

CS342: Operating Systems Lab  
Department of Computer Science and Engineering,  
Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati  
North Guwahati, Assam 781 039

## Exercise UP-03

OS Lessons: System calls for file operations  
Rating: Moderate  
Last update: 20 February 2017

Implementing PintOS code related to the operations on the file-system and files in the system is not very difficult under this project as PintOS provides the underlying implementation with a well-described interface. Students only need to make wise calls to the provided functions.

However, some students may find the exercise laborious and time consuming. The reason being the need to safeguard the kernel from the mistakes and deliberate attempts to sabotage the kernel by the user programs.

Any way a system call can harm the kernel must be denied. The sanity checks on addresses and values mentioned in the previous exercise need to be applied with enthusiasm. The memory references made by the kernel, using the user program provided arguments to the system calls, need to be tested in the system call specific manners before being allowed.

Two opposing pressures affect us. If a check is made earlier in the call, it applies to many divergent courses the system call service routines may take. On the other hand, a sanity check made at a later stage (near the actual memory reference) allows us to not prohibit arguments that may be acceptable in some circumstances.

For example, if a string is given for writing, we need to clearly establish that it starts in the user virtual address space. Do we need to ensure that the last address is also in the same virtual address space? We do not know for sure. The string may be not long enough to be a problem. Or, the number of bytes asked to be written may not be causing any problem. The data-sanity checkers are not difficult to write, but have many special cases to attend to.

Section 3.1.5 *Accessing User memory* hints at the challenges you need to overcome before you claim victory in this exercise of ensuring sanity of every argument that a user program may provide in a system call.

A simple but important decision you have to make is about the per-process open file table. Two issues of importance are its size and location. In our implementation for this project we have a table of 128 entries and is located in `struct thread`. The later projects may call for revision of this decision.

## 36 Results of `make check` Command Execution:

37 As this exercise was quite simple, you must make sure that your implementation has  
38 progressed to the levels matching the results below.

39 The only requirements from User Program PintOs project that remains unimplemented at  
40 this stage are: `exec()`, `wait()` and *Denying Writes to Executables*. These excluded  
41 functionality shall be our final exercise in project User Program. They are listed as a separate  
42 exercise because the implementation will require significant planning and efforts.

```
43 [vmm@progsrv build]$ make check
44 pass tests/userprog/args-none
45 pass tests/userprog/args-single
46 pass tests/userprog/args-multiple
47 pass tests/userprog/args-many
48 pass tests/userprog/args-dbl-space
49 pass tests/userprog/sc-bad-sp
50 pass tests/userprog/sc-bad-arg
51 pass tests/userprog/sc-boundary
52 pass tests/userprog/sc-boundary-2
53 pass tests/userprog/halt
54 pass tests/userprog/exit
55 pass tests/userprog/create-normal
56 pass tests/userprog/create-empty
57 pass tests/userprog/create-null
58 pass tests/userprog/create-bad-ptr
59 pass tests/userprog/create-long
60 pass tests/userprog/create-exists
61 pass tests/userprog/create-bound
62 pass tests/userprog/open-normal
63 pass tests/userprog/open-missing
64 pass tests/userprog/open-boundary
65 pass tests/userprog/open-empty
66 pass tests/userprog/open-null
67 pass tests/userprog/open-bad-ptr
68 pass tests/userprog/open-twice
69 pass tests/userprog/close-normal
70 pass tests/userprog/close-twice
71 pass tests/userprog/close-stdin
72 pass tests/userprog/close-stdout
73 pass tests/userprog/close-bad-fd
74 pass tests/userprog/read-normal
75 pass tests/userprog/read-bad-ptr
76 pass tests/userprog/read-boundary
77 pass tests/userprog/read-zero
78 pass tests/userprog/read-stdout
79 pass tests/userprog/read-bad-fd
80 pass tests/userprog/write-normal
81 pass tests/userprog/write-bad-ptr
82 pass tests/userprog/write-boundary
```

83 pass tests/userprog/write-zero  
84 pass tests/userprog/write-stdin  
85 pass tests/userprog/write-bad-fd  
86 FAIL tests/userprog/exec-once  
87 FAIL tests/userprog/exec-arg  
88 FAIL tests/userprog/exec-multiple  
89 FAIL tests/userprog/exec-missing  
90 pass tests/userprog/exec-bad-ptr  
91 FAIL tests/userprog/wait-simple  
92 FAIL tests/userprog/wait-twice  
93 FAIL tests/userprog/wait-killed  
94 pass tests/userprog/wait-bad-pid  
95 FAIL tests/userprog/multi-recurse  
96 FAIL tests/userprog/multi-child-fd  
97 FAIL tests/userprog/rox-simple  
98 FAIL tests/userprog/rox-child  
99 FAIL tests/userprog/rox-multichild  
100 pass tests/userprog/bad-read  
101 pass tests/userprog/bad-write  
102 pass tests/userprog/bad-read2  
103 pass tests/userprog/bad-write2  
104 pass tests/userprog/bad-jump  
105 pass tests/userprog/bad-jump2  
106 FAIL tests/userprog/no-vm/multi-oom  
107 pass tests/filesys/base/lg-create  
108 pass tests/filesys/base/lg-full  
109 pass tests/filesys/base/lg-random  
110 pass tests/filesys/base/lg-seq-block  
111 pass tests/filesys/base/lg-seq-random  
112 pass tests/filesys/base/sm-create  
113 pass tests/filesys/base/sm-full  
114 pass tests/filesys/base/sm-random  
115 pass tests/filesys/base/sm-seq-block  
116 pass tests/filesys/base/sm-seq-random  
117 FAIL tests/filesys/base/syn-read  
118 pass tests/filesys/base/syn-remove  
119 FAIL tests/filesys/base/syn-write  
120 15 of 76 tests failed.  
121 make: \*\*\* [check] Error 1  
122

123 **Contributing Authors:**

124 **Vishv Malhotra, Gautam Barua, Rashmi Dutta Baruah**