



Master thesis

How can sensing techniques redefine our interaction with plants ?

Matthieu SEGUI

July 1, 2024

Supervisor

Clément Duhart and Marc Teyssier

Contents

Contents	ii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background motivation	1
1.2 Context and overview	1
1.3 Problematic	1
1.4 Research domain	1
1.5 Contributions	1
2 State of the art	2
2.1 Plant as sensor	2
2.1.1 Human-Plant cohabitation	2
2.1.2 Human-Plant interaction	2
2.1.3 Plant as sensors Plant transformed into sensors	2
2.1.4 Silicon Made sensors	2
2.1.5 Sonification on micro-controllers	2
2.1.6 Commercial products	3
2.2 Internet of Plants	3
2.2.1 Distributed instruments	3
2.2.2 Sonification using software	3
3 Plant as sensor	4
3.1 The electronical interface	4
3.2 Human interaction	4
3.2.2 User study	4
3.3	4
4 Internet of Plants	5
4.1 Overview	5
4.2 Communication	5
4.3 Server	5
4.4 Deployment and application	5
4.5 Conclusion	5
5 Conclusion	6
References	7

1 Introduction

1.1 Background motivation

I am an creative technology engineer that is passionate about embedded systems and their hardware/software architecture. Pushed by my principal investigator and eager to take on challenges, I wanted to explore the intersection of biology and electronics. I aim to transform plants into bio-sensors, using their natural sensing capabilities to capture the human-plant interaction. Extending the capacities of a single plant, I want to create a network of plant-based sensors.

I am also interested in the use of sensor data. With no particular appetite for musical creation, my principal investigator challenged me onto create a device that can use the data from the plant and generate sound based on interaction. The musical generation allows the plant to be listened to and to care about it.

1.2 Context and overview

1.3 Problematic

1.4 Research domain

Research domains on the human-plant interaction is wide. The HCI* field is focused during this master thesis. HCI gather all the technologies that allows human to interact with computer. However, it usually only is a new way of interaction, there the plant is a living component that brings its own sensibility and needs (watering, lighting...).

...

1.5 Contributions

* Human Computer Interface

2 State of the art

2.1 Plant as sensor

2.1.1 Human-Plant cohabitation

Plants have a lot of benefic effects on human. The study from Charles Hall and Melinda Knuth [1] explain all the benefits of plants on our human system. Watts and al. shows that urban "greening" (add green spaces in urban city) increase tranquility, relieve stress and anxiety [2]. An experience has been conducted in offices by Ikei and al [3] to expose roses to employees. The experience showed that the "parasympathetic nervous activity was significantly higher while viewing the rose". The subjects were more comfortable being expose to roses than people that were not.

2.1.2 Human-Plant interaction

The human plant interaction has been studied. Seow and al. [4] created a framework that is able to detect when something (and someone) interact with a plant. However, this is not any plant, the plant used is the *Mimosa Pudica*. This plant is special, when something touches its leaves, the plant closes its leaves to protect them from the danger [5]. An electrical impulse is released and is catch by the device Seow and al. developed. The electrical signal is easy to catch and thus can be used as actuator. However, the plant needs time and energy to re-open the leaves. This framework also can't be generalized to other species of plants.

Sato and al. used the process of capacitive sensing to detect interaction with objects of our daily lives [6]. In this paper, they proposed a device called *Touché* that use swept frequency capacitive sensing to detect touch interaction but also more complex interactions (such as interacting with a finger, the whole hand...). More complex interactions are captured using machine learning algorithm.

This paper doesn't apply the device to plants. Poupyrev and al. [7] used the device on plant to demonstrate the usage. This swept frequency technique is usable and better than the previous single frequency technique as it captures more data. In there article, Honigman and al. [8] adapted the *Touché* device to be use with an Arduino[†] microcontroller. This allowing people to reproduce the set-up easily.

2.1.3 Plant as sensors Plant transformed into sensors

2.1.4 Silicon Made sensors

2.1.5 Sonification on micro-controllers

MCUs[‡] [9] is a kind of small computer. Those devices can be used to generate sound. The most common way of doing electronical music is to use MIDI[§] [10]. MIDI has been created in order to create music with digital computer. MIDI do not describe directly the audio signal but the human actions to create the signal (such as turn the knob left, push the slider...).

[†] Open source compute unit

[‡] Micro-controllers

[§] Musical Instrument Digital Interface

MCU are able to produce those kind of directives [11][12]. However, the MCU can produce MIDI but MIDI does not directly generate sounds. A synthesizer is needed to create the sound described.

