

Advanced Cryptography

NP (Non-deterministic Polynomial time)

= set of languages such that membership can be proved in polynomial time.

Interactive Proofs

We allow interaction between the prover and the verifier.

Randomization

There is a very small probability that the verifier may accept false proofs.

What about Non-interactive proofs where the verifier V is randomized. Suppose we have $2 \times n \times n$ matrices A and B , over

a finite field. And we want to verify $C = AB$. We can do this by using the best known matrix multiplication algorithm of $O(n^{2.36})$. But, we can do the verification more efficiently using randomization.

Assume the finite field $|\mathbb{F}| > n$.

We choose $r \in \mathbb{F}$.

Let $X = [1, r, \dots, r^{n-1}]$.

We will check if $\underbrace{CX^T}_{O(n^2)} = (AB)X^T$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{10} & \dots & c_{1(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ r \\ \vdots \\ r^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{1i} r^i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} AB_{10} & \dots & AB_{1(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ r \\ \vdots \\ r^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} AB_{1i} r^i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Suppose } \{AB_{ii}\} \neq \{C_{ii}\} \text{ but } \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} AB_{ii} r^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_{ii} r^i.$$

Then V will be accepting a false proof.

But the probability of happening this is $\frac{n-1}{|F|}$. So we need to have a big

$$|F| \gg (n-1).$$

$$MA \equiv \begin{array}{c} \text{Merlin (M)} \\ \text{prover} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Arthur (A)} \\ \text{verifier} \end{array}$$

An Interactive Proof (IP) is a protocol between an all powerful prover P and a polynomial time verifier V such that for some language L , there should be

① Completeness - $\forall x \in L$, if

$$x = (P, V(r))(x)$$

(Interaction $\xrightarrow{\quad}$ secret randomness of V .)

transcript then the probability that

V accepts the proof is large. δ

$$\Pr [V(t, r, x) = 1] \geq c$$

c is called the completeness.

② Soundness - $\forall x \notin L$ and false prover

$$P^*, \Pr [V(t, r, x) = 1] \leq \delta.$$

We will repeat the interaction n times
($n \gg 1$).

Sum check Protocol

Given a polynomial $f: \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ of degree d in each variable. Fix any $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$. You need to prove that $\sum_{h_1, \dots, h_m \in A} f(h_1, \dots, h_m) = \beta$.

P

V

① $g_1(x) = \sum_{h_2, \dots, h_m} f(x, h_2, \dots, h_m)$

_____ \rightarrow

V checks that $g_1(x)$ is a univariate polynomial of degree $\leq d$.

$$\text{Also } \sum_{h_i \in H} g_1(h_i) = \beta.$$

If these two steps pass, then, V chooses $t_1 \in F$ ($t_1 \leftarrow F$) and sends it back to P.

$$t_1 \leftarrow F.$$



The goal of V is to catch the false prover P^* cheat. P^* will give a wrong $g_1(x)$.

Suppose that wrong $g_1(x)$ passes the above checks. Now, the problem is reduced to $\sum_{h_2, \dots, h_m \in H} f(t_1, h_2, \dots, h_m) = g_1(t_1)$.

This holds true only for at most d elements. So the probability that this check passes for $P^* = \frac{d}{|F|}$.

$$② \quad g_2(x) = \sum f(t_1, x, \dots, h_m)$$

$$h_3, \dots, h_m \in H$$

\rightarrow
 \forall will check that $\deg\{g_2(x)\} \leq d$.

And $\sum_{h_2 \in H} g_2(t_1, h_2, \dots, h_m) = g_1(t_1)$.

If these checks pass, then, $\forall t_2 \leftarrow F$.

\leftarrow
 $\sum_{h_3, \dots, h_m \in H} f(t_1, t_2, h_3, \dots, h_m)$

③ During the m th interaction, the problem is reduced to $\sum_{h_m \in H} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1}, h_m)$

$$= g_{m-1}(t_{m-1})$$

$$g_m(x) = f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1}, x)$$

\rightarrow
 \forall will check that $\deg\{g_m(x)\} \leq d$.

And then it $t_m \leftarrow F$ and checks that

$$g_m(t_m) = f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$$

Here, V will have polynomial time of $O(m, d, |H|, \ln(|F|))$. And we will assume that basic arithmetic operations are of $O(1)$.

The number of interactions (m) is called the round complexity.

$$\text{Communication complexity} = O(d \cdot m \cdot \ln(|F|))$$

$$V_{\text{runtime}} = O(m(d \cdot |H|) \cdot \ln(|F|))$$

$$P_{\text{runtime}} = O(m \cdot (|H|^m \cdot T_f) \cdot \ln(|F|))$$

T_f is the time required to compute f .

$$\text{Completeness} \quad \boxed{c = 1}$$