

Research Agenda

Renjie Zhong

My current works contribute to information design and mechanism design, specifically in **utilizing information structures as screening instruments**. These involve the **joint design of multi-dimensional screening and information**.

Designing screening policies to mitigate information asymmetry is a cornerstone of economic theory. In many contexts, designers *design persuasion mechanisms*, i.e., use information structures to screen agents' private information. Examples include allocating and designing tests or investigations, pricing information products (such as data, consultancy, scores, and advertisements), and lobbying. Dating back to [Admati and Pfleiderer \[1986\]](#) and [Admati and Pfleiderer \[1990\]](#), the literature can be classified into three strands; persuasion mechanism without transfer ([Kolotilin et al. \[2017\]](#), [Guo and Shmaya \[2019\]](#), [Ely et al. \[2021\]](#), [Dasgupta \[2023\]](#)), persuasion mechanism with transfer ([Li and Shi \[2017\]](#), [Bergemann et al. \[2018\]](#), [Yang \[2022\]](#)), and mechanism design with persuasion ([Bergemann and Pesendorfer \[2007\]](#), [Bergemann et al. \[2022\]](#)).

My first work, *Selling Training Data*, characterizes revenue-maximizing policies for data brokers to **design and price supplemental datasets to buyers with private baseline datasets**. Buyers may obtain private datasets externally or collect them themselves. Private datasets influence the evaluation of supplemental datasets by altering outside options and how these datasets are merged in decision-making. This problem reduces to a *multi-dimensional screening problem* with *obedience constraints* and *double deviations*. These constraints simplify the menu by imposing rigidity. In this framework, we can re-interpret and extend [Bergemann et al. \[2018\]](#).

My second work, *Optimal Data Procurement with Tests*, addresses how to **design procurement mechanisms with inspection when data buyers possess baseline datasets to verify the purchased datasets**. The goal is to minimize transfers subject to eliciting truthful reporting by data collectors. Current results show that, without loss of optimality, this problem can be reduced to a screening mechanism subject to a *linear feasibility constraint between allocation and transfer*.

Broadly speaking, the mechanism design where **information structures as sources of information asymmetry (private type)** is a specific class of **multi-dimensional mechanism design problem**. Multi-dimensional screening is notoriously hard ([Armstrong and Rochet \[1999\]](#)). The optimal menu can be infinitely complex ([Daskalakis et al. \[2017\]](#)), while simple menus yield negligible profits ([Manelli and Vincent \[2007\]](#), [Hart and Nisan \[2019\]](#)). The literature imposes additional assumptions to justify optimality of certain bundling policies, such as robustness concerns ([Carroll \[2017\]](#), [Deb and Roesler \[2023\]](#)), distributional assumptions ([Haghpanah and Hartline \[2021\]](#), [Yang \[2023\]](#)), certain class of mechanisms ([Hart and Reny \[2015\]](#)), convergence rate ([Frick et al. \[2024\]](#)). In future work, I aim to **generalize the methodological insights in my current works to explore a foundational framework in this field**.

Beyond the above, my research interests can be organized into two branches: (1) organization structure design, (2) culture and mechanism design. I also have broad interests in other areas of economic theory, including *model misspecification*, *dynamic learning* and *statistical inference in games*, and *testing the performance of economic models*.

1. Organization Structure Design.

1.1. Using Organization-specific Instruments as Incentive/Commitment Tools. Literature shows that internal incentive schemes (Winter [2004], Halac et al. [2021]), delegation systems (Alonso et al. [2008], Frankel [2014]), communication structure (Alonso and Matouschek [2008], Matouschek et al. [2024]) will shape organization performance. Beyond these, the organization designer can design *position*, *clauses/rules*, *welfare policies*, and *task allocation* as incentive and commitment tools. For example, the organization designer can allocate ex-post bargaining power to positions to ensure commitment and incentivize effort.

1.2. Robustly Optimal Organization Structure. An organization should handle tasks in different categories. For example, tasks in political organizations can be classified as environmental protection, administration reform and legislation, economic policy and regulation, and so on. Organization designer sets different sectors, i.e. the horizontal structure of organization, to divide these tasks. The designer also sets an award scheme to incentivize agents in different sectors to exert effort. Tasks may have correlations ambiguous to the designer. I am interested in what is the robustly optimal task allocation and reward design mechanism.

2. Culture and Mechanism Design.

2.1. Model Persuasion and Mechanism Design. Motivated by the conceptual framework analyzing interactions between culture and institutions (Acemoglu and Robinson [2024]), decision making under imperfect causal relations (Spiegler [2016]), model persuasion (Schwartzstein and Sunderam [2021]), and learning under different models (Mailath and Samuelson [2020]). I am interested in developing a theoretical framework to explore the *joint design of models/narratives and mechanisms* and *dynamics between models and institutions*. Institution designers use narratives/ideology/story to justify institutions, which in turn reinforce these narratives/ideology/story. I am dedicated to exploring the diversity of social configurations under this framework.

2.2. Culture-neutral/dependent Mechanism Design. The implementability and performance of a mechanism depend on culture and social norms. Agents' strategies in optimal mechanisms may exhibit morally and culturally dependent features. For example, the standard revelation principle in the static screening model implies that the mechanism designer can without loss of generality restrict the attention to truthful-telling mechanisms. In other words, the designer designs mechanisms inducing *agents behaving honestly*. These mechanisms perform well both in *economics* and *culture*. Given these observations, my broad vision is to conceptualize, formalize and characterize culture-related and culture-neutral mechanisms.

References

- Anat R Admati and Paul Pfleiderer. A monopolistic market for information. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 39(2):400–438, 1986.
- Anat R Admati and Paul Pfleiderer. Direct and indirect sale of information. *Econometrica*, pages 901–928, 1990.
- Anton Kolotilin, Tymofiy Mylovanov, Andriy Zapechelnuk, and Ming Li. Persuasion of a privately informed receiver. *Econometrica*, 85(6):1949–1964, 2017.
- Yingni Guo and Eran Shmaya. The interval structure of optimal disclosure. *Econometrica*, 87(2): 653–675, 2019.
- Jeffrey Ely, Andrea Galeotti, Ole Jann, and Jakub Steiner. Optimal test allocation. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 193:105236, 2021.
- Sulagna Dasgupta. Screening knowledge. *Available at SSRN 4403119*, 2023.
- Hao Li and Xianwen Shi. Discriminatory information disclosure. *American Economic Review*, 107(11):3363–3385, 2017.
- Dirk Bergemann, Alessandro Bonatti, and Alex Smolin. The design and price of information. *American Economic Review*, 108(1):1–48, 2018. doi: 10.1257/aer.20161079. URL <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.20161079>.
- Kai Hao Yang. Selling consumer data for profit: Optimal market-segmentation design and its consequences. *American Economic Review*, 112(4):1364–1393, 2022.
- Dirk Bergemann and Martin Pesendorfer. Information structures in optimal auctions. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 137(1):580–609, 2007.
- Dirk Bergemann, Tibor Heumann, and Stephen Morris. Screening with persuasion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03360*, 2022.
- Mark Armstrong and Jean-Charles Rochet. Multi-dimensional screening:: A user’s guide. *European Economic Review*, 43(4-6):959–979, 1999.
- Constantinos Daskalakis, Alan Deckