

Phys 514  
Problem Set 8

April Sada Solomon - 260708051

Winter 2021

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Lie derivatives</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Killing vectors</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Continuity . . . . .	3
2.2	Noether charge . . . . .	3
2.3	Moving particle . . . . .	4
2.4	Null geodesics . . . . .	5
2.5	Commuter . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Christoffel symbols and Riemann tensors</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 Lie derivatives

The equation for the Lie derivative as given in the book for this tensor is clearly

$$\mathcal{L}_v T_{\mu\nu} = v^\sigma \partial_\sigma T_{\mu\nu} + (\partial_\mu v^\lambda) T_{\lambda\nu} + (\partial_\nu v^\lambda) T_{\lambda\mu}$$

So now assuming this holds for covariant derivatives such that

$$\mathcal{L}_v T_{\mu\nu} = v^\sigma \nabla_\sigma T_{\mu\nu} + (\nabla_\mu v^\lambda) T_{\lambda\nu} + (\nabla_\nu v^\lambda) T_{\lambda\mu}$$

Where the covariant derivative is

$$\nabla_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\nu} - \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\mu}$$

And the Christoffel symbol is

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\lambda} (g_{\lambda\mu;\nu} + g_{\lambda\nu;\mu} - g_{\mu\nu;\lambda})$$

So now we let  $\sigma \rightarrow \alpha$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} &= v^\alpha \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - v^\alpha (\Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\mu}) \\ \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\beta} (g_{\beta\alpha;\mu} T_{\lambda\nu} + g_{\beta\mu;\alpha} T_{\lambda\nu} - g_{\alpha\mu;\lambda} T_{\beta\nu}) \\ \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\beta} (g_{\beta\alpha;\nu} T_{\lambda\mu} + g_{\beta\nu;\alpha} T_{\lambda\mu} - g_{\alpha\nu;\lambda} T_{\beta\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

By the above we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda T_{\lambda\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\beta} [g_{\beta\alpha;\mu} T_{\lambda\nu} + g_{\beta\mu;\alpha} T_{\lambda\nu} - g_{\alpha\mu;\lambda} T_{\beta\nu} + g_{\beta\alpha;\nu} T_{\lambda\mu} + g_{\beta\nu;\alpha} T_{\lambda\mu} - g_{\beta\nu;\lambda} T_{\mu\alpha}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [g_{\beta\alpha;\mu} T_{\nu}^\beta + g_{\beta\mu;\alpha} T_{\nu}^\beta - g_{\alpha\mu;\lambda} T_{\nu}^\beta + g_{\beta\alpha;\nu} T_{\mu}^\beta + g_{\beta\nu;\alpha} T_{\mu}^\beta - g_{\beta\nu;\lambda} T_{\mu}^\beta] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\partial_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_\nu T_{\mu\alpha} - g_{\alpha\mu;\lambda} T_{\nu}^\beta + \partial_\nu T_{\mu\alpha} + \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu;\lambda} T_{\mu}^\beta] \end{aligned}$$

Now set  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \partial_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_\nu T_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} [g_{\alpha\mu;\lambda} T_{\nu}^\alpha + g_{\alpha\nu;\lambda} T_{\mu}^\alpha] \\ &= \partial_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_\nu T_{\mu\alpha} - g_{\alpha\mu;\lambda} g^{\alpha\mu} T_{\nu}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Finally we set  $\lambda \rightarrow \alpha$  to get

$$v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} = 2v^\alpha \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - v^\alpha \partial_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} - v^\alpha \partial_\nu T_{\mu\alpha}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} &= (\partial_\mu v^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\alpha v^\lambda) T_{\alpha\nu} \\
&= \partial_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (g_{\beta\mu;\lambda} T_{\nu}^\beta + g_{\beta\lambda;\mu} T_{\nu}^\beta - g_{\mu\lambda;\beta} T_{\nu}^\beta) v^\lambda \\
&= \partial_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (v^\lambda \partial_\lambda T_{\mu\nu} + v^\lambda \partial_\mu T_{\lambda\nu} - \partial_\beta v_\mu (g^{\beta\mu} T_{\mu\nu})) \\
&= \partial_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{2} [v^\lambda \partial_\lambda T_{\mu\nu} - (\partial_\beta v^\beta) T_{\mu\nu} + v^\lambda \partial_\mu T_{\lambda\nu}]
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla_\nu v^\alpha T_{\mu\alpha} = \partial_\nu v^\alpha T_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} [v^\lambda \partial_\nu T_{\mu\lambda} + v^\lambda \partial_\lambda T_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\alpha v^\alpha T_{\mu\nu}]$$

