

Discrete Mathematics

Rules of Inference

Shin Hong

Textbook coverage

- Chapter 1.6



How can we know an argument true?

Rules of
Inference

Discrete Math.

2021-09-10

Inference

- **Inference** is to find an implication statement (conclusions) from the given statements (premises)
 - deductive reasoning: derive a conclusion based on already accepted statements (axioms, assumptions, hypotheses)
 - inductive reasoning: derive a generalized conclusion from the observations on particular cases
- An **argument** is a sequence of statements connected with inference rules
- An **argument form** is a valid proposition in a form of $P_1 \wedge P_2 \dots \wedge P_n \rightarrow Q$ where P_i and Q are compound propositions
 - example:

$$\begin{array}{c} p \rightarrow q \\ p \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$$

Proof

- An argument is **valid** if all initial statements are known to be true, and for every non-initial statement, there is an argument form that connects the preceding statements with it
 - a conclusion follows the premises
 - such a sequence of argument is called **proof**
- It is impossible that all preceding statements are true, and the inference rules are all valid, and the final statement is false at the same time

Rules of Inferences

premise_1
 premise_2
 \dots

 conclusion

<i>Rule of Inference</i>	<i>Tautology</i>	<i>Name</i>
p $p \rightarrow q$ <hr/> $\therefore q$	$(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$	Modus ponens
$\neg q$ $p \rightarrow q$ <hr/> $\therefore \neg p$	$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$	Modus tollens
$p \rightarrow q$ $q \rightarrow r$ <hr/> $\therefore p \rightarrow r$	$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	Hypothetical syllogism
$p \vee q$ $\neg p$ <hr/> $\therefore q$	$((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q$	Disjunctive syllogism

Rules of Inferences

premise_1
 premise_2
 \dots

conclusion

7

<i>Rule of Inference</i>	<i>Tautology</i>	<i>Name</i>
$\frac{p}{\therefore p \vee q}$	$p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$	Addition
$\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore p}$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$	Simplification
$\frac{p}{\therefore p \wedge q}$	$((p) \wedge (q)) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$	Conjunction
$\frac{p \vee q}{\therefore q \vee r}$	$((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$	Resolution

Rules of Inference

Discrete Math.

2021-09-10

Intuitive Examples

8

$\begin{array}{l} p \\ p \rightarrow q \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$	Modus ponens
$\begin{array}{l} \neg q \\ p \rightarrow q \\ \hline \therefore \neg p \end{array}$	Modus tollens
$\begin{array}{l} p \rightarrow q \\ q \rightarrow r \\ \hline \therefore p \rightarrow r \end{array}$	Hypothetical syllogism
$\begin{array}{l} p \vee q \\ \neg p \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$	Disjunctive syllogism
$\begin{array}{l} p \\ \hline \therefore p \vee q \end{array}$	Addition
$\begin{array}{l} p \wedge q \\ \hline \therefore p \end{array}$	Simplification
$\begin{array}{l} p \\ q \\ \hline \therefore p \wedge q \end{array}$	Conjunction
$\begin{array}{l} p \vee q \\ \neg p \vee r \\ \hline \therefore q \vee r \end{array}$	Resolution

- If there is fire, fire alarm rings.
There is fire.
Thus, fire alarm rings
- Fire alarm rings if there's fire.
There is no fire alarm.
Thus, there is no fire.
- If one is a man, the one eventually dies.
If one is a philosopher, the one is a man.
Thus, a philosopher eventually dies.
- I will take a taxi tonight if it rains.
Otherwise, I will take a bus tonight.
Thereby, I will take a taxi or bus tonight.

Rules of Inference

Discrete Math.

2021-09-10

$\frac{p \quad p \rightarrow q}{\therefore q}$	Modus ponens
$\frac{\neg q \quad p \rightarrow q}{\therefore \neg p}$	Modus tollens
$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r}$	Hypothetical syllogism
$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p}{\therefore q}$	Disjunctive syllogism
$\frac{p}{\therefore p \vee q}$	Addition
$\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore p}$	Simplification
$\frac{p \quad q}{\therefore p \wedge q}$	Conjunction
$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p \vee r}{\therefore q \vee r}$	Resolution

• Premises

1. $\neg p \wedge q$
2. $r \rightarrow p$
3. $\neg r \rightarrow s$
4. $s \rightarrow t$

• Conclusion

- t

• Inference steps (proof)

1. $\neg p \wedge q$ Premise 1
2. $\neg p$ Simplification 1
3. $r \rightarrow p$ Premise 2
4. $\neg r$ Modus tollens 2, 3
5. $\neg r \rightarrow s$ Premise 3
6. s Modus ponens 4, 5
7. $s \rightarrow t$ Premise 4
8. t Modus ponens 6, 7

Example

Quantified Statements

10

- Valid arguments for quantified statements are a sequence of statements where each statement is either a premise or follows from previous statements by rules of inference
 - rules of inference for propositional logic
 - rules of inference for quantified statements
 - Universal Instantiation (UI)
 - Universal Generalization (UG)
 - Existential Instantiation (EI)
 - Existential Generalization (EG)

Rules of
Inference

Discrete Math.

2021-09-10

Universal Instantiation (UI)

11

$$\frac{\forall x P(x)}{\therefore P(c)}$$

- c is a specific instance of the domain, or
- c is a variable representing an arbitrary value of the domain

Example:

Our domain consists of all dogs and Bingo is a dog.

“All dogs are cuddly.”

“Therefore, Bingo is cuddly.”

“Therefore, dog d is cuddly”

Universal Generalization (UG)

12

$$\frac{P(c) \text{ for an arbitrary } c}{\therefore \forall x P(x)}$$

Used often implicitly in Mathematical Proofs.

Rules of
Inference

Discrete Math.

2021-09-10

Existential Instantiation (EI)

13

$$\frac{\exists x P(x)}{\therefore P(c) \text{ for some element } c}$$

Example:

“There is someone who got an A in the course.”

“Let’s call her a and say that a got an A”

Existential Generalization (EG)

14

$$\frac{P(c) \text{ for some element } c}{\therefore \exists x P(x)}$$

Example:

“Michelle got an A in the class.”

“Therefore, someone got an A in the class.”

Using Rules of Inference

15

Construct a valid argument to show that

“John Smith has one wife” is a consequence of the premises:

“Every married man has one wife.” “John Smith is a married man.”

Solution: Let $M(x)$ denote “ x is a married man”, and $L(x)$ denote “ x has one wife”, and let J be an element representing John Smith.

Step	Reason
1. $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x))$	Premise
2. $M(J) \rightarrow L(J)$	UI from (1)
3. $M(J)$	Premise
4. $L(J)$	Modus Ponens using (2) and (3)

Rules of
Inference

Discrete Math.

2021-09-10

Using Rules of Inference

16

- Construct a valid argument showing that the conclusion:
“Someone who passed the first exam has not read the book.” follows from
 - “A student in this class has not read the book.”
 - “Everyone in this class passed the first exam.”
- Solution: Let $C(x)$ denote “ x is in this class,” $B(x)$ denote “ x has read the book,” and $P(x)$ denote “ x passed the first exam.”

	Step	Reason
$\exists x(C(x) \wedge \neg B(x))$	1. $\exists x(C(x) \wedge \neg B(x))$	Premise
$\forall x(C(x) \rightarrow P(x))$	2. $C(a) \wedge \neg B(a)$	EI from (1)
<hr/>	3. $C(a)$	Simplification from (2)
$\therefore \exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg B(x))$	4. $\forall x(C(x) \rightarrow P(x))$	Premise
	5. $C(a) \rightarrow P(a)$	UI from (4)
	6. $P(a)$	MP from (3) and (5)
	7. $\neg B(a)$	Simplification from (2)
	8. $P(a) \wedge \neg B(a)$	Conj from (6) and (7)
	9. $\exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg B(x))$	EG from (8)

Rules of
Inference

Discrete Math.

2021-09-10