

Global Trends In Urban Energy Use: The Tropical Shift

Elliot Cohen and Vijay Modi

May 2014

Motivation

Many of the world's **largest** and **fastest-growing** cities—from Karachi (pop. 14 million; 34.6% increase from 2000-2010) to Delhi (22m; 39.4%), Dhaka (15m, 45.2%), Jakarta (10m; 14.8%), Bangkok (8m, 29.1%), Lagos (11m; 48.2%) and Kinshasa (9m, 55.4%)—are located in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa with tropical to sub-tropical climates unlike those of most OECD member cities in the global North. As the tropics/sub-tropics become increasingly urban, industrial and affluent, it is important to consider how energy demand—particularly for thermal comfort—will evolve differently in these places than it has historically across the OECD.


To illustrate the potential for vast differences in energy demand for thermal comfort between cities in the global North and cities in the Tropics/Sub-Tropics, consider Delhi, India. Delhi—with its massive population and very hot climate—is an outlier compared to OECD member cities but typical of South Asia: Peak summer temperatures routinely exceed 40 deg C. (104 F.), and intense heatwaves can approach 50 deg C. (122 F.). Given the huge temperature differential between outdoor (say 104 F.) and desired indoor air temperature (say 72 F), and the thermodynamic fact that energy for cooling scales linearly with the temperature differential, cooling a room in Delhi requires twice as much energy as cooling a room in New York where the summer outdoor-indoor temperature differential is typically half that.

In addition to higher temperatures, the summer season is also much longer: in the past year, Delhi had over **six** times as many cooling-degree days as New York City (again assuming a desired indoor air temperature of 72 deg F). Compounded by leaky building envelopes in developing world cities (designed for natural ventilation, not air conditioning), intense heat-island effects (typically less green space), and massive population growth, peak electricity demand in cities throughout the developing world could one day surpass that of their neighbors to the north—not only in aggregate terms because of their population, but also *per-capita* due to climate, building design and thermodynamics.

Implications of Global Energy Service Provision Parity

If we consider the trajectory of developing world cities as reaching eventual parity with OECD cities, and if we think in terms of energy *service provision* rather than just BTU or KWh, then we begin to appreciate the magnitude of future energy demand (and associated resource consumption and environmental impact). This has important implications not only for regional grid planning and supply reliability, but also the global transition to renewable energy given the limitations of meeting such large and ‘peaky’ demand with non-dispatchable resources such as wind and solar.

Figure 1 provides a map of urbanization rates for cities worldwide with a population greater than 750,000 (UN 2011). Urbanization rates are clearly highest in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Figure 2 shows the *distribution* of population growth rates for the same set of cities, grouped by latitude (global North, Tropics and Subtropics). The expected value (e.g. central tendency) of urbanization rates in the Tropics and Sub-Tropics is clearly distinct from that of the North.




```
## [1] "World's Largest Cities in 2015 (Population > 10 million)"
```

##	Continent	Country	Urban.Agglomeration	Population [MM]
## 1	Asia	Japan	Tokyo	38.2
## 2	Asia	India	Delhi	25.6
## 3	Asia	China	Shanghai	23.0
## 4	North.America	Mexico	Ciudad de México (Mexico City)	21.7
## 5	North.America	U.S.A	New York-Newark	21.3
## 6	Asia	India	Mumbai (Bombay)	21.2
## 7	South.America	Brazil	São Paulo	21.0
## 8	Asia	China	Beijing	18.1
## 9	Asia	Bangladesh	Dhaka	17.4
## 10	Asia	Pakistan	Karachi	15.5
## 11	Asia	India	Kolkata (Calcutta)	15.1
## 12	South.America	Argentina	Buenos Aires	14.2
## 13	North.America	U.S.A	Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana	14.1
## 14	Africa	Nigeria	Lagos	13.1
## 15	Asia	Philippines	Manila	12.9
## 16	Asia	Turkey	Istanbul	12.5
## 17	Europe	Turkey	Istanbul	12.5
## 18	Asia	China	Guangzhou, Guangdong	12.4
## 19	South.America	Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	12.4
## 20	Asia	China	Shenzhen	12.3
## 21	Asia	Russian Federation	Moskva (Moscow)	12.1
## 22	Europe	Russian Federation	Moskva (Moscow)	12.1
## 23	Africa	Egypt	Al-Qahirah (Cairo)	11.9
## 24	Asia	Japan	Osaka-Kobe	11.8
## 25	Europe	France	Paris	11.1
## 26	Asia	China	Chongqing	11.1
## 27	Asia	Indonesia	Jakarta	10.5
## 28	Africa	D.R.C	Kinshasa	10.3
## 29	Asia	China	Wuhan	10.3
## 30	North.America	U.S.A	Chicago	10.2
## 31	Asia	India	Bangalore	10.0

[1] "World's Fastest Growing Cities (2010-2015) with a Population > 750,000"

