Heidelberg University

Björn Malte Schäfer

1	2	3	4	Σ

Due: 9:15h, 8 June 2015

General Relativity (MKTP3) Summer Term 2015

Exercise sheet 8 2 June 2015

Tim Tugendhat (tugendhat@uni-heidelberg.de)

1. (15 points) Spherical symmetry and time derivatives

(a) Argue why the *free* Einstein field equations can also simply be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{1}$$

where R is the Ricci-tensor.

(Tip: Look at the equation you were supposed to show on sheet 7, exercise 2!)

(b) Given the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(B(r,t), -A(r,t), -r^2, -r^2\sin^2\theta),$$

we all immediately see that the Christoffel symbols are as follows¹:

$$\Gamma_{00}^{0} = \frac{\dot{B}}{2B}, \qquad \Gamma_{01}^{0} = \Gamma_{10}^{0} = \frac{B'}{2B}, \qquad \Gamma_{00}^{1} = \frac{B'}{2A},
\Gamma_{11}^{0} = \frac{\dot{A}}{2B}, \qquad \Gamma_{01}^{1} = \Gamma_{10}^{1} = \frac{\dot{A}}{2A}, \qquad \Gamma_{11}^{1} = \frac{A'}{2A},
\Gamma_{12}^{1} = -\frac{r}{A}, \qquad \Gamma_{12}^{2} = \Gamma_{21}^{2} = \frac{1}{r}, \qquad \Gamma_{13}^{3} = \Gamma_{31}^{3} = \frac{1}{r},
\Gamma_{33}^{1} = -\frac{r\sin^{2}\theta}{A}, \quad \Gamma_{33}^{2} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta, \qquad \Gamma_{32}^{3} = \Gamma_{23}^{3} = \cot\theta.$$
(2)

In this completely unfamiliar notation, a dot $\dot{}$ denotes a t-derivative and a prime ' an r-derivative.

Of the Ricci tensor,

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\rho,\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu,\rho} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\sigma\nu} - \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\sigma\rho},$$

compute R_{10} , R_{00} , and R_{11} .

- (c) What sort of spatial symmetry is the above metric assuming?
- (d) For each individual entry of the Ricci tensor equation 1 holds. What does that say about \dot{A} if you consider R_{10} in this vacuum (or free) case?

¹No need to proof/show this, don't waste your ink

(e) Calculate

$$\frac{R_{00}}{B} + \frac{R_{11}}{A}.$$

(f) Using

$$\frac{R_{00}}{B} + \frac{R_{11}}{A} = 0,$$

and your previous result, show that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\log(AB) = 0,$$

therefore AB = const.

What does that say about \dot{B} given your knowledge of \dot{A} ?

If you found that $\dot{A} = \dot{B} = 0$, you just showed *Birkhoff's theorem* holds, stating that a sperically symmetric gravitational field in the absence of sources (i.e. right-hand side of Einstein field equations is 0) is static.

2. (15 points) Piep piep kleiner Satellit

A satellite with mass m > 0 is orbiting a black hole. The metric is

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(B(r), -A(r), -r^2, -r^2 \sin^2 \theta).$$

(a) Calculate

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^0}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 t}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2}$$

via the geodesic equation.

(b) Re-write the result as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\left(\log f + \log g\right) = 0,$$

thus showing that

$$fg \equiv F = const.$$
 (3)

What are f and g?

(c) Let's consider the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$. Show that the geodesic equation for ϕ delivers something along the lines of

$$r^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \equiv l = const.$$

(d) Show that the last interesting geodesic equation, i.e. the one for r, delivers us

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2} + \frac{F^2 B'}{2AB^2} + \frac{A'}{2A} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 - \frac{l^2}{Ar^3} = 0.$$

(e) Multiplying the above result by $2A\frac{dr}{d\lambda}$, show that

$$A\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} - \frac{F^2}{B} \equiv -\epsilon = const.$$

(f) Using

$$B(r) = A^{-1}(r) = 1 - \frac{2a}{r},$$

simplify the last result to

$$\frac{\dot{r}^2}{2} - \frac{a\epsilon}{r} + \frac{l^2}{2r^2} - \frac{al^2}{r^3} = \frac{F^2 - \epsilon}{2}.$$
 (4)

(g) Since our satellite is not massless, we are assuming $\lambda = \tau$. Furthermore, ϵ becomes $\epsilon = c^2$ (for photons, we would have $\epsilon = 0$). Also, let's require a spherical orbit for simplicity. We know from the lecture that $a = GM/c^2$, so we can define the effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\frac{GM}{r} + \frac{l^2}{2r^2} - \frac{GMl^2}{c^2r^3}.$$
 (5)

Which terms are old friends? Which one is new?

- (h) What does equation 3 look like for our satellite? We are after the term $dt/d\tau$ in there, which gives us the ratio of time measured by the satellite's clock $d\tau$, and an outside observer "infintely" away from the black hole dt. Let's find this ratio! For that, plug in F from equation 4 into equation 3 for our satellite.
- (i) Now we want to get rid of the *l*-dependency. In order to do this, let's require

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}V_{\mathrm{eff}}(r) = 0.$$

Solve this for $l^2/(c^2r^2)$ to arrive at an expression for $dt/d\tau$ that only has G, M, r, and c in it!

- (j) Extra: Plot V_{eff} and V'_{eff} . Is there something surprising about this?
- 3. (10 points) Ricci scalar don't care

In the lecture, you computed the four entries of the Ricci tensor $R^{\mu\nu}$, which takes on diagonal form.

Calculate

$$R = R^{\mu\nu}g_{\mu\nu}$$

and plot the result against r in units of R_S . What happens at the Schwarzschild radius? Does the Ricci scalar go crazy when it "enters" the scary black hole?

4. (5 points) Extra: Black hole detection

Name two ways of detecting a black hole! Since we can't see it (by definition), how would you go about looking for them in the cosmos/galaxy?

"The black holes of nature are the most perfect macroscopic objects there are in the universe: the only elements in their construction are our concepts of space and time."

-Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar