

“Active Love” in the *Brothers Karamazov*:
A Response to Ivan Karamazov’s Problem of Suffering

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Bachelor of Arts degree in the Program of Liberal Studies

Gabriel Griggs

Class of

2014

Directed by: Jennifer Newsome Martin, Visiting Assistant Professor

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my thesis advisor, Jennifer Martin, for her constant encouragement and honest feedback throughout the writing process. I would also like to thank my friend, Dennis Monokroussos, who has been a tremendous help throughout the process. In particular, he directed my attention to Eleonore Stump, whose work is featured prominently in this thesis. He also gave great encouragement and clear and honest feedback.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank my mother for everything she has done for me throughout my life and for her sacrifice in securing my education. She has been a source of constant love, support and inspiration. My father, who passed away in 2007, has also been a great inspiration to me and this project in particular.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Statement of Thesis and Layout of Work	1
1.2 Zosima’s Brother: The Beginning of “Active Love”	4
2. GRATITUDE: AFFIRMING THE GOODNESS OF CREATION	7
2.1 The Book of Job: A Trial That Enabled Job to See God	8

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Statement of Thesis and Layout of Work

In Dostoyevsky's *Brothers Karamazov*, one of the major themes throughout is a particular articulation of the problem of suffering. In the novel, it is articulated most acutely by Ivan Karamazov. In the chapters "Rebellion" and "The Grand Inquisitor", Ivan tells of the suffering of innocent children at the hands of Turkish soldiers and the death of a young boy at the hands of a vengeful general. Ivan sees the suffering of these innocent children as a fundamental injustice that can never be accounted for and this leads him to rebel against God. Ivan has a "childlike conviction that the sufferings will be healed and smoothed over, that the whole offensive comedy of human contradictions will disappear like a pitiful mirage, a vile concoction of man's Euclidean mind ... [eternal justice] will suffice not only to make forgiveness possible, but also to justify everything that has happened with men."¹

Yet, even with this conviction, he simply does not accept God's world. We learn further that Ivan's rebellion is the result of his belief that men were inevitably bound to bring injustice into the world because "Christ's love for people is in its kind a miracle impossible on earth."² This is the ultimate source of cynicism lying behind Ivan's rebellion. Like the Grand Inquisitor, he cannot understand why God gave men freedom when men were bound to bring such suffering into the world: "Man was made a rebel; can rebels be happy?"³ Furthermore, he cannot understand why suffering of innocents seems to be an *means to an end* — that suffering is necessary in order to enable holiness. This concern is ex-

¹Dostoyevsky, Fyodor. Translated by Richard Pevear, and Larissa Volokhonsky. *The Brothers Karamazov: A Novel in Four Parts with Epilogue*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2002, 235.

²ibid, 237.

³ibid, 251.

pressed most clearly in the Devil's description of Job: "how many souls had to be destroyed ... in order to get juts one righteous Job ... " ⁴ Ultimately, Ivan asks, why not renounce a God who necessitates such suffering and depend on man with "his will and his science no longer limited" ⁵ to replace his heavenly hope with an earthly paradise.

Thus, we have in Ivan's words an articulation of the problem of suffering based in the belief that men cannot love as Christ loved and that suffering is necessary for redemption. This is a belief that is diametrically opposed to the beliefs of Father Zosima who preaches a Christian way of life based in "active love". The teaching of "active love" has three particular characteristics: (1) gratitude and appreciation for God's creation; (2) a proper perspective that is characterized by (2a) looking at the local, interpersonal level instead of the global, generalized level and (2b) realizing that the ultimate end is in heaven and not earth; and (3) the ability to accept reality as it is, particularly man's fallen nature and the inherent suffering in life. The ultimate result of "active love" is a redemptive transformation that enables one to confront and transcend Ivan Karamazov's cynicism and rebellion.

Each of the three characteristics has its own role to play in this transformative process. Ivan's rejection of God is not a purely rational problem, in fact, it is most accurately understood as an interpersonal problem. This being the case, (1) gratitude and appreciation for God's creation is essential because it enables one to restore a proper relationship with God himself. Zosima uses Job as the exemplar of this gratitude, in the belief that Job was actually blessed with the opportunity to glorify God through overcoming the temptation to rebel, brought on by his suffering. Furthermore, there is a pertinent undercurrent in Job of a disbelief in God's goodness and the goodness of God's creation. This doubt in the goodness of creation is also present in Ivan's disbelief in man's ability to love as Christ

⁴ibid, 648

⁵ibid, 649.

loved. In the text of Job, Zosima also sees a way in which the goodness of creation is reaffirmed and man's relationship with God is restored.

