Optimization reading notes

Fall-Winter 2024

1 Background

Image restoration (IR) problems can be formulated as inverse problems of the form

$$x^* \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x} f(x) + \lambda g(x) \tag{1}$$

where f is a term measuring the fidelity to a degraded observation y, and g is a regularization term weighted by a parameter $\lambda \geq 0$. Generally, the degradation of a clean image \hat{x} can be modeled by a linear operation $y = A\hat{x} + \xi$, where A is a degradation matrix and ξ a white Gaussian noise. In this context, the maximum a posteriori (MAP) derivation relates the data-fidelity term to the likelihood $f(x) = -\log p(y|x) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}||Ax - y||^2$, while the regularization term is related to the chosen prior.

Regularization is crucial since it tackles the ill-posedness of the IR task by bringing a priori knowledge on the solution. A lot of research has been dedicated to designing accurate priors g. Among the most classical priors, one can single out total variation [Rudin et al., 1992], wavelet sparsity [Mallat, 2009] or patch-based Gaussian mixtures [Zoran and Weiss, 2011]. Designing a relevant prior g is a difficult task and recent approaches rather apply deep learning techniques to directly learn a prior from a database of clean images.

Generally, the problem (1) does not have a closed-form solution, and an optimization algorithm is required. First-order proximal splitting algorithms [Combettes and Pesquet, 2011] operate individually on f and g via the proximity operator

$$Prox_f(x) = \arg\min_{z} \frac{1}{2} ||x - z||^2 + f(z).$$
 (2)

Among them, half-quadratic splitting (HQS) alternately applies the proximal operators of f and q. Proximal methods are particularly useful when either f or q is nonsmooth.

2 Optimization Methods

We consider the following convex optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) + h(x), \tag{3}$$

where $f(x) := \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - b||^2$, h(x) is the convex regularization term.

ISTA

$$x_{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\frac{1}{L}h} \left(x_k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_k) \right),$$

where:

- x_k is the current solution.
- $\nabla f(x_k)$ is the gradient of the smooth function f(x).
- Prox $\frac{1}{2}h$ is the Proximal mapping of the non-smooth part h(x), defined as:

$$\operatorname{Prox}_{\frac{1}{L}h}(v) = \arg\min_{x} \left\{ h(x) + \frac{L}{2} ||x - v||^{2} \right\}.$$

• L > 0 is the Lipschitz constant of the gradient of the smooth function f(x).

Initialization: The initial point is given as x_0 .

Convergence Rate:

$$f(x_k) + h(x_k) - f(x_\star) - h(x_\star) \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{2k}.$$

FISTA

$$y_{i+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\frac{1}{L}h} \left(x_i - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_i) \right)$$
$$x_{i+1} = y_{i+1} + \frac{\theta_i - 1}{\theta_{i+1}} \left(y_{i+1} - y_i \right)$$

with starting point $x_0=y_0$, with $f\in\mathcal{F}_{0,L}$ and $h\in\mathcal{F}_{0,\infty}$, and with the sequence θ_i satisfying $\theta_0=1,\ \theta_i=(1+\sqrt{1+4\theta_{i-1}^2})/2$ for $i\in[1:N-1]$. Its convergence rate is

$$f(y_N) + h(y_N) - f(x_\star) - h(x_\star) \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{2\theta_{N-1}^2} \le \frac{2L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{(N+1)^2}.$$

OGM

$$y_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_i)$$

$$x_{i+1} = y_{i+1} + \frac{\theta_i - 1}{\theta_{i+1}} (y_{i+1} - y_i) + \frac{\theta_i}{\theta_{i+1}} (y_{i+1} - x_i)$$

with starting point $x_0 = y_0$, with $f \in \mathcal{F}_{0,L}$, and with the sequence θ_i satisfying $\theta_0 = 1$, $\theta_i = (1+\sqrt{1+4\theta_{i-1}^2})/2$ for $i \in [1:N-1]$, and $\theta_N = (1+\sqrt{1+8\theta_{N-1}^2})/2$. Its convergence rate is

$$f(x_N) - f(x_\star) \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{2\theta_N^2} \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{(N+1)^2}.$$

