# **KPN Security Policy**



## KSP - Rule

| Title       | Cryptography                       | Top level  |
|-------------|------------------------------------|--|
| ID          | KSP-FA05-RL07                      | policy<br>(mandatory)  |
| Funct. Area | FA05 – System and Network Security |  |
| Date        | 3 February 2017                    | Standards<br>(mandatory)                                     |
| Version     | v2.9                               |  |
| Status      | Approved                           | Rules Guidelines Tools (mandatory) (supporting) (supporting) |
| Owner       | CISO                               |  |

### **Summary**

This document describes the requirements for all cases of encrypted communication, signed communication, use of PKI certificates, and use and management of encryption keys. This document excludes requirements for when to use cryptography as those are described in other parts of the policy and those parts will refer to this document for the how-to.

### **Version history**

| Version | Date              | Comments   |
|---------|-------------------|--|
| v1.0    | 17 September 2013 | Approved in SSM  |
| v1.1    | 11 October 2013   | Update based on consistency check  |
| v2.0    | 31 March 2014     | Update based on use of policy and changes due to recent                      |
|         |                   | developments.  |
| v2.1    | 1 August 2014     | Added cross references between related rules, added related                  |
|         |                   | documents, new are rules.  |
| v2.2    | 15 October 2014   | Look up of the safe curves are now more explicit                             |
| v2.3    | 23 January 2015   | Various updates based on feedback in order to make clearer what is expected. |
| v2.4    | 20 April 2015     | Updated the rekey wording and added examples, made the                       |
|         |                   | encrypted private key transport explicit, emphasized that non-               |
|         |                   | Perfect-Forward-Secrecy ciphers may be used to cover                         |
|         |                   | compatibility. Renamed R23 by removing "Web", clarify TLSv1.2,               |
|         |                   | TLSv1.1 and TLSv1.0 usage.   |
| v2.5    | 13 November 2015  | Update based on feedback from the organisation                               |
| v2.6    | 5 February 2016   | Removed non-existing SHA version. Added details to HAVAL use.                |
|         |                   | Added examples of salt use. Explicitly adding prevention to                  |
|         |                   | downgrade attacks. Added AES-XTS.  |
| v2.7    | 29 July 2016      | R01: Urandom has preference.   |
|         |                   | R02: Minimum is FIPS140-2 level 2.   |
|         |                   | R03: Certificate registration details points to KSP-FA05-GL04.               |
|         |                   | R06: Clarifications.   |
|         |                   | R09: Added OCSP Stapling.  |
|         |                   | R12: Additions to allowed wildcard usage.                                    |
|         |                   | R14: Blowfish, Twofish and ECDH added.                                       |

|      | 1               |  |
|------|-----------------|--|
|      |                 | R24: IPSec statement expanded.   |
|      |                 | R26: Added statement on Puppet use.  |
|      |                 | R27: ECC options made explicit.  |
|      |                 | R28: Textual tightening.   |
|      |                 | R29: Textual tightening.   |
|      |                 | R30 new: on key destruction.   |
| v2.8 | 2 November 2016 | R12: Adjusted wildcard usage to focus on making the private key  |
|      |                 | stored in a tamperproof way  |
|      |                 | R21: Mixed-content moved and added to KSP-FA05-RL11 - (Web)  |
|      |                 | Application Security as requirement R39  |
| v2.9 | 3 February 2017 | R01: Enhanced random number generation.  |
|      | ,               | R04: Widened application for a private key.  |
|      |                 | R09: Besides clarity on PKI, also added PGP/GPG in a WoT.  |
|      |                 | R12: Widened the application of wildcard certificates, in particular a   |
|      |                 | risk analyses is now leading for external infrastructure suppliers.  |
|      |                 | R14: Added IDEA, including application specifications. Blowfish is   |
|      |                 | now deprecated to legacy use only.   |
|      |                 | R15: Added EdDSA and a Post Quantum list of algorithms.  |
|      |                 | R16: Minimal key length for DSA enhanced.  |
|      |                 | R17: MQV is now removed and minimum key length enhanced. The   |
|      |                 | ECDH and DH parameters must be freshly generated.  |
|      |                 | R18: WIRLPOOL-T and HAVAL are deprecated to legacy applications.   |
|      |                 | Application of MSCHAPv2 explicitly scoped. Added GOST, Skein, JH,  |
|      |                 | Grostl, BLAKE and BLAKE2   |
|      |                 | R19: HMAC keys enhanced from 112 to 128 bits including   |
|      |                 | clarification to several usage example.  |
|      |                 | R23: Added TLSv1.3. TLSv1.1 en TLSv1.0 are now legacy protocols.   |
|      |                 | The highest possible TLS version must be activated.  |
|      |                 | R26: Equal to the use of a Puppet CA a VMWare VMCA may also be   |
|      |                 | used, when strict demands are met.   |
|      | 1               | The state of the s |