Another component of Ivan's rebellion is that it is based at an abstracted level from reality. It is not based so much in his own suffering as it is in the suffering of the children that he has read about in newspapers. In order for suffering to enable a transformation, "active love" teaches (2) proper perspective by looking at the (2a) local level and by realizing that (2b) heaven is the ultimate end. When one looks at the local level, Zosima teaches, there is a way in which one learns to love as Christ loved. Instead of loving humanity, "active love" teaches one to love the people as individuals.

Finally, Ivan's rebellion reflects an immature faith in that it cannot come to grips with the fact that there is suffering in the world and that man's nature is fallen. Zosima's "active love" wholeheartedly (3) accepts that these are realities and it does not try to avoid them or work around them. Instead, it embraces these realities and transforms men to cope with these realities through divine grace — in much the same way that Christ embraced death on a cross in order that men could be redeemed. By wholeheartedly embracing these harsh realities, "active love" enables one to be transformed by the harsh realities in such a way that life becomes joyful.

These are lessons that are learned in the lives of the main characters of the novel: Zosima, Ivan, Alyosha and Dmitry. Each, in their own way, has a great encounter with suffering. Zosima suffers the loss of his beloved brother. Ivan struggles with the suffering of innocents and is driven to hallucination by the guilt of his father's murder. Alyosha rebels against his God for a brief moment at the 'embarrassment' of Zosima's bodily corruption and Mitya is agonized by his actions and his false sentence. Each character has a particular response to suffering and these responses are characterized by a development from an immature faith to a mature faith. The principles of "active love" can be seen in the transformation of each of the characters, whether it be Alyosha's movement away from a

faith that seeks swift earthly justice or Ivan's struggle with his own fallenness and guilt. The end product of "active love" is that despite the great depths of man's depravity, life is joyful and must be joyful. In the words of Dmitry, describing life in the mines of Siberia: "we'll be in chains, and there will be no freedom, but then, in our great grief, we will arise once more into joy, without which it's not possible for man to live, or for God to be, for God gives joy, it's his prerogative, a great one."⁶

From where do these principles of "active love" arise? Alyosha's biographical account begins with an account of Zosima's older brother, Markel, who at a young age was turned to atheism and then reverted back to belief on his deathbed. Markel's death and his final words laid the seed in the teaching of "active love" that Zosima makes explicit in his life as a monk.

1.2 Zosima's Brother: The Beginning of "Active Love"

In his biographical account of Father Zosima's life, Alyosha begins with the story of Zosima's brother, Markel. Markel befriends a young philosophy student who has been banished from Moscow for "freethinking."⁷ After this encounter with the young "free-thinker", there is a noted change in Markel in that he does not fast and he says that "there isn't any God."⁸ Not long after this, however, in the sixth week of Lent, Markel became terminally sick and soon realized the nature of his sickness. Soon, a spiritual change took place in Markel. His faith was restored and even strengthened. He began speaking strangely, saying things such as: "life is paradise, and we are all in paradise, but *we do not want to know it* [emphasis mine]" and "that verily each of us is guilty before everyone, for everyone and everything."⁹ These sayings culminate in Markel's grandest admission of sin and gratitude: "there was so much of God's glory around me: birds, trees, mead-

⁶ibid, 592.

⁷ibid, 287.

⁸ibid, 287.

⁹ibid, 288-289.

ows, sky, and I alone lived in shame, I alone dishonored everything, and did not notice the beauty and glory of it all.”¹⁰ The last words mentioned in this section that are attributed to Merkel show a theological definition of heaven that is rooted in forgiveness and love that overcomes Merkel’s deep sense of guilt: “Let me be sinful before everyone, but so that everyone will forgive me, and that is paradise.”¹¹.

Markel has been transformed by his terminal illness in such a way that he is able to see that “life is paradise”. This transformation is evident when he says that “we do not want to know it” — if not for his own illness, he would not be able know, nor would he want to know, this paradise. Without his own illness and imminent death, he would not be able to notice the “glory of God” all around him. Markel is so thankful for the creation around him. This, then, reveals that suffering can be transformative in such a way that it enables one to see God’s glory and joy. Furthermore, his admission that he has dishonored God reveals that his illness, and consequent ability to see that “life is paradise”, reveals the restoration of Markel’s relationship with God. This transformation is brought about by Markel’s underlying gratitude and appreciation for God’s creation. This is the (1) gratitude and it is the first principle of “active love” that will be explored throughout this thesis.