OptISTA

$$y_{i+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\frac{\gamma_i}{L}h} \left(y_i - \frac{\gamma_i}{L} \nabla f(x_i) \right)$$

$$z_{i+1} = x_i + \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \left(y_{i+1} - y_i \right)$$

$$x_{i+1} = z_{i+1} + \frac{\theta_i - 1}{\theta_{i+1}} \left(z_{i+1} - z_i \right) + \frac{\theta_i}{\theta_{i+1}} \left(z_{i+1} - x_i \right)$$

with starting point $x_0 = y_0 = z_0$, with $f \in \mathcal{F}_{0,L}$ and $h \in \mathcal{F}_{0,\infty}$, with the sequence θ_i satisfying $\theta_0 = 1$, $\theta_i = (1+\sqrt{1+4\theta_{i-1}^2})/2$ for $i \in [1:N-1]$, and $\theta_N = (1+\sqrt{1+8\theta_{N-1}^2})/2$, and with $\gamma_i = 2\theta_i(\theta_N^2 - 2\theta_i + \theta_i)/\theta_N^2 > 0$ for $i \in [0:N-1]$. Its convergence rate is

$$f(y_N) + h(y_N) - f(x_\star) - h(x_\star) \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{2(\theta_N^2 - 1)} \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{(N+1)^2}.$$

Proof of convergence rate

Recently, to improve the convergence rate of the FISTA algorithm, Jang et al. Jang et al. [2023] proposed the optimal iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm (OptISTA), which is defined as:

$$y_{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma_k \frac{1}{L}h} (y_k - \gamma_k \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_k)),$$

$$z_{k+1} = x_k + \frac{1}{\gamma_k} (y_{k+1} - y_k),$$

$$x_{k+1} = z_{k+1} + \frac{\theta_k - 1}{\theta_{k+1}} (z_{k+1} - z_k) + \frac{\theta_k}{\theta_{k+1}} (z_{k+1} - x_k),$$
(4)

where $x_0 = y_0 = z_0$, $\theta_0 = 1$, $\theta_k = \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\theta_{k-1}^2}\right)}{2}$ for $k \in [1:N-1]$, and $\theta_k = \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 8\theta_{N-1}^2}\right)}{2}$, and $\gamma_k = \frac{2\theta_k}{\theta_N^2}(\theta_N^2 - 2\theta_k^2 + \theta_k)$ for $k \in [0:N-1]$. Its convergence rate is

$$f(y_N) + h(y_N) - f(x_\star) - h(x_\star) \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{2(\theta_N^2 - 1)} \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{(N+1)^2}.$$

But the proof of OptISTA is particularly complicated. The proof idea is as follows:

Step 1. Rewrite the OptISTA as the following equivalent form:

$$y_{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\frac{\gamma_i}{L}h} \left(y_i - \frac{\gamma_i}{L} \nabla f(x_i) \right)$$

$$z_{k+1} = x_i + \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \left(y_{k+1} - y_i \right)$$

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \frac{2\theta_k}{L} \nabla f(x_k) - \frac{2\theta_k}{L} h'(y_{k+1})$$

$$x_{k+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{k+1}} \right) z_{k+1} + \frac{1}{\theta_{k+1}} w_{k+1}$$
(OptISTA-A)

for i = 1, ..., N - 1, where $w_0 = x_0$ and $h'(y_{k+1}) = \frac{L}{\gamma_k} \left(y_k - \frac{\gamma_k}{L} \nabla f(x_k) - y_{k+1} \right) \in \partial h(y_{k+1})$.