### Disclaimer

The content of this document is to describe KPN's policy on this specific topic. If and when this document is partly or fully disclosed to parties outside of KPN, it's important to hereby note towards those parties that this contains KPN's intended policy and cannot in any way be read or construed to be an explicit or implied formal guarantee or promise that its content can always be fully executed or complied to.

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R01  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|
| Title             | Cryptographic Key Generation, Random Bit Generator   |  |
| Description       | Use a known good entropy source to generate cryptographic keys, identifiers or random seeds. Known good entropy sources for an application combine several random sources and use a cryptographic secure hash algorithm over the values of the sources.  |  |
|                   | <ul> <li>Known good sources are: <ul> <li>On Apple iOS use SecRandomCopyBytes</li> <li>On Android use java.security.SecureRandom and must not be combined with setSeed().</li> <li>On Unix and Linux systems use /dev/urandom</li> <li>On Windows use CryptGenRandom or RtlGenRandom</li> <li>In .Net use System.Security.Cryptography.RNGCryptoServiceProvider</li> <li>In Java use java.security.SecureRandom</li> <li>In Perl use Math::Random::Secure</li> <li>In PHP use openssl_random_pseudo_bytes or mcrypt_create_iv</li> <li>In Python use os.urandom</li> <li>In Ruby use SecureRandom</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |
|                   | At (re)boot time of a system, when there isn't sufficient entropy gathered yet, all processes generating new key material must block until sufficient entropy has been gathered.  Random bit generators must be compliant with one of the following standards:  - SP 800-90A, revision 1.  - ANSI X9.62:2005, Annex D.   |  |
|                   | The use of the following methods or entropy sources are forbidden: - EC_Dual_DRBG  |  |
| Relating document | NIST Special Publication 800-90A (revision 1): Recommendation for Random Number Generation Using Deterministic Random Bit Generators   |  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R02  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Cryptographic Key Generation, Cryptographic Module   |
| Description       | For high-security services where the entropy source needs to be protected from tampering a cryptographic hardware module must be used. The Cryptography Module of the product used must be compliant with the FIPS-140-2 level 2 standard. |
| Relating document | FIPS PUB 140-2: Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R03  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Registration of Key Pair properties  |
| Description       | For each public/private key pair the following must be registered:  - The owner  - The intended use (infrastructure on which deployed)  - Key length  - Key Algorithm (including curve if Elliptic Curve is used)  - Hash function  - CA used for signing  - Serial number (if applicable, like for certificates)  - Validity from and to dates  Registration may be omitted when the certificates are ordered through the central certificate application process.  Note: This rule is implicitly satisfied when the certificates are ordered via internal processes. |
| Relating document | KSP-FA05-GL04 - Certificate handling: pre- and post-ordering process and checks  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R04   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Key pair privacy  |
| Description       | The private part of the key pair should be generated on the device on which it will be used.  |
|                   | <ul> <li>To support this: <ul> <li>Certificate signing request must be submitted by CSR (Certificate Signing Request).</li> <li>Alternatively, key pairs must be generated locally by the key-pair owner or a delegated party within KPN.</li> <li>For load balancing purposes, it is allowed to copy the private key into multiple devices.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Relating document | CSR: <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Certificate_signing_request">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Certificate_signing_request</a><br>Requirement: KSP-FA05-RL07-R06 (Private Key transport and storage)  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R05   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Key Compromise  |
| Description       | Compromised keys must be regenerated and rekeyed, not updated. During generation, the new key must be generated from a new set of data (no reuse of data used to generate the compromised key) to ensure its full independence from the compromised key. For PKI, the CA must be informed of the compromise by means of the contract manager with the main purpose to invalidate the trust in a key.  Example keys involved are:  SSH private keys for hosts or users  Private keys associated to PKI, PGP and other types of certificates  Diffie-Hellman param files  Group keys  Key used for symmetric encryption of e.g. files, databases, filesystems or any other type of arbitrary data |
| Relating document | N/A   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R06   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Private key transport and storage   |
| Description       | Private keys are one of the foundations for the security of a service and its data. A private key must be protected during both transport and its storage:  |