Markel’s suffering is transformative in another sense, too: it leads him to a great sense of awareness of his guilt and responsibility towards others: “each of us is guilty before everyone.” This responsibility is seen in the very personal and local interaction that he has with his nurse who is lighting a candle in front of an icon in his room. Previously, Markel had treated her harshly in refusing to let her pray and light the candle in front of an icon. His illness, however, causes him to change his ways: “Light it, light it, dear, I was a wretch to have prevented your doing it.”¹² Markel has a similar transformation in his treatment of his mother. His statements of being responsible “for all”, then, should be

¹⁰ibid, 289.

¹¹ibid, 290.

¹²249, garnett

understood as having a local and particular application. Markel is not talking of a broad notion of a “love of humanity”. Instead, he is talking of a love for humanity that is expressed through encounters with the people right in front of him. Furthermore, notice that Markel’s perspective is focused on heaven, the ultimate end, through the lens of this local application of love. He recognizes that he has sinned against those around him, particularly his mother and his nurse, and they have forgiven him. This leads him to ask: “Am I not in heaven now?” This is (2) proper perspective that is focused (2a) locally and (2b) on heaven, the second element of “active love”.

Finally, Markel is struck with an overwhelming sense of guilt, a feeling that he has sinned against everyone, including God and His creation. There is a deeper, more personal way in which this guilt shows itself, a very sickly way in which guilt causes men to bring suffering upon themselves. They feel so guilty that they *“do not want to know” the paradise before their very eyes*. Markel’s acceptance of this guilt and his ‘sins’ is, perhaps, a recognition of the fallenness of man’s nature. Man is guilty before God and he dishonors God’s creation because he is fallen. Similarly, man is guilty before all because he is fallen and focused solely on himself — as opposed to focusing on his neighbors, as the Bible instructs and “active love” teaches. Markel’s sickness leads him to focus on his mother and his nurse, to be sensitive to their suffering and his poor treatment of them. This the third and final element of “active love”, (3) embracing reality from the depths of the depravity of man to the heights of Christ’s forgiveness and transformative sacrifice.

Once Markel accepts this guilt and *accepts* forgiveness, he becomes joyful — and this is the great mystery behind “active love”, the joy that follows and comes often at the darkest moments in one’s existence. The joy that overwhelms Markel is the interpretative key that Zosima will use in his teaching of the book of Job. Perhaps it is not coincidence that Zosima’s teachings on Job are contained in the very next section after the story of Markel.

CHAPTER 2

GRATITUDE: AFFIRMING THE GOODNESS OF CREATION

Alyosha's biographical sketch continues with Zosima's teachings on the Book of Job, a Biblical text that is central to his teaching of "active love". We learn that Job was the first Biblical text that Zosima felt that he clearly understood from a young age. In Alyosha's words, a brief paraphrase of Job is given in which it becomes clear that Zosima sees Job as God's beloved servant: "And have you seen my servant Job?" God asks [Satan].¹ Further in the account, Zosima speaks of "scoffers and blasphemers" who asked why the Lord would allow His saint to suffer so greatly.² In a tone that foreshadows Ivan's devil, these "scoffers" go so far as to mock God as saying: "See what my saint can suffer for my sake!"³ As if to ask, as Ivan does, is this suffering really necessary to prove Job's righteousness?

Zosima answers this question by saying that what *is actually* happening in the story of Job is that the trials of Job are not so much to prove Job's righteousness as they are an opportunity for Job to affirm the goodness of God's creation. God looks praises his creation saying "That which I have created is good."⁴ And, Zosima says that Job is praising God and that he serves not only God, but also serves all of creation and all generations.⁵ Furthermore, for Zosima and his teaching of "active love", Job illustrates the mystery at the heart of "active love" that is the way in which suffering and grief gradually pass into quiet, tender joy.⁶

¹ibid, 291.

²ibid, 292.

³ibid, 292.

⁴ibid, 292.

⁵ibid, 292.

⁶ibid, 292.

Markel's gratitude was a product of being able to see the goodness of God's creation, the "glory all around him". As Zosima tells it, one of the fundamental lessons of Job is that Job is given the opportunity to re-affirm the goodness of God's creation by enduring his trials. Markel was unable to see the goodness of creation until he underwent his own trial. Job, too, seems to suffer from an inability to fully comprehend the goodness of creation and, furthermore, his own faith undergoes a period of growth through his suffering and his encounter with God.

