

Math 215A Commutative Algebra: Homework 2

Oct 16th, 2019

Professor James Cameron

Anish Chedalavada

Exercise 1. Show that if $M \cong R^n$ then any set of n elements that generate M form a free basis.

Proof. For x_1, \dots, x_n a set of generators, we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow 0$ for $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ given by sending each element e_i of the standard basis to x_i . This map is surjective as the x_i generate. Now note that for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} we have the sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker f_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}^n \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}^n \rightarrow 0$. Note that $\frac{x_i}{1}$ generate $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^n$ and are a basis as it is a vector space, and so must be linearly independent and thus a basis for $R_{\mathfrak{m}}^n$ as well. Thus, $\ker f_{\mathfrak{m}}$ must be 0, and as \mathfrak{m} was an arbitrary maximal ideal, it must be zero at every maximal ideal.

$\implies \ker f = 0$ and thus $0 \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow 0$ is an isomorphism by projection to the generators, implying they are a basis. \square

Exercise 2. a) For S any multiplicatively closed subset of R show that the map $R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ induces a homeomorphism $\text{Spec } S^{-1}R \rightarrow \{x \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p}_x \cap S = \emptyset\}$ where the right hand side has the subspace topology.

b) Given $f \in R$ let $D(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$. Show that $R \rightarrow R_f$ induces an open embedding $\text{Spec } R_f \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ with image $D(f)$.

c) Show that the $D(f)$ form a basis for $\text{Spec } R$

Proof. a) We claim that the map $f: R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ to the localization induces a map $f_{\#}: \text{Spec } S^{-1}R \rightarrow \{x \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p}_x \cap S = \emptyset\} \subset \text{Spec } R$. It is clear to see this as no ideals in the localization contain a unit, i.e. no element of S , and thus the pullback of any prime ideal cannot contain an element of S as then it would have contained a unit in the localization. Thus, the image of $f_{\#} \subset \{x \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p}_x \cap S = \emptyset\}$ and the map is continuous in the subspace topology. Now consider a prime in the set above. We have that $\ker f$ is $r \in R$ s.t. $rs = 0$ for some $s \in S$, and as $rs \in \mathfrak{p}$ we have that $r \in \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, all primes above must contain $\ker f$. This tells us that $f^{-1}(f(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, distinct prime ideals in the localization $S^{-1}R$ must have distinct preimages, as any two prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$ are equal in $S^{-1}R$ if $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap R/\ker f = \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap R/\ker f$, which is true if and only if their R -preimages are equal as both their R preimages contain $\ker f$. Now consider any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \{x \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p}_x \cap S = \emptyset\}$. We have that $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in $S^{-1}R$: localization preserves primality and the fact that it is an ideal as it contains no units: (clearing denominators of any linear combinations checks this). Thus, $f^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ and this yields surjectivity. It now suffices to show this is a closed map in the subspace topology. Let $V(I)$ be a neighborhood in $\text{Spec } S^{-1}R$. It is clear that $f^{-1}(I) \subset f^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ and so we have that $f_{\#}(V(I)) \subset V(f^{-1}I) \cap \{x \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p}_x \cap S = \emptyset\}$. Now let $y \in V(f^{-1}I) \cap \{x \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p}_x \cap S = \emptyset\}$. We have a corresponding prime ideal $I \subset S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_y \in \text{Spec } S^{-1}R$ and by the bijectivity of $f_{\#}$ we know that $f_{\#}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_y) = \mathfrak{p}_y \implies y \in f(V(I))$ yielding that $f_{\#}(V(I))$ is closed in the subspace topology, yielding the homeomorphism.

b) Using part a) we have that $\text{Spec } R_f$ embeds into $\text{Spec } R$ with image $D(f)$ exactly as above. Given that $D(f) = \text{Spec } R \setminus V(f)$ we have that this is an open embedding.

c) We know that all closed sets in $\text{Spec } R$ are of the form $V(I)$ for some I ideal of R . Thus, all open sets in $\text{Spec } R$ are of the form $\text{Spec } R \setminus V(I) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : \exists f \in I \setminus \mathfrak{p}\}$, i.e. all prime ideals that exclude some element of I . This is exactly of the form $\bigcup_{f \in I} D(f)$ for $D(f)$ as above, yielding that the $D(f)$ must be a basis for the topology.

d) We may show this using the finite intersection property. Suppose $\bigcap_{\alpha} V(I_{\alpha}) = \emptyset$. Then we have that $\sum_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} = R$, as it is not contained in any maximal ideal. Thus, $\exists a_{\alpha_1}, \dots, a_{\alpha_n}$ with $a_{\alpha_i} \in I_{\alpha_i}$ such that $a_{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_{\alpha_n} \in R^{\times}$. Thus, there is a finite subfamily of closed sets $\{V(I_{\alpha_i})\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ in the above family with trivial intersection, yielding the claim. \square

Exercise 3. *Given a finitely generated module M show that $\text{supp} M$ is a closed subset of $\text{Spec } R$. Give an example to show that it is not always closed for arbitrary modules.*

Proof. Consider the closed set $V(\text{ann}(M))$. We have that for any nontrivial prime \mathfrak{p} s.t. $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp} M$, $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\text{ann}(M))$ as the complement of \mathfrak{p} cannot intersect any element in the annihilator (else elements of the annihilator act invertibly, implying the module is 0). Now let $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\text{ann}(M))$. We claim that $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is nontrivial. Suppose it is trivial, and m_1, \dots, m_n are a generating set for M . Then $M = Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_n$ and $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}m_1 + \dots + R_{\mathfrak{p}}m_n$, which is 0 iff every summand is 0. If every summand is zero we have that $\exists s_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ s.t. $s_i m_i = 0$ for every m_i . This in particular implies that $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ must annihilate every m_i , implying it annihilates M and so $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \in \text{ann}(M)$. However by assumption $\forall i, s_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ so $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Contradiction! Thus, $\text{supp} M = V(\text{ann}(M))$ closed.

Now consider for example the \mathbb{Z} -module $\bigoplus_{p_i \neq p} \mathbb{Z}/p_i \mathbb{Z}$ for some fixed prime p . This is clearly not finitely generated, and has support $\text{supp} M = \{p_i : p_i \neq p\}$. Supposing this were a closed set, then there is some ideal $I \subset \bigcap_i (p_i)$ with $V(I)$ the set above. However, it is clear that $\bigcap_i (p_i) = 0$ as no element $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with finite prime factorization lies in the above intersection, implying that no $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ lies in the above intersection. As $V(0) = \text{Spec } R$, we have a contradiction. Thus, this set is not closed. \square