# Python Lists, Indexing, Slicing & Matrices — Q&A (Set 13)

## Q1) Use both positive and negative indexing—any repercussion?

Yes, you can mix positive and negative indexes freely; there is no special penalty beyond normal bounds checks. Caveat: be consistent for readability.

## Q2) Fastest way to make a list of 1,000 identical values

For immutable values (numbers, strings, None): xs = [0] \* 1000.  
For mutable values (dict/list), avoid shared references: rows = [{} for \_ in range(1000)] or use itertools.repeat(None, 1000) and replace as needed.

## Q3) Take every other element (1st, 3rd, 5th…)

Use slicing with a step: odds = lst[0::2]. For 2nd, 4th, 6th…, use lst[1::2].

## Q4) Indexing vs slicing (key differences)

| Aspect | Indexing lst[i] | Slicing lst[i:j:k] |  
|---|---|---|  
| Result | Single element | New list (possibly empty) |  
| Out of range | IndexError | Clamped to bounds (no error) |  
| Step | N/A | Supports step; negatives like [::-1] |  
| Assignment | lst[i] = x (one) | lst[i:j:k] = … (subsequence) |

## Q5) What if slice indexes are out of range?

Slicing clamps start/stop to valid bounds—no IndexError. Only invalid step (e.g., 0) or illegal extended-slice assignments raise errors.

## Q6) Let a function modify the caller’s list — what to avoid?

Avoid rebinding the parameter to a new list (xs = xs + [99] or xs = sorted(xs]). Do in-place changes: xs.append(…), xs[0] = …, xs[:] = sorted(xs), xs.extend(…).

## Q7) What is an “unbalanced” (jagged) matrix?

A list of lists with differing row lengths, e.g., [[1,2,3],[4,5],[6]]. Algorithms must not assume rectangular shape.

## Q8) Why use a comprehension or loop for arbitrarily large matrices?

To avoid aliasing and to build distinct rows/content by index. Bad: M = [[0]\*m] \* n (rows alias). Good: M = [[0]\*m for \_ in range(n)] or M = [[i\*j for j in range(m)] for i in range(n)].