

# DCDN Cost Analysis by Lester Kim

## Introduction

In order to calculate the streaming costs for a potential partner, we need to know how much data  $B$  (in bytes) they need to deliver to consumers per unit of time  $T$  (in seconds). Let us have  $N$  groups of uploader nodes where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , the average bandwidth of group  $n$  is  $b_n$  (in bytes/second per node). Let  $q_n$  be the quantity of group  $n$  nodes. Let  $\mathbf{b} = [b_1 \dots b_N]^\top$  and  $\mathbf{q} = [q_1 \dots q_N]^\top$ . Thus, the amount of bytes delivered per second constrained by  $\frac{B}{T}$  is

$$g(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{q} = \frac{B}{T}. \quad (1)$$

We want to find the optimal  $\mathbf{q}^*$  to minimize the cost of distribution  $C(\mathbf{q})$ . If  $\mathbf{p} = [p_1 \dots p_N]^\top$  where  $p_n$  is the price per node for group  $n$ , we have

$$C(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}. \quad (2)$$

## Uploader's Profit Maximization

To determine  $\mathbf{p}$ , let us visit the behavior of a profit maximizing firm. Let  $f$  be the production function with energy input  $E$  (in kWh) and output  $q$  (in nodes). We model this production function as

$$f(E) = AE^\alpha \quad (3)$$

where  $A$  is the factor of production (nodes/kWh $^\alpha$ ) and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  is the elasticity of production (percent increase in output over percent increase in input) [1].

Let  $P$  (in kWh/s) be the power increase when a node starts uploading data. This includes sending data with its network interface controller (NIC) but can also include the machine turning on (from either being off or in standby mode). If each node has power  $P$ , then for some  $E$ , a single node can run for  $\frac{E}{P}$  seconds. However, given a time constraint  $T$  to complete the work, there must be  $\frac{E}{PT}$  nodes. Thus,

$$A = \frac{D}{(PT)^\alpha} \quad (4)$$

where  $D$  is the total factor productivity [2] (in nodes).

Let  $p$  be the price of a node and  $p_E$  the price of energy (per kWh). The firm's profit function  $\pi$  is

$$\pi(q, E) = pq - p_E E. \quad (5)$$

Bandwidth cost is ignored because it is a fixed cost in the short-term when looking at seconds as opposed to months<sup>1</sup>.

We want to maximize the firm's profit given an output requirement at least  $q$ ;

$$\max_{q, E} \pi(q, E) \quad \text{s.t. } f(E) \geq q. \quad (6)$$

To solve this, we take our Lagrangian to be

$$\mathcal{L}(q, E, \lambda) = pq - p_E E - \lambda(AE^\alpha - q). \quad (7)$$

Taking partial derivatives and setting them to zero give

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q} = p + \lambda = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial E} = -p_E - \lambda A \alpha E^{\alpha-1} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = q - AE^\alpha = 0. \quad (10)$$

Solving these first-order conditions gives

$$q^* = \left( \frac{\alpha A^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} p}{p_E} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}. \quad (11)$$

Rewriting this (dropping the asterisk from  $q$ ) gives

$$p = \frac{p_E}{\alpha} \left( \frac{q^{1-\alpha}}{A} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \quad (12)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Even with bandwidth included, its cost per second has the same order of magnitude as that of energy. In NYC, 50 MBps costs  $\$3 \times 10^{-5}$ /second [3].

This formula lets the creator know what  $p$  should be to get the desired number of nodes.

From (12), we find that the optimal revenue in terms of  $q$  is

$$pq = \frac{p_E}{\alpha} \left( \frac{q}{A} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \quad (13)$$

### Distributor's Cost Minimization

Since the revenue for the firm is spending for the consumer (the creator), we can write (2) as

$$C(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{p_E}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{q_n}{A_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \quad (14)$$

The creator's cost minimization problem is

$$\min_{\mathbf{q}} C(\mathbf{q}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(\mathbf{q}) \geq \frac{B}{T}. \quad (15)$$

The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}, \lambda) = C(\mathbf{q}) - \lambda(g(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{B}{T}). \quad (16)$$

