

# 函数式编程原理

## Lecture 3-2



# 主要内容

- 以排序算法为例，进行程序编写、正确性验证和性能分析
  - list类型的应用
  - 算法：插入排序(Insertion Sort)和归并排序(Mergesort)



# 整数的比较——compare

compare: int \* int -> order

**type** order = LESS | EQUAL | GREATER;

**fun** compare(x:int, y:int):order =

**if** x<y **then** LESS **else**

**if** y<x **then** GREATER **else** EQUAL;

compare(x,y) = LESS

if x<y

compare(x,y) = EQUAL

if x=y

compare(x,y) = GREATER

if x>y



# 排序结果的判断——sorted

- `sorted : int list -> bool`
- 函数功能：线性表中的元素按照升序（允许相邻元素相同）的方式排列，则该整数表为有序表（增序）。A list of integers is  $\leq$ -sorted: if each item in the list is  $\leq$  all items that occur later.

——用于排序算法的测试

- 函数代码：

```
fun sorted [ ] = true
  | sorted [x] = true
  | sorted (x::y::L) =
    (compare(x,y) <> GREATER) andalso sorted(y::L);
```

For all  $L : \text{int list}$ ,  
sorted( $L$ ) = true      if  $L$  is  $\leq$ -sorted  
                 = false      otherwise



# 插入排序

- 基本思想：

- 每次将一个待排数据按大小插入到已排序数据序列中的适当位置，直到数据全部插入完毕。

- 操作步骤：

1. 从有序数列和无序数列 $\{a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ 开始进行排序；
2. 处理第 $i$ 个元素( $i=2, 3, \dots, n$ )时，数列 $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}\}$ 是有序的，而数列 $\{a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n\}$ 是无序的。用 $a_i$ 与有序数列进行**比较**，找出合适的位置将 $a_i$ 插入；
3. 重复第二步，共进行 $n-i$ 次**插入**处理，数列全部有序。

如何用递归程序实现？



# 整数的插入——ins

$\text{ins} : \text{int} * \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

(\* REQUIRES L is a sorted list \*)

(\* ENSURES  $\text{ins}(x, L)$  = a sorted perm of  $x::L$  \*)

**fun** ins (x, [ ]) = [x]

| ins (x, y::L) = **case** compare(x, y) **of**  
    GREATER => y::ins(x, L)  
    | \_ => x::y::L

如何证明？

For all sorted integer lists L,  
 $\text{ins}(x, L)$  = a sorted permutation of  $x::L$ .

## • 根据L的长度进行归纳证明

1. L长度为0时，证明 $\text{ins}(x, [ ])$  为有序表.
2. 假设对所有长度小于k的有序表A， $\text{ins}(x, A)$  为  $x::A$ 的有序表.

证明：  $\text{ins}(x, L)$  为 $x::L$ 的有序表,其中L的长度为k且为有序表



# 插入排序——isort

isort : int list -> int list

(\* REQUIRES true \*)

(\* ENSURES isort(L) = a sorted perm of L \*)

**fun** isort [ ] = [ ]

| isort (x::L) = ins (x, isort L)

For all integer lists L,  
isort L = a <-sorted permutation of L.

如何证明？



# 另一个插入排序——isort'

- isort' : int list -> int list

```
fun isort' [ ] = [ ]  
| isort' [x] = [x]  
| isort' (x::L) = ins (x, isort' L);
```

isort and isort' are extensionally equivalent.

For all  $L$  : int list,  $\text{isort } L = \text{isort}' L$ .





# 归并排序

- 基本思想：采用分治法（*Divide and Conquer*）将已有序的子序列合并，得到完全有序的序列；即先使每个子序列有序，再使子序列段间有序。

`split : int list -> int list * int list`

- 操作步骤：
  1. 将 $n$ 个元素**分成**两个含 $n/2$ 元素的子序列
  2. 将两个子序列递归排序
  3. **合并**两个已排序好的序列

`merge : int list * int list -> int list`



# 表的分割——split

split : int list -> int list \* int list

(\* REQUIRES true \*)  
(\* ENSURES split(L) = a pair of lists (A, B) \*)  
(\* such that length(A) and length(B) differ by at most 1, \*)  
(\* and A@B is a permutation of L. \*)

**fun** split [ ] = ([ ], [ ])  
| split [x] = ([x], [ ])  
| split (x::y::L) =  
  **let** val (A, B) = split L  
  **in** (x::A, y::B)  
**end**

能否去掉?

For all L:int list,  
split(L) = a pair of lists (A, B) such that  
length(A)  $\approx$  length(B) and A@B is a permutation of L.

如何证明 ?



# 用归纳法证明split函数的正确性

## 根据L的长度用完全归纳法进行证明

1.  $L = [], [x]$

①  $\text{split } [] = \text{a pair } (A, B) \text{ such that } \text{length}(A) \approx \text{length}(B) \text{ \& } A @ B \text{ is a perm of } []$ .

②  $\text{split } [x] = \text{a pair } (A, B) \text{ such that } \text{length}(A) \approx \text{length}(B) \text{ \& } A @ B \text{ is a perm of } [x]$ .

2. 假设  $\text{split}(R) = \text{a pair } (A', B') \text{ such that } \text{length}(A') \approx \text{length}(B') \text{ \& } A' @ B' \text{ is a perm of } R$ .

证明:  $\text{split}(L) = \text{a pair } (A, B) \text{ such that } \text{length}(A) \approx \text{length}(B) \text{ \& } A @ B \text{ is a perm of } x::y::R$ .  
( $L=x::y::R$ )



# 表的合并——merge

merge : int list \* int list -> int list

(\* REQUIRES A and B are <-sorted lists \*)  
(\* ENSURES merge(A, B) = a <-sorted perm of A@B \*)

**fun** merge (A, [ ]) = A

| merge ([ ], B) = B

| merge (x::A, y::B) = **case** compare(x, y) **of**

LESS => x :: merge(A, y::B)

| EQUAL => x::y::merge(A, B)

| GREATER => y :: merge(x::A, B)

能否写成:

merge ([ ], [ ]) = [ ]?

如何证明 ?



# 归并排序——msort

msort : int list -> int list

```
(* REQUIRES true  
(* ENSURES msort(L) = a <-sorted perm of L  
*)  
*)
```

如何证明？

```
fun msort [ ] = [ ]
```

能否去掉？

```
| msort [x] = [x]
```

```
| msort L = let
```

```
    val (A, B) = split L
```

```
in
```

```
    merge (msort A, msort B)
```

```
end
```



# msort的正确性验证

```
fun split [ ] = ([ ], [ ])
  | split [x] = ([x], [ ])
  | split (x::y::L) =
    let val (A, B) = split L
    in (x::A, y::B)
    end
```

For all L:int list, if length(L)>1  
then split(L) = (A, B)  
where A and B have *shorter length than L*  
and A@B is a permutation of L



For all L:int list,  
msort(L) = a <-sorted  
permutation of L.

```
fun merge (A, [ ]) = A
  | merge ([ ], B) = B
  | merge (x::A, y::B) = case compare(x, y) of
```

For all **sorted** lists A and B,  
merge(A, B) = a sorted permutation of  
A@B

```
    LESS => x :: merge(A, y::B)
  | EQUAL => x::y::merge(A, B)
  | GREATER => y :: merge(x::A, B)
```

```
fun msort [ ] = [ ]
  | msort [x] = [x]
  | msort L = letval (A, B) = split L
    in merge (msort A, msort B)
    end
```



# ML编程原则(principles)

- 每个函数都对应一个功能描述说明 (Every function needs a spec)
- 需要验证程序符合功能描述说明 (Every spec needs a proof)
- 用归纳法进行递归函数的正确性验证 (Recursive functions need inductive proofs)
  - 选取合适的归纳法 (Learn to pick an appropriate method...)
  - 设计恰当的辅助函数 (Choose helper functions wisely)

*m*sort的证明非常简单，源于  
函数*split and merge*的使用



# 帮助(helper)函数

- 满足调用函数的功能需求
- 扩展应用到其他函数中，实现更广泛的功能

`merge : int list * int list -> int list`

在归并排序中：

For all **sorted lists** A and B,  
merge(A, B)= a **sorted permutation** of  
A@B

通常情况下：

For all **integer lists** A and B,  
merge(A, B)= a **permutation** of  
A@B





# 功能说明的作用 (the joy of specs)

- 函数的证明有时依赖于某个被调用函数的证明结果(符合spec要求)

The **proof** for `mmerge` relied only on the *specification proven for `split`* (and the specification proven for `merge`)

- 被调用函数可以由具有相同功能说明的其他函数替换，而且证明过程仍然有效

In the definition of `mmerge` we can *replace* `split` by *any function that satisfies this specification*, and *the proof will still be valid*, for the new version of `mmerge`



# 函数替换举例

```
fun split' [ ] = ([ ], [ ])
  | split' [x] = ([ ], [x])
  | split' (x::y::L) =
    let val (A, B) = split' L
    in (x::A, y::B)
    end
```

```
fun msort' [ ] = [ ]
  | msort' [x] = [x]
  | msort' L = let
    val (A, B) = split' L
    in
      merge (msort' A, msort' B)
    end
```

尽管`split`和`split'`函数不相同，但他们都满足整数表分割功能，在正确性证明过程中没有区别，所以函数`msort`和`msort'`都是正确的。