For our use case of embedding the device, we look at MCU that were able to directly generate the signal from a DAC[¶]. Projects had been conducted with many microcontrollers such as a small 8 bits AVR microcontrollers (ATmega32) [13]. This paper does not include limitation of such a product but we can guess that the 8 bits microcontroller is limiting the sound quality. A larger project from Shaer and al. [14] is including an Arduino Mega controlling the visual effect of the project, but also the interaction sensors. The Arduino Mega is then sending MIDI information to Teensy 3.2. The Teensy is then generating the sound. The project is still too large to be fully embedded but the Teensy 3.2 is a promising compute unit. The Teensy 3.2 is running at 72 MHz, way faster than the ATmega32 that is operating at 16MHz. The frequency is essential when trying to produce sound signals.

2.1.6 Commercial products

2.2 Internet of Plants

2.2.1 Distributed instruments

2.2.2 Sonification using software

[¶] Digital to Analog Converter

3 Plant as sensor

3.1 The electronical interface

3.2 Human interaction

3.2.1

3.2.2 User study

3.3 ...

4 Internet of Plants

4.1 Overview

4.2 Communication

4.3 Server

4.4 Deployment and application

4.5 Conclusion

5 Conclusion

References

Here are the references in citation order.

- [1] Charles Hall and Melinda Knuth. 'An Update of the Literature Supporting the Well-Being BeneÂcts of Plants: A Review of the Emotional and Mental Health BeneÂcts of Plants'. In: (2019) (cited on page 2).
- [2] Greg Watts. 'The Effects of "Greening" Urban Areas on the Perceptions of Tranquillity'. In: *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 26 (Aug. 2017), pp. 11–17. doi: [10.1016/j.ufug.2017.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2017.05.010). (Visited on 06/26/2024) (cited on page 2).
- [3] Harumi Ikei et al. 'The Physiological and Psychological Relaxing Effects of Viewing Rose Flowers in Office Workers'. In: *Journal of Physiological Anthropology* 33.1 (Dec. 2014), p. 6. doi: [10.1186/1880-6805-33-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/1880-6805-33-6). (Visited on 06/26/2024) (cited on page 2).
- [4] Olivia Seow et al. 'Pudica: A Framework For Designing Augmented Human-Flora Interaction'. In: *Augmented Humans 2022*. Kashiwa, Chiba Japan: ACM, Mar. 2022, pp. 40–45. doi: [10.1145/3519391.3519394](https://doi.org/10.1145/3519391.3519394). (Visited on 10/24/2022) (cited on page 2).
- [5] Alexander G. Volkov et al. 'Mimosa Pudica : Electrical and Mechanical Stimulation of Plant Movements'. In: *Plant, Cell & Environment* 33.2 (Feb. 2010), pp. 163–173. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02066.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02066.x). (Visited on 05/26/2024) (cited on page 2).
- [6] Munehiko Sato, Ivan Poupyrev, and Chris Harrison. 'Touch : Enhancing Touch Interaction on Humans, Screens, Liquids, and Everyday Objects'. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Austin Texas USA: ACM, May 2012, pp. 483–492. doi: [10.1145/2207676.2207743](https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2207743). (Visited on 05/26/2024) (cited on page 2).
- [7] Ivan Poupyrev et al. 'Botanicus Interacticus: Interactive Plants Technology'. In: *ACM SIGGRAPH 2012 Emerging Technologies*. Los Angeles California: ACM, Aug. 2012, pp. 1–1. doi: [10.1145/2343456.2343460](https://doi.org/10.1145/2343456.2343460). (Visited on 05/26/2024) (cited on page 2).
- [8] Colin Honigman, Jordan Hochenbaum, and Ajay Kapur. 'Techniques in Swept Frequency Capacitive Sensing: An Open Source Approach'. In: () (cited on page 2).
- [9] Adian F Rochim, Mukhlis A Aziz, and Adnan Fauzi. 'Design Log Management System of Computer Network Devices Infrastructures Based on ELK Stack'. In: *2019 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (ICECOS)*. IEEE. 2019, pp. 338–342 (cited on page 2).
- [10] Gareth Loy. 'Musicians Make a Standard: The MIDI Phenomenon'. In: *Computer Music Journal* 9.4 (1985), p. 8. doi: [10.2307/3679619](https://doi.org/10.2307/3679619) (cited on page 2).
- [11] I Fazenda, Sao Paulo, and I S Junior. 'Proceedings of the International Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression'. In: () (cited on page 3).
- [12] I Fazenda, Sao Paulo, and I S Junior. 'Proceedings of the International Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression'. In: () (cited on page 3).
- [13] Tanvir Hussain and Md Mejbaut Haque. 'AVR Microcontroller Implementation for Customized Sound Generation'. In: *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)* 2.1 (Nov. 2011), pp. 112–119. doi: [10.11591/ijece.v2i1.139](https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v2i1.139) (cited on page 3).
- [14] Bassam Shaer et al. 'Interactive Capacitive Touch Music Table with Embedded Microcontrollers'. In: *The Journal of Supercomputing* 76.11 (Nov. 2020), pp. 8845–8865. doi: [10.1007/s11227-020-03167-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-020-03167-4) (cited on page 3).