Step 2. Define the Lyapunov sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k\in[-1:N]}$. Explicit form of the sequence is quite cumbersome, therefore we introduce k=-1,N cases only.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{U}_{N} = f(x_{N}) - f(x_{\star}) + h(y_{N}) - h(x_{\star}) \\ &+ \frac{L}{2\theta_{N}^{2}} \left\| w_{N} - x_{\star} + \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}) + \frac{2\theta_{N-1}}{L} h'(y_{N}) - \frac{\theta_{N}}{L} \nabla f(x_{N}) - \frac{2\tilde{\theta}_{N-1}}{L} h'(y_{N}) \right\|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{L}{2\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \left\| x_{0} - x_{\star} - \frac{\theta_{N}^{2} - 1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{2\tilde{\theta}_{k}}{L} h'(y_{k+1}) \right\|^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j, i, j \in [1:N]} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\theta}_{j-1}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \|h'(y_{k}) - h'(y_{j})\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{k}) - h'(y_{k+1})\|^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{-1} = \frac{L \|x_0 - x_\star\|^2}{2(\theta_N^2 - 1)}.$$

Then, they show $U_N \leq U_{N-1} \leq \cdots \leq U_1 \leq U_0 \leq U_{-1}$ to get

$$f(x_N) - f(x_\star) + h(y_N) - h(x_\star) \le \mathcal{U}_N \le \dots \le \mathcal{U}_{-1} = \frac{L \|x_0 - x_\star\|^2}{2(\theta_N^2 - 1)}.$$

Finally, use the fact $x_N = y_N$ to conclude that

$$f(y_N) + h(y_N) - f(x_\star) - h(x_\star) \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{2(\theta_N^2 - 1)} \le \frac{L||x_0 - x_\star||^2}{(N+1)^2}.$$

Details

The Lyapunov sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}$ in OptISTA is particularly complex and is not constructed directly, but rather two sequences $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}$ and $\{\mathcal{H}_k\}$ that satisfy

$$\mathcal{U}_k = \mathcal{F}_k + \mathcal{H}_k, \quad k \in [-1:N],$$

where

 \bullet k=N

$$\mathcal{F}_{N} = f(x_{N}) - f(x_{\star}) + \frac{L}{2\theta_{N}^{2}} \left\| w_{N} - x_{\star} + \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}) + \frac{2\theta_{N-1}}{L} h'(y_{N}) - \frac{\theta_{N}}{L} \nabla f(x_{N}) - \frac{2\tilde{\theta}_{N-1}}{L} h'(y_{N}) \right\|^{2},$$

 $\bullet \ k \in [-1:N-1]$

$$\mathcal{F}_{k} = \frac{2\theta_{k}^{2}}{\theta_{N}^{2}} \left(f(x_{k}) - f(x_{\star}) \right) + \frac{L}{2\theta_{N}^{2}} \left\| w_{k+1} - x_{\star} + \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}) + \frac{2\theta_{k}}{L} h'(y_{k+1}) \right\|^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2L} - \frac{\theta_{k}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \right) \|\nabla f(x_{\star})\|^{2} - \frac{\theta_{k}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|\nabla f(x_{k})\|^{2},$$

and $\{\mathcal{H}_k\}_{k\in[-1:N]}$ to be

 \bullet k = N

$$\mathcal{H}_{N} = h(y_{N}) - h(x_{\star})$$

$$+ \frac{L}{2\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \left\| x_{0} - x_{\star} - \frac{\theta_{N}^{2} - 1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{2\tilde{\theta}_{i}}{L} h'(y_{i+1}) \right\|^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{i \neq i, i, j \in [1:N]} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}\tilde{\theta}_{j-1}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \|h'(y_{i}) - h'(y_{j})\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{i-1}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{i}) - h'(y_{i+1})\|^{2},$$

where

$$\tilde{\theta}_i = \begin{cases} \theta_i & \text{if } i \in [0:N-2], \\ \frac{2\theta_{N-1} + \theta_N - 1}{2} & \text{if } i = N-1. \end{cases}$$

• $k \in [1:N-1]$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}_{k} &= \sum_{i,j \in \{\star,1,\dots,k\}} \tau_{i,j} \left(h(y_{j}) - h(y_{i}) \right) \\ &+ \frac{L}{2\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \left\| x_{0} - x_{\star} - \frac{\theta_{N}^{2} - 1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{2\theta_{i}}{L} h'(y_{i+1}) \right\|^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j, i, j \in [1:k]} \frac{\theta_{i-1}\theta_{j-1}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \|h'(y_{i}) - h'(y_{j})\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\theta_{i-1}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{i}) - h'(y_{i+1})\|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{2\theta_{k-1}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \langle \nabla f(x_{k}), h'(y_{k}) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{2\tilde{\theta}_{\ell}\theta_{i-1}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \|h'(y_{i})\|^{2} + \frac{\theta_{k-1}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{k})\|^{2}, \end{split}$$