Now putting everything together yields

$$\begin{aligned}
v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\nu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\mu} + \nabla_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} &= 2v^\alpha \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - v^\alpha \partial_\nu T_{\mu\alpha} + \partial_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} [v^\lambda \partial_\lambda T_{\mu\nu} + v^\lambda \partial_\mu T_{\lambda\nu} - T_{\mu\nu} (\partial_\beta v^\beta)] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} [v^\lambda \partial_\lambda T_{\mu\nu} + v^\lambda \partial_\nu T_{\mu\lambda} - T_{\mu\nu} (\partial_\beta v^\beta)] \\
&= (v^\alpha \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_\nu v^\alpha T_{\mu\alpha}) \\
&\quad + v^\alpha \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - v^\alpha \partial_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} - v^\alpha \partial_\nu T_{\mu\alpha} + v^\lambda \partial_\lambda T_{\mu\nu}
\end{aligned}$$

By manipulation of indices we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
v^\alpha \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - v^\alpha \partial_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} &= 0 && \text{As } \alpha \rightarrow \mu \\
v^\lambda \partial_\lambda T_{\mu\nu} - v^\alpha \partial_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} &= v^\nu \partial_\nu T_{\mu\nu} - v^\nu \partial_\nu T_{\mu\nu} && \text{As } \lambda \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \nu
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau T_{\mu\nu} = v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha T_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu v^\alpha T_{\alpha\nu} + \nabla_\nu v^\alpha T_{\mu\alpha}$$

For the metric, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu g_{\mu\nu} = v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu v^\alpha g_{\alpha\nu} + \nabla_\nu v^\alpha g_{\mu\alpha}$$

Hence, as  $\nabla_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_v = g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu v_\nu + \nabla_\nu v_\mu$$

As expected.

## 2 Killing vectors

### 2.1 Continuity

Assuming that we are given a stress tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , we define  $j_\mu = T_{\mu\nu}k^\nu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_k g_{\mu\nu} &= \nabla_\mu k_\nu + \nabla_\nu k_\mu \\ &= \nabla_\mu k_\nu = -\nabla_\nu k_\mu\end{aligned}$$

Considering the metric  $g_{\alpha\nu}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu (g_{\alpha\nu} k^\alpha) &= -\nabla_\nu (g_{\alpha\mu} k^\alpha) \\ \therefore \nabla_\mu k^\alpha &= \delta_\nu^\mu (-\nabla_\nu k^\alpha) = -\nabla_\mu k^\alpha\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $\nabla_\mu k^\alpha = 0$  as desired. For more detail, look at problem 1.

### 2.2 Noether charge

We must show that  $I(\lambda)$  is conserved such that it does not change with respect to  $\lambda$ . Define a tangent vector

$v^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \lambda} = \partial_\lambda x^\mu$  where  $x^\mu = (x^0(\lambda), x^1(\lambda), \dots)$ . So by conservation we have

$$\begin{aligned}v^\nu \nabla_\nu I(\lambda) &= 0 \\ &= v^\nu \nabla_\nu (k_\mu v^\mu) \\ &= v^\nu (\nabla_\nu k_\mu) v^\mu + v^\nu k_\mu (\nabla_\nu v^\mu)\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $v^\nu (\nabla_\nu v^\mu) = 0$  for a tangent vector along the worldline defined. Furthermore,  $\nabla_\nu k_\mu = -\nabla_\mu k_\nu = 0$ , so  $v^\nu \nabla_\nu I(\lambda) = 0 \implies \frac{dI(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = 0$ . To show that indeed  $\frac{dI(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dI(\lambda)}{d\lambda} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} k_\mu v^\mu \\ &= \frac{dk_\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} + k_\mu \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\lambda^2} \\ &= \frac{\partial k^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} + k_\mu \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\lambda^2} \\ &= (\nabla_\nu k_\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha k_\alpha) \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} + k_\alpha \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2}\end{aligned}$$

And as we saw that  $\nabla_\nu k_\alpha = 0$ , it follows that

$$k_\alpha \left[ \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \right] = 0$$

---

Which clearly implies that  $\frac{dI(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = 0$  as the geodesic equation vanishes along a worldline parametrized by  $\lambda$ , a parametrization of the geodesic itself.

