## 19th ICAR Feedback Survey

1. What is your position/title?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Undergraduate student		0.9%	3
Graduate student		30.7%	102
Postdoctoral researcher		29.2%	97
Faculty		29.2%	97
Industry		4.5%	15
Other		5.4%	18
	answere	ed question	332
	skippo	ed question	0

2. Is this the first International Conference on Arabidopsis Research (ICAR) you have attended?				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes		44.9%	149	
No		55.1%	183	
	answere	ed question	332	
	skipp	ed question	0	

3. Did you travel from outside North America to attend the ICAR?				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes		46.5%	153	
No		53.5%	176	
	answere	ed question	329	
	skippe	ed question	3	

4. In which area of the world do you currently live/work?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia/Pacific Rim		14.8%	49
Canada		15.1%	50
European Union		28.0%	93
South America		1.2%	4
USA		38.6%	128
Other		2.4%	8
	answere	ed question	332
	skippo	ed question	0

5. Please rate how well you liked the conference and hotel locations:							
	Did not like/disliked	Liked a little	Liked fairly well	Liked very much	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Montreal	3.3% (9)	4.0% (11)	27.8% (76)	61.9% (169)	2.9% (8)	3.53	273
Hyatt Hotel	15.3% (43)	21.4% (60)	38.8% (109)	12.1% (34)	12.5% (35)	2.54	281
McGill Residence Hall	4.6% (12)	6.8% (18)	23.2% (61)	20.5% (54)	44.9% (118)	3.08	263
Comments?					115		
answered question				321			
skipped question				11			

6. For future Arabidopsis meetings organized by the US, do you have a preference for location?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Return to Madison, Wisconsin (usual US site)		22.7%	73
Choose a different location (other than Madison)		39.9%	128
No preference		37.4%	120
		Comments?	44
	answere	ed question	321
	skipp	ed question	11

7. Was the length of the conference (5 days, Wednesday evening to Sunday morning):				
			Response Percent	Response Count
Too long			9.0%	29
Too short			3.4%	11
Just right			83.5%	268
No opinion			4.0%	13
		(	Comments?	27
		answere	ed question	321
		skippe	ed question	11

8. Did you like the scheduled 'free afternoon' this year?				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes		91.9%	295	
No		8.1%	26	
answered question		ed question	321	
skipped question		11		

9. Do you plan on attending the 2009 ICAR in Edinburgh, Scotland?				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes		23.8%	76	
No		15.3%	49	
Maybe		60.9%	195	
answered question		320		
	skipp	ed question	12	

10. Do you like the community-organized workshops?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		71.7%	228
No		4.4%	14
N/A or No Opinion		23.9%	76
		Comments?	20
	answere	ed question	318
	skipp	ed question	14

11. Did the 3 poster sessions provide adequate time to visit/present posters?				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes- enough time		67.6%	215	
No- not enough time		32.4%	103	
		Comments?	62	
	answere	ed question	318	
	skipp	ed question	14	

12. Did you like the online Itinerary Builder that allowed you to make a list of posters and presentations that you wanted to see?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		21.7%	69
No		11.0%	35
Knew about it but didn't use it		56.0%	178
I did not know of this feature		11.3%	36
		Comments?	39
	answere	ed question	318
	skipp	ed question	14

13. Do you have a preference for session organization- plenary/platform versus concurrent?				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
I prefer to have only plenary sessions (all attendees in same room for same topic)		17.7%	55	
I prefer to have a mix of plenary and concurrent sessions (mix of single topic platform talks and simultaneous topics in separate rooms)		79.0%	245	
No opinion		3.2%	10	
		Comments?	24	
	answered question		310	
	skipped question			

14. What types of speakers do you prefer at the Arabidopsis conference?				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
I prefer to have invited speakers only		6.1%	19	
I prefer an equal mixture of invited and abstract speakers		50.0%	155	
I prefer a mix with more invited than abstract speakers		31.9%	99	
I prefer a mix with more abstract than invited speakers		10.0%	31	
No opinion		1.9%	6	
	Comments?		12	
	answered question		310	
	skipped question		22	

15. How would you rate the overall quality of the scientific talks in 2008? (note: consider the quality of the speakers not the session topics)				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
Excellent		34.8%	108	
Good		50.3%	156	
Average		13.9%	43	
Poor		0.6%	2	
No opinion		0.3%	1	
Comments?			19	
	answered question		310	
	skipped question		22	

## 16. What did you think of the session topics selected this year? (Note: consider just quality of the topics, not the speakers themselves)

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	N/A or No Opinion	Rating Average	Response Count
Abiotic Interactions	1.4% (4)	14.3% (42)	37.9% (111)	18.4% (54)	28.0% (82)	3.02	293
Biotic Interactions	1.0% (3)	10.3% (30)	33.2% (97)	24.0% (70)	31.5% (92)	3.17	292
Cell Biology	1.0% (3)	10.2% (30)	44.4% (130)	24.2% (71)	20.1% (59)	3.15	293
Cell Walls	1.4% (4)	16.3% (48)	31.6% (93)	10.5% (31)	40.1% (118)	2.86	294
Developmental Mechanisms	0.7% (2)	8.2% (24)	37.4% (110)	39.5% (116)	14.3% (42)	3.35	294
Evolution and Development	2.4% (7)	9.2% (27)	38.8% (114)	20.1% (59)	29.6% (87)	3.09	294
Evolution and Natural Variation	1.7% (5)	9.2% (27)	31.3% (92)	17.7% (52)	40.1% (118)	3.09	294
Genetic and Epigenetic Mechanisms	0.7% (2)	8.0% (24)	41.3% (124)	35.0% (105)	15.0% (45)	3.30	300
Genomics and Systems Biology	2.0% (6)	14.9% (44)	40.7% (120)	27.8% (82)	14.6% (43)	3.10	295
Interactions with the Environment	1.4% (4)	10.6% (31)	39.7% (116)	21.6% (63)	26.7% (78)	3.11	292
Metabolism	2.0% (6)	14.8% (44)	35.4% (105)	15.2% (45)	32.7% (97)	2.95	297
Novel Tools and Techniques	4.1% (12)	12.9% (38)	39.5% (116)	22.4% (66)	21.1% (62)	3.02	294
Signal Transduction	1.0% (3)	9.2% (27)	42.7% (126)	30.2% (89)	16.9% (50)	3.23	295
Comments?				18			
answered question				310			
skipped question					22		