### **Machine Learning for IoT**

# Lab 3 – Training & Deployment

### **Exercise 1:** Keyword Spotting with Spectrogram

In Deepnote, create a Python notebook to train and evaluate a model for keyword spotting on the Mini Speech Command dataset.

- 1.1 Build a *tf.data* pipeline for data ingestion & pre-processing. Compute log-Mel spectrogram features with the following hyperparameters:
  - Sampling rate: 16000Hz.
  - STFT frame length: 40ms.
  - STFT frame overlap: 50%.
  - # of mel bins: 40.
  - Mel lower frequency: 20Hz.
  - Mel upper frequency: 4000Hz.
- 1.2 Develop a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) with the architecture reported in the table below:

```
CNN
Conv2D(filters=128, kernel size=[3, 3], stride=[2, 2],
       use bias=False, padding='valid')
BatchNormalization()
ReLU()
Conv2D(filters=128, kernel size=[3, 3], stride=[1, 1],
       use bias=False, padding='same')
BatchNormalization()
ReLU()
Conv2D(filters=128, kernel size=[3, 3], stride=[1, 1],
       use bias=False, padding='same')
BatchNormalization()
ReLU()
GlobalAveragePooling2D()
Dense(units=8)
Softmax()
```

- 1.3 Train the model using the following experimental setup:
  - Select the *SparseCategoricalCrossentropy* (with *from\_logits*=False) as loss function.
  - Select Adam as optimizer and set a linear decay schedule for the learning rate.
  - Select the SparseCategoricalAccuracy to evaluate the prediction quality.
- 1.4 Set the following training hyper-parameters:
  - Batch size: 20.
  - Initial learning rate: 0.01.
  - End learning rate: 1e-5.
  - # of epochs: 10.

- 1.5 Evaluate the *SparseCategoricalAccuracy* on the test-set.
- 1.6 Save the Keras model using the current timestamp as the name in a folder named *saved\_models*.
- 1.7 Repeat the training & evaluation flow using different hyperparameters values:
  - STFT frame length  $\in$  [10, 50] ms. Try also with power-of-two values like 8ms, 16ms, 32ms.
  - STFT frame step such that overlap  $\in \{0\%, 25\%, 50\%, 75\%\}$ .
  - # of mel bins  $\in [10, 40]$ .
  - Mel lower frequency  $\in$  [20, 80] Hz.
  - Mel upper frequency ∈ [2000, 8000] Hz.
- 1.8 For each configuration, evaluate the *SparseCategoricalAccuracy* and report the collected results in a table. Comment the collected results:
  - Which is the configuration with the highest accuracy?
  - How much accuracy improved after tuning the pre-processing hyperparameters?
  - Which is (are) the hyperparameter(s) that most affect(s) accuracy?

### **Exercise 2:** Keyword Spotting with MFCCs

In Deepnote, create a modified version of the notebook of *Exercise 1* to train the CNN model with MFCC features.

- 2.1 Build a *tf.data* pipeline for data ingestion & pre-processing. Compute MFCC features with the following hyperparameters:
  - Sampling rate: 16000Hz.
  - STFT frame length: 40ms.
  - STFT frame overlap: 50%.
  - # of mel bins: 40.
  - Mel lower frequency: 20Hz.
  - Mel upper frequency: 4000Hz.
  - # of MFCCs: 10.
- 2.2 Run the training & evaluation flow (see *Exercise 1*, 1.2 1.6).
- 2.3 Repeat the flow using different hyperparameters values:
  - STFT frame length  $\in$  [10, 50] ms. Try also with power-of-two values like 8ms, 16ms, 32ms.
  - STFT frame step such that overlap  $\in \{0\%, 25\%, 50\%, 75\%\}$ .
  - # of mel bins  $\in [10, 40]$ .
  - Mel lower frequency  $\in$  [20, 80] Hz.
  - Mel upper frequency ∈ [2000, 8000] Hz.
  - # of MFCCs  $\in$  [10, 40].
- 2.4 For each configuration, evaluate the *SparseCategoricalAccuracy*. Report and comment the collected results

- 2.5 Compare the results of *Exercises 1*, 2, and 3 and answer the following questions:
  - Which is the maximum accuracy achievable?
  - Which is the pre-processing technique that guarantees the maximum accuracy?
  - Which is the most important optimization? Feature selection (Spectrogram vs. Mel vs. MFCCs) or hyperparameters tuning?

#### **Exercise 3: TFLite Conversion**

In Deepnote, create a Python notebook to convert a trained model from the TensorFlow *SavedModel* format to the *TFLite* format.

- Convert the *SavedModel* using the *TFLiteConverter*.
- Save the *TFLite* model in a new folder named *tflite\_models*.
- Repeat the the TFLite conversion for the models generated in *Exercises 1* and 2.

### **Exercise 4:** TFLite Testing

- 4.1 In Deepnote, create three Python notebooks to test the *TFLite* models generated in Exercise 4:
  - 1) Test Inference with log-Mel Spectrogram.
  - 2) Test Inference with MFCCs.
- 4.2 For each test, develop an inference pipeline that:
  - Read WAV files from the MSC test-set
  - Apply pre-processing
  - Run inference with the *TFLite* interpreter.
  - Measure the categorical accuracy.
  - Measure the median latency needed for pre-processing, model prediction, and their sum (batch size=1).
  - Measure the *TFLite* model size in KB (1KB = 1024 bytes).
- 4.3 Test the *TFLite* models and summarize the collected results in the following plots:
  - Accuracy (in %) vs. Latency (in ms).
  - Accuracy (in %) vs. Model size (in KB).

#### 4.4 Comment the results:

- Which is the major contribution to inference latency? Pre-processing or model prediction?
- Which is the pre-processing configuration of the solutions belonging to the Pareto front in the Accuracy vs. Latency trade-off?
- Which is the pre-processing configuration of the solutions belonging to the Pareto front in the Accuracy vs. Memory trade-off?

**Note:** See the definition of Pareto efficiency in <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pareto\_efficiency">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pareto\_efficiency</a>.

## **Exercise 5:** TFLite Deployment

Select one *TFLite* model from the Pareto front of *Exercise 5* and deploy it to your notebook.

- 5.1 Record few examples of keywords with your microphone and store the audio data on disk. Set the # of channels to 1, the resolution to *int16*, and the sampling frequency to 16kHz.
- 5.2 In VS Code, write a Python script that reads the recorded audio data and run inference with the *TFLite* model.
- 5.3 Double-check the predictions of the *TFLite* model with the collected data and comment the results:
  - Is the model accurate enough with real data?
  - What can be done to improve the prediction quality?