• k = 0, -1

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_{-1} = \frac{L}{2\theta_N^2(\theta_N^2 - 1)} \left\| x_0 - x_\star - \frac{\theta_N^2 - 1}{L} \nabla f(x_\star) \right\|^2,$$

Then the following holds.

$$\mathcal{F}_{N-1} - \mathcal{F}_{N} \geq \frac{2\tilde{\theta}_{N-1}}{\theta_{N}^{2}} \left\langle w_{N} - x_{\star} + \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}), h'(y_{N}) \right\rangle + \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{N-1}(4\theta_{N-1} - 2\tilde{\theta}_{N-1})}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{N})\|^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{k} - \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \geq \frac{2\theta_{k}}{\theta_{N}^{2}} \left\langle w_{k+1} - x_{\star} + \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}), h'(y_{k+1}) \right\rangle + \frac{2\theta_{k}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{k+1})\|^{2} + \frac{2\theta_{k}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \langle h'(y_{k+1}), \nabla f(x_{k+1}) \rangle. \ k \in [-1:N-2]$$
and

$$\mathcal{H}_{N-1} - \mathcal{H}_{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \tau_{i,N} \left(h(y_{i}) - h(y_{N}) \right) + \tau_{\star,N} \left(h(x_{\star}) - h(y_{N}) \right) + \tau_{N,N-1} \left(h(y_{N}) - h(y_{N-1}) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{2\tilde{\theta}_{N-1}}{\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \left\langle x_{0} - x_{\star} - \frac{\theta_{N}^{2} - 1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}), h'(y_{N}) \right\rangle - \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{N-1} + \theta_{N-2}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{N})\|^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{2\theta_{N-2}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \left\langle h'(y_{N-1}), h'(y_{N}) + \nabla f(x_{N-1}) \right\rangle.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k} - \mathcal{H}_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \tau_{i,k+1} \left(h(y_{i}) - h(y_{k+1}) \right) + \tau_{\star,k+1} \left(h(x_{\star}) - h(y_{k+1}) \right) + \tau_{k+1,k} \left(h(y_{k+1}) - h(y_{k}) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{2\theta_{k}}{\theta_{N}^{2}(\theta_{N}^{2} - 1)} \left\langle x_{0} - x_{\star} - \frac{\theta_{N}^{2} - 1}{L} \nabla f(x_{\star}), h'(y_{k+1}) \right\rangle - \frac{2\theta_{k}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \|h'(y_{k+1})\|^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{2\theta_{k-1}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \langle h'(y_{k}), h'(y_{k+1}) + \nabla f(x_{k}) \rangle - \frac{2\theta_{k}^{2}}{L\theta_{N}^{2}} \langle h'(y_{k+1}), \nabla f(x_{k+1}) \rangle. \quad k \in [0: N-2],$$

Then

$$\mathcal{U}_{N-1} - \mathcal{U}_{N} = \mathcal{F}_{N-1} - \mathcal{F}_{N} + \mathcal{H}_{N-1} - \mathcal{H}_{N} \ge 0,$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{k} - \mathcal{U}_{k+1} = \mathcal{F}_{k} - \mathcal{F}_{k+1} + \mathcal{H}_{k} - \mathcal{H}_{k+1} \ge 0, \quad k \in [0:N-2],$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{-1} - \mathcal{U}_{0} = \mathcal{F}_{-1} - \mathcal{F}_{0} + \mathcal{H}_{-1} - \mathcal{H}_{0} \ge 0.$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{-1} + \mathcal{H}_{-1}$$
$$= \frac{L}{2(\theta_N^2 - 1)} ||x_0 - x_\star||^2.$$

3 Gradient Step Plug-and-Play

3.1 Gradient Step Denoiser

In order to keep tractability of a minimization problem, [Romano et al., 2017] proposed, with regularization by denoising (RED), an explicit prior g that exploits a given generic denoiser D in the form $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\langle x, x - D(x) \rangle$. With strong assumptions on the denoiser (in particular a symmetric Jacobian assumption), they show that it verifies

$$\nabla_x g(x) = x - D(x). \tag{5}$$

We propose to plug a denoising operator D_{σ} that takes the form of a gradient descent step

$$D_{\sigma} = \operatorname{Id} - \nabla g_{\sigma},\tag{6}$$

with $g_{\sigma}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

In order to keep the strength of state-of-the-art unconstrained denoisers, we rather use

$$g_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2}||x - N_{\sigma}(x)||^2, \tag{7}$$

which leads to
$$D_{\sigma}(x) = x - \nabla g_{\sigma}(x) = N_{\sigma}(x) + J_{N_{\sigma}}(x)^{T}(x - N_{\sigma}(x)),$$
 (8)

where $N_{\sigma}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is parameterized by a neural network and $J_{N_{\sigma}}(x)$ is the Jacobian of N_{σ} at point x.

We train the denoiser D_{σ} for Gaussian noise by minimizing the MSE loss function

$$\mathcal{L}(D_{\sigma}) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p, \xi_{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)}[||D_{\sigma}(x + \xi_{\sigma}) - x||^2], \tag{9}$$

or
$$\mathcal{L}(g_{\sigma}) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p, \xi_{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)}[||\nabla g_{\sigma}(x + \xi_{\sigma}) - \xi_{\sigma}||^2],$$
 (10)

when written in terms of g_{σ} using equation (6).

3.2 GS-Pnp

The standard PnP-HQS operator is $T_{\text{PnP-HQS}} = D_{\sigma} \circ \text{Prox}_{\tau f}$, i.e. $(\text{Id} - \nabla g_{\sigma}) \circ \text{Prox}_{\tau f}$ when using the GS denoiser as D_{σ} .

For convergence analysis, we wish to fit the proximal gradient descent (PGD) algorithm. We thus propose to switch the proximal and gradient steps and to relax the denoising step with a parameter $\lambda \geq 0$. Our PnP algorithm with GS denoiser (GS-PnP) then writes

$$x_{k+1} = T_{\text{GS-PnP}}^{\tau,\lambda}(x_k) \text{ with } T_{\text{GS-PnP}}^{\tau,\lambda} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\tau f} \circ (\tau \lambda D_{\sigma} + (1 - \tau \lambda) \operatorname{Id}),$$

$$= \operatorname{Prox}_{\tau f} \circ (\operatorname{Id} - \tau \lambda \nabla g_{\sigma}).$$
(11)

Under suitable conditions on f and g_{σ} , fixed points of the PGD operator $T_{\text{GS-PnP}}^{\tau,\lambda}$ correspond to critical points of a classical objective function in IR problems

$$F(x) = f(x) + \lambda q_{\sigma}(x). \tag{12}$$

```
Param.: init. z_0, \lambda > 0, \sigma \geq 0, \epsilon > 0, \tau_0 > 0, K \in \mathbb{N}^*, \eta \in (0, 1), \gamma \in (0, 1/2).
Input : degraded image y.

Output: restored image \hat{x}.

k = 0; x_0 = \operatorname{Prox}_{\tau f}(z_0); \tau = \tau_0/\eta; \Delta > \epsilon;
while k < K and \Delta > \epsilon do

\begin{vmatrix} z_k = \lambda \tau D_{\sigma}(x_k) + (1 - \lambda \tau) x_k; \\ x_{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\tau f}(z_k); \\ \text{if } F(x_k) - F(x_{k+1}) < \frac{\gamma}{\tau} ||x_k - x_{k+1}||^2; \\ \text{then } \tau = \eta \tau; \\ \text{else } \Delta = \frac{F(x_k) - F(x_{k+1})}{F(x_0)}; k = k+1; \end{aligned}
end
\hat{x} = \lambda \tau D_{\sigma}(x_K) + (1 - \lambda \tau) x_K;
```

3.3 Covergence

Theorem 1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ and $g_{\sigma}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be proper lower semicontinous functions with f convex and g_{σ} differentiable with L-Lipschitz gradient. Let $\lambda > 0$, $F = f + \lambda g_{\sigma}$ and assume that F is bounded from below. Then, for $\tau < \frac{1}{\lambda L}$, the iterates x_k given by the iterative scheme (11) verify

Algorithm 1: Plug-and-Play image restoration

- (i) $(F(x_k))$ is non-increasing and converges.
- (ii) The residual $||x_{k+1} x_k||$ converges to 0.
- (iii) All cluster points of the sequence (x_k) are stationary points of (12).

