, 2.7.10
John 1:15: 1.20.13, 1.20.15, 1.20.16	John 5:32: 2.7.7
John 1:16: 1.20.13	John 6:30: 3.12.1
John 1:17: 2.14.9, 2.14.18	John 6:31: 3.12.1
John 1:18: 1.6.2, 1.8.2, 1.9.5, 1.11.5,	John 6:32: 3.12.2
1.20.17, 1.20.36, 1.20.73, 2.14.7, 2.23.1, 2.23.6	John 6:38: 1.20.37, 2.7.6, 2.7.10
John 1:34: 3.3.65	John 6:45: 1.20.38, 2.11.2
John 3:9: 2.9.4	<b>John 6:46</b> : 1.9.5, 1.11.5, 2.7.17, 2.14.7, 3.3.62
John 3:15: 1.12.6, 1.20.25	<b>John 6:50</b> : 1.20.35
<b>John 3:16</b> : 1.8.4, 1.9.5, 1.12.6, 1.20.18, 3.2.21	John 6:51: 1.20.31, 3.12.2, 3.12.3
John 3:17: 2.2.4	<b>John 6:53</b> : 3.12.2
John 3:18: 1.20.19	John 6:54: 3.12.3, 3.12.4
John 3:31: 1.20.24	<b>John 6:55</b> : 3.12.3
John 3:34: 2.1.4, 2.11.5, 2.19.3	John 6:56: 3.12.3, 3.12.4
John 3:35: 3.3.55	<b>John 6:57</b> : 1.11.1, 1.20.31, 1.20.35, 1.20.47, 3.12.4, 3.19.4
John 3:36: 1.20.25	John 6:60: 3.12.4
John 5:13: 1.18.3	John 6:62: 3.10.7, 3.11.1, 3.12.4, 3.12.7
<b>John 5:17</b> : 3.3.6	John 6:63: 3.10.7, 3.11.1, 3.12.5, 3.12.7
John 5:19: 3.3.54, 3.3.55, 3.5.11	<b>John 6:69</b> : 1.17.9
John 5:20: 3.3.55, 3.3.56	John 7:18: 2.8.1
John 5:21: 1.8.4, 1.11.1, 1.20.34	John 8:12: 1.20.23
John 5:22: 2.7.14	<b>John 8:18</b> : 1.20.47
John 5:23: 2.7.14, 2.7.15, 2.7.16	<b>John 8:27</b> : 3.20.2
John 5:26: 1.11.1, 1.20.32, 1.20.34	John 8:28: 3.2.24

John 8:28: 3.2.24

John 8:29: 1.20.37	John 20:31: 1.2.1, 1.20.96, 2.22.1
John 9:9: 1.3.1, 1.7.1, 1.14.1, 2.19.2, 2.21.5	Acts 2:4: 1.20.55
John 9:35: 1.10.2	Acts 2:39: 1.10.5
John 10:35: 1.20.20	Acts 3:21: 3.13.3
John 10:36: 1.10.3, 1.20.20, 1.20.39	Acts 4:20: 1.17.3
John 11:28: 3.5.13	Acts 5:32: 3.6.4
<b>John 12:49</b> : 2.16.3	Acts 7:5: 1.20.33
John 14:10: 1.20.91, 2.16.2	Acts 13:22: 3.17.9
<b>John 14:12</b> : 3.3.54	<b>Acts 17:24</b> : 3.1.5
John 14:16: 3.5.1, 3.5.6	Acts 17:25: 1.12.2, 2.15.2
John 14:21: 1.20.48	Acts 17:29: 1.17.6, 1.17.7, 2.17.6
John 14:25: 3.5.5, 3.5.6	Acts 18:21: 2.7.9
John 14:26: 2.9.6, 3.5.5, 3.5.6, 3.5.7, 3.5.8	Acts 18:25: 1.13.5
<b>John 15:26</b> : 3.5.8	Acts 23:25: 2.25.1, 3.2.34, 3.3.24
<b>John 16:7</b> : 3.5.9	<b>Rom 1:4</b> : 2.1.1, 3.18.4
<b>John 16:13</b> : 3.4.2, 3.5.16	<b>Rom 1:7</b> : 2.6.2
John 16:14: 3.4.2, 3.5.16, 3.5.18, 3.5.19	<b>Rom 1:20</b> : 1.13.1, 1.20.28
<b>John 16:15</b> : 3.5.19	<b>Rom 1:21</b> : 1.20.89
John 17:3: 2.22.1	<b>Rom 1:26</b> : 1.20.7
John 17:5: 1.20.12	<b>Rom 2:29</b> : 1.20.22, 2.15.1, 3.4.1
John 17:19: 3.18.2	<b>Rom 3:5</b> : 3.2.5
John 17:21: 3.16.5, 3.18.1, 3.19.4	Rom 3:29: 2.22.2
John 17:22: 3.18.2, 3.19.3, 3.19.4	<b>Rom 5:1</b> : 2.6.2
John 17:23: 3.18.1, 3.18.2	<b>Rom 5:11</b> : 2.14.9
John 17:24: 1.20.12, 3.18.3	<b>Rom 5:12</b> : 2.2.3
John 20:22: 3.4.5, 3.5.1, 3.5.2	<b>Rom 6:2</b> : 3.15.7