### 2.3 Moving particle

We have that  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  and  $x^\mu = (t, x, y, z)$ . Thus, the momentum 4-vector is

$$p^\mu = \left( \frac{E}{c^2}, p_x, p_y, p_z \right) = (E, \gamma m \vec{v})$$

As we let  $c = 1$ . Now recalling the previous parts of question 2, we note that the 4 velocity to the worldline is the tangent vector  $v^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda}$  for a time-like geodesic. The momentum vector is then

$$p^\mu = \gamma m v^\mu = m u^\mu$$

For  $k = \partial_t$  such that  $k_\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , we can write

$$I(\lambda) = k_\mu v^\mu = \frac{dt}{d\lambda}$$

Since  $p^0 = E = m u^0 = \gamma m \frac{dt}{d\lambda}$ , then  $\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \frac{E}{\gamma m}$ . So with  $K = \partial_t$ , then  $I(\lambda) = \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \propto E$  as expected. On the other hand,  $k = \partial_i$  implies  $I(\lambda) = \partial_i \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda}$  for spatial coordinates  $i$ . This redefines the momentum vector as

$$p^\mu = \gamma m v^\mu$$

Where we have

$$p^i = \gamma m v^i = \gamma m \frac{dx^i}{d\lambda} = \gamma (m v_i)$$

Hence

$$\frac{dx^i}{d\lambda} = \frac{p^i}{\gamma m} \quad \text{AND} \quad I(\lambda) = \frac{dx^i}{d\lambda} \propto p^i$$

For momentum in  $x^i$  direction.

## 2.4 Null geodesics

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \log I}{d\lambda} &= \frac{1}{I} \frac{dI(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \\
&= \frac{1}{I} \left[ \frac{\partial k_\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} + k_\alpha \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{K_\alpha}{I} \left[ \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
u^\mu \nabla_\mu v^\nu &= v^\mu (\partial_\mu v^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu v^\alpha) \\
&= v^\mu \partial_\mu v^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu v^\mu v^\alpha \\
&= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \\
&= \frac{d^2 x^\nu}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} = f(\lambda) v^\nu
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \log I(\lambda)}{d\lambda} &= \frac{K_\alpha}{I} \left[ \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \right] \\
&= \frac{K_\alpha}{I} f(\lambda) v^\alpha \\
&= f(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

Now if  $I_1 = k_\mu^1 v^\mu$  and  $I_2 = k_\mu^2 v^\mu$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \log \left( \frac{I_1}{I_2} \right)}{d\lambda} &= \frac{d \log I_1}{d\lambda} - \frac{d \log I_2}{d\lambda} \\
&\therefore \log \left( \frac{I_1}{I_2} \right) = C
\end{aligned}$$

Where  $C$  is a constant along the geodesic.

## 2.5 Commuter

We have  $[v, u]^\mu = v^\nu \partial_\nu u^\mu - u^\nu \partial_\nu v^\mu$  so

$$\begin{aligned} [v, u]^\mu &= v^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu - u^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\mu \\ &= v^\nu [\partial_\nu u^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu u^\lambda] - u^\nu [\partial_\nu v^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu v^\lambda] \\ &= v^\nu \partial_\nu u^\mu - u^\nu \partial_\nu v^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu u^\lambda v^\nu - \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu v^\lambda u^\nu \end{aligned}$$

Now we change indices  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \nu$  such that we have the Christoffel symbol

$$\Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\mu u^\nu v^\lambda = \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu u^\nu v^\lambda$$

So

$$[v, u]^\mu = v^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu - u^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\mu = v^\nu \partial_\nu u^\mu - u^\nu \partial_\nu v^\mu$$

Now, assuming that  $[v, u]^\mu$  and  $v^\mu, u^\mu$  are killing vectors, we have

$$\nabla_\nu v^\mu + \nabla_\mu v^\nu = 0 \implies \nabla_\nu v^\mu = -\nabla_\mu v^\nu$$

Hence

$$[v, u]^\mu = v^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu - u^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\mu = \mathcal{L}_\nu u^\mu$$

