

(3) (10 points) As in question (2), let  $T \subset \Sigma^*$  denote the set of all strings  $x$  such that  $x$  is the description of a termination-safe Turing machine. Prove that  $\bar{T}$ , the complement of  $T$  in  $\Sigma^*$ , is not recursively enumerable.

**Solution:**

The problem is aiming to prove that the set  $\bar{T}$  is not recursively enumerable (*r.e.*), where  $T$  belongs to  $\Sigma^*$  denote the set of all strings  $x$  such that  $x$  is the description of a termination-safe Turing Machine. Termination-safe Turing Machine refers to one that is guaranteed to terminate on every input string. To prove that set  $\bar{T}$  is not recursively enumerable, we reducing from the co-halting problem  $\bar{H}$  to  $\bar{T}$  since  $\bar{H}$  is not recursively enumerable. Given a Turing Machine  $M_{\bar{T}}$  which is used to solve the termination-safe problem such that  $M_{\bar{T}}(M)$  outputs YES if and only if Turing Machine  $M$  does not halt on input  $y$ . We need another machine  $C$  which is used to solve the co-halting problem such that  $C(x; y)$  outputs YES if and only if  $x$  does not halt on input  $y$ . Then, we can construct machine  $C$  which encoding machine  $x$  and input  $y$  as the input of machine  $M_{\bar{T}}$ . Assume that machine  $M_{\bar{T}}$  takes in a machine which is the encapsulating of  $x$  and  $y$  which represents as  $S_{x,y}$ . There is a one-to-one relationship which combines machine  $M_{\bar{T}}$  and machine  $C$  that Turing Machine  $M$  is not termination-safe if and only if  $x$  does not halt on input  $y$ . Thus, Turing Machine  $S_{x,y}$  should operates as following: If  $x$  is not halt on input  $y$ , then the machine should loop forever which means that  $S_{x,y}$  is not termination-safe. If  $x$  halts on input  $y$ , then the machine halts which means that  $S_{x,y}$  is termination-safe. Now we define the operation rule of Turing Machine  $M_{\bar{T}}(S_{x,y})$ .  $M_{\bar{T}}(S_{x,y})$  outputs NO if and only if  $S_{x,y}$  is not termination-safe which means that machine  $x$  is not halt on input  $y$ .  $M_{\bar{T}}(S_{x,y})$  outputs YES if and only if  $S_{x,y}$  is termination-safe which means that machine  $x$  is halt on input  $y$ . Therefore, we reduced  $\bar{T}$  from the co-halting problem  $\bar{H}$  which means that the set size of  $\bar{T}$  is greater than or equals to the set size of  $\bar{H}$ . Since co-halting problem  $\bar{H}$  is not recursively enumerable,  $\bar{T}$  is not recursively enumerable. Next, we proof by strong induction.  $x; y$  belongs to set  $\bar{H}$  if and only if  $M_{\bar{T}}$  accepts  $x; y$  which means  $S_{x,y}$  accepts  $x; y$ . Thus,  $\bar{H}$  is recursively enumerable which contradict to the fact that  $\bar{H}$  is not recursively enumerable. Therefore,  $\bar{T}$  is not recursively enumerable.