The first-order conditions are

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \mathbf{q}} - \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{B}{T} - g(\mathbf{q}) = 0. \quad (18)$$

From (14), (4), and (1),

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \frac{p_E T \mathbf{P}}{\alpha^2} \circ (\mathbf{q}^{\circ(1-\alpha)} \oslash \mathbf{D})^{\circ \frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{b} \quad (20)$$

where  $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{P}) = ([D_1 \dots D_N]^\top, [P_1 \dots P_N]^\top)$ . "◦", "◦", "◊" are the Hadamard (entrywise) product, power, and division, respectively [4]. So  $\forall m, n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$\frac{P_m^\alpha q_m^{1-\alpha}}{b_m^\alpha D_m} = \frac{P_n^\alpha q_n^{1-\alpha}}{b_n^\alpha D_n}. \quad (21)$$

Thus,

$$b_m q_m = \left( \frac{b_m D_m P_n^\alpha}{P_m^\alpha b_n D_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} b_n q_n. \quad (22)$$

Combining (22) with (18) gives

$$\mathbf{q}^* = \frac{B \mathbf{b}^{\circ-1}}{T \kappa} \circ (\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{D} \oslash \mathbf{P}^{\circ\alpha})^{\circ \frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \quad (23)$$

$$C^* = \frac{p_E T}{\alpha} \left( \frac{B}{T \kappa^{1-\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\kappa \equiv \sum_{m=1}^N \left( \frac{b_m D_m}{P_m^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}. \quad (25)$$

**Case:**  $\alpha = 1$

When  $\alpha = 1$ , (23) and (24) become

$$q_n^* = \begin{cases} \frac{B}{|\Upsilon| T b_n} & n \in \Upsilon \\ 0 & n \notin \Upsilon \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

$$C^* = \frac{p_E B P_n}{b_n D_n} \quad \text{any } n \in \Upsilon \quad (27)$$

where

$$\Upsilon \equiv \left\{ n \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid n = \arg \max_{1 \leq m \leq N} \frac{b_m D_m}{P_m} \right\}. \quad (28)$$

$\forall n \in \Upsilon$ , each node in group  $n$  would deliver  $\frac{B}{|\Upsilon|q_n^*} (= b_n T)$  of data and receive at least  $\frac{p_E P_n T}{D_n}$  in compensation. However, there are multiple solutions to  $\mathbf{q}^*$ . For example, for any  $n \in \Upsilon$ , group  $n$  can take on all the work by employing  $\frac{B}{Tb_n}$  nodes.

## Example

Let's work out an example in NYC where

$$\alpha = 1 \tag{29}$$

$$B = 1 \text{ GB} \tag{30}$$

$$N = 2 \tag{31}$$

$$p_E = \$0.2321/\text{kWh} \text{ [5]} \tag{32}$$

$$T = 1 \text{ s} \tag{33}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \text{ MB/s} \\ 1 \text{ MB/s} \end{bmatrix} \text{ [6]} \tag{34}$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \text{ node} \\ 1 \text{ node} \end{bmatrix} \tag{35}$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 200 \text{ W} \\ 2 \text{ W} \end{bmatrix} \text{ [7][8]} \tag{36}$$

to find examples of  $\mathbf{q}^*$  and  $C^*$  for a creator. Then,

$$\mathbf{q}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \text{ nodes} \\ 500 \text{ nodes} \end{bmatrix} \tag{37}$$

$$C^* \approx \$1.29 \times 10^{-4}. \tag{38}$$

This is 155 to 659 times (99.35% - 99.85%) cheaper than AWS Cloudfront's on-demand pricing (\$0.020/GB - \$0.085/GB) [9]. Each group 1 node would handle 100 MB whereas each group 2 node would handle 1 MB. Each node in group 1 and 2 would need more than  $\$1.29 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $\$1.29 \times 10^{-7}$ , respectively.

