Computer Engineering Program Course Committee Outcomes Assessment Evaluation Form

Course Number and Title: , Term and Year: Instructor: Course Committee Participants: e m	
Date: Mon Nov 24 2014 12:48:59 GMT-0500 (EST)	
I. Course Issues:	
Syllabus: Does the syllabus reflect current content? Are there topics that should be dropped from the course? Are there topics that should be added to the course?	☐ YES ☑ NO ☑ YES ☐ NO ☑ YES ☐ NO
Textbook: Is the textbook working well? Should changes be considered for the next academic year? Are there new books available that should be evaluated? Does the book map well onto the syllabus?	☐ YES ☑ NO ☐ YES ☑ NO ☑ YES ☐ NO ☑ YES ☐ NO
Do other assessments (performance/exit surveys, student feedback) indicate issues that need to be addressed?	✓ YES ✓ NO
Student Performance: Did students master the material? Are there problems in the their knowledge of key concepts?	✓ YES ☐ NO
ACTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS: asdjfk	
II. Program Issues:	
Are the pre-requisites still appropriate for this course? Does the course content satisfy the needs of follow-on courses?	✓ YES ☐ NO
ACTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS: asdkjf	
III. Evaluation of Outcomes Assessments:	
Recommendations for course improvement: lsdkfj	
Recommendations to CEN program governance (e.g. curi committee):	iculum
Comments/Recommendations on this process:	

COMPUTER ENGINEERING PROGRAM SUMMARY OF COURSE COMMITTEE ANALYSIS

Course Number and Title:,

Term and Year: Instructor: Course Committee Participants: e m

Date: Mon Nov 24 2014 12:48:59 GMT-0500 (EST)

Outcome: (d) outcome d	Evaluation:(satisfactory, unsatisfactory, weaknesses, identified, suggestedimprovements, remarks)
Number of students:	
1. Instruments Chosen	j
2. Likert Scale Threshold(s)	j
3. Sample Graded Student Work	j
4. Percentage of Students Achieving Outcome	j
5. Average Likert Value	j
6. Achievement of Outcome	j
7. Suggested Improvements on Achieving Outcome	j

Instructions to Course Evaluation Committe:

The purpose of this form is:

- 1. To perform *qualitative* analysis of the quantitative data of the outcomes assessed.
- 2. To document the participation of several faculty in the evaluation of those assessments.
- 3. To examine and evaluate the various quantitative criteria used, the instruments chosen, the Likert scale values, and sample student graded work.
- 4. To generate recommendations in three categories:
 - (a) Recommendations to future instructors.
 - (b) Recommendations to curriculum governance.
 - (c) Recommendations on improvement of the process.

CEN PROGRAM OUTCOMES

- (a) an ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, statistics, computer science, and electrical engineering as it applies to computer hardware and software
- (b) an ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to organize, analyze and interpret data.
- (c) an ability to design hardware and software systems, components, or processes to meet desired needs within realistic constraints such as economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability.
- (d) an ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams.
- (e)an ability to identify, formulate, and solve hardware and software computer engineering problems, accounting for the interaction between hardware and software.
- (f) an understanding of professional, legal, and ethical issues and responsibilities.
- (g) an ability to communicate effectively in speech and in writing, including documentation of hardware and software systems.
- (h) the broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global, economic, environmental, and societal context

- (i) a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning.
- (j) a knowledge of contemporary issues.
- (k) an ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for computer engineering practice.
- (l) an ability to apply engineering and management knowledge and techniques to estimate time and resources needed to complete a computer engineering project.