# Homework 5

Mark Archual | MTH 515

Dr. Scott | Real Analysis

2/20/2019

# Problem 2.4.1

# **Original Solution**

### Part A

Show the sequence is bounded and monotone.

Monotone

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{4-3} = 1$$

Thus  $x_2 < x_1$  and the induction is grounded.

$$x_{n+1} \le x_n$$

$$4-x_{n+1} \geq 4-x_n$$

$$\frac{1}{4-x_{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{4-x_n}$$

Thus  $x_{n+1} \leq x_n.$  Inductive step complete and the sequence is decreasing.

Bounded

Try  $a_n>rac{1}{4}$ 

$$a_1=3>\frac{1}{4}$$

Suppose  $a_n > \frac{1}{4}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

$$\frac{1}{4-a_n} > \frac{1}{4}$$

Thus the sequence is bounded.

### Part B

If  $x_n$  exists, then we can use induction to show  $x_{n+1}$  exists. Alternatively,  $x_{n+1}$  can be thought of as a subsequence of  $x_n$  and because  $x_n$  converges,  $x_{n+1}$  converges as well.

### Part C

$$lim x_{n+1} = lim rac{1}{4-x_n}$$

Let  $lim x_{n+1} = l$ .

$$l=rac{1}{4-limx_n}$$
  $l=rac{1}{4-l}$   $l(4-l)=1$ 

$$l^2 - 4l + 1 = 0$$

$$(l+2)^2 - 3 = 0$$

$$l = \sqrt{3} - 2$$

## **Self-Evaluation**

## Part A

A small mistake in the conclusion, I should have stated  $x_{n+2} \leq x_{n+1}$ 

## Part B

My answer here differs, but I believe the fact about subsequences would hold here and be correct.

### Part C

Bad algebra mistake here on finding the square root. I was close!

# Problem 2.4.3

# **Original Solution**

### Part B

Let 
$$(a_n) = \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2\sqrt{2}}, etc.$$

If  $(a_n)$  converges, then it is bounded and monotone.

 $(a_n)$  is monotone

$$a_2=\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}}>\sqrt{2}$$

The induction is grounded.

Suppose by way of induction that

$$a_{n+1}>a_n$$

 $\text{ for some } n \geq 1.$ 

$$2*a_{n+1} > 2*a_n$$

$$\sqrt{2*a_{n+1}} > \sqrt{2*a}$$

$$a_{n+2} > a_{n+1}$$

Inductive step complete.

Find the limit.

$$lim(a_{n+1}) = lim(a_n)$$

Let 
$$lim(a_{n+1}) = l$$

$$l=lim(\sqrt{2*a_n})$$

$$l=\sqrt{2*lim(a_n)}$$

We know that  $lim(a_{n+1}) = lim(a_n) = l$ 

$$l = \sqrt{2 * l}$$

$$l^2 = 2l$$

$$l^2 - 2l = 0$$

Thus l is either 0 or 2. We know the sequence is increasing so 0 does not make sense.

$$l=2$$

## **Self-Evaluation**

Somehow I neglected to include the evaluation of the limit for the sequence. I have added my original work above.

# Problem 2.4.6

# **Original Solution**

### Part A

For any two positive real numbers,

$$(x-y)^2 \geq 0$$
  $(x+y)^2 - 4xy \geq 0$   $(x+y)^2 \geq 4xy$   $(x+y) \geq 2\sqrt{xy}$ 

$$\frac{x+y}{2} \ge \sqrt{xy}$$

#### Part B

Part B I ran out of time and was a bit confused on. I was not sure if the problem was telling me that the sequence values of the one limit, fed into the recursion of the other, or not.

### **Self-Evaluation**

### Part B

I see that I was mistaken and that the terms of the two sequences do not interact with each other. I think the book could have maybe done a better job here with the notation, but regardless I could have done some more critical thinking here. I see that the key was utilizing the known relationships between  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  and using the MCT to show they converge.

## Problem 2.5.2

# **Original Solution**

#### Part A

This is true and is a result of Theorem 2.5.2.

#### Part B

This is false. To be able to say that  $(x_n)$  diverges, at least two divergent subsequences need to be found.

#### Part C

This is true and is a result of Theorem 2.5.2.

#### Part D

This is true and is a result of Theorem 2.5.5.

## **Self-Evaluation**

### Parts B and C

I got parts B and C a little confused in my analysis. I remebered us going over Part C in class, and I relied on that example a little too heavily for Part B. In Part B, I was thinking that the subsequence just converged to a different term than the overall sequence, not that it diverged all together. I now see why B is simply the contrapositive of Theorem 2.5.2.

Expanding on Part C, I could have given more background to my answer but I assumed that since it was stated pretty explicitly in the text that we could simply refer back to the claim that was made there.

### Part D

Looks like I needed to read the question more carefully and see that the sequence was not bounded. The solution presented makes sense.

## Problem 2.6.4

# **Original Solution**

### Part A

Let  $c_n = |a_n - b_n|$ 

If  $a_n$  is cauchy, then  $orall rac{\epsilon}{2} > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for  $m,n \geq N_1$ 

$$|a_n-a_m|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

If  $b_n$  is cauchy, then  $orall rac{\epsilon}{2} > 0, \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for  $m,n \geq N_2$ 

$$|b_n-b_m|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Let  $N=max(N_1,N_2)$ , then  $c_n$  is cauchy if for  $n,m\geq N, \exists \epsilon>0$  s.t.

$$|c_n-c_m|<\epsilon$$
  $|c_n-c_m|=||a_n-a_m|+|b_n-b_m||$ 

$$|c_n-c_m| \leq |a_n-a_m| + |b_n-b_m|$$

- -

$$|c_n-c_m|=rac{\epsilon}{2}+rac{\epsilon}{2}=\epsilon$$

Thus  $c_n$  is cauchy.

### Part B

 $c_n$  is not cauchy because it does not converge. The odd and even terms will converge to different values. For example if  $a_n$  was  $\frac{n}{n+1}$  the even terms would converge to 1 and the odd terms to -1.

### Part C

 $c_n$  is not cauchy. If  $a_n=rac{(-1)^n}{n}$  then the even terms converge to 0 and the odd terms converge to -1.

## **Self-Evaluation**

### Part A

My answer here takes a different approach, but I believe still holds.

# **External References**