

# **Analysis of Randomness of GAEN keys**

**April Sheeran, MCS**

## **A Dissertation**

Presented to the University of Dublin, Trinity College  
in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Master of Science in Computer Science**

Supervisor: Stephen Farrell

April 2024

# **Analysis of Randomness of GAEN keys**

April Sheeran, Master of Science in Computer Science  
University of Dublin, Trinity College, 2024

Supervisor: Stephen Farrell

...ABSTRACT...

# Acknowledgments

Thank you Mum & Dad.

APRIL SHEERAN

*University of Dublin, Trinity College  
April 2024*

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background for GAEN keys . . . . .	1
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>4</b>

# List of Tables

# List of Figures

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Here are summaries of the papers I have read thus far

### 1.1 Background for GAEN keys

#### Background

The first paper is Contact Tracing App Privacy: What Data Is Shared By Europe's GAEN Contact Tracing Apps (Leith and Farrell (2021)). This paper discusses the data sent to back end servers of various contact tracing apps in Europe. The apps have two components: the 'client' app which is controlled by the national public health authority and the GAEN service that, on android, is managed by Google as part of the Google Play services. The paper investigates both of these components. It found that the Google Play services component continuously sends requests to the Google servers which contain information such as the phone IMEI, handset hardware serial number, SIM serial number, etc. This may be considered intrusive, however most users would have accepted this data sharing if that had previously enabled Google Play services. This data sharing is not specific to the covid tracing app. There may be a way to identify a user if specific data is sent in every request. There are experiments run to test the data being sent by different apps.

The paper CoAvoid: Secure, Privacy-Preserved Tracing of Contacts for Infectious Diseases (Li et al. (2022)) discusses the privacy and security concerns of covid tracing apps and proposes its own CoAvoid app which improves on these issues. It talks about how inappropriate uses of the GAEN API may expose all information about confirmed patients to servers and relevant users. This may allow someone to gather lots of information about patients and discover their identities, daily routines or social relationships. Due to a limitation of BLE, it may be possible for attackers to send users excess false alarms and put further strain on the public health system (wormhole attack). It talk about

how the BLE to calculate if two devices came into contact can be affected by factors such as environment, transmitting power, receiving sensitivity, etc. The GAEN design is vulnerable to profiling, possibly de-anonymising infected persons and wormhole attacks. It describes how the GAEN app works: It randomly generates a Daily Tracking Key (DTK), a unique identifier used for 24 hours. It uses function  $f$  to derive the Rolling Proximity Identifier (RPI) based on the DTK. These identifiers are sent in Bluetooth Advertisements, which will be replaced every 20 minutes. The apps simultaneously collect and store other users RPIs locally. If a user tests positive, their DTK is uploaded to a central server. Other users download these DTKs and reconstruct the RPIs. They compare these to their local list of keys. The authenticity of the information being downloaded cannot be verified and thus wormhole attacks may occur. The paper further describes two types of potential attacks: Wormhole and Privacy Analysis attack. It may be possible to identify things about a user by tracking the DTK uploads.

The paper Digital Contact Tracing Solutions: Promises, Pitfalls and Challenges (Nguyen et al. (2022)) analyses digital contact tracing solutions. It discusses the security and privacy issues with GAEN. It proposes its own solution called TraceCorona. Apple and Google collaborated to create a decentralised contact tracing interface called Exposure Notification API (GAEN). Access to the API has been given to only one organisation authorised by the government. BLE is used for sensing the proximity between individual devices. The phones send out information like temporary identifiers (TempIDs) that can be sensed by other devices. It also records signal strength in an attempt to estimate the distance of the encounter. It discusses requirements of accuracy, superspreader and accountability for a digital contact tracing system to be acceptable.

The article Privacy and Integrity Threats in Contact Tracing Systems and Their Mitigations (Avitabile et al. (2023)) reports privacy and integrity threats in GAEN and proposes a new system called Pronto-B2. Threats to security and privacy include the possibility of tracing and deanonymising citizens using passive devices and injecting false at-risk alerts. An attacker may trace a user by linking locations visited by the same user. They may also try to deanonymise users by linking locations visited by users to their real identities. 'Paparazzi Attack': using passive devices, the attacker can catch and store the pseudonyms of a target user. They can link together the passive devices received the pseudonyms belonging to the same user. The attacker can obtain information about the habits of an infected user and use it for economical gain. 'Brutus Attack': The attacker colludes with the server and the health authority to discover which user uploaded certain data. Pseudonyms in GAEN are called rolling proximity identifiers (RPIs). A short random secret called Temporary Exposure key (TEK) is generated each day by the smartphone. All RPIs of a given day of a user are generated by running a PRF on the



input TEK.

The paper October 2020 Survey of GAEN App Key Uploads (Farrell (2020)) is a survey of the TEKs published across 8 European regions. It estimates the number of users uploading TEKs and compares this to the expected number based on population, number of active users and covid case counts. It reports a shortfall of uploads in a number of regions. The efficacy of these apps remains unclear.

# Bibliography

- Avitabile, G., Botta, V., Iovino, V., and Visconti, I. (2023). Privacy and integrity threats in contact tracing systems and their mitigations. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 27(2):13–19.
- Farrell, S. (2020). October 2020 survey of gaen app key uploads.
- Leith, D. J. and Farrell, S. (2021). Contact tracing app privacy: What data is shared by europe’s gaen contact tracing apps. In *IEEE INFOCOM 2021 - IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*, pages 1–10.
- Li, T., Yin, S., Yu, R., Feng, Y., Jiao, L., Shen, Y., and Ma, J. (2022). Coavoid: Secure, privacy-preserved tracing of contacts for infectious diseases. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 40(11):3191–3206.
- Nguyen, T. D., Miettinen, M., Dmitrienko, A., Sadeghi, A.-R., and Visconti, I. (2022). Digital contact tracing solutions: Promises, pitfalls and challenges. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing*, pages 1–12.