**Sagebrush Decline on the Colorado Plateau: A look at sagebrush and soils**

**An Observational Study of a Declining Sagebrush Community and the influence of soil –kyle nehring?**

**Abstract**

*Layman*

Sagebrush ecosystems are important habitat for mule deer, greater sage grouse, and many other charismatic fauna. They are also important rangeland for the western livestock industry, and considered by many to be vital recreation areas and an icon of the American west. However, these ecosystems are also in decline. Sagebrush ecosystems once covered approximately 150 million acres. Now natural and anthropogenic threats degrade this iconic ecosystem and regionally imperil over 350 species of associated plants and animals.

Growing concern has led to studies on the dynamics of sagebrush ecosystems and their obligate species, mostly in the Great Basin. However, little is known about how sagebrush responds on the Colorado Plateau, which has drier and monsoonal climatic conditions as well as different soils and vegetation. This is problematic because there is little to suggest that restoration successes in well studied areas will be successful on the Colorado Plateau.

In the late 1980’s sagebrush (Artemisia *tridentata*) in and around Beef Basin, Utah, began declining rapidly, with areas seeing 70% to100% die off, and a transition to grasslands. Unfortunately one of the grasses taking over the basin is cheatgrass, which is problematic for sagebrush restoration for a number of reasons (EA2.pdf). Chief among them is the tendency for cheatgrass to burn every three to five years. This makes it nearly impossible for sagebrush to successfully establish and mature because they need between twenty five and fifty years to reach maturity. Another problem with cheatgrass is its tendency to take over an area, particularly following a fire, and form a monoculture or near monoculture which effectively excludes the establishment of other species and prevents restoration to a previous state (Wisdom and Chambers 2009, Miller et all 2008).

The lower plains aren’t the only place sagebrush habitat is being encroached upon. The pinion and juniper woodlands that characterize the rocky basin slopes have become thicker and thicker, which is closing off the upper canopy, and allowing these trees to outcompete understory species, including sagebrush, for key resources (EA2.pdf). This results in a severe decrease in understory species, and becomes a fire hazard which could then potentially be replaced by cheatgrass (Miller et all 2000, 2005).

Beef Basin is considered crucial habitat for both mule deer and elk during the winter and spring (EA2.pdf).

The objective of this study is to determine which soil characteristics are associated with the presence of sagebrush on the landscape in order to ascertain where restoration efforts will potentially succeed.

*Technical*

**Methods**

*Study area*

The study area is located in Beef Basin, which is in San Juan County, Utah. It is in the Monticello District of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and located on the Colorado Plateau. It can be found just to the south of Canyonlands National Park, and to the north of the Abajo Mountains, which are also locally known as the Blue Mountains. Beef Basin is an area characterized by flat plains of deep sandy soil covered in grass, and interspersed and surrounded by shallow rocky outcrops. The PRISM 30 year normal average climate has an average winter precipitation of 20.5mm, average winter maximum temperature of 5.4˚C, and average winter minimum temperature of -7.7˚C. Summer precipitation of 28.4mm, maximum temperature of 30.2˚C, minimum temperature of 13.2˚C.

(1981-2010 PRISM data, Daly et al. 2008).
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This study focused primarily on North Plain and South Plain which are the two southernmost plains in the basin, but a larger view of the whole basin was also conducted using data collected by and in collaboration with the United States Geological Survey (USGS).

*Sampling design*

The majority of plots were selected using ArcGIS to randomly sample across North Plain and South Plain based on the three dominant soil types (Begay, the Ignacio/Leanto complex, and Mido) and 4 vegetation classes which were assigned based on September 2011 NDVI values. They were buffered a minimum of 50-m from a road, the edge of the study basins, and other points. The USGS plots were selected from the whole of Beef Basin using condition Latin Hyper Cube (cLHC). These selected plots were then subject to elimination or minor adjustment based on certain on the ground criterion. Sites had to be within one vegetation type, safely accessible (ie. not on a cliff face), and buffer requirements set above using ArcGIS were confirmed on the ground. A total of 99 plots were sampled, with an additional 37 USGS plots added to the dataset for a total of 136 sampled sites. 6 of the USGS plots were located within North Plain or South Plain.

Line-point-intercept (LPI), shrub density, and soils data were collected from each site. Sagebrush leaf samples were collected from each site that had sufficient sagebrush from which to collect a viable sample. Five 30-m transects spaced 7-m apart were positioned parallel to the hillslope contour, with the middle transect centered 2-m above the soil pit. In the absence of a discernible slope, transects were oriented on an east-west axis with the center transect offset to the north. LPI was collected on all five transects beginning at 0.5-m and collected every half meter thereafter to the 30-m point, resulting in 60 points per line and 300 points per plot. Shrub density was collected in a 2-m belt, 1-m on either side of lines 1, 3, and 5. Each shrub was tallied and placed in one of five height classes: <15cm (Juvenile), <15cm (Mature), 15-50cm, >50-100cm, and >100cm. A soil pit (shovel or auger) was located at the center of each plot, and was dug or augered to the depth of bedrock or up to 2-m in depth. Sagebrush leaf samples collected were the interior winter persistent leaves, and not the exterior early ephemeral leaves. They were collected twice, once in 2013, and once in 2014. The 2013 leaves were collected and weighed at night between midnight and 5:30 a.m. Samples were kept on ice wile transferred to the lab, and leaf area was measured within 12 hours of collection. They were then dried and measured again. From this the percent dry weight in grams, and the specific leaf area (SLA) in cm was obtained. The 2014 leaves were collected during the day, dried, and weighed. They were then wrapped in a square of tin foil and ran through a LECOS machine which gave us nitrogen and protein percentages.