Computer Engineering Program Course Committee Outcomes Assessment Evaluation Form

| Course Number and Title: 3101, Intro to Software Term and Year: Instructor: | | |
|--|--|--|
| Course Committee Participants: Kyle Adam Zach Brian Brett | | |
| Date: Sun Dec 07 2014 15:33:19 GMT-0500 (EST) | | |
| I. Course Issues: | | |
| Syllabus: Does the syllabus reflect current content? Are there topics that should be dropped from the course? Are there topics that should be added to the course? | ☐ YES ☑ NO ☑ YES ☐ NO ☐ YES ☑ NO | |
| Textbook: Is the textbook working well? Should changes be considered for the next academic year? Are there new books available that should be evaluated? Does the book map well onto the syllabus? | ☐ YES ☑ NO ☑ YES ☐ NO ☑ YES ☐ NO ☐ YES ☑ NO | |
| Do other assessments (performance/exit surveys, student feedback) indicate issues that need to be addressed? | ✓ YES □ NO | |
| Student Performance: Did students master the material? Are there problems in the their knowledge of key concepts? | ☐ YES ☑ NO☐ YES ☑ NO | |
| ACTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS: This is test for sectionI | | |
| II. Program Issues: | | |
| Are the pre-requisites still appropriate for this course? Does the course content satisfy the needs of follow-on courses? | ✓ YES ☐ NO☐ YES ✓ NO | |
| ACTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS: This is test for sectionII | | |
| III. Evaluation of Outcomes Assessments: | | |
| Recommendations for course improvement: Drop the course | | |
| Recommendations to CEN program governance (e.g. curriculum committee): Give me a raise | | |

Comments/Recommendations on this process: This is test for section III

COMPUTER ENGINEERING PROGRAM SUMMARY OF COURSE COMMITTEE ANALYSIS

Course Number and Title: 3101, Intro to Software

Term and Year: Instructor:

Course Committee Participants: Kyle Adam Zach Brian Brett

Date: Sun Dec 07 2014 15:33:19 GMT-0500 (EST)

| Outcome: (a) Something | Evaluation:(satisfactory, unsatisfactory, weaknesses, identified, suggestedimprovements, remarks) |
|--|---|
| Number of students: | |
| 1. Instruments Chosen | satisfactory |
| 2. Likert Scale Threshold(s) | satisfactory |
| 3. Sample Graded Student Work | satisfactory |
| 4. Percentage of Students Achieving Outcome | satisfactory |
| 5. Average Likert Value | satisfactory |
| 6. Achievement of Outcome | satisfactory |
| 7. Suggested Improvements on Achieving Outcome | none |

| Outcome: (b) aksjdf | Evaluation:(satisfactory, unsatisfactory, weaknesses, identified, suggestedimprovements, remarks) |
|--|---|
| Number of students: | |
| 1. Instruments Chosen | unsatisfactory |
| 2. Likert Scale Threshold(s) | satisfactory |
| 3. Sample Graded Student Work | unsatisfactory |
| 4. Percentage of Students Achieving Outcome | satisfactory |
| 5. Average Likert Value | unsatisfactory |
| 6. Achievement of Outcome | satisfactory |
| 7. Suggested Improvements on Achieving Outcome | lots of stuff |

| Outcome: (g) fasdfad | Evaluation:(satisfactory, unsatisfactory, weaknesses, identified, suggestedimprovements, remarks) |
|--|---|
| Number of students: | |
| 1. Instruments Chosen | unsatisfactory |
| 2. Likert Scale Threshold(s) | unsatisfactory |
| 3. Sample Graded Student Work | unsatisfactory |
| 4. Percentage of Students Achieving Outcome | unsatisfactory |
| 5. Average Likert Value | unsatisfactory |
| 6. Achievement of Outcome | unsatisfactory |
| 7. Suggested Improvements on Achieving Outcome | ummmmm |

Instructions to Course Evaluation Committe:

The purpose of this form is:

- 1. To perform *qualitative* analysis of the quantitative data of the outcomes assessed.
- 2. To document the participation of several faculty in the evaluation of those assessments.
- 3. To examine and evaluate the various quantitative criteria used, the instruments chosen, the Likert scale values, and sample student graded work.
- 4. To generate recommendations in three categories:
 - (a) Recommendations to future instructors.
 - (b) Recommendations to curriculum governance.
 - (c) Recommendations on improvement of the process.

CEN PROGRAM OUTCOMES

- (a) an ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, statistics, computer science, and electrical engineering as it applies to computer hardware and software
- (b) an ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to organize, analyze and interpret data.
- (c) an ability to design hardware and software systems, components, or processes to meet desired needs within realistic constraints such as economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability.
- (d) an ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams.
- (e)an ability to identify, formulate, and solve hardware and software computer engineering problems, accounting for the interaction between hardware and software.
- (f) an understanding of professional, legal, and ethical issues and responsibilities.
- (g) an ability to communicate effectively in speech and in writing, including documentation of hardware and software systems.
- (h) the broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global, economic, environmental, and societal context
- (i) a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning.
- (j) a knowledge of contemporary issues.
- (k) an ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for computer engineering practice.
- (l) an ability to apply engineering and management knowledge and techniques to estimate time and

| resources needed to complete a computer engineering project. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |