Math 210B Algebra: Homework 2

Jan 30th, 2019

Professor Sharifi

Anish Chedalavada

Exercise 1. Let p be an odd prime dividing $\Phi_n(a)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that either $p \mid n$ or $p \equiv 1 \mod n$.

Proof. We may assume $a \neq 0$. If $p \mid \Phi_n(a)$ then $p \mid (a^n-1)$. If n < p then $n \mid (p-1)$, as $a^n \equiv 1 \mod p$, and a must have order p-1 in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^{\times}$. Suppose the order of $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^{\times}$ is $e \leq (p-1) < n$. We have that $p \mid \Phi_e(a)$, (as $p \mid a^e-1$, so $p \mid \prod_{d \mid e} \Phi_d(a)$, and if it divides $\Phi_d(a)$ for d < e then it divides a^d-1 , contradicting that e was the order of $a \mod p$. Thus, a is a root of Φ_e , $\Phi_n \mod p$, which in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ are relatively prime polynomials dividing $t^n-1 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Consider the canonical surjection $\mathbb{Z}[t] \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[t]$, We have that the images of both of these polynomials must divide $t^n-1+(p)\equiv t^n-1 \mod (p)$, and thus t^n-1 has multiple roots, which is only possible if t^n-1 and nt^{n-1} are not relatively prime. Assuming \overline{n} is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, clearly, $t^n-1-\overline{n}^{-1}t(\overline{n}t^{n-1})=-1$, a contradiction. Thus, \overline{n} cannot be invertible $\Longrightarrow p \mid n$.

Exercise 2 (Problem 2).

Proof. a) Suppose α is transcendental over F(S). It is clear that α does not satisfy any polynomial in F(S)[t]: in particular, there does not exist a polynomial $f \in F[t_1, ..., t_n]$ for any arbitrary n s.t. $f(\alpha, s_1, ..., s_{n-1}) = 0$ for any $s_1, ..., s_{n-1} \in S$ for if there did then we may view this as a polynomial in F(S)[t] for which α is a root. The converse is also clear from this logic, as if $S \cup \alpha$ is F-algebraically independent then there is no polynomial in F(S)[t] for which α is a root: else for some supposed f we may view this as some polynomial $\overline{f} \in F[t_1, ..., t_n]$ for every s_1 that appears in f, which is zero under evaluation at each s_i in f and α . b) The forward direction applies from application of the previous result to each $s \in S$: if S is algebraically independent then S - s is a subset and therefore algebraically independent, and $S - \{s\} \cup \{s\}$ algebraically independent $\iff s$ is transcendental over $F(S - \{s\})$. Suppose now that s is transcendental over $F(S - \{s\})$ for every $s \in S$. If S was not algebraically independent, then there would exist some polynomial $f \in F[t_1, ..., t_n]$ s.t. $f(s_1, ..., s_n) = 0$ for some $s_1, ..., s_n \in S$. However, as s_n is transcendental over F(S) we have that $f(s_1, ..., s_{n-1})(t) \in F(S - \{s\})[t]$ cannot have s_n as a root, which is a contradiction. Thus, there cannot exist such a polynomial, and S must be F-algebraically independent.

Exercise 3 (Problem 3).

Proof. We have that α^{p^r-1} is inseparable over F, and in particular purely inseparable over the separable closure K/F in E. We have that α lives in a degree p^r extension over K as this is the degree of inseparability, and thus as the associated polynomial to any element in a purely inseparable extension is of the form $\alpha^{p^k} - \gamma^{p^k}$, we have that r must be minimal s.t. $\alpha^{p^r} \in K$ (as $\alpha^{p^r-1} \notin K$. Thus, α is degree p^r in E/K, and thus $E = K(\alpha)$. Furthermore, by the primitive element theorem, we have that $K = F(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in F$, and thus $K = F(\beta, \alpha)$.

Exercise 4. Let K/F be a normal field extension and $f \in F[x]$ be irreducible. Show that every two monic irreducible factors of $f \in K[x]$ are conjugate over F.