2.1 The Book of Job: A Trial That Enabled Job to See God

Then Job answered the Lord: "I know that thou canst do all things, and that no purpose of thine can be thwarted. 'Who is this that hides counsel without knowledge?' Therefore I have uttered what I did not understand, things too wonderful for me, which I did not know. 'Hear, and I will speak; I will question you, and you declare to me.' I had heard of thee by the hearing of the ear, but now my eye sees thee; therefore I despise myself, and repent in dust and ashes."⁷

The words that reveal most clearly come in the passage above, namely Job's statement that he no longer only hears God, but he also sees God. In this passage, too, Job reveals his own ignorance as well as his own pride in 'uttering things that he did not understand'. Perhaps Job's greatest 'sin' is that he comes to doubt God's goodness — and consequently the goodness of God's creation.

"Let the day perish in which I was born, and the night that said, 'A man-child is conceived.' Let that day be darkness! May God above not seek it, or light shine on it. Let gloom and deep darkness claim it. Let clouds settle upon it; let the blackness of the day terrify it."⁸

In the passage quoted above, it is certainly plausible that Job has called into question the goodness of God's creation. Furthermore, his lamentation reveals a self-centered

⁷Edited by May, Herbert G., and Bruce Manning Metzger. "The Book of Job." In *The New Oxford Annotated Bible with the Apocrypha: Revised standard version, containing the second edition of the New Testament and an expanded edition of the Apocrypha*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1977. 613-655, Job 42:1-6

⁸ibid, Job 3:3-5

focus — the exact of the externally-looking gratitude that Markel exhibits. This “lament is radically nihilistic, calling on forces of darkness, chaos, and death to negate light, life, conception, birth, and ultimately creation itself... In the egocentrism of despair, Job closes in upon himself and wills creation, too, to collapse into darkness and chaos.”⁹ This self-centeredness seems to be *the result of doubt in God’s goodness*. Without faith in God’s goodness, man is left on his own terms in a world that he must master with his ‘will and his science’, as Ivan’s Devil says, and man cannot help but be self-centered. This, however, clashes with Mitya’s great, ecstatic proclamation that “man cannot live without joy”. And, if Mitya’s statement is true, gratitude for God’s creation is necessary for joy.

Then the Lord answered Job out of the whirlwind: “Who is this that darkens counsel by words without knowledge? Gird up your loins like a man, I will question you, and you shall declare to me. “Where were you when I laid the foundation of the earth? Tell me, if you have understanding. Who determined its measurements — surely you know! Or who stretched the line upon it? On what were its bases sunk, or who laid its cornerstone, when the morning stars sang together, and all the sons of God shouted for joy?”¹⁰

Given the overpowering nature of God’s response, some interpreters have claimed that the “divine speeches reveal God as a capricious, jealous tyrant who abuses his power.”¹¹ Others suggest that the “questions of the speeches are not designed to humiliate Job but to remind him of what he already knows. They enable him to realize anew that God establishes order in the cosmos... This order visible in the universe leads Job to trust God even when he does not understand why he suffers.”¹² While he may not be able to completely

⁹Schifferdecker, Kathryn. *Out of the Whirlwind: Creation Theology in the Book of Job*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard Theological Studies, Harvard Divinity School :, 2008, 9.

¹⁰ibid, Job 38:1-7.

¹¹Schifferdecker, Kathryn. *Out of the Whirlwind: Creation Theology in the Book of Job*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard Theological Studies, Harvard Divinity School :, 2008, 9.

¹²ibid, 9.

understand why he suffers, it is too simple to suggest that he cannot understand any aspect of his suffering. Furthermore, it is not a rationally satisfying answer to suggest that Job must simply trust in God.

Instead of a binary model in which Job either understands or he does not understand, we need a model that allows for growth. This model, then, is predicated upon the maturation of Job's faith. Throughout the course of the text of Job, a more compelling model would be to suggest that Job's faith develops from an immature, purely rational faith to a mature, relational faith in God. The starting point for this interpretation is the selection from Job 42:2-5 that is highlighted at the beginning of this section.

