CS 312 Recitation 8 ADT Examples: Stacks Queues, and Dictionaries

In this recitation, we will see more examples of structures and signatures that implement functional data structures.

Stacks and Queues

In **recitation 7**, we discussed stacks and queues. We repeat the signature for stacks here, adding a notation for representing the abstract contents.

```
signature STACK =
sig

(* Overview: an 'a stack is a stack of elements of type 'a.

* We write |e1, e2, ... en| to denote the stack with e1

* on the top and en on the bottom. *)
type 'a stack
exception EmptyStack

val empty : 'a stack
val isEmpty : 'a stack -> bool

val push : ('a * 'a stack) -> 'a stack
val pop : 'a stack -> 'a stack
val top : 'a stack -> 'a
val map : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a stack -> 'b stack
val app : ('a -> unit) -> 'a stack down *)
end
```

Now we present a signature for queues; first-in, first-out data structures. Again, we introduce a notation for discussing the abstract contents of the queue.

The simplest possible implementation for queues is to represent a queue via two stacks: one stack A on which to enqueue elements, and one stack B from which to dequeue elements. When dequeuing, if stack B is empty, then we reverse stack A and consider it the new stack B.

Here is an implementation for such queues. It uses the stack structure Stack, which is rebound to the name S inside the structure to avoid long identifier names.

```
structure Queue :> QUEUE =
    struct

structure S = Stack

type 'a queue = ('a S.stack * 'a S.stack)
    (* AF: The pair (|e1, e2, ... en|, |e'1, e'2, ..., e'n|) represents
    * the queue <e'1, e'2, ..., e'n, en, ..., e2, e1>.
    *)

exception EmptyQueue
```

```
val empty : 'a gueue = (S.empty, S.empty)
fun isEmpty (s1, s2):'a queue' =
    S.isEmpty (s1) andalso S.isEmpty (s2)

fun enqueue (x:'a, (s1,s2):'a queue) : 'a queue =
    (S.push (x,s1), s2)

fun rev (s:'a S.stack):'a S.stack = let
    fun loop (old''a S.stack, new:'a S.stack):'a S.stack =
        if (S.isEmpty (old))
            then new
        else loop (S.pop (old), S.push (S.top (old),new))

in loop (s,S.empty)
end

fun dequeue ((s1,s2):'a queue) : 'a queue =
    if (S.isEmpty (s2))
        then (S.empty S.pop (rev (s1)))
        handle S.EmptyStack => raise EmptyQueue
    else (s1,S.pop (s2))

fun front ((s1,s2):'a queue):'a =
    if (S.isEmpty (s2))
        then S.top (rev (s1))
        handle S.EmptyStack => raise EmptyQueue
    else S.top (s2)

fun map (f:'a -> 'b) ((s1,s2):'a queue):'b queue =
    (S.map f s1, S.map f s2)

fun app (f:'a -> unit) ((s1,s2):'a queue):unit =
    (S.app f s2;
    S.app f (rev (s1)))
    end
```

Fractions

Another simple data type is a fraction, a ratio of two integers. Here is a possible signature.

```
signature FRACTION =

Sig

(* A fraction is a rational number *)

type fraction

(* first argument is numerator, second is denominator *)

val make : int -> int -> fraction

val numerator : fraction -> int

val denominator : fraction -> int

val toString : fraction -> string

val toReal : fraction -> real

val add : fraction -> fraction

val mul : fraction -> fraction

end
```

Here's one implementation of fractions -- what can go wrong here?

There are several problems with this implementation. First, we could give 0 as the denominator -- this is a bad fraction. Second, we're not reducing to smallest form. So we could overflow faster than we need to.

Third, we're not consistent with the signs of the numbers. Try make ~1 ~1.

We need to pick some representation invariant that describes how we're going to represent legal fractions. Here is one choice that tries to fix the bugs above.

```
structure Fraction2 :> FRACTION =
       struct
              type fraction = { num:int, denom:int }
(* AF: represents the fraction num/denom
     * RI:
                      (1) denom is always positive
(2) always in most reduced form
              fun gcd (x:int) (y:int) : int =
  (* Algorithm due to Euclid: for positive numbers x and y,
  * find the greatest-common-divisor. *)
  if (x = y) then x
  else if (x < y) then gcd x (y - x)
       else gcd (x - y) y</pre>
              exception BadDenominator
              fun make (n:int) (d:int) : fraction =
   if (d < 0) then raise BadDenominator
   else let val g = gcd (abs n) (abs d)
      val n2 = n div g
      val d2 = d div g</pre>
                                      if (d2 < 0) then {num = \simn2, denom = \simd2} else {num = n2, denom = d2}
                               end
              fun numerator(x:fraction):int = \#num x
              fun denominator(x:fraction):int = #denom x
              fun toString(x:fraction):string =
   (Int.toString (numerator x)) ^ "/" ^
   (Int.toString (denominator x))
              fun toReal(x:fraction):real =
    (Real.fromInt (numerator x)) / (Real.fromInt (denominator x))
                   notice that we didn't have to re-code mul or add --
they automatically get reduced because we called
make instead of building the data structure directly.
                     fuń mul
              end
```

Dictionaries

A very useful type in programming is the **dictionary**. A dictionary is a mapping from strings to other values. A more general dictionary that maps from one arbitrary key type to another is usually called a **map** or an **associative array**, although sometimes "dictionary" is used for these as well. In any case, the implementation techniques are the same. Here's a signature for dictionaries:

Here's an implementation discussed in recitation 6.

```
structure FunctionDict :> DICTIONARY =
    struct
    type key = string -> 'a
    (* The function f represents the mapping in which x is mapped to
     * f(x), except for x such that f raises NotFound, which are not
     * in the mapping.
     *)
    exception NotFound
    fun make () = fn _ => raise NotFound
    fun lookup (d: 'a dict) (key: string) : 'a = d key
    fun insert (d:'a dict) (k:key) (x:'a) : 'a dict =
        fn k' => if k=k' then x else d k'
```

Here is another implementation: an association list [(key1, x1), ..., (keyn, xn)]

This next implementation seems a little better for looking up values. Also note that the abstraction function does not need to specify what duplicate keys mean.

Here is another implementation of dictionaries. This one uses a binary tree to keep the data -- the hope is that inserts or lookups will be proportional to log(n) where n is the number of items in the tree.