Proof. Suppose $f = h_1 h_2 ... h_n \in K[t]$ irreducible. Let α_i, α_j roots of h_i, h_j for arbitrary i, j. In \overline{F} there exists an F-embedding sending $\alpha_j \mapsto \alpha_i$. As K is normal, this embedding into \overline{F} restricts to an automorphism of K. Thus, there is an automorphism ϕ of K such that $\phi(a_k)\alpha_i^k + ... + \phi(a_0) = 0$ for $a_k, ..., a_0$ the coefficients of h_j , which we can extend to an automorphism $\overline{\phi}$ of K[t] by permuting the coefficients, i.e. having $\overline{\phi}(t) = t$. By assumption, $h_1, ..., h_n$ are irreducible, and as any automorphism of K[t] must take irreducibles to irreducibles, $\overline{\phi}(h_j)(\alpha) = 0 \implies \overline{\phi}(h_j) = m_K(\alpha_i) = h_i$, and thus h_i and h_j are conjugate.

Exercise 5 (Problem 5).

Proof. a) Consider the field K(x)/K for x transcendental, K an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. We have that any finitely generated intermediate field for this extension K(x)/E/K must be isomorphic to $K(p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$ for $p_1, ..., p_n$ rational functions in K(x). We may select $p_1, ..., p_n$ s.t. they are a maximally algebraically independent subset in E, and thus they form a transcendence basis for E/K s.t. $E/K(S) \cong E/E$ is separable (being a trivial extension). However, we have that the extension $K(x)/K(x^p)$ is inseparable

as the minimal polynomial of x is $t^p - x^p \in K(x^p)[t]$ from rationale given in the previous homework for $F(t_1, t_2)/F(t_1^p, t_2^p)$ with F arbitrary. Thus, this example holds.

Exercise 6 (Problem 6).

Proof. We have that the extension $F(x)/F(x^n+x^{-n})$ is separable as characteristic 0. We have that x is a solution to the polynomial $t^{2n} - 1 - t^n(x^n + x^{-n})$. In addition, we have that F is algebraically closed, and so in particular every nth root of unity ζ_n belongs in F; thus, $\zeta_n x$ is also a root of this polynomial for every ζ_n . Finally, we have that x^{-1} is also a root of this polynomial (clearly by evaluation), and thus $\zeta_n x^{-1}$ is also a root of this polynomial for every nth root of unity. Thus, we have 2n distinct roots in F(x), implying that this polynomial splits in F(x). Furthermore, any splitting field of this polynomial must contain a natural embedding from F(x), as x is a root of the polynomial. Thus, F(x) must be the unique splitting field of the polynomial given above, implying this is a normal, separable, and in particular Galois extension. Thus, the Galois group has order 2n. We have an F(x) automorphism of order 2, given by sending $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ and acting trivially on the field by extending linearly: this fixes the subfield $F(x^n + x^{-n})$. Fixing a primitive nth root of unity ζ_n , We have an F(x) automorphism given by sending $\phi: x \mapsto \zeta_n x$ and acting trivially on the field, and extending linearly. This map is well defined, as given arbitrary $y \in F(x)$, we have that $y = ax^i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\phi(ax^i) = a\phi(x^i) = a\zeta_n^i x^i$. It is a homomorphism by definition and is invertible and thus surjective, and thus is an automorphism: in particular, it fixes x^n, x^{-n} and thus fixes the subfield $F(x^n + x^{-n})$. This is an order n automorphism that we shall call σ , while we refer to the order 2 automorphism as τ . Collectively, σ, τ must generate the Galois group as $\sigma > 0$ is a product of two disjoint groups of order n and 2 respectively. We have that $\tau\sigma(x) = \zeta_n^{n-1}x \neq \zeta_n x^{-1} = \sigma\tau(x)$, so τ, σ do not commute with each other: furthermore, $\langle \sigma \rangle$ must be a normal subgroup as it has index equal to the smallest prime dividing G. Thus, we may present it as a semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the only nontrivial map of \mathbb{Z}_2 into Z_n^{\times} being the semidirect product of the dihedral group of order n. Thus, $G \cong D_n$.

Exercise 7 (Problem 7).

Proof. Viewing $f = x^6 + 3x^4 + 3x^2 - 2$ as a polynomial in x^2 , we see that x^2 can have the values $\sqrt[3]{3} - 1$, $-1 - \zeta_3 \sqrt[3]{3}$, $\zeta_3^2 \sqrt[3]{3} - 1$. Thus, x is \pm the square root of each of these solutions.