Let  $k = [v, u]$  and  $\mathcal{L}_k g_{\mu\nu} = 0 = \nabla_\mu k_\nu + \nabla_\nu k_\mu$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k g_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu} [\nabla_\mu [v, u]^\mu + \nabla_\nu [v, u]^\nu] \\ &= g_{\mu\nu} [\nabla_\mu v^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu + v^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u^\mu - \nabla_\mu u^\nu \nabla_\nu v^\mu - u^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu v^\mu \\ &\quad + \nabla_\nu v^\mu \nabla_\mu u^\nu + v^\mu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u^\nu - \nabla_\nu u^\mu \nabla_\mu v^\nu - u^\mu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu v^\nu] \end{aligned}$$

As  $\nabla_\nu u^\mu = -\nabla_\mu u^\nu$ , then

$$= g_{\mu\nu} [v^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u^\mu - u^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu v^\mu - u^\mu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu v^\nu]$$

Recalling that  $u^\mu, v^\mu$  are killing vectors we get

$$= 0$$

Thus,  $[v, u]^\mu$  is a killing vector if  $v^\mu$  and  $u^\mu$  are killing vectors.



### 3 Christoffel symbols and Riemann tensors

Recall the Riemann tensor symmetries when considering lowering indices

$$R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = -R_{\rho\sigma\nu\mu}$$

$$R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = -R_{\sigma\rho\nu\mu}$$

$$R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$

Where we defined a Riemann tensor to be

$$R_{\sigma\mu\nu}^{\rho} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\rho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^{\rho} + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\rho}\Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\lambda} - \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\rho}\Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^{\lambda}$$

So given an interval

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\alpha(r)}dt^2 + e^{2\beta(r)}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$$

and considering the similarly tedious process in Problem set 4 question 3, we have the Christoffel symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{rt}^t = \Gamma_{tr}^t = \partial_r\alpha & \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^r = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_r\alpha e^{2\alpha-2\beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_r\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -re^{-2\beta} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Gamma_{r\Omega}^{\Omega} = \Gamma_{\Omega r}^{\Omega} = \frac{1}{r} \end{aligned}$$

With the usual definition  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}(g_{\sigma\mu;\nu} + g_{\sigma\nu;\mu} - g_{\mu\nu;\sigma})$ . We may now compute the Riemann tensors and then the Ricci tensors, which are  $R_{\mu\rho\nu}^{\rho} = R_{\mu\nu}$ . So the Riemann tensors are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{rrt}^t = -R_{rtt}^r &= (\partial_r^2\alpha) + (\partial_r\alpha)^2 - (\partial_r\alpha)(\partial_r\beta) & R_{ttr}^r = -R_{trt}^t &= e^{2\alpha-2\beta}R_{rrt}^t = -e^{2\alpha-2\beta}R_{rtt}^r \\ R_{\Omega\Omega t}^t = -R_{t\Omega\Omega}^t &= (\partial_r\alpha)(re^{-2\beta}) & R_{tt\Omega}^{\Omega} = -R_{t\Omega t}^{\Omega} &= -\partial_r\alpha \frac{e^{2\alpha-2\beta}}{r} \\ R_{\Omega r\Omega}^r = -R_{\Omega\Omega r}^r &= (\partial_r\beta)(e^{-2\beta}r) & R_{r\Omega r}^{\Omega} = -R_{rr\Omega}^{\Omega} &= \frac{\partial_r\beta}{r} \end{aligned}$$

And the Ricci tensors are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{rr} &= R_{rtt}^t + R_{rrr}^r R_{r\Omega r}^{\Omega} = \partial_r\alpha\partial_r\beta + \frac{\partial_r\beta}{r} - (\partial_r^2\alpha) - (\partial_r\alpha)^2 \\ R_{tt} &= R_{ttt}^t + R_{ttr}^r + R_{t\Omega t}^{\Omega} = e^{2\alpha-2\beta} \left[ -\partial_r\alpha\partial_r\beta + \frac{\partial_r\alpha}{r} + (\partial_r^2\alpha) + (\partial_r\alpha)^2 \right] \\ R_{\Omega\Omega} &= R_{\Omega t\Omega}^t + R_{\Omega r\Omega}^r + R_{\Omega\Omega\Omega}^{\Omega} = re^{-2\beta}(\partial_r\beta - \partial_r\alpha) \end{aligned}$$