3.4 Comparison

Gradient Step Denoiser

The Gradient Step Denoiser, denoted as D_{σ} , is defined through a gradient descent step:

$$D_{\sigma} = \mathrm{Id} - \nabla g_{\sigma},\tag{13}$$

where Id is the identity operator and ∇g_{σ} is the gradient of the function g_{σ} .

Parameterization: The function $g_{\sigma}(x)$ is parameterized as:

$$g_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2}||x - N_{\sigma}(x)||^{2}, \tag{14}$$

where N_{σ} is a neural network parameterized function, allowing g_{σ} to be optimized through training.

Training: The denoiser is trained by minimizing the Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss function to handle Gaussian noise.

Gradient Step Plug-and-Play (GS-PnP) Algorithm

The GS-PnP algorithm incorporates the Gradient Step Denoiser in its iterative process:

$$x_{k+1} = T_{\tau,\lambda}^{GS-PnP}(x_k), \tag{15}$$

where

$$T_{\tau,\lambda}^{GS-PnP} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\tau} f \circ (\operatorname{Id} - \tau \lambda \nabla g_{\sigma}).$$
 (16)

Convergence: The GS-PnP algorithm provides **theoretical convergence guarantees**, even when the data-fidelity term is not strongly convex.

Performance: The algorithm demonstrates superior or comparable performance to state-of-the-art methods across various image restoration tasks.

Advantages

- Theoretical Convergence Guarantees: The GS-PnP algorithm ensures convergence, which is critical for scientific and engineering applications.
- **Performance**: It shows excellent performance in image restoration tasks.
- Adaptability: GS-PnP can adapt to different image restoration tasks, including ill-posed inverse problems.
- Adaptive Step Size Adjustment: The algorithm dynamically adjusts the step size based on the progress during iterations, enhancing stability and efficiency.

4 Bregman Plug-and-Play

4.1 Bregman denoising prior

Bregman divergence

$$D_h: \mathbb{R}^n \times int \operatorname{dom} h \to [0, +\infty]: (x, y) \to \begin{cases} h(x) - h(y) - \langle \nabla h(y), x - y \rangle & \text{if } x \in \operatorname{dom}(h) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(17)

4.1.1 Bregman noise model

We consider the following observation noise model, referred to as $Bregman \ noise^1$,

for
$$x, y \in \text{dom}(h) \times int \text{dom}(h)$$
 $p(y|x) := \exp(-\gamma D_h(x, y) + \rho(x))$. (18)

We assume that there is $\gamma > 0$ and a normalizing function $\rho : \text{dom}(h) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the expression (18) defines a probability measure. For instance, for $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}||x||^2$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ and $\rho = 0$, we retrieve the Gaussian noise model with variance σ^2 . For h given by Burg's entropy, p(y|x) corresponds to a multivariate Inverse Gamma (\mathcal{IG}) distribution.

Maximum-A-Posteriori (MAP) estimator The MAP denoiser selects the mode of the aposteriori probability distribution p(x|y). Given the prior p_X , it writes

$$\hat{x}_{MAP}(y) = \arg\min_{x} -\log p(x|y) = \arg\min_{x} -\log p_X(x) - \log p(y|x) = \Pr_{-\frac{1}{\gamma}(\rho + \log p_X)}^{h}(y).$$
(19)