"Lord, I am ignorant of your ways and I was so foolish to trust myself instead of trusting in you," Job essentially says. This is easy to understand. Notice, however, that Job has undergone a change. He perceives God differently now: "My ears had heard of you..." By this we might understand that Job initially understood God in a rational way — in the way that he must in order to maintain his innocence and defend himself against the accusations of his companions. "But now my eyes see you." The Lord has made Himself present to Job in a deeper way. This reveals a relationship, perhaps, in which Job is justified in questioning God. Much like Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden, Job has come into God's presence. This relationship is one between God the creator and Job the created. God's speech serves to humble Job, to keep him in line, this is certainly true. But Job's fault is not so much the questioning, as it is his doubting of God's goodness. "Lord, I thought that you were all good and most just. Why are you allowing these things to happen to me, your faithful and innocent servant?"

And here God speaks so that Job can hear. "Job, I am your creator! Do you not see the works I have created? Were you there when I laid the foundations of the earth? Why do you doubt my love for you? Do you not see the way that I care for the foolish ostrich that leaves its eggs on the ground unprotected? Do you not see the way that I care for

my creation which I created out of love? Have I not brought you to life with my very own breath? Do not doubt my goodness, for I have created you and you are good.” God, in his speech is reminding Job of the creator’s love for his creation. He is also reminding Job that he is, in fact, a created being entirely dependent on the Lord’s goodness.

2.2 Genesis

Here we arrive at some of the fundamental questions and answers in Genesis: what does it mean to be a created being, entirely dependent on God’s goodness? In this relationship, we transcend simply hearing God and we come to see God. God reveals his creative nature to us in Genesis: “In the beginning, God created the heavens and the earth.” The whole purpose of the first chapter of Genesis is to “say one thing: God created the world”¹³, as the Benedict tells us in his homilies on creation, this one thing is that: The world is not, as people used to think then, a chaos of mutually opposed forces... Rather, all of this comes from one power, from God’s eternal Reason, which became - in the Word - the power of creation. All of this comes from the same Word of God that we meet in the act of faith. ... In addition, the world was freed so that reason might lift itself up to God and so that human beings might approach this God fearlessly...¹⁴ Job is aware of the fact that God created the world and created him, too: “Naked I came from my mother’s womb, and naked shall I return; the Lord gave, and the Lord has taken away; blessed be the name of the Lord.”¹⁵ In other words, he has faith in God the creator. Through this faith, he meets God’s eternal reason, the Word of God, and his reason is freed so that it might “lift itself up to God” and so that Job might “approach this God fearlessly.” And there is no doubting that Job approaches God fearlessly.

¹³XVI, Pope Benedict. Translated by Boniface Ramsey, O.P.. *In the Beginning: A Catholic Understanding of the Story of Creation and the Fall*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: W.B. Eerdmans Pub. Co., 1995, 5.

¹⁴ibid, 5.

¹⁵Edited by May, Herbert G., and Bruce Manning Metzger. “The Book of Job.” In *The New Oxford Annotated Bible*, Job 1:21.

And what is the result of Job approaching God fearlessly? Job comes to see God with his eyes. If we understand Job's 'hearing God' as his comprehending God through reason, we must understand Job's seeing God with his eyes as a new way of comprehending God. God's speech points us to Genesis where we learn that man is made in the "image and likeness" of God. As Benedict tells us, human "life stands under God's special protection, because each human being, however wretched or exalted he or she may be, however sick or suffering, however good-for-nothing or important, whether born or unborn, whether incurably ill or radiant with health - [because] each one bears God's breath in himself or herself, each one is God's image" [emphasis mine].¹⁶ This is the God of abundant and gratuitous love of Christian theology.

It is with this loving, creative God in mind that Zosima is able to interpret the story of Job the way that he does, without being convinced of God in a philosophical sense. In the same way that active love can mysteriously convince us of God's existence, so too does it lead to gratitude. This gratitude and the resulting joy is a mystery of life. It is the mystery of everyday life in which Job's suffering is gradually healed. The old grief and pain "gradually passes into quiet, tender joy; instead of young, ebullient blood comes a mild, serene old age: I bless the sun's rising each day and my heart sings to it as before, but now I love its setting even more, its long slanting rays, and with them quiet, mild, tender memories, dear images form the whole of a long and blessed life — and over all is God's truth, moving, reconciling, all-forgiving!"¹⁷ When we look to Christ, who has been prefigured by Job, we realize that "the passing earthly image and eternal truth here touched each other. In the face of earthly truth, the enacting of eternal truth is accomplished."¹⁸ The truth in this phrase is that Christ became man and accepted death on a cross in order to redeem

¹⁶Ramsey, O.P., Boniface, and Pope Benedict XVI. *In the Beginning: A Catholic Understanding of the Story of Creation and the Fall*, 45.

