

On the Cohomology of Eilenberg-MacLane Spaces Modulo 2

Things I hope to address by the end of today's talk:

- Serre's Computation of $H^*(\mathbb{Z}/2, n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and immediate consequences
- If time, we'll examine applications to computing stable homotopy groups

Transgression

Let's run a quick refresher on the transgression:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \longrightarrow & \pi_q(F) & \xrightarrow{i} & \pi_q(E) & \xrightarrow{p} & \pi_q(B) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_{q-1}(F) \\
 & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow h \\
 & & & & & \pi_q(E, F) & & \\
 \longrightarrow & H_q(F) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_q(E) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_q(E, F) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{q-1}(F) \\
 & \downarrow p_* & & \downarrow p_* & & \downarrow \tau & \nearrow p_{0*} & \downarrow p_* \\
 \longrightarrow & H_q(*) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_q(B) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_q(B, *) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{q-1}(*)
 \end{array}$$

Which is a map $\tau : i_*^{-1} \text{im}(p_{0*}) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(F)/\partial(\ker(p_0))$, which is well-defined by the first isomorphism. In the cohomological Serre Spectral Sequence we note that this can be realized as a map $\tau : E_2^{0, n-1} \rightarrow E_2^{n, 0}$, and by noting that the first quadrant-ness means that $E_\infty^{0, n-1} \cong \ker(d_n) \subset E_n^{0, n-1}$, $E_\infty^{n, 0} \cong E_n^{n, 0}/\text{im}(d_n)$ and chasing definitions gives that this is in fact the subobject and quotient of the bits in the E_2 -page (subobject and quotient as one always has trivial boundary, one has everything cycle) that we care about, and the transgression is literally just the differential map d_n .

Call x_i a simple system of generators of a cohomology ring if they are homogenous and products of distinct elements $x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ ARE A BASIS OF the cohomology ring as a vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/2$ We have the result of Borel's Theorem that we have used in the past for classifying space cohomology, given by:

Theorem 1. $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ fibration with B path connected s.t.

1) E_2 page given by $H^*(B, \mathbb{Z}/2) \otimes H^*(B, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ (simple system of local coefficients)

2) $H^i(E, \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0 \ \forall \ i > 0$

3) $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has a simple system of transgressive generators (x_i) then

For x_i transgresses to $y_i \in H^*(B, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ we have that the latter is polynomial generated by y_i .

We now use our favorite fibration $K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n-1) \rightarrow PK \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n)$

Realization: an easy check of the above sequence shows that the fundamental class of the fiber is transgressive, and transgresses to the fundamental class of the base: the Sq^i commute with τ by naturality: so if $\tau(\iota_{n-1}) = \tau(\iota_n)$ then $\tau(Sq^i \iota_{n-1}) = Sq^i(\tau(\iota_{n-1})) = Sq^i \tau(\iota_n)$ which is nonzero as long as Sq^i is defined and not identically on grade n (again, naturality shows that you cannot pull back a trivial class to a nontrivial one).

This is quite nice, as for any polynomial algebra $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ we have a simple system of generators given by $(x_1, x_1^2, x_1^{2^2}, \dots, x_n, x_n^2, x_n^{2^2})$ the products of which form a basis by essentially binary decomposition. Noting that when this polynomial algebra is the cohomology ring of some fiber on transgressive generators, we have that each generator is iterating cup product squares, i.e. the top steenrod square on each thing, and as a

result we have a simple system of transgressive generators.

Call a sequence $I = i_1, \dots, i_r$ admissible if $i_n \geq 2i_{n+1}$, and let the excess $e(I) = (i_1 - 2i_2) + \dots + (i_{r-1} - 2i_r) + i_r = 2i_1 - \sum_r i_k$.

Theorem 2. *The cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{Z}/2, q; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[Sq^I]$ where I runs through all admissible sequences s.t. $e(I) < q$.*

The proof of this is an induction noting that $H^*(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) = \mathbb{Z}/2[\iota_1]$ real projective space, so the theorem is clearly true here. Supposing it holds for $q - 1$, then the work upstairs gives us that the cohomology ring of the total space comprises iterated cup squares of $Sq^J(\iota_q)$ for $e(J) < q - 1$, and the sequences we care about are of thus of the form $\{2^k s_J, \dots, s_J, j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ for s_J the degree of that guy. A little work shows that every admissible sequence of $e(I) < q$ is represented there exactly once and we have the result.

We apply a slight modification to derive $H^*(\mathbb{Z}, n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, where the result is the same except for the caveat that the last term of the admissible sequence must be greater than 1: starting the above induction at \mathbb{CP}^∞ shows the result.

Applications to Cohomology Operations

From the above result, we derive the following:

Theorem 3. *All operations $C : H^q(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ are of the form $C(x) = p(Sq^{I_1}, \dots, Sq^{I_k})(x)$*

Corollary 1. *If $n \leq 2q$ then for I running through a admissible sequences of degree n the Sq^I compose a basis for operations of type $(q, n, \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$.*

The above corollary also tells us that for $q > n$, $H^{n+q}(\mathbb{Z}/2, q; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is generated by the admissible sequences of degree n , and so all stable operations must eventually suspend to some admissible sequence in the squares, which commute with stability, so these are in fact the stable operations we care about.

This computation gives us an axiomatic description of the squares, as they can entirely be determined by their actions on \mathbb{CP}^∞ . We can also derive a proof of the Adem relations, by taking the map $f : (\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^{\times n} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n)$ corresponding to $f^*(\iota_n) = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ for x_i the generator of the i th component. A quick computation by iterating the Cartan formula, essentially, shows that the $Sq^I(x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n)$ are symmetric polynomials and end up being linearly independent over the symmetric polynomials and thus the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$; it is thus possible to derive relations between them in this fashion.