## [EX 16]

Fill up the functions *build\_model* and *train\_model* to build and train up your own model (you can use whatever model you desire) and plot the main model metrics and defend, on the report, why you have chosen that model (from model selection to hyperparameters selection) based on graphics and metrics.

In exercise 16, I have built a Recurrent Neural Network since we are interested in the whole meaning of the commentary, so we need to keep in memory the computations of the previous words. In my case, I have used a LSTM model (Long short-term memory), that takes the 128 dimensions from the Embedding layer and gives it back to the two final Dense layers, that end up classifying between the different classes of comments that we receive: good, netural, and bad. As an optimizer I declined myself to Adam's since it gave me better results overall. The number of epochs is set to 20 since it is about that number that the model converges to a loss and accuracy value.

Layer (type)	Dutput Shape	Param #
embedding_2 (Embedding)	(None, None, 128)	128999
lstm_2 (LSTM)	(None, 32)	28688
dense 4 (Dense)	(None, 16)	528
dense 5 (bense)	(None, 3)	51

## [EX 21]

Save the data\_report, mainwords\_count\_df, mainwords\_conversation\_df, relatedword\_per\_mainword\_df and build up a dashboard with the idea of help to Customer Service to quickly understand what customers think about their product, identify those unsatisfied customers and see the main and related words

For this exercise 21 of pr9, I have created a dashboard in Tableau that can be accessed through the following link:

https://public.tableau.com/profile/aran3436#!/vizhome/Pr9TextAnalyticsforCustomerCare/Dashboard1

In the dashboard we can see on the top right plot, the 10 most important words for each of the sentiment's comments. The dimensionality of the size is proportional to the total count of the word. We can appreciate that the words product, sound, and qualiti are considerably big in all three sentiments.

Right below, in a stacked bar plot, the comments count of each of the main words, differentiating the sentiment on their appearance. From this plot we can conclude that the main words retrieved count for good feedback comments, followed by neutral ones.

On its left, we have all of the products and its average ratings, also differentiating between the sentiments and with the average trend line for each of them. In this third plot, we observe the expected, since the average rating of comments depend on the final sentiment, except from the Flybot Wave, where the bad sentiment column has a larger average rating than the neutral sentiment one.

Finally, at the bottom of the dashboard, we observe the MainWords and their RelativeWords that are used to explain the different sentiments. For example, the main word 'best' does not matter what its relative is since the sentiment it shows is 'good'. That may be something expected, but as stated in the first plot, the main word 'good' is used for explaining the three of the sentiments without taking into account the relative word. As a curiosity, 'ear' is used for neutral comments, and 'month' ends up in bad feedback comments.

