

Advanced network algorithms, random graph models

You are given four networks in Pajek format (edge list and LNA formats are also available).

- A simple [toy network](#) for testing (tiny)
- The famous [Zachary karate club network](#) (small)
- [iMDB actors collaboration network](#) (medium)
- A part of [Google web graph](#) (large)

I. Number and size of connected components

1. Study the following algorithm for computing (weakly) connected components $\{C\}$ by any order link traversal. Does the algorithm implement breadth-first or depth-first search? What is the time complexity of the algorithm?

```
input  graph G, nodes N
output network components {C}
1: {C} ← empty list
2: while not N empty do
3:   {C}.add(component(G, N, N.next()))
4: return {C}
```

```
input  graph G, nodes N, node i
output weak component C
1: C ← empty list
2: S ← empty stack
3: N.remove(S.push(i))
4: while not S empty do
5:   C.add(i ← S.pop())
6:   for neighbors j ∈ Γi do
7:     if N.remove(j) then
8:       S.push(j)
9: return C
```

2. Try to implement the algorithm, and compute the number of (weakly) connected components s and the size of the largest (weakly) connected component S of all four networks. Are the results expected or are they surprising?
3. How could you further improve the algorithm to only compute s and S ?

II. Average node distance and network diameter

1. Study the following algorithm for computing the distances between the nodes $\{d\}$ by level order link traversal. Does the algorithm implement breadth-first or depth-first search? What is the time complexity of the algorithm?

```

input  graph G
output network distances {D}
1: {D} ← empty list
2: for nodes  $i \in N$  do
3:   {D}.add(distances(G, i))
4: return {D}

```

```

input  graph G, node i
output directed distances D
5: ...
6: for successors  $j \in \Gamma_i^{out}$  do
7:   ...

```

```

input  graph G, node i
output undirected distances D
1: D ← empty array
2: Q ← empty queue
3: D[Q.add(i)] ← 0
4: while not Q empty do
5:   i ← Q.remove()
6:   for neighbors  $j \in \Gamma_i$  do
7:     if D[j] undefined then
8:       D[j] ← D[i] + 1
9:       Q.add(j)
10: return D

```

2. Try to implement the algorithm, and compute the average distance between the nodes $\langle d \rangle$ and the maximum distance or diameter d_{\max} for smaller networks. Are the results expected or are they surprising?
3. How is the algorithm different from the famous Dijkstra's algorithm? In which case you would have to use Dijkstra's algorithm?
4. How could you further improve the algorithm to only approximate $\langle d \rangle$ and d_{\max} ?

III. Average node clustering coefficient

1. Study the following algorithm for computing the clustering coefficient of the nodes $\{C\}$ by link triad counting. Why does the algorithm count triads over the links? What is the time complexity of the algorithm?

```

input  graph G
output average clustering  $\langle C \rangle$ 
1:  $\langle C \rangle \leftarrow 0$ 
2: for nodes  $i \in N$  do
3:    $\langle C \rangle \leftarrow \text{clustering}(G, i)/n$ 
4: return  $\langle C \rangle$ 

input  graph G, node i
output node clustering C
1: if  $k_i \leq 1$  then
2:   return 0
3: return triads(G, i) · 2 / ( $k_i^2 - k_i$ )

```

```

input  graph G, node i
output node triads t
1: t ← 0
2: for neighbors  $j \in \Gamma_i$  do
3:   if  $|\Gamma_i| \leq |\Gamma_j|$  then
4:     t ← t + triads(G, i, j)/2
5:   else
6:     t ← t + triads(G, j, i)/2
7: return t

```

```

input  graph G, link i, j
output link triads t
1: t ← 0
2: for neighbors  $k \in \Gamma_i$  do
3:   if  $k \in \Gamma_j$  then
4:     t ← t + 1
5: return t

```

2. Try to implement the algorithm and compute the average node clustering coefficient $\langle C \rangle$ for all four networks. Are the results expected or are they surprising?
3. What kind of network representation is required by the algorithm?

IV. Erdős-Rényi random graphs and link indexing

1. Study the following two algorithms for generating Erdős-Rényi random graphs $G(n, m)$ with and without link indexing $\binom{i}{2} + j, i > j$. What is the main difference between the algorithms? What is the time complexity of the algorithms?

```

input  nodes  $n$ , links  $m$ 
output simple random  $G$ 
1:  $H \leftarrow$  empty set
2:  $G \leftarrow n$  isolated nodes
3: while not  $G$  has  $m$  links do
4:    $h \leftarrow \{0, \dots, (n^2 - n)/2 - 1\}.\text{random}()$ 
5:   if  $H.\text{add}(h)$  then
6:      $i \leftarrow 1 + \lfloor -0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + 2h} \rfloor$ 
7:     add link between  $i$  and  $h - (i^2 - i)/2$ 
8: return  $G$ 

```

```

input  nodes  $n$ , links  $m$ 
output random multi  $G$ 
1:  $G \leftarrow n$  isolated nodes
2: while not  $G$  has  $m$  links do
3:    $i, j \leftarrow \{0, \dots, n - 1\}.\text{random}()$ 
4:   if  $i \neq j$  then
5:     add link between  $i$  and  $j$ 
6: return  $G$ 

```

2. Try to implement one of the algorithms, and generate Erdős-Rényi random graphs corresponding to all four networks and compute their S , $\langle d \rangle$ and $\langle C \rangle$. Are the results expected or are they surprising?

V. Configuration model graphs and link rewiring

1. Study the following two algorithms for generating configuration model graphs $G(\{k\})$ with link rewiring and stub matching. What is the main difference between the algorithms? What is the time complexity of the algorithms?

```

input  simple links  $L$ 
output configuration simple  $G$ 
1:  $H \leftarrow$  empty set
2: for links  $\{i, j\} \in L$  do
3:    $H.\text{add}(h_{ij})$ 
4: while not links  $L$  rewired do
5:    $\{i, j\}, \{s, t\} \leftarrow L.\text{random}()$   $\triangleright$  removes links
6:   if  $H.\text{contains}(h_{it} \text{ or } h_{sj})$  or  $i = t$  or  $s = j$  then
7:      $L.\text{add}(\{i, j\})$ 
8:      $L.\text{add}(\{s, t\})$ 
9:   else
10:     $L.\text{add}(\{i, t\})$   $H.\text{add}(h_{it})$   $H.\text{remove}(h_{ij})$ 
11:     $L.\text{add}(\{s, j\})$   $H.\text{add}(h_{sj})$   $H.\text{remove}(h_{st})$ 
12: return  $G$  on links  $L$ 

```

```

input  nodes  $n$ , degrees  $\{k\}$ 
output configuration pseudo  $G$ 
1:  $Q \leftarrow$  empty queue
2:  $G \leftarrow n$  isolated nodes
3: for nodes  $i \in N$  do
4:   for  $k_i$  times do
5:      $Q.\text{add}(i)$ 
6: while not  $Q$  empty do
7:    $i, j \leftarrow Q.\text{random}()$   $\triangleright$  removes nodes
8:   add link between  $i$  and  $j$ 
9: return  $G$ 

```

2. Try to implement one of the algorithms, and generate configuration model graphs corresponding to all four networks and compute their S , $\langle d \rangle$ and $\langle C \rangle$. Are the results expected or are they surprising?

