Physics Informed Multi-frame Super Resolution for Weather Forecasts

Eduard Tulchinskiy [1]

Abstract

The weather forecasts are typically made by costly numerical models and the computational costs scale in such a way that 2x resolution increase requires 10x more resources. We are provided with a calculated dataset of weather forecasts for Moscow region made by a WRF model in two resoutions. Task of our work is to improve a low resolution forecast with the help of multi-frame super resolution methods with physics informed parts.

1. Introduction

Usually, when people ask what weather will be, they only want to know quite little — will it be rainy or sunny, cold or warm, windy or not at their town today (or tomorrow) and modern mathematical models can relatively easy do accurate prognoses for such things. However, weather forecasting is not limited to this. In many areas (aviation, energy generation) forecasts must be much more complex: include more parameters (wind speed, humidity, atmospheric pressure, etc) and have much higher resolution than one "averaged" prediction per town.

Mathematical models that are used for this are usually large, complex and require lots of input information. Their development takes constantly increasing amount of scientific research, so there are many approaches of implementing methods of machine learning to this task (consider (Bochenek & Ustrnu, 2022) for review of the current state in the field).

Both classic and machine learning methods have limited resolutions — each model predicts 'averaged' weather over regions of certain size. That size can't be decreased without risking the quality of predictions, because of the nature of meteorological data — it's either observations that are exactly correct only for the point where they were made (e.g. traditional thermometer can measure air temperature only around itself, not 2 miles away) or some 'averaged-over-area' predictions that have their own resolutions (e.g. air temperature measurements made by infrared spectrometer on a satellite). Thus, to increase resolution means to unproportionally increase amount of input data. For example, doubling the resolution of a two-dimensional grid leads to, at least, an increase of four times in number of observation points, and each of them must be equipped with expensive hardware and well-trained specialists to operate and maintain it.

And so, a problem arises — we can measure atmospheric parameters in some regions and have to reconstruct them in between measurement points. This task in a certain way similar to 'upscaling' blurry photos and videos with deep neural networks that recently become very popular (Lee et al., 2022). Such methods were implemented for Super Resolution of weather forecast data (e.g. (Rodrigues et al., 2018)), but there are some issues.

First of all, meteorological data is very different from images, thus models must be trained from the scratch which requires a lot of data. And obtaining data in our domain is costly. Although they learn *some* underlying relationships exist in the data, sometimes those relationships are *not* the underlying physical principles that models are supposed to learn. Moreover, as it was shown in (Reichstein et al., 2019), there are no guarantee of good ability to 'generalization' (i.e. to work outside of the scenarios covered in training data).

Similar issues are arising in many different fields and to overcome them methods of Physics-Informed machine learning were introduced. These are numerous different techniques dedicated at incorporating into neural model knowledge about underlying physical laws by specially designed architecture or training procedure of a model (Kashinath et al., 2021). They have shown good results for many tasks.

Many attempts were made for weather super resolution but they mostly were intended for super resolution by a single frame. So a question remains — can the knowledge of development of atmospheric situation (data from several time-points) help us improve quality of prediction.

And thus, we aimed this project at creating a physics-informed neural model for multi-frame super resolution of weather forecast data.

[1]Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Moscow, Russia. Correspondence to: Eduard Tulchinskiy <Eduard.Tulchinskiy@skoltech.ru>.

This paper is divided as follows:

- In Section 3 review recent advances in both physics-informed Machine Learning and ML fro weather forecasting.
- In Section 4 we describe the ideas and methods that we plan to use in our model.
- In Section 5 we provide a description of the used dataset.

Link to project's GitHub repository: `https://github.com/ArGintum/featherweather`

2. Preliminaries

Image Super Resolution (SR) is a low-level computer vision task, which aims at reconstructing a high-resolution (HR) image from its low-resolution (LR) counterpart. Multiple HR images may correspond to an identical LR image and due to it this problem is inherently ill-posed. To address it, numerous methods have been proposed, that can be split including into three main categories: interpolation-based methods (Zhang & Wu, 2006), reconstruction-based methods (Zhang et al., 2012), and methods based on neural models (Dong et al., 2015).