##	Continent	Country	Urban.Agglomeration	Growth.Rate [%]
## 1	Asia	Thailand	Samut Prakan	9.15
## 2	Asia	Viet Nam	Can Tho	8.78
## 3	Africa	Somalia	Muqdisho (Mogadishu)	8.01
## 4	Africa	Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	7.52
## 5	Asia	U.A.E	Abu Zaby (Abu Dhabi)	7.22
## 6	Africa	Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou	6.88
## 7	Asia	Indonesia	Batam	6.78
## 8	Asia	U.A.E	Dubayy (Dubai)	6.75
## 9	Asia	U.A.E	Sharjah	6.51
## 10	Asia	China	Xiamen	5.93
## 11	Asia	China	Suzhou, Jiangsu	5.92
## 12	Africa	Niger	Niamey	5.86
## 13	Asia	China	Wuhu, Anhui	5.81
## 14	Asia	China	Hefei	5.78
## 15	Asia	China	Yinchuan	5.77
## 16	Asia	Indonesia	Denpasar	5.74
## 17	Africa	Nigeria	Abuja	5.74
## 18	Asia	China	Jinjiang	5.63
## 19	Asia	China	Zhongshan	5.58
## 20	Asia	India	Tiruppur	5.34

Population Growth Rate of Cities, by Region



Rationale

Mid- to long-range global energy demand forecasts are typically reported as annual totals and provide little insight to the temporal distribution throughout the year. To address this shortcoming, we focus on the diurnal and seasonal distribution of energy demand and supply, which drive system cost but receive relatively little attention in global energy outlooks. This requires more and better data than is typically available to researchers.

Examples from India

This study presents an empirical analysis of diurnal-to-seasonal energy use patterns for 9 states in Northern India with a combined population of nearly 1/2 billion. The analyses described here can serve as a methodological template for future analysis in other parts of the developing world, in particular, South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa.

Methods and Materials

Each state is responsible for injection-load balance within its state periphery (e.g. power control area, or PCA) and coordination with the parent (regional) grid operator. Regional grid operators, in turn, are responsible for load balance within their region and coordination with the overarching national grid. This hierarchical structure offers a “natural experiment” for testing the effect of explanatory variables of interest such as climate, urbanization and income, while controlling for confounding factors such as power sector regulatory structure, pricing mechanisms, technology adoption, and technical expertise, which can be assumed to be similar for all States operating in the same regional grid.

The Northern Region Load Dispatch Center (NRLDC) is charged with injection/load balance for the entire Northern Region (NR) of India, including coordination of 9 constituent State Load Dispatch Centers (SLDCs) located in the region, and the National Load Dispatch Center (NLDC), which handles inter-regional energy exchanges to maintain overall grid frequency and stability. The NR is the largest of 5 regional power grids, supplying 273,240 GWh in 2012/13 and meeting a peak demand of 41,790 MW.

The NRLDC maintains power system data for each of the 9 constituent states and the region as a whole. The data is publically available on their website, but only for download as PDF. Batch downloading and converting PDF to readable format (e.g. csv) is messy, cumbersome and prone to errors. To side-step this limitation, and to better utilize available data, a JavaScript was developed to “scrape” HTML from inside the NRLDC web browser. Post-processing and subsequent data analysis were performed in RStudio using R-markdown language.

Data analyzed for this study originates from a series of daily reports published by the NRLDC entitled “Power Supply Position in the Northern Region”. The pertinent data can be summarized as follows:

Regional Availability and Demand: Evening-Peak (MW), Off-Peak (MW), Day-Energy (GWh)

State Control Area Details: Generation (GWh), Drawal (GWh), Use (GWh)

State Demand Met: Evening-Peak (MW), Off-Peak (MW), Day-Energy (GWh)

Stationwise Details: Installed/Declared Capacity (MW), Peak/Off-Peak/Average Sentout (MW), Schedule/Unscheduled Interchange (GWh)

Regional Energy Availability and Demand

First, we explore the daily demand profile of the Northern Region (NR). For clarity, we apply weekly smoothing to the daily data. *Figure 1. Time series of energy supply and shortages in the NR grid (2011-14)*

Discussion:

Figure 2. Comparing seasonality of energy supply, energy shortages and energy requirement for Northern India

Discussion:

An important first question is when do shortages arise? From Figure 1 we may glean that they are not strictly seasonal nor confined to peak summer months when demand is highest. To confirm, we boxplot energy shortages by month and examine their relative distributions. We see that median energy shortage is highest in October and July, with July having the highest variability of any month. Median energy shortage is lowest in the winter months.

Figure 3. Monthly boxplots of daily energy shortages in Northern India

Discussion:

If the magnitude of energy shortfalls (e.g. energy not supplied; ENS) is not strictly seasonal, perhaps peak shortages as a fraction of peak demand, is. In other words, do certain months experience higher rates of load-shedding at peak times?

Figure 4. Seasonality of peak shedding as fraction of peak demand

Discussion: Similar to Figure 2, we see the greatest variance in July, but here the median is fairly stable, with peak shedding 5-10% of peak demand in all months.

As another check, we examine energy shortages as a function of total energy supplied. This helps answer the question: Do shortages increase as demand increases? We would expect this to be true if capacity adequacy was the limiting factor.

Figure 5. Scatterplot of Energy Shortage vs. Energy Supplied

Discussion:

Figure 6. Daily energy shortages [in GWh] for the NR grid, with weekly and monthly smoothing

Discussion:




Figure 7. Daily energy supply [in GWh] for the NR grid, with weekly and monthly smoothing

Discussion:

**Energy Not Supplied as a Fraction of Total Requirement for the NR grid
(Value of 0 indicates perfect reliability)**




Figure 8. Fraction Energy Not Supplied to the NR grid, with weekly and monthly smoothing **Discussion:...**

Comparing Daily Peak vs Off-Peak Demand for the NR grid with weekly and monthly smoothing




Figure 9. Comparing Daily Peak vs Off-Peak Demand for the NR grid with weekly and monthly smoothing

Discussion: