

KPN Security Policy



KSP – Rule

Title	Innovation Security Requirements	<pre> graph TD A[Top level policy (mandatory)] --> B[Standards (mandatory)] B --> C[Rules (mandatory)] C --- D[Guidelines (supporting)] C --- E[Tools (supporting)] </pre>
ID	KSP-FA06-RL01	
Funct. Area	06 - Innovation & development	
Date	29 July 2016	
Version	v2.1	
Status	Approved	
Owner	CISO	

Summary

This document describes the steps that must be fulfilled for projects to assure that these are compliant to the relevant security requirements.

When the word 'project' is used, it refers to all managed innovation and development vehicles, including but not limited to NPD projects, programs, releases, enhancements and changes, unless explicitly noted.

Version history

Version	Date	Comments
v1.0	17 September 2013	Approved in SSM
v1.1	11 October 2013	Updated based on consistency check
v1.2	14 January 2014	Simplified version
v1.3	7 February 2014	Updated with feedback comments.
v1.4	8 February 2014	Updated with feedback comments, ready to submit for approval
v1.5	17 March 2014	Minor update of R07 rewording toll gate to implementation
v1.6	15 April 2014	Updated R06 to include reference to acceptable CVSS scores in coverage.
v1.7	1 August 2014	Updated R01
v1.8	23 January 2015	Minor update regarding the references to the BCM policy (R05 and R07)
v1.9	20 April 2015	Added relevant document to R06
v1.91	20 July 2015	Update necessary related to adaptation of the project classification tool to a more generic risk classification tool
v1.92	13 November 2015	Textual adjustments to the Summary, R01 and R07
v2.0	29 April 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> R01 not applicable anymore R06 text broken down (see also R08) Requirement added (R08) about Portal Authority approval
v2.1	29 July 2016	Version number incremented in response to textual adaptation in the Dutch version of this document.

Disclaimer

The content of this document is to describe KPN's policy on this specific topic. If and when this document is partly or fully disclosed to parties outside of KPN, it's important to hereby note towards those parties that this contains KPN's intended policy and cannot in any way be read or construed to be an explicit or implied formal guarantee or promise that its content can always be fully executed or complied to.

ID	KSP-FA06-RL01-R02
Title	<u>Relevant KSP requirements</u>
Description	Relevant KSP requirements must be selected and documented as part of the overall requirements.
Relating document	All KSP documents

ID	KSP-FA06-RL01-R03
Title	<u>Project Classification</u>
Description	A Project Classification must be performed.
Relating document	KSP-FA06-TL02 - Risk Classification

ID	KSP-FA06-RL01-R04
Title	<u>Security Risk Assessment</u>
Description	If Project Classification result is high risk, a Security Risk Assessment must be performed.
Relating document	KSP-FA06-TL04 - Security Risk Assessment

ID	KSP-FA06-RL01-R05
Title	<u>Innovation specific additional requirements</u>
Description	Additional requirements specific to the innovation (resulting from the security risk assessment) must defined and documented as part of the overall requirements.
Relating document	N/A

ID	KSP-FA06-RL01-R06
Title	<u>Coverage check</u>
Description	<p>The project must perform the following check:</p> <p>Before supplier or solution selection: verify that all applicable business continuity and security requirements, coming from KSP-FA06-RL01-R02 and KSP-FA06-RL01-R05, are covered by the innovation or change which is developed by the project.</p>
Relating document	N/A

ID	KSP-FA06-RL01-R07
Title	<u>Continuity impact</u>
Description	The project must determine whether continuity plans have to be written or updated, and these plans must be fully tested before implementation; all conform the Business Continuity policy (KSP-FA09).
Relating document	KSP-FA10-ST03 - Business Continuity Compliance KSP-FA09-ST01 - Business Continuity KSP-FA09-RL01 - Business Continuity Requirement: KSP-FA06-ST01-R03 (Innovation classification)

ID	KSP-FA06-RL01-R08
Title	<u>Portal Authority approval</u>
Description	Before going live: Portal Authority conducts a security test. Any discrepancies found during security testing must be evaluated against the CVSS score. Discrepancies with a CVSS score of 4.0 or higher (medium or high) are deemed blocking.
Relating document	N/A