Neural-based methods and due to this are very popular nowadays. The benefits of deep learning based methods mainly come from its two key factors — depth and residual/dense connections.

As the depth of networks grows, the number of parameters increases and along with it grows the risk of overfitting. To reduce network parameters, some authors employ the recurrent structure in their model (e.g. (Tai et al., 2017)).

3. Related works

Physics informed methods in machine learning have been developed for a long time and there are various different types of them (we encourage our reader to refer to (Karniadakis et al., 2021) for complete overview) but they can be roughly split into two major categories: custom design of the network architecture or special choice of the loss function (and combinations of these two approaches also exist).

Methods centered around designing the network are aimed at 'engraving' the physical laws into model's architecture. In some cases, to implement them architecture is very straightforward. For example, in (Zhang et al., 2020) where to solve complex partial derivatives equation (PDE) authors propose neural model where stack of fully-connected layers emulates the solution (function) and loss function minimizes difference between parts of equation. Something similar was done in (Hy et al., 2018) to make physics informed model for prediction of qualities of complex organic molecules. This approach requires a lot of data to train and perfect knowledge of governing rules just to write the correct loss function and thus is hardly applicable to our problem, since physical processes behind the climate are very complex.

Another, but much less radical example of such approach is (Cheng et al., 2020) where authors implement specially designed convolutional blocks to construct deep network for weather forecasts super resolution. That model achieves SOTA results (as per it's publication date). This idea is very promising and interesting for our work, but proposed model is quite large (requires much data) and it is intended for single frame super resolution. There is another issue — local obstacles (e.g. high hills) may affect processes of weather formation around them, a thing that purely convolutional model may not be able to handle.

Architecture of MeshfreeFlowNet introduced in (Jiang et al., 2020) for modelling of turbulent flows proposes possible solution of such problem by concatenating coordinates of each position to its embedding (we will describe this idea more in the next section).

Second approach for inducing physics into model is choice of the loss function. According to it, loss function is considered as 'soft constraint' that helps model get 'right' learning bias. Usually loss function is constructed around some spectral methods, like Discrete Fourier Transform in conditional GAN in (Singh et al., 2019). In that work authors use it together with mean square error in loss function, a thing that we would like to avoid in our work, since usage of MSE at super resolution tasks recently received some critique for being too sensitive to small shifts or one-point outliers (Choi et al., 2019).

4. Methods

Since our work on this project is still ongoing, this section is incomplete and exact details of models and their implementations may (and will) be changed.

4.1. Single-frame super resolution

For super resolution of single-frame we will use multi-layer convolutional network. The nature of CNN will help with maintaining translational symmetry and multiscale hierarchy of atmospheric processes. To further incorporate knowledge about underlying physical principals and governing laws into our model we consider implementing

1. *Spectral loss* (in a form of Spectral spatial structure loss introduced in (Choi et al., 2019)) in the loss function as the reconstruction loss.

2. *Position contextualization*. We will concatenate output of convolutional part that gives the *context* of output (tensor of size $H_{out} \times W_{out} \times d_{out}$) with coordinates of each position (tensor of size $H_{out} \times W_{out} \times 2$). Then feed it stack of fully connected layers that will produce final result as it was suggested in (Jiang et al., 2020).

Position contextualization brings connection between prediction and exact locations for which they are made at the same time preserving benefits of convolutional network. CNN provides translational symmetry that is completely in line with underlying principles (at interesting for us scale laws of Nature are pretty much the same everywhere). But at the same time, local terrain peculiarities: high hills/ large bodies of water/etc, may significantly affect atmospheric processes around them and because of it knoweledge of exact position is important too.

4.2. Multi-frame super resolution

We have not a single observation, but data about situation development in progress. This may provide additional information for super resolution and we will add recurrent connections (like in RNN) between different time-stamps.

5. Data overview

The dataset we are provided was obtained via simulationusing Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) open-source model for Moscow region. Each observation covers area of $240km \times 260km$.