¹⁷Dostoyevsky, Fyodor. Translated by Richard Pevear, and Larissa Volokhonsky. *The Brothers Karamazov: A Novel in Four Parts with Epilogue*. 292

¹⁸ibid, 292.

humanity. In Christ, the earthly truth of our created nature and our dependence on God touches the eternal truth of God's love for us. Christ is the personification of our relationship to God the creator. Because Christ, the son of God suffered, it is not merely a 'child-like' conviction that God exists and that he loves his creation. Instead, it becomes an unavoidable reality that the analytic mind cannot reduce to axioms without losing the meaning of the mystery.

When a grateful mind receives this mystery, it comes to understand the story of Job in this light, as Zosima explains: "Here the Creator, as in the first days of creation, crowning each day with praise: "That which I have created is good, " looks at Job and again praises his creation. And Job, praising God, does not only serve him, but will also serve his whole creation, from generation to generation and unto ages of ages," for to this he was destined. Lord, what a book, what lessons!"¹⁹ Zosima's interpretation of Job is surprisingly similar to that of Aquinas in that there is a providential element to Job's life. In this case, in God's providence, Job is serving as a light to all those who are suffering. But where we see Zosima's gratitude, a component of his active love, come into play is in the fact that he understands Job to be praising God. In Zosima's eyes, it is considered a blessing for Job to be in the position to reaffirm the Lord's words by living faithfully to the Lord. In this way, Job is blessed to reply to the Lord, "Yes, Lord. Your creation is good."

Bibliography

¹⁹ibid, 292.

Aquinas, Thomas. Translated by Brian Mulladay. Edited by Joseph Kenny, O.P.. "Commentary on the Book of Job." *Thomas Aquinas: Commentary on Job: English*. <http://dhsprory.org/thomas/SSJob.htm> (accessed December 8, 2013).

Dostoyevsky, Fyodor. Translated by Richard Pevear, and Larissa Volokhonsky. *The Brothers Karamazov: A Novel in Four Parts with Epilogue*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2002.

Gregory the Great. Translated by John H. Parker. "Moralia in Job (Morals on the Book of Job)." *Moralia in Job (Morals on the Book of Job)*. <http://www.lectionarycentral.com/GregoryMoraliaIndex.html> (accessed December 8, 2013).

Jens, Walter, and Hans Kung. "Religion in the Controversy Over the End of Religion." In *Literature and Religion: Pascal, Gryphius, Lessing, Holderlin, Novalis, Kierkegaard, Dostoyevsky, Kafka*. New York: Paragon House, 1991. 227-242.

Edited by May, Herbert G., and Bruce Manning Metzger. "The Book of Job." In *The New Oxford Annotated Bible with the Apocrypha: Revised standard version, containing the second edition of the New Testament and an expanded edition of the Apocrypha*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1977. 613-655.

Osborn, Ronald. "Beauty Will Save the World: Metaphysical Rebellion and the Problem of Theodicy in Dostoevsky's Brothers Karamazov." *Modern Age* 54, no. 1-4 (2012). http://www.academia.edu/2512653/Beauty_Will_Save_the_World_Metaphysical_Rebellion_and_the_Problem_of_Theodicy_in_Dostoevskys_Brothers_Karamazov (accessed December 7, 2013).

Ramsey, O.P., Boniface, and Pope Benedict XVI. *In the Beginning: A Catholic Understanding of the Story of Creation and the Fall*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: W.B. Eerdmans Pub. Co., 1995.

Schifferdecker, Kathryn. *Out of the Whirlwind: Creation Theology in the Book of Job*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard Theological Studies, Harvard Divinity School, 2008.

Stump, Eleonore. *Wandering in Darkness: Narrative and the Problem of Suffering*. Oxford:

Clarendon Press ;, 2010.

Stump, Eleonore. Edited by Eleonore Stump and Norman Kretzmann. "Aquinas on the Sufferings of Job." In *Reasoned Faith: Essays in Philosophical Theology in Honor of Norman Kretzmann*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1993. 328-357.

Williams, Rowan. *Dostoevsky: Language, Faith, and Fiction*. London: Continuum, 2009.

XVI, Pope Benedict. *Introduction to Christianity*. San Francisco: Ignatius Press, 2004.