|                   | <ul> <li>Storage:         <ul> <li>Based on the business impact assessment the necessity must become conclusive if storing the private key securely in a Hardware Security Module is a requirement.</li> <li>Keys stored on a file system must be protected with the strictest possible file system permissions.</li> <li>Physical security steps must be taken to limit access to the key to authorized personnel. Any form of physical security in addition to building access, that allows verification of access (see point below) will do.</li> <li>If a stored key is accessed this must be verifiable/detectable.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Transport:         <ul> <li>Before transporting a private key between systems, the private key must be encrypted and use message integrity rules to provide tamper resistance.</li> <li>For key encryption and integrity, the following rules are mandatory requirements:</li></ul></li></ul> |
| Relating document | Requirements:  KSP-FA05-RL07-R14 (Encryption Algorithms)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R15 (Digital Signatures Algorithms)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R18 (Hash Algorithms)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R19 (HMAC)  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R07   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Public Key Exchange   |
| Description       | To authenticate a service, host, machine or user a public key must be exchanged using a secure key exchange method. This is to challenge the ownership of the private key and by doing so to prevent identity spoofing.  Secure public key exchange methods are listed in KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites. |
| Relating document | Key exchange mechanisms ( <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Key_exchange">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Key_exchange</a> )  KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R08  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Certificate Authority  |
| Description       | <ul> <li>Public Key Infrastructure builds trust relationships using trusted third parties, the Certificate Authorities.</li> <li>All used Certificate Authorities:         <ul> <li>Must comply with the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) standard "ETSI TS 101 456".</li> <li>Use FIPS 140-2 level 3 compliant hardware security modules or better.</li> <li>Have a published CPS (Certification Practice Statement), this also means that our use of the certificate must follow the CPS.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Relating document | ETSI: http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi ts/101400 101499/101456/01.04.03 60/ts 101456v010403p.pdf  FIPS: http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips140-2/fips1402.pdf  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R09  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | <u>Certificates</u>  |
| Description       | Certificates in the format X.509 can be used in a Public Key Infrastructure or web-of-trust.   |
|                   | <ul> <li>In a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) context the follow applies:</li> <li>Certificates can identify hosts, servers, users, processes, end-points and (individual) products.</li> <li>Certificates comply to RFC5280.</li> <li>Domain validation when used for identification.</li> <li>Revocation must be implemented using CRLs (RFC5280), OCSP (RFC2560, RFC5019 or RFC6990) or OCSP stapling (RFC6066 and RFC6961).</li> </ul>                                   |
|                   | <ul> <li>In web-of-trust context the following applies:</li> <li>The certificates must comply to PGP/GPG standard, i.e. RFC 4880 and additional RFCs.</li> <li>The certificates are created for a group or individual.</li> <li>The certificates are digitally signed by others or a master key, after the full fingerprint is compared and matches.</li> <li>PGP/GPG keys which have been used publicly must be invalidated. The invalidation must be published.</li> </ul> |
| Relating document | RFC 5280: Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate  Revocation List (CRL) Profile  Requirement: KSP-FA05-RL07-R08 (Certificate Authority)  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R10   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Use of certificates   |
| Description       | Certificates must be verified in compliance to RFC 6125. When this fails, the connection must not be used anymore.  |
|                   | Example reasons to disconnect: certificate not valid, certificate not verifiable (including by configuration error), untrustworthy protocol usage, or other error from the TLS, IPsec or EAP handshake.             |
| Relating document | RFC 6125: Representation and Verification of Domain-Based Application  Service Identity within Internet Public Key Infrastructure Using X.509 (PKIX)  Certificates in the Context of Transport Layer Security (TLS) |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R11   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Binding Certificates  |
| Description       | Each certificate must be bound to use for an as small as possible set of identities, example one host, virtual machine, one service, person or department.  An SSL off-loader or load-balancer may hold the certificate and private key to serve/off-load the SSL sessions for one cluster of nodes serving the same service. |