<b>Rom 6:4</b> : 3.15.8	<b>1Cor 1:5</b> : 3.3.44, 3.5.18
<b>Rom 7:23</b> : 2.19.12	<b>1Cor 1:21</b> : 1.20.89, 2.20.1
<b>Rom 8:7</b> : 2.4.2	<b>1Cor 2:10</b> : 2.20.5
<b>Rom 8:9</b> : 1.20.41, 1.20.45, 2.14.13	<b>1Cor 2:11</b> : 1.9.6, 1.15.1, 1.16.4, 1.20.73,
<b>Rom 8:13</b> : 2.14.15	2.17.4, 2.25.4, 3.2.4, 3.5.22
<b>Rom 8:16</b> : 2.1.4, 2.7.4	1Cor 2:12: 1.20.10
<b>Rom 8:17</b> : 1.17.9, 3.18.4	<b>1Cor 2:13</b> : 3.12.6
<b>Rom 8:29</b> : 1.8.3	<b>1Cor 2:14</b> : 1.20.28, 2.14.5, 3.3.63
<b>Rom 8:30</b> : 1.20.80, 3.18.3	<b>1Cor 3:11</b> : 1.20.60, 3.3.10
Rom 8:38: 1.12.4	<b>1Cor 3:23</b> : 2.12.3
<b>Rom 9:8</b> : 1.6.2, 1.12.8, 2.17.3, 3.2.3	<b>1Cor 6:9</b> : 1.12.4
<b>Rom 9:24</b> : 1.16.6	<b>1Cor 6:17</b> : 1.18.3
<b>Rom 10:5</b> : 3.3.48	<b>1Cor 7:17</b> : 1.20.36
<b>Rom 10:7</b> : 3.3.16	<b>1Cor 8:5</b> : 1.19.2
Rom 11:32: 3.14.4	<b>1Cor 8:6</b> : 1.3.1, 1.6.1, 1.8.2, 1.11.3,
<b>Rom 11:33</b> : 1.12.1, 3.3.5	1.12.10, 1.17.9, 1.20.51, 1.20.54, 1.20.56, 1.20.57, 1.20.67, 2.2.1, 2.6.2, 2.10.1,
<b>Rom 11:36</b> : 1.9.2, 3.3.32, 3.3.49	2.21.4, 3.3.53, 3.4.9, 3.6.1, 3.6.2, 3.6.3
Rom 14:6: 2.19.3	<b>1Cor 10:4</b> : 1.20.58
Rom 14:8: 2.14.15	<b>1Cor 10:5</b> : 2.20.3
Rom 15:6: 3.4.5	<b>1Cor 10:9</b> : 1.20.58
	<b>1Cor 11:3</b> : 1.20.45
Rom 15:13: 3.16.2	<b>1Cor 11:7</b> : 1.20.1
Rom 15:16: 3.15.9	<b>1Cor 11:12</b> : 1.12.8, 2.8.3, 2.9.1, 2.9.5,
Rom 15:33: 3.3.58, 3.15.2	2.14.13, 2.14.15
<b>Rom 16:26</b> : 2.17.4	<b>1Cor 12:3</b> : 1.16.6, 1.20.92, 2.10.7, 2.19.14
Rom 16:27: 2.23.2	<b>1Cor 12:8</b> : 3.5.22

1Cor 12:9: 3.5.22

**1Cor 1:2**: 2.2.1, 2.6.2

<b>1Cor 13:12</b> : 3.10.4	<b>Gal 1:12</b> : 1.Pref.2, 3.10.5
<b>1Cor 14:2</b> : 1.16.4, 2.11.5, 2.25.4, 3.7.1	<b>Gal 3:20</b> : 1.20.65, 2.21.3, 2.21.9
<b>1Cor 14:28</b> : 1.20.40, 1.20.62	<b>Gal 4:9</b> : 2.19.8
<b>1Cor 14:36</b> : 2.3.4, 2.7.9	<b>Gal 4:23</b> : 3.3.31
<b>1Cor 14:38</b> : 2.19.2, 3.3.48	<b>Eph 1:3</b> : 2.6.2
<b>1Cor 15:15</b> : 2.22.3	<b>Eph 1:8</b> : 3.5.18
<b>1Cor 15:24</b> : 3.17.7	<b>Eph 1:21</b> : 2.20.7
<b>1Cor 15:26</b> : 3.14.3	<b>Eph 2:10</b> : 3.2.31
<b>1Cor 15:27</b> : 3.3.39	<b>Eph 2:18</b> : 3.19.1
1Cor 15:28: 1.20.42, 1.20.94, 2.7.14,	<b>Eph 3:5</b> : 2.20.1, 2.25.2
2.8.3, 2.8.5, 2.17.7, 2.21.8, 3.Pin.1, 3.14.4, 3.15.1, 3.15.3, 3.15.4, 3.15.8, 3.16.2,	<b>Eph 3:6</b> : 3.6.4
3.16.3, 3.16.4, 3.16.5, 3.17.7	<b>Eph 3:20</b> : 2.14.6
<b>1Cor 15:38</b> : 1.12.10, 3.16.7	<b>Eph 4:6</b> : 2.14.16, 2.20.15, 3.6.2
<b>1Cor 15:48</b> : 3.Pin.1	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7
1Cor 15:48: 3.Pin.1 2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3	-
	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7
<b>2Cor 1:3</b> : 3.6.3	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7 Eph 5:9: 1.20.93
2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3 2Cor 1:12: 3.2.1	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7 Eph 5:9: 1.20.93 Eph 6:12: 2.20.6
2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3 2Cor 1:12: 3.2.1 2Cor 1:19: 2.6.1	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7  Eph 5:9: 1.20.93  Eph 6:12: 2.20.6  Phil 2:5: 1.20.59, 1.20.61
2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3 2Cor 1:12: 3.2.1 2Cor 1:19: 2.6.1 2Cor 1:20: 2.14.22, 2.25.1, 3.5.20	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7  Eph 5:9: 1.20.93  Eph 6:12: 2.20.6  Phil 2:5: 1.20.59, 1.20.61  Phil 2:6: 1.13.6, 1.20.63
2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3 2Cor 1:12: 3.2.1 2Cor 1:19: 2.6.1 2Cor 1:20: 2.14.22, 2.25.1, 3.5.20 2Cor 3:18: 3.18.4	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7  Eph 5:9: 1.20.93  Eph 6:12: 2.20.6  Phil 2:5: 1.20.59, 1.20.61  Phil 2:6: 1.13.6, 1.20.63  Phil 2:7: 1.20.62
2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3 2Cor 1:12: 3.2.1 2Cor 1:19: 2.6.1 2Cor 1:20: 2.14.22, 2.25.1, 3.5.20 2Cor 3:18: 3.18.4 2Cor 4:5: 1.14.2	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7  Eph 5:9: 1.20.93  Eph 6:12: 2.20.6  Phil 2:5: 1.20.59, 1.20.61  Phil 2:6: 1.13.6, 1.20.63  Phil 2:7: 1.20.62  Phil 3:21: 3.10.2, 3.15.10, 3.15.11
2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3  2Cor 1:12: 3.2.1  2Cor 1:19: 2.6.1  2Cor 1:20: 2.14.22, 2.25.1, 3.5.20  2Cor 3:18: 3.18.4  2Cor 4:5: 1.14.2  2Cor 4:14: 2.25.3	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7  Eph 5:9: 1.20.93  Eph 6:12: 2.20.6  Phil 2:5: 1.20.59, 1.20.61  Phil 2:6: 1.13.6, 1.20.63  Phil 2:7: 1.20.62  Phil 3:21: 3.10.2, 3.15.10, 3.15.11  Phil 4:19: 2.19.6
2Cor 1:3: 3.6.3  2Cor 1:12: 3.2.1  2Cor 1:19: 2.6.1  2Cor 1:20: 2.14.22, 2.25.1, 3.5.20  2Cor 3:18: 3.18.4  2Cor 4:5: 1.14.2  2Cor 4:14: 2.25.3  2Cor 5:20: 2.22.3  2Cor 6:16: 1.20.41, 2.7.11, 2.11.1, 3.3.46,	Eph 4:11: 3.4.7  Eph 5:9: 1.20.93  Eph 6:12: 2.20.6  Phil 2:5: 1.20.59, 1.20.61  Phil 2:6: 1.13.6, 1.20.63  Phil 2:7: 1.20.62  Phil 3:21: 3.10.2, 3.15.10, 3.15.11  Phil 4:19: 2.19.6  Col 1:15: 1.20.74  Col 1:16: 1.9.2, 1.13.1, 1.13.2, 1.20.27,