Posterior mean (MMSE) estimator The MMSE denoiser is the expected value of the posterior probability distribution and the optimal Bayes estimator for the L_2 score. Note that our Bregman noise conditional probability (18) belongs to the regular exponential family of distributions

$$p(y|x) = p_0(y) \exp(\langle x, T(y) \rangle - \psi(x))$$
(20)

with $T(y) = \gamma \nabla h(y)$, $\psi(x) = \gamma h(x) - \rho(x)$ and $p_0(y) = \exp(\gamma h(y) - \gamma \langle \nabla h(y), y \rangle)$. It is shown in [Efron, 2011] (for T = Id and generalized in [Kim and Ye, 2021] for $T \neq \text{Id}$) that the corresponding posterior mean estimator verifies a generalized Tweedie formula $\nabla T(y) \cdot \hat{x}_{MMSE}(y) = -\nabla \log p_0(y) + \nabla \log p_Y(y)$,

$$\hat{x}_{MMSE}(y) = \mathbb{E}[x|y] = y - \frac{1}{\gamma} (\nabla^2 h(y))^{-1} \cdot \nabla(-\log p_Y)(y). \tag{21}$$

Note that for the Gaussian noise model, we have $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}||x||^2$, $\gamma = 1/\sigma^2$ and (21) falls back to the more classical Tweedie formula of the Gaussian posterior mean denoiser $\hat{x} = y - \sigma^2 \nabla (-\log p_Y)(y)$. Therefore, given an off-the-shelf "Bregman denoiser" \mathcal{B}_{γ} specially devised to remove Bregman noise (18) of level γ , if the denoiser approximates the posterior mean $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}(y) \approx \hat{x}_{MMSE}(y)$, then it provides an approximation of the score $-\nabla \log p_Y(y) \approx \gamma \nabla^2 h(y)$. $(y - \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}(y))$.

¹The Bregman divergence being non-symmetric, the order of the variables (x, y) in D_h is important. Distributions of the form (18) with reverse order in D_h have been characterized in [Banerjee et al., 2005] but this analysis does not apply here.

4.1.2 Bregman Score Denoiser

Based on previous observations, we propose to define a denoiser following the form of the MMSE (21)

$$\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}(y) = y - (\nabla^2 h(y))^{-1} \cdot \nabla g_{\gamma}(y), \tag{22}$$

with $g_{\gamma}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ a nonconvex potential parametrized by a neural network.

4.2 Pnp with Bregman denoising prior

4.2.1 Bregman Proximal Gradient (BPG) algorithm

$$x^{k+1} \in \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \{ \mathcal{R}(x) + \langle x - x^k, \nabla F(x^k) \rangle + \frac{1}{\tau} D_h(x, x^k) \}. \tag{23}$$

when $\nabla h(x_k) - \tau \nabla F(x_k) \in \text{dom}(h^*)$, the previous iteration can be written as

$$x^{k+1} \in \operatorname{Prox}_{\tau \mathcal{R}}^{h} \circ \nabla h^{*}(\nabla h - \tau \nabla F)(x_{k}). \tag{24}$$

- Non-smooth Optimization: The BPG algorithm is suitable for non-smooth optimization problems, not requiring global Lipschitz continuity of the gradient.
- Bregman Divergence: It uses Bregman divergence instead of the traditional Euclidean distance, providing a more flexible optimization framework.
- **Iterative Update:** The algorithm progressively approaches the optimal solution through iterative updates as described in equation.

4.2.2 Bregman Regularization-by-Denoising (B-RED)

We propose to minimize $F_{\lambda,\gamma} = \lambda f + g_{\gamma}$ on dom(h) using the Bregman Gradient Descent algorithm

$$x_{k+1} = \nabla h^* (\nabla h - \tau \nabla F_{\lambda, \gamma})(x_k) \tag{25}$$

which writes in a more general version as the BPG algorithm (23) with $\mathcal{R}=0$

$$x_{k+1} = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\arg\min} \{ \langle x - x_k, \lambda \nabla f(x_k) + \nabla g_{\gamma}(x_k) \rangle + \frac{1}{\tau} D_h(x, x_k) \}.$$
 (26)

(B-RED)
$$x^{k+1} \in T_{\tau}(x_k) = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\arg\min} \{ i_C(x) + \langle x - x^k, \nabla F_{\lambda, \gamma}(x^k) \rangle + \frac{1}{\tau} D_h(x, x^k) \}.$$
 (27)

- Integration with Denoising: The B-RED algorithm combines a denoiser with the Bregman framework, utilizing the denoiser as a regularization term.
- Adaptive Step Size: It ensures the convergence and effectiveness of the algorithm through an adaptive step size adjustment strategy as given in equation (19).
- Flexibility: It can be applied to various denoising priors, including those based on Deep Neural Networks (DNNs).