| Relating document | N/A   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R12  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Wildcard Certificates  |
| Description       | Wildcard certificates must be scoped as much as possible to specific subdomains and are not allowed to be effective for the first subdomain. This is to limit the impact of a compromise. (example: *.webmail.cm.kpn.com is better than *.cm.kpn.com for consumer market webmail servers and *.kpn.com is never allowed).  |
|                   | <ul> <li>To limit impact in case of compromise the use of wildcard certificate is: <ul> <li>limited to a single service-type and purpose, i.e. exclusively for mail servers or another specific service-type;</li> <li>must be scoped to the most specific subdomain possible, i.e.</li> <li>*.webmail.cm.kpn.com is better than *.cm.kpn.com for the consumer market webmail servers;</li> <li>not allowed to be used for the first subdomain, i.e. it is not allowed to be used as *.kpn.com or *.kpn.net.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |
|                   | Alternatively, a single service may also use a customer_name.service.domain.tld scheme. The solution is only acceptable when the setup honours the single service-type restriction, is scoped to the most specific subdomain possible and in addition the private key must be stored according to KSP-FA05-RL07-R06. For environments with a high demand on integrity and confidentiality the use of tamperproof solutions must be assessed. E.g. an HSM with a certification of FIPS14-2 level 2 or better in the context of health sector related services and vital infrastructure. |
|                   | Only when wildcard certificates are in use on the infrastructure from an external party a security officer must first perform a risk analyses to determine if the external party can be exempt from this policy.  Possible exception: if the first subdomain is limited to a single service-type and purpose. Example: *.kpnxchange.com as a mail-cluster environment.   |
| Relating document | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wildcard_certificate  Requirement: KSP-FA05-RL07-R04 (Key pair privacy)   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R13  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | <u>Lifetimes for keys</u>  |
| Description       | Keys used must have a maximum lifetime of 36 months.  Examples of keys are:  - Keys belonging to certificates  - Diffie-Hellman parameters  - Static passwords  - pre-shared keys (PSK)  - master keys  - SSH keys for systems and administrators  - PGP keys  Exception to this is the key pairs used by a Certificate Authority. |
| Relating document | Baseline Requirements Certificate Policy for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Certificates (current: 1.3.4)   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R14   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Encryption Algorithms   |
| Description       | One of the following encryption primitives must be used for encryption and decryption:  - AES-256, AES-192 and AES-128  - XSalsa20/20  - Salsa20/20  - Twofish  - IDEA; the key must be generated using a hash algorithm from KSP-FA05-RL07-R18, like SHA2.                             |
|                   | For AES use known good AES-authenticated modes: - GCM - CCM   |
|                   | Use non-authenticated AES modes only when explicitly required: - CTR - XTS  |
|                   | The following encryption primitives should not be used. Use only for legacy support or explicit compatibility requirements:  - AES-256-CBC, AES-192-CBC and AES-128-CBC  - Three-key Triple DES  - Blowfish   |
|                   | All not explicitly mentioned encryption algorithms are not allowed. Example are:  - RC4 - All EXPORT ciphers - All encryption algorithms resulting in less than 112 security bits   |
|                   | The use of a random nonce or initialisation vector (IV) with sufficient length is mandatory with each of these encryption algorithms. To generate a good nonce or IV use a good random bit generator.   |
| Relating document | NIST Special Publication 800-131Ar1: Transitions: Recommendation for Transitioning the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths  KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites  Requirement: KSP-FA05-RL07-R01 (Cryptographic Key Generation, Random Bit Generator) |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R15   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | <u>Digital Signatures Algorithms</u>  |
| Description       | One of the following digital signature algorithms must be used:  - CECPQ1-ECDSA  - EdDSA  - ECDSA  - RSA  - DSA  For Post Quantum resistance, the following algorithms must be used:  - CECPQ1-ECDSA (New Hope)  - NTRU-6130 (Lattice-based)  - McEliece or Goppa-based McEliece  - SPHINCS-256 (hash based signatures)  These algorithms can be used in authentication phases or integrity checks. |
| Relating document | NIST Special Publication 800-131Ar1: Transitions: Recommendation for<br>Transitioning the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths  KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites  Requirement: KSP-FA05-RL07-R27 (Choosing safe curves for elliptic curve cryptography)  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R16   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Digital Signature Generation and Verification   |
| Description       | Digital signatures must have at least 112 bits of security strength. This means:  - For EC: key length ≥ 224  - For RSA: key length ≥ 2048  - For DSA: key length 3072/256 or 4096/256.               |