**2Cor 11:4**: 2.10.7

**Col 1:17**: 1.9.2, 1.20.70, 3.3.49

Col 1:19: 3.15.2	<b>Titus 1:9</b> : 1.1.1, 1.19.1
Col 1:20: 3.6.2	<b>Heb 1:2</b> : 1.20.67, 2.2.2
Col 1:23: 1.18.1	<b>Heb 1:3</b> : 3.21.1
Col 2:2: 1.8.1	<b>Heb 1:7</b> : 3.5.21
<b>Col 2:3</b> : 3.5.18	<b>Heb 1:8</b> : 1.20.84
Col 3:17: 2.9.6	<b>Heb 1:9</b> : 1.20.84
<b>1Thess 2:13</b> : 1.20.11, 2.9.2, 2.10.3, 2.17.2, 2.24.3	<b>Heb 1:13</b> : 3.13.2
1Thess 3:13: 3.3.14	<b>Heb 2:10</b> : 1.8.3, 3.2.21
1Thess 4:16: 3.14.2	<b>Heb 3:4</b> : 2.14.16
1Thess 4:17: 3.14.2	<b>Heb 3:18</b> : 3.3.64
	<b>Heb 4:5</b> : 1.18.2, 2.10.3
<b>2Thess 1:12</b> : 3.5.20	<b>Heb 4:14</b> : 1.20.66
<b>2Thess 2:4</b> : 2.20.15	<b>Heb 5:4</b> : 1.11.5
<b>2Thess 2:5</b> : 3.5.5	<b>Heb 5:5</b> : 1.20.66
<b>2Thess 2:16</b> : 2.6.2, 3.15.10	Heb 6:4: 3.3.22
<b>2Thess 3:5</b> : 2.19.6, 2.19.11	<b>Heb 6:6</b> : 2.18.7
<b>1Tim 1:1</b> : 1.20.54	<b>Heb 7:3</b> : 1.20.33
<b>1Tim 1:17</b> : 2.23.2, 3.16.7	Heb 8:10: 3.16.1
<b>1Tim 2:5</b> : 1.11.3, 1.20.57, 1.20.65, 2.5.1, 2.21.4, 2.21.9	Heb 11:3: 3.3.58, 3.10.3
1Tim 4:4: 2.16.1	<b>Heb 11:24</b> : 1.20.59
1Tim 4:5: 3.2.2	<b>Heb 12:5</b> : 3.3.34
1Tim 6:3: 1.18.4	<b>Heb 12:6</b> : 3.3.55
<b>1Tim 6:4</b> : 1.12.9	<b>1Pet 1:3</b> : 1.20.90, 2.1.1, 2.6.2
<b>1Tim 6:16</b> : 1.12.9	<b>1Pet 1:11</b> : 3.13.1
<b>2Tim 1:9</b> : 2.9.12	<b>1Pet 1:12</b> : 3.5.14
<b>Titus 1:4</b> : 2.6.2	<b>1Pet 2:13</b> : 3.2.9

