

| Criteria | 0—29% | 30—49% | 50—59% | 60—69% | 70—79% | 80%+ |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| <i>Use of AI in the Software (20%)</i> | No or poor use of AI and ML techs for chosen application. | Weak use of AI and ML techs for chosen application. | Standard level use of AI and ML techs for chosen application. | Good use of AI and ML techs for chosen application. | Very good use of AI and ML techs for chosen application. | Excellent use of AI and ML techs for chosen application. |
| <i>Content (20%)</i> | No or very poor content used. | Weak or roughly richness in content (visual and textual material) used. | Standard level richness in content (visual and textual material) used | Good quality content (visual and textual material) used | Very rich content (visual and textual material) used | Insightful and excellently rich content (visual and textual material) used. |
| <i>Project Management (20%)</i> | Poor project management presented. | Weakly planning presented, no sprint-wise structure mentioned. | Plans and sprints introduced in standard level. | Good quality in planning and sprint-wise development introduced. | Very good quality plans revealed; sprint-wise development clearly introduced. | Excellent plans revealed; sprint-wise development clearly introduced. |
| <i>Teamwork (20%)</i> | Poor quality in teamwork observed, not clear individual effort confirmed | Weak quality in teamwork and collaboration appears. Not equal contributions observed, | Standard level quality in teamwork observed, individual contributions confirmed. | Good quality teamwork, collective effort and individual contributions confirmed. | Very good quality in teamwork presented, roles clarified, almost equal contribution confirmed. | Excellent teamwork introduced, the roles of individuals demonstrated, equal contribution confirmed. |
| <i>Presentation Quality (20%)</i> | Poor presentation, and no questions answered | Weak quality observed in presentation less questions were answered. | Standard level quality in presentation observed, more questions were answered | Good presentation quality, reasonable Q/A interaction | Very good presentation quality, satisfactory Q/A interaction. | Excellent presentation quality, insightful Q/A interaction. |