| Relating document | NIST Special Publication 800-131Ar1: Transitions: Recommendation for<br>Transitioning the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths  KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R17  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Key Agreement  |
| Description       | For Key agreement one of the following must be used:  - ECDH (Diffie-Hellman) with a minimal key length of 256 bits.  - DH (Diffie-Hellman) with a minimal key length of 2048 bits.  All ECDH and DH parameters must be newly generated before use to assure unicity and avoid default parameters reuse between various installations. |
| Relating document | NIST Special Publication 800-131Ar1: Transitions: Recommendation for<br>Transitioning the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths  KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R18   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Hash Algorithms   |
| Description       | One of the following hash algorithms must be used:  - SHA-2: SHA-512, SHA-384, SHA-256 or better  - SHA-3  - GOST R 34.11-94 (256 bit hash)  - Skein  - JH  - Grøstl  - BLAKE and BLAKE2  |
|                   | <ul> <li>The following hash algorithms should not be used. Use only for legacy support or explicit compatibility requirements:         <ul> <li>SHA-1: for Non-digital signature generation applications only, not for Digital signature verification nor Digital signature generation after 2013</li> <li>SHA-224: for Non-digital signature generation applications only, not for Digital signature verification nor Digital signature generation after 2014</li> <li>WIRLPOOL-T</li> <li>HAVAL, using &gt;= 160 bit with 3 rounds</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|                   | The following hash algorithms must not be used:  - SHA-0  - HAVAL, using 128 bit with 3 rounds  - RIPEMD  - MD5  - MD4  - MD2   |
|                   | Exception: the use of MS-CHAPv2 is allowed, when transported as payload within an encrypted protocol, like TLS or various EAP protocols using a TLS based outer layer.  |
| Relating document | NIST Special Publication 800-131Ar1: Transitions: Recommendation for<br>Transitioning the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths  KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R19  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | <u>HMAC</u>  |
| Description       | <ul> <li>HMAC is a keyed-hash message authentication code and must use: <ul> <li>A hash algorithm as defined in KSP-FA05-RL07-R18</li> <li>A key with a length ≥ 128 bits</li> <li>The key should be generated using a known good random bit generator</li> </ul> </li> <li>Known good examples are: <ul> <li>HMAC-SHA1 with a key length of 160 bit.</li> <li>HMAC-SHA2 with a key length of 256 bit.</li> <li>HMAC-SHA3 with a key length of 256 bit.</li> </ul> </li> <li>HMAC-MD5 must not be used.</li> </ul> |
| Relating document | http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-107-rev1/sp800-107-rev1.pdf Requirements: KSP-FA05-RL07-R01 (Cryptographic Key Generation, Random Bit Generator) KSP-FA05-RL07-R18 (Hash Algorithms)  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R20  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | <u>Salt use</u>  |
| Description       | The length of the randomly-generated portion of the salt must be at least 128 bits. The salt must be generated using a known good random bit generator.  |
|                   | <ul> <li>Example uses for a salt:</li> <li>KSP-FA05-RL01-R11 (Password storage)</li> <li>KSP-FA05-RL07-R14 (Encryption Algorithms)</li> <li>KSP-FA05-RL07-R28 (Password hashing)</li> <li>KSP-FA05-RL07-R29 (Key stretching algorithms)</li> </ul> |
| Relating document | Requirement: KSP-FA05-RL07-R01 ( <u>Cryptographic Key Generation, Random</u> <u>Bit Generator)</u>   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R22  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Maximum token lifetime   |
| Description       | Authentication tickets/tokens, e.g. Kerberos, AFS and Windows logon, must have a maximum lifetime of 6 hours. During their period of validity tokens may be refreshed automatically. |
| Relating document | N/A  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R23  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Application data encryption  |
| Description       | <ul> <li>For encryption of transported application data applications:</li> <li>The highest available TLS version must be activated.</li> <li>Protection against downgrade attacks must be activated. When this feature is absent: TLSv1.0 must be de-activated.</li> <li>TLSv1.3 must be enabled, when available.</li> <li>TLSv1.2 must be enabled.</li> <li>TLSv1.1 may also be enabled.</li> <li>TLSv1.0 may only be enabled when there is a need to be able to communicate with legacy systems. When this need is absent, it must be disabled.</li> <li>SSLv3 is not allowed to be enabled and must be disabled.</li> <li>SSLv2 is not allowed to be enabled and must be disabled.</li> </ul> |
| Relating document | http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5246  KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R24   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Use Perfect Forward Secrecy   |
