


| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|
| | UO: 299874 | 03/03/2025 | 5_6 |
| | Surname: Puebla |  Escuela de Ingeniería Informática Universidad de Oviedo | |
| | Name: Álvaro | | |



Activity 1. Divide and Conquer by subtraction

Subtraction 1: $a = 1 / b = 1 / k = 0$

Complexity: $O(n^{k+1}) \Rightarrow O(n^{0+1}) \Rightarrow O(n)$

I cannot prove if the results fit or not with the theoretical complexity.

Due to low runtimes (<50mS) until the overflow arise before time > 50mS.

Subtraction 2: $a = 1 / b = 1 / k = 1$

Complexity: $O(n^{k+1}) \Rightarrow O(n^{1+1}) \Rightarrow O(n^2)$

In the only >50mS values, the time grows by 4 (2^2) while the n grows by 2, so yes, it is quadratic as the theoretical value.

The algorithm aborts due to the lack of space as the stack is +- 30000 cells of size, so for a big n and given a waste of $O(n)$, the stack soon, will be full, for a "low" n.

Subtraction 3: $a = 2 / b = 1 / k = 0$

Complexity: $O(a^{n/b}) \Rightarrow O(2^{n/1}) \Rightarrow O(2^n)$

The theoretical results matches the final result as the n increases by 1, the time multiplies by 2 so for a given n, the next expected time is: 2^{n+1}

Given that: $n=25$ **TIME=1172**

$N2 = 80$, $N1 = 25$, $t1 = 1172$ mS, ¿t2 in years?

1 year = 31 556 952 S

Then $t2 = 2^{N2}/2^{N1} * t1 = 2^{N2-N1} * t1 = 2^{55} * 1.172$ S \approx **1 338 080 753.37 years for $n = 80$**

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 299874 | 03/03/2025 | 5_6 |
| | Surname: Puebla | | |
| | Name: Álvaro | | |

Subtraction 4: $a = 1 / b = 1 / k = 2 \rightarrow$ Complexity: $O(n^{k+1}) \Rightarrow O(n^{2+1}) \Rightarrow O(n^3)$

| n (10^2) | Time (mS) |
|--------------|-----------|
| 1 | 1.334 |
| 2 | 10.074 |
| 4 | 7.94 |
| 8 | 619.7 |
| 16 | 4939 |
| 32 | 38968 |
| 64 | OoT |

Subtraction 5: $a = 3 / b = 2 / k = 0 \rightarrow$ Complexity: $O(a^{n/b}) \Rightarrow O(3^{n/2})$

| n | Time (mS) |
|----|-----------|
| 30 | 367 |
| 32 | 1088 |
| 34 | 3266 |
| 36 | 9764 |
| 38 | 29183 |
| 40 | OoT |

The time grows by a **factor of 3 by the increase in 2 of n**

Given that: $n=38^{**}TIME=29183^{**}$

$N2 = 80, N1 = 38, t1 = 29183 \text{ mS}, \text{ ¿}t2 \text{ in years?}$

1 year = 31 556 952 S

Then $t2 = 3^{N2/2} / 3^{N1/2} * t1 = 3^{(N2-N1)/2} * t1 = 3^{21} * 29.183 \text{ S} \approx \mathbf{9673.44652054 \text{ years for } n = 80}$

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 299874 | 03/03/2025 | 5_6 |
| | Surname: Puebla | | |
| | Name: Álvaro | | |

Activity 2. Divide and Conquer by division

Division 4: $a = 2 / b = 2 / k = 2 \rightarrow$ Complexity: $O(n^k) \Rightarrow O(n^2)$

| $n (10^3)$ | Time (mS) |
|------------|-----------|
| 1 | 7.420 |
| 2 | 29.1 |
| 4 | 115.5 |
| 8 | 456.8 |
| 16 | 1847 |
| 32 | 7243 |
| 64 | 28951 |
| 128 | OoT |

Division 5: $a = 4 / b = 2 / k = 0 \rightarrow$ Complexity: $O(n^{\log_b(a)}) \Rightarrow O(n^2)$

| $n (10^3)$ | Time (mS) |
|------------|-----------|
| 1 | 23.5 |
| 2 | 93.4 |
| 4 | 372.3 |
| 8 | 1478.1 |
| 16 | 5907 |
| 32 | 23596 |
| 64 | OoT |
| 128 | OoT |

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 299874 | 03/03/2025 | 5_6 |
| | Surname: Puebla | | |
| | Name: Álvaro | | |

Activity 3. Two basic examples

VectorSum.java:

| n (10 ³) | Time sum1 (mS) | Time sum2 (mS) | Time sum3 (mS) |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | 0.00386 | 0.0171 | 0.0309 |
| 2 | 0.007637 | 0.0329 | 0.0608 |
| 4 | 0.015316 | 0.0658 | 0.1218 |
| 8 | 0.0304 | 0.1312 | 0.2471 |
| 16 | 0.0618 | StackOverFlow | 0.4951 |
| 32 | 0.1223 | StackOverFlow | 0.9929 |
| 64 | 0.2464 | StackOverFlow | 1.9614 |

Fibonacci.java: a = 4 / b = 2 / k = 0 -> Complexity: $O(n^{\log_b(a)}) \Rightarrow O(n^2)$

| n (10) | Time fib1 (mS) | Time fib2 (mS) | Time fib3 (mS) | Time fib4 (mS) |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | 9.0E-5 | 1.13E-4 | 1.85E-4 | 0.002243 |
| 2 | 1.29E-4 | 1.79E-4 | 3.49E-4 | 0.275 |
| 3 | 1.68E-4 | 2.44E-4 | 5.04E-4 | 34.09 |
| 4 | 2.1E-4 | 3.11E-4 | 6.55E-4 | 4186 |
| 5 | 2.47E-4 | 3.81E-4 | 8.09E-4 | OoT |

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 299874 | 03/03/2025 | 5_6 |
| | Surname: Puebla | | |
| | Name: Álvaro | | |

Activity 5. Calendar

| n | t Calendar (mS) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2 ¹ | 1.187E-4 |
| 2 ² | 2.392E-4 |
| 2 ³ | 5.77E-4 |
| 2 ⁴ | 0.0018452 |
| 2 ⁵ | 0.006646 |
| 2 ⁶ | 0.020016 |
| 2 ⁷ | 0.07114 |
| 2 ⁸ | 0.2697 |
| 2 ⁹ | 1.0704 |
| 2 ¹⁰ | 4.333 |
| 2 ¹¹ | 17.141 |
| 2 ¹² | 70.79 |
| 2 ¹³ | 609.0 |
| 2 ¹⁴ | 3407.5 |

They meet the the theoretical complexity as it is $O(n^2)$ as $a=2 - b=2 - k=2 \rightarrow a < b^k \Rightarrow O(n^k)$

The time should grow by terms of 4 for each time the n doubles. And it does for nearly all.