For each observation point 3 parameters are measured. The grid size for low resolution is 10 km and 5 km for high resolution (thus, each piece low-resolution data is numeric array of shape $24 \times 26 \times 3$). Data is generated for time points within 4 months interval from March 23rd, 2021 to July 31st, 2021. For each day, 72 hours of forecast starting from this day are available.

Train set contains 9,563 pairs of corresponding high resolution image/small resolution image. Test set contains 1,022 pairs.

6. Experiments (plans)

6.1. Baselines and metrics

As baselines we are planning to use pretrained classic models for image Super Resolution like convolutional SR-CNN (Dong et al., 2015), or generative ESRGAN (Wang et al., 2018). Also we will use interpolation models and trained from the scratch convolutional and recurrent models.

As quality metrics we MSE and PSNR — classic quality metrics for image super resolution.

References

Bochenek, B. and Ustrnu, Z. Machine learning in weather prediction and climate analyses—applications and perspectives. *Atmosphere*, 13(2):180–195, 2022.

Cheng, J., Kuang, Q., Shen, C., Liu, J., Tan, X., and Liu, W. Reslap: Generating high-resolution climate prediction through image super-resolution. *IEEE Access*, 8:39623–39634, 2020.

Choi, J.-S., Kim, Y., and Kim, M. S3: A spectral-spatial structure loss for pan-sharpening networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05480*, 2019.

Dong, C., Loy, C. C., He, K., and Tang, X. Image super-resolution using deep convolutional networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.00092*, 2015.

Hy, T. S., Trivedi, S., Pan, H., Anderson, B., and Kondor, R. Predicting molecular properties with covariant compositional networks. *J. Chem. Phys.*, (148), 2018.

Jiang, C., Esmaeilzadeh, S., Azizzadenesheli, K., Kashinath, K., Mustafa, M., Tchelepi, H. A., Marcus, P., Anandkumar, P., and Anandkumar, A. Meshfreeflownet: A physics-constrained deep continuous space-time super-resolution framework. In *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*, 2020.

Karniadakis, G. E., Kevrekidis, I. G., Lu, L., Perdikaris, P., Wang, S., and Yang, L. Physics-informed machine learning. *Nature Review Physics*, 3:422–440, 2021.

Kashinath, K., Esmaeilzade, S., and et al, A. A. Physics-informed machine learning: Case studies for weather and climate modelling. *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society A Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 379(2194), 2021.

Lee, R., Venieris, S. I., and Lane, N. D. Deep neural network–based enhancement for image and video streaming systems: A survey and future directions. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 54(22):1–30, 2022.

Reichstein, M., G, C.-V., B, S., M, J., J, D., N, C., and Prabhat. Deep learning and process understanding for data-driven earth system science. *Nature*, (566):195–204, 2019.

Rodrigues, E. R., Oliveira, I., Cunha, R., and Netto, M. Deepdownscale: A deep learning strategy for high-resolution weather forecast. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on e-Science (e-Science)*, 2018.

Singh, A., White, B., and Albert, A. Numerical weather model super-resolution. In *33rd Conference on Neural Information Processing System*, 2019.

Tai, Y., Yang, J., and Liu, X. Image super resolution via deep recursive residual network. In *Proceedings of the CVPR*, 2017.

Wang, X., Yu, K., Wu, S., Gu, J., Liu, Y., Dong, C., Qiao, Y., and Loy, C. C. Esrgan: Enhanced super-resolution generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2018.

Zhang, D., Guo, L., and Karniadakis, G. Learning in modal space: solving time-dependent stochastic pdes using physics- informed neural networks. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, (42):A639–A665, 2020.

Zhang, K., Gao, X., Tao, D., Li, X., et al. Single image super-resolution with non-local means and steering kernel regression. *Transactions on Image Processing*, 2012.

Zhang, L. and Wu, X. An edge-guided image interpolation algorithm via directional filtering and data fusion. *Transactions on Image Processing*, 2006.