<b>1Pet 4:6</b> : 2.18.2	<b>1John 4:15</b> : 1.Pin.1, 2.2.5, 2.8.2, 2.18.6
<b>1Pet 4:11</b> : 1.18.4, 1.20.91, 2.3.2, 2.10.6, 2.14.4, 2.14.10, 2.18.5, 3.2.7, 3.3.33, 3.4.4,	<b>1John 5:1</b> : 1.Pin.1, 1.2.1, 1.10.1, 2.2.5, 2.14.21, 3.2.12
3.10.6	<b>1John 5:6</b> : 1.1.4
<b>2Pet 1:1</b> : 2.6.2	<b>1John 5:10</b> : 1.20.22, 2.8.1, 2.16.2, 3.10.6
<b>2Pet 1:3</b> : 1.11.3	<b>1John 5:11</b> : 1.9.3
<b>2Pet 1:17</b> : 1.9.4, 1.10.1, 1.12.5, 1.20.68	<b>1John 5:12</b> : 1.4.1, 1.7.3, 1.20.25
<b>2Pet 1:21</b> : 2.7.8, 2.20.6, 2.25.2, 3.3.4, 3.5.2	<b>1John 5:20</b> : 1.6.1, 1.7.3, 1.8.2, 1.10.5, 1.12.7, 1.17.9, 1.20.44, 1.20.61, 2.3.1,
<b>2Pet 3:5</b> : 3.2.25, 3.3.9	2.6.2, 2.8.2, 2.17.2, 2.22.1, 2.24.3, 3.2.7,
<b>1John 1:2</b> : 3.20.1	3.3.3, 3.4.9, 3.6.3
<b>1John 1:3</b> : 3.19.1	<b>2John 1:3</b> : 2.14.9
<b>1John 2:5</b> : 1.5.1, 3.2.4	<b>Jude 1:25</b> : 1.20.57, 2.23.2
<b>1John 2:22</b> : 1.14.2, 2.2.5	<b>Rev 1:1</b> : 2.11.3, 2.18.6
1John 2:23: 1.15.1, 2.7.2, 2.21.6, 2.23.3	<b>Rev 1:5</b> : 2.1.1
<b>1John 2:24</b> : 3.15.4	<b>Rev 1:14</b> : 3.17.10
1John 2:27: 2.9.2, 2.18.2	<b>Rev 5:13</b> : 1.13.2, 1.20.93, 2.20.8, 3.3.17, 3.7.2, 3.17.4
<b>1John 3:1</b> : 1.12.5	<b>Rev 10:6</b> : 1.9.2, 1.13.2, 2.1.5, 3.3.49, 3.6.2
<b>1John 3:9</b> : 1.9.6	<b>Rev 13:7</b> : 3.17.4
<b>1John 3:10</b> : 1.10.4, 1.17.7, 2.19.1	<b>Rev 14:2</b> : 3.3.18
<b>1John 3:22</b> : 1.17.3, 2.11.2	<b>Rev 14:7</b> : 1.20.78
<b>1John 3:24</b> : 2.1.2, 2.4.1, 2.9.1, 2.9.11, 2.11.1, 2.15.4	<b>Rev 19:6</b> : 3.3.18

**1John 4:2**: 1.20.26, 1.20.92

**1John 4:8**: 2.10.5

**1John 4:9**: 1.13.6

**Rev 21:3**: 2.12.1, 3.16.1

**Rev 21:7**: 1.20.94, 3.16.3

**Rev 21:24**: 3.1.3