

12 Feb 2018. The Knapsack Problem

Given budget W and n items where item i has
value v_i
weight w_i } \uparrow integers

Select set of items with combined weight $\leq W$,
and maximize combined value under this constraint.

Today. Algorithm with running time $O(nW)$.

"Pseudo-polynomial time"

If input were written in binary, W would be
represented using $\lceil \log(W) \rceil$ bits, so $O(nW)$
is potentially exponential in input size.

"Pseudo-polynomial" means if all the numbers were
represented in unary (e.g. representing 8 as IIIIIIII)
then the running time is polynomial in the size of
that input representation.

Dynamic Program for Knapsack.

Question 1. What is the last decision you would need
to make when assembling a solution?

Ans. Include the n -th item or leave it out?

Question 2. What information would you need to have on hand
to make that decision optimally?

Ans. [a.] If the opt. solution leaves out n -th item, then it
is an opt. knapsack solution for budget W and
items $1, \dots, n-1$.

[b.] If the opt. solution includes the n -th item, then it
is an opt. knapsack solution for budget $W - w_n$ and
items $1, \dots, n-1$.

Question 3. What information should the dynamic programming
table store, to ensure this information is always
on hand?

Ans. $T[i, j]$ should store maximum value knapsack solution using
items $1, \dots, i$ and with total weight $\leq j$.

$T[i, j]$ should store maximum value knapsack solution using items $1, \dots, i$ and with total weight $\leq j$.

Algorithm for Knapsack

```
Initialize  $T[0, j] = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, W$ 
for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 
  for  $j = 0, \dots, W$ 
    if  $j < w_i$  then  $T[i, j] = T[i-1, j]$ 
    else  $T[i, j] = \max \{ T[i-1, j], v_i + T[i-1, j - w_i] \}$ 
  end for
end for
```

→ Output $T[n, W]$.

Initialize $S = \emptyset$. Initialize $B = W$.

```
for  $i = n, n-1, \dots, 1$ 
  if  $(w_i \leq B) \ \&\& \ (T[i, B] = v_i + T[i-1, B - w_i])$ 
     $S \leftarrow S \cup \{i\}$ 
     $B \leftarrow B - w_i$ 
  end if
end for
```

→ Output S .

Proving Correctness. For the sake of brevity, this proof will only show that $T[n, W]$ equals the value of the opt knapsack solution.

Induction hypothesis:

$T[i, j]$ should store maximum value knapsack solution using items $1, \dots, i$ and with total weight $\leq j$.

Induction over pairs (i, j) in the order that the alg fills in the corresponding table entries.

Base Case: $i = 0$, items $1, \dots, i$ denotes an empty set, so max value = 0.

Induction Step: The opt solution with items $1, \dots, i$ and budget j either includes i or it doesn't. If it includes i , it must be the case that $j \geq w_i$, and the remainder of the opt knapsack solution uses items $1, \dots, i-1$ and budget $j - w_i$. Since induction hypothesis implies $T[i-1, j - w_i]$ is the best we can get from

those items with that budget, the opt value is $T[i-1, j-w_i] + v_i$ in this case.

In the other case, the opt knapsack solution excludes item i and, again using induct hypth, has value $T[i-1, j]$.

Hence the opt solution in all cases has value

$$\begin{cases} T[i-1, j] & \text{if } w_i > j \\ \max\{T[i-1, j], v_i + T[i-1, j-w_i]\} & \text{if } w_i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

matching the formula in the pseudocode.