4.2.3 Bregman Plug-and-Play (B-PnP)

We now consider the equivalent of PnP Proximal Gradient Descent algorithm in the Bregman framework. Given a denoiser \mathcal{B}_{γ} with $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}) \subset \operatorname{dom}(h)$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(\nabla h - \lambda \nabla f) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\nabla h^*)$, it writes

(B-PnP)
$$x^{k+1} = \mathcal{B}_{\gamma} \circ \nabla h^* (\nabla h - \lambda \nabla f)(x_k).$$
 (28)

The algorithm B-PnP (28) then becomes $x^{k+1} \in \operatorname{Prox}_{\phi_{\gamma}}^{h} \circ \nabla h^{*}(\nabla h - \lambda \nabla f)(x_{k})$, which writes as a Bregman Proximal Gradient algorithm, with stepsize $\tau = 1$,

$$x^{k+1} \in \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \{ \phi_{\gamma}(x) + \langle x - x^k, \lambda \nabla f(x^k) \rangle + D_h(x, x^k) \}.$$
 (29)

- **Plug-and-Play:** The B-PnP algorithm is a type of Plug-and-Play algorithm, offering great flexibility and a plug-and-play feature.
- **Denoiser as Prior:** It employs the Bregman Score denoiser as the prior within the algorithm, capable of handling more complex image restoration problems.
- Fixed Step Size: Unlike B-RED, the B-PnP algorithm uses a fixed step size $\tau = 1$, without an adaptive step size adjustment process.

4.2.4 Comparison

- 1. The BPG algorithm provides an optimization framework based on Bregman divergence, while B-RED and B-PnP algorithms incorporate denoisers as regularization terms on this basis.
- 2. Both B-RED and B-PnP use a denoiser, but B-RED ensures convergence through adaptive step size adjustments, whereas B-PnP relies on a fixed step size.

- 3. B-RED guarantees the convergence of the algorithm through adaptive step size adjustments, while B-PnP requires specific conditions (such as the convexity of $\psi_{\gamma} \circ \nabla h^*$) to ensure convergence.
- 4. Due to its plug-and-play nature, the B-PnP algorithm offers higher flexibility when dealing with different image restoration problems.

References

- Arindam Banerjee, Srujana Merugu, Inderjit S Dhillon, Joydeep Ghosh, and John Lafferty. Clustering with bregman divergences. *Journal of machine learning research*, 6(10), 2005.
- P. L. Combettes and J.-C. Pesquet. Proximal splitting methods in signal processing. In *Fixed-Point Algorithms for Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, pages 185–212. Springer, 2011.
- Bradley Efron. Tweedie's formula and selection bias. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 106(496):1602–1614, 2011.
- Uijeong Jang, Shuvomoy Das Gupta, and Ernest K. Ryu. Computer-assisted design of accelerated composite optimization methods: OptISTA. Arxiv: 2305.15704, 2023.
- Kwanyoung Kim and Jong Chul Ye. Noise2score: tweedie's approach to self-supervised image denoising without clean images. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:864–874, 2021.
- Stéphane Mallat. A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing, The Sparse Way. Academic Press, Elsevier, 3rd edition edition, 2009. ISBN 978-0-12-374370-1.
- Yaniv Romano, Michael Elad, and Peyman Milanfar. The little engine that could: Regularization by denoising (red). SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 10(4):1804–1844, 2017.
- L. Rudin, S. Osher, and E. Fatemi. Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms. *Phys.* D, 60:259–268, 1992.
- Daniel Zoran and Yair Weiss. From learning models of natural image patches to whole image restoration. In 2011 International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 479–486. IEEE, 2011.