

Python Lists, Indexing, Slicing & Matrices — Q&A; (Set 13)

Q1) Use both positive and negative indexing—any repercussion?

Yes, you can mix positive and negative indexes freely; there is no special penalty beyond normal bounds checks. Caveat: be consistent for readability.

Q2) Fastest way to make a list of 1,000 identical values

For immutable values (numbers, strings, None): `xs = [0] * 1000`.

For mutable values (dict/list), avoid shared references: `rows = [{ } for _ in range(1000)]` or use `itertools.repeat(None, 1000)` and replace as needed.

Q3) Take every other element (1st, 3rd, 5th...)

Use slicing with a step: `odds = lst[0::2]`. For 2nd, 4th, 6th..., use `lst[1::2]`.

Q4) Indexing vs slicing (key differences)

Aspect	Indexing <code>lst[i]</code>	Slicing <code>lst[i:j:k]</code>
--- --- ---		
Result	Single element	New list (possibly empty)
Out of range	<code>IndexError</code>	Clamped to bounds (no error)
Step	N/A	Supports step; negatives like <code>::-1</code>
Assignment	<code>lst[i] = x</code> (one)	<code>lst[i:j:k] = ...</code> (subsequence)

Q5) What if slice indexes are out of range?

Slicing clamps start/stop to valid bounds—no `IndexError`. Only invalid step (e.g., 0) or illegal extended-slice assignments raise errors.

Q6) Let a function modify the caller's list — what to avoid?

Avoid rebinding the parameter to a new list (`xs = xs + [99]` or `xs = sorted(xs)`). Do in-place changes: `xs.append(...)`, `xs[0] = ...`, `xs[:] = sorted(xs)`, `xs.extend(...)`.

Q7) What is an “unbalanced” (jagged) matrix?

A list of lists with differing row lengths, e.g., `[[1,2,3],[4,5],[6]]`. Algorithms must not assume rectangular shape.

Q8) Why use a comprehension or loop for arbitrarily large matrices?

To avoid aliasing and to build distinct rows/content by index. Bad: $M = [[0]^m] * n$ (rows alias). Good: $M = [[0]^m \text{ for } _ \text{ in range}(n)]$ or $M = [[i^*j \text{ for } j \text{ in range}(m)] \text{ for } i \text{ in range}(n)]$.