

1. Overview

The Health Score Engine is used to assess an individual's health risk based on clinical, lifestyle and demographic data. The health score would be a normalized health score, which is a crucial input in the insurance underwriting process to evaluate risk and determine premiums or eligibility.

2. Objectives


- Quantify the applicant's health status as a numeric score (0–100).
 - Enable risk-based decision-making during underwriting.
 - Standardize health assessments across different data sources.
 - Allow explanation or traceability of the score (transparency for underwriters).
-

3. Inputs

We will have input from proposal form and Diagnostic or proposal form, Medical Representative Questions and Diagnostic Reports:

1. Inputs from proposal form.
Example : Age, Gender, BMI, Occupation, Marital Status, Lifestyle conditions, medications, questions about existing health conditions.

Following is the link to the Proposal Form Questions:

 ProposalFormQuestions.jpg

2. Following is the link to the questions asked by the Medical Representative

 MERT.pdf

3. Following Diagnostic Reports are collected from the some of the Customers:
Stress/ Treadmill Test – TMT

- a. Electro CardioGram - ECG
- b. Cotinine Test - CT
- c. Body Mass Index – BMI
- d. Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS)
- e. 2 D Echo
- f. Routine Urine Analysis (RUA)

- g. Liver Function Test – LFT
 - h. Ultrasonography – US (Women Only)
 - i. Lipid Profile
 - j. Human Immunodeficiency Virus Test – HIV
 - k. Chest X-Ray – XR
 - l. Comprehensive Trail Making Test – CTT
-

4. Outputs

Output Field	Description
Health Score	A score between 0 and 100 indicating overall health (higher is healthier)
Risk Category	e.g., Low / Medium / High
Score Factors	Top 3 contributing features to the score (for explainability)
Underwriting Flag	Pass / Manual Review / Decline

5. Processing & Logic

5.1 Preprocessing

- Data normalization & cleaning
- Imputation of missing fields (if feasible)
- Standardization across sources (e.g., units, formats)

5.2 Scoring Algorithm

- Start with a Rule-based model and move to ML model as the data gets accumulated.

6. Functional Requirements

ID	Requirement
----	-------------

- FR1 Ingest applicant data from underwriting portal/API
- FR2 Validate required fields and flag missing critical data
- FR3 Generate health score using configured logic
- FR4 Classify score into risk buckets
- FR5 Log scoring decision and input snapshot for audit
-

7. Non-Functional Requirements

ID	Requirement
----	-------------

- | | |
|------|--|
| NFR1 | Response time < 1s for single applicant scoring |
| NFR2 | Scalable to support bulk scoring (e.g., 10,000 applicants/day) |
| NFR3 | Model retraining support every 3-6 months |
| NFR4 | Secure handling of sensitive health data (HIPAA / IRDAI compliant) |
| NFR5 | High availability with failover strategy |
-

8. Integrations

- **Underwriting Portal / CRM**
 - **Policy Admin System** (optional)
-

9. Assumptions

- Minimal dataset size available to train initial model.
 - Clinical text/NLP support is optional and phase-2.
 - Health data is structured/formatted before ingestion.
-

10. Future Enhancements

- Integration (ABDM) Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission - ABHA.
- Risk Assessment ML models
- Personalized premium recommendations.

Simple Rule Engine Example

What to Solve:

Simple weighted scoring approach combining **lifestyle** (behavioral) inputs and **CBC** (Complete Blood Count) lab values into a single **0–100 health score**.

Design overview (high level)

- Output: single **Health Score (0–100)**.
- Components:
 - **Lifestyle** (weight = 40% of total score → 0–40 points)
 - **CBC** (weight = 60% of total score → 0–60 points)
- Each component is the sum of sub-scores computed by simple rules (thresholds, boolean checks).
- Final score = lifestyle_score + cbc_score (rounded).

Lifestyle (0–40 points total)

Weights inside lifestyle:

- Smoking: 0–10 points
 - Non-smoker → 10
 - Former smoker (quit >1 year) → 7
 - Occasional smoker → 4
 - Regular smoker → 0
- Alcohol consumption: 0–6 points
 - None/rare → 6

- Moderate (within guidelines) → 4
 - Above guidelines → 1
- Physical activity: 0–10 points
 - ≥150 min/week moderate or ≥75 min vigorous → 10
 - 75–149 min moderate → 6
 - <75 min → 2
- Diet quality: 0–8 points (subjective / survey)
 - Healthy balanced diet → 8
 - Mixed → 4
 - Poor → 0
- Sleep: 0–6 points
 - 7–9 hours good quality → 6
 - 6–7 or 9–10 → 3
 - <6 or poor quality → 0

(These add up to 40.)

CBC (0–60 points total)

Pick common CBC markers — each mapped to 0–12 points so 5 markers * 12 = 60 (you can choose different splits).

Example markers and *example* reference ranges (adapt to lab & patient sex/age):

- Hemoglobin (Hb) — good range:
 - Female: 12–16 g/dL, Male: 13.5–17.5 g/dL
 - Scoring (0–12): full points if within range, partial if mildly low/high, 0 if severe abnormal.
- White Blood Cell (WBC) count — good range: $4.0\text{--}11.0 \times 10^9/\text{L}$
- Platelet count — good range: $150\text{--}400 \times 10^9/\text{L}$
- Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV) — good range: 80–100 fL
- RBC morphology/flags (or neutrophil% / lymphocyte% if you prefer) — objective check or substitute another numeric CBC measure.

Scoring approach per marker (0–12):

- Within normal range → 12
- Mildly abnormal (10–20% outside range) → 6–8
- Moderately abnormal (20–40% outside) → 2–4
- Severely abnormal (>40% outside) → 0

You can also penalize combinations (e.g., both low Hb and low MCV → iron deficiency pattern → lower points).

Aggregation & interpretation

- Final numeric score = lifestyle_points (0–40) + cbc_points (0–60) → round to nearest integer.
- Interpretation example:
 - 85–100 → Excellent
 - 70–84 → Good
 - 50–69 → Fair — consider lifestyle changes / checkups
 - <50 → Concerning — recommend clinical follow-up