| Description       | Perfect Forward Secrecy must be used when setting up encrypted connections with any of the following protocols:  - IPSEC (Internet Protocol Security) met Group 14 (or better)  - SSH (Secure Shell)  - TLS (Transport Layer Security for web traffic)  - OTR (Off-The-Record messaging for instant messaging)  Non-perfect forward secrecy protocols are allowed for legacy support and compatibility only. TLS cipher suite configuration should explicitly prefer ECDHE and DHE/EDH cipher suites above other cipher suites. |
| Relating document | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Forward_secrecy KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R25  |
|-------------------|--|
| Title             | Use of multi-domain certificates   |
| Description       | Certificates must be scoped to only one application. The application may use multiple FQDNs (Fully Qualified Domain Names) to be identified. The FQDNs must share the same domain name.  Example:  "www.kpn.com" and "kpn.com" can be combined  "www.kpn.com" and "kpninternational.com" cannot be combined  "reporting.kpn.com" and "www.kpn.com" and "kpn.com" may be combined in one certificate when the "reporting" hostname is explicitly part of the overall application. |
| Relating document | N/A  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R26   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Use of untrusted certificates   |
| Description       | <ul> <li>The use of untrusted certificates is not allowed.</li> <li>Untrusted certificates are: <ul> <li>Self-signed certificates, i.e. certificates which have self-vetted and self-validated their own information and key material by signing itself.</li> <li>Certificates signed by an untrusted, unknown or vendor supplied CA, i.e. certificates which have not been vetted and validated by an open or known process.</li> <li>Certificates using key material not generated nor controlled by KPN.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exception: Exclusively for Puppet and VMWare VMCA it can provide and apply their own certificated. These certificates are explicitly scoped to the protocol used for inter-VMWare cluster or Puppet Master-Agent communication. Any other application for these certificates is prohibited.</li> </ul> |
| Relating document | Requirements:  KSP-FA05-RL07-R09 (Certificates)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R10 (Use of certificates)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R11 (Binding Certificates)  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R27   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Choosing safe curves for elliptic curve cryptography  |
| Description       | The use of safe elliptic curves is mandatory. Specific elliptic curves are regarded as safe after having passed (cryptographic) peer review.  Applications can use the following safe curves:  - M-221 (Curve2213)  - E-222  - Curve1174  - Curve25519  - E-382  - M-383  - Curve383187  - Curve41417  - Ed448-Goldilocks  - M-511  - E-521  Exception: If no safe curves are supported, the following elliptic curves are acceptable for usage:  - P-256  - P-384  - P-521 |
| Relating document | KSP-FA05-TL02 - Cryptographic algorithms and cipher suites SafeCurves: <a href="http://safecurves.cr.yp.to/">http://safecurves.cr.yp.to/</a>  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R28   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Password hashing  |
| Description       | Passwords must be hashed and stored using known good salted password hashing methods. The following list of actions must be taken for each password from the service/tooling:  • Use a good random salt, see KSP-FA05-RL07-R20 (Salt use)  • Use a known good hash algorithm, see KSP-FA05-RL07-R18 (Hash Algorithms)  • Use a random salt per password  • In client/server scenarios, like web applications, always hash on the server side  • To make cracking harder use key stretching to protect the passwords  Exception for high-volume environments where key stretching is not applicable for performance reasons: use HMAC to protect the passwords with a key per password stored securely in an HSM solution. |
| Relating document | Requirements:  KSP-FA05-RL07-R18 (Hash Algorithms)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R19 (HMAC)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R20 (Salt use)  KSP-FA05-RL07-R29 (Key stretching algorithms)   |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R29   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Key stretching algorithms   |
| Description       | Apply known good key-stretching algorithms:  PBKDF2, when FIPS certification or enterprise support on many platforms is required. Only use in combination with hash algorithms and a salt as mentioned in this document.  On mobile devices  Minimum: 5.000 rounds  Norm: 10.000 rounds  Norm: 10.000 rounds  Norm: 100.000 rounds  Scrypt, where resisting any/all hardware accelerated attacks is necessary but support isn't.  On mobile devices  Norm: N = 2^14, r = 8, p = 1  On servers and workstations:  Norm: N = 2^20, r = 8, p = 1  Bcrypt, where PBKDF2 or scrypt support is not available  On mobile devices  Norm: cost = 13  On servers and workstations:  Norm: cost = 16 |
| Relating document | Requirements: KSP-FA05-RL07-R18 (Hash Algorithms) KSP-FA05-RL07-R20 (Salt use)  |

| ID                | KSP-FA05-RL07-R30   |
|-------------------|---|
| Title             | Key destruction   |
| Description       | Cryptographic keys must be destroyed in such a way that restoration is impossible. This procedure must take platform specific properties into account, like removal of a file does not implicitly wipe the key from the disk nor does it implicitly nullify the data in memory. |
| Relating document | N/A   |