

Formal Language Theory

An alphabet is a set.

Each member of an alphabet is called a **character** or a **symbol**.

We typically use Σ to denote an alphabet.

Some examples:

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$
 $\Sigma = \{\text{true, false}\}$ $\Sigma = \{A, C, G, T\}$
 $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ $\Sigma = \{^*, \#, \$, !, \S\}$

An Important Alphabet

ASCII (American Standard Code for Information Interchange) symbols are an important alphabet.

ASCII contains most symbols we use in computer languages. It has 128 symbols, including:

- The letters of the English alphabet in both lower and upper case {a, b, ..., z, A, B, ..., Z}
- The digits **{0, 1, ..., 9}**
- Various punctuation, mathematical symbols,
 and control codes {.;,!?#=()&^@~...}

Modern Computer Alphabets

ASCII is an old alphabet. It is actually a code specifying which numbers (from 0 to 127) correspond to which symbols.

Modern versions of the same idea include ASCII as a subset. The modern versions are called **Unicode** or **UTF** (Unicode Transformation Format). There are various versions of UTF, known as **UTF-7**, **UTF-8**, **UTF-16**, and **UTF-32**. The number after the UTF indicates how many bits correspond to a normal character. These alphabets contain characters from many different languages.

Strings

A **string** is a sequence of 0 or more symbols from some alphabet, chosen with repetitions.

The symbol & denotes the string with 0 characters. It is a string over every alphabet.

If {0, 1} is our alphabet, then **010110** is a string. A few other strings on this alphabet are **1101**, **001**, **0**, **1**, ε, and **100110111010001010010**.

If {A, C, G, T} is our alphabet, then **CATTAGGA**, **TCAAAGATC**, and **GTT** are some strings.

Formal Languages

A (formal) language is a set of strings over some alphabet Σ .

The set {ε, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb} is a language over the alphabet {a, b}. This is the language consisting of strings of at most two characters.

The set {ɛ, a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ...} is also a language over the same alphabet. This is the language of all strings that consist only of a's. (You don't have to use all characters in a language)

Language Formation

Given a language or two, we can form other languages from them.

We let L_a be the language $\{a\}$, for any a in alphabet Σ .

We let L, be the language (E).

We let L_{\varnothing} be the language $\{\}$.

We let L_{Σ} be the language $\{c \mid c \in \Sigma\}$.

If L_1 and L_2 are languages, we let $L_1 \cup L_2$ be the usual set-theoretic union of L_1 and L_2 . For example, $L_a \cup L_d = \{a, d\}$.

Concatenation of Languages

If L_1 and L_2 are languages, we let L_1L_2 be the set $\{\alpha\beta \mid \alpha\in L_1 \text{ and } \beta\in L_2\}$. Here, α and β are strings and $\alpha\beta$ denotes the string α followed by the string β .

```
If L_1= {AC, TG} and L_2 = {A, GCCA}, then L_1L_2 = {ACA, ACGCCA, TGA, TGGCCA}
```

 $L_{\ell}L = LL_{\ell} = L$ for any language L.

For any languages L_1 , L_2 , and L_3 , $(L_1L_2)L_3=L_1(L_2L_3)$.

In general $L_1L_2 \neq L_2L_1$.

Exponentiation of Languages

If **L** is a language, then **L**^k is that language concatenated **k** times with itself.

```
For instance, L^2 = LL.
```

```
As special cases, L^0 = L_{\epsilon} and L^1 = L.
```

```
\begin{array}{l} L_b{}^0 = \{\epsilon\} \\ L_b{}^1 = \{b\} \\ L_b{}^2 = \{bb\} \\ L_b{}^3 = \{bbb\} \end{array} \hspace{1cm} \begin{array}{l} \text{If } M = \{0, \, 111\} \text{ then } M^0 = \{\epsilon\} \\ M^1 = \{0, \, 111\} \\ M^2 = \{00, \, 0111, \, 1110, \, 1111111\} \\ M^3 = \{000, \, 00111, \, 01110, \, 01111111, \, 11111110, \, 111111111\} \end{array}
```

. . .

Kleene Closure

If L is a language, then the Kleene Closure L* is $L^0 \cup L^1 \cup L^2 \cup L^3 \cup ...$

For instance, $L_d^* = \{\epsilon, d, dd, ddd, dddd, ... \}$. is the language of all strings you can make with d.

 $(L_a \cup L_b)^* = \{\epsilon, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, ...\}$ is the language of all strings of a's and b's.

Regular Languages

Any language that can be constructed from the basic set definitions $\mathbf{L_a}$, $\mathbf{L_g}$, $\mathbf{L_g}$, and $\mathbf{L_\Sigma}$ and the general operations union, concatenation, and Kleene closure is called a regular language.

Regular languages exhibit a sort of regularity.

There is a more compact notation for (most) regular languages that is called **regular expressions**.

Regular Expressions

For any character $\alpha \in \Sigma$, the regular expression α denotes the language L_{α} .