To put this in perspective, assume Netflix is a potential partner. In 2017, Netflix averaged more than 140 million hours of content watched per day [10]. On average, a Netflix video is one GB/hour [11]. On the Ara platform, the 51.1-exabyte [12] annual spend would only be \$6.6 million/year (\$0.2106/second). If we estimate Netflix's streaming cost to be \$0.03/GB [13], we get \$1.5 billion/year

(\$46.61/second). Using the Ara network would nearly quadruple Netflix’s 2017 net income of \$558.9 million [14]. (Manhattan has 1.66 million people [15] with 287,008 Netflix users<sup>2</sup> streaming 321.45 TB/day, 3.72 GB/s. That requires 3,721 group 2 nodes at a rate of \$41.47/day).

## References

- [1] Wikipedia (2018, April 22), *Cobb–Douglas production function*, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cobb%E2%80%93Douglas\\_production\\_function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cobb%E2%80%93Douglas_production_function)
- [2] Wikipedia (2018, June 5), *Total factor productivity*, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Total\\_factor\\_productivity](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Total_factor_productivity)
- [3] Spectrum (2017, December 29), *Broadband Label Disclosure*, p.2, [https://www.spectrum.com/content/dam/spectrum/residential/en/pdfs/policies/Broadband\\_Label\\_Disclosure\\_Charter\\_122917.pdf](https://www.spectrum.com/content/dam/spectrum/residential/en/pdfs/policies/Broadband_Label_Disclosure_Charter_122917.pdf)
- [4] Wikipedia (2018, March 10), *Hadamard product (matrices)*, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hadamard\\_product\\_\(matrices\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hadamard_product_(matrices))
- [5] Electricity Local (2018, June 19), <https://www.electricitylocal.com/states/new-york/new-york>
- [6] Wikipedia (2018, June 19), *Bandwidth (computing)*, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bandwidth\\_\(computing\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bandwidth_(computing))
- [7] Enguide.be (2018, June 19), *How much power does a computer use? And how much CO2 does that represent?*, <https://www.engage.be/en/questions-answers/how-much-power-does-a-computer-use-and-how-much-co2-does-that-represent/54/>
- [8] R. Sohan, A. Rice, A. W. Moore, and K. Mansley, “Characterizing 10 Gbps Network Interface Energy Consumption,” *The 35th Annual IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN) Short Papers*, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, July 2010, <https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/acr31/pubs/sohan-10gbpower.pdf>.
- [9] Amazon Web Services Pricing (2018, June 19), *Amazon Cloudfront Pricing*, <https://aws.amazon.com/cloudfront/pricing>
- [10] L. Matney (2017, December 11), “Netflix users collectively watched 1 billion hours of content per week in 2017,” *Techcrunch*, <https://techcrunch.com/2017/12/11/netflix-users-collectively-watched-1-billion-hours-of-content-per-week-in-2017>

---

<sup>2</sup>At the end of 2018 Q1, Netflix had 56.71 million U.S. subscribers and 125 million worldwide [16]. There are 328 million people in the U.S. [17], so proportionally, there are 287,008 Netflix subscribers in Manhattan.

- [11] K. Hubby (2017, May 23), "The surprising amount of data Netflix uses," *The Daily Dot*, <https://www.dailydot.com/debug/how-much-data-netflix-use/>
- [12] Wikipedia (2018, June 20), *Exabyte*, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exabyte>
- [13] D. Rayburn (2009, July), "Stream This!: Netflix's Streaming Costs," *Streaming Media (June/July 2009)*, <http://www.streamingmedia.com/Articles/Editorial/Featured-Articles/Stream-This!-Netfixs-Streaming-Costs-65503.aspx>
- [14] Netflix (2018, January 1), p.40, <https://ir.netflix.com/static-files/20c3228d-bf1f-4956-a169-c8b76911ecd5>
- [15] Wikipedia (2018, July 5), *Manhattan*, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manhattan>
- [16] Statista (2018, July 5), *Number of Netflix streaming subscribers in the United States from 3rd quarter 2011 to 1st quarter 2018 (in millions)*, <https://www.statista.com/statistics/250937/quarterly-number-of-netflix-streaming-subscribers-in-the-us/>
- [17] United States Census Bureau (2018, July 5), *U.S. and World Population Clock*, <https://www.census.gov/popclock/>