## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8965/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-11-2017 in LPA No. 737/2017 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New Delhi)

ZIAUDDIN HASSAN Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 08-01-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vikrant Singh Bais, AOR

Mr. Yogesh Tiwari, Adv. Mr. L.K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Kuldeep Tomar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Santosh Kumar - I, AOR

Ms. Tanvi Raina, Adv.

Mr. Mohinder Jit Singh, AOR

Mr. Hardik Rupal, Adv. Mr. Kausik Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, AOR

Ms. Nidhi Mittal, Adv.

Ms. Upama Bhattacharjee, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 0092 OF 2020 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 8965 of 2018)

ZIAUDDIN HASSAN ... Appellant

**VERSUS** 

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.

... Respondents

## ORDER

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the records, we are of the opinion that the High Court could have considered the writ petition in its entirety in one go.

By the impugned order, prayers 'a' and 'b' of the writ petition are rejected on the ground of delay and latches whereas prayers 'c' and 'd' are kept alive to be considered at the time of final hearing. *Prima facie*, it appears that the prayer 'd' may be dependent on prayer 'a' also. Be that as it may, since we find that the matter has to be heard by the High Court in its entirety, we direct the High Court to do so.

We make it clear that the aspect of delay of 11 years in filing the writ petition also is kept open to be considered by the High Court once again. We have not made any observations on merits as well as on the aspect of delay.

The appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

	, J .	
	(Mohan M. Shantanagoudar)	
	, J	
ew Delhi:	(R. Subhash Reddy)	

New Delhi; January 08, 2020.