The regular expression ϵ denotes the language L_{ϵ} .

If α and β are regular expressions for languages L_{α} and L_{β} , the regular expression $\alpha + \beta$ denotes the language $L_{\alpha} \cup L_{\beta}$. $\alpha + \beta$ can also be written as $\alpha \mid \beta$.

If α and β are regular expressions for languages \mathbf{L}_{α} and \mathbf{L}_{β} , the regular expression $\alpha\beta$ denotes the language $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha}\mathbf{L}_{\beta}$.

If α is a regular expression for language L_{α} the regular expression α^* denotes the language L_{α}^* .

Parentheses are used for clarification. Kleene closure takes precedence over concatenation which takes precedence over union. $a + bc^* = a + (b(c^*))$.

Regular Expression Examples

The regular expression $a(b + \epsilon)a$ denotes the language {aba, aa}.

The regular expression **a(b + c)ab** denotes the language {**abab**, **acab**}.

The regular expression **ab + cab** denotes the language **{ab, cab}**.

The regular expression **ab*** denotes the language **{a, ab, abb, abbb, abbbb, ...}**.

The regular expression a(b+c)(d+e) denotes the language {abd, abe, acd, ace}.

Regular Expressions as Patterns

Regular expressions are often used as **patterns** to match. A string is said to **match** the pattern of the regular expression if the string is in the language represented by the regular expression.

The string abab matches the regular expression a(b + c)ab.

The string **abbb** matches the regular expression **ab***.

The string ade does not match the regular expression a(b+c)(d+e)

Notational extensions

We can add some extra notation to regular expressions without changing what languages they can represent.

If α is a regular expression, α ? (sometimes written as α ?) is equivalent to ($\epsilon + \alpha$).

If α is a regular expression, α^{+} is equivalent to $\alpha\alpha^{*}$.

If α is a regular expression for language L_{α} the regular expression α^k denotes the language L_{α}^k . k must be a constant or used once. For instance, a^kb^k is not a regular expression.

Notational extensions

The expression [abgmr] is called a character class and is equivalent to (a+b+g+m+r)

Abbreviated ellipses (..) in a character class means all characters in the range. The expression [ab..gm] is equivalent to (a+b+c+...+g+m). Common constructions are [a..z], [a..zA..Z], and [0..9A..F].

A tilde \sim or caret $^{\wedge}$ at the beginning of a character class denotes "all characters of Σ that are **not** in." [$^{\wedge}$ a..z] means "all characters that are not lower case letters"

Notational extensions

If α and β are regular expressions, $\alpha \bowtie \beta$ means $(\epsilon + \alpha(\beta\alpha)^*)$. This is a β -separated list of 0 or more α 's. For example, $x \bowtie \gamma$, means $\epsilon + x + x, x + x, x, x + ...$, that is, a commaseparated list of zero or more x's. (uncommon)

Uses of regular expressions

In an editor, you might look for a string that matches a regular expression you type in.

In a command shell, you might use a regular expression to match all of the file names you want to use.

Basically, you can use them in most situations in which you want to match a pattern.

Later in the course, we will get into the technology behind matching regular expressions on a computer.

Uses of regular expressions

In a compiler, we use regular expressions when matching input text to tokens, which are the product of lexical analysis.

There are tools (for instance, lex, flex, ANTLR) which will automatically generate a lexical analyzer based on a regular-expression specification of the tokens.

We will not be using these tools, but will have a similar mechanism for parts of our lexical analyzers. They are quite easy to write by hand anyhow.

Tokens in project Milestone 1

```
• integerLiteral → [ 0..9 ]*
booleanLiteral → true | false
• floatingLiteral \rightarrow ( [0..9]*. [0..9]* ) (E (+|-)? [0..9]*)?
         3.4 0.0 0.2E4 3.15E-21 423.17E+07
• stringLiteral \rightarrow " [^"\n] * "
         "hello" "" "A#c"
\circ characterLiteral \rightarrow #a | ##[0..9#] | #[0..7]+
         #Z #! ### ##3 #027
\circ identifier \rightarrow [ a..z_ ]<sup>+</sup>

    identifier → [ a..zA..Z_ @][ a..zA..Z_@0..9 ]*

         canLit Alndex@b49 min @max@
```

Tokens in project Milestone 1

```
    punctuator → unaryOperator | operator | punctuation

punctuator → operator | punctuation

    operator → unaryOperator | arithmeticOperator |

                comparisonOperator
unaryOperator → -
unaryOperator → + | -

    operator → + | * | >

arithmeticOperator → + | - | * | /
\circ comparisonOperator \rightarrow < | <= | == | != | > | >=

    punctuation → ; | , | . | { | } | :=
    punctuation → ; | { | } | ( | ) | [ | ] | % | :=

\circ comment \rightarrow \% [^{\%} ]^* (\% | \n)
          % wrap up the loop %
          % endline comment
```

Brief Overview – Lexical Analysis Package of Project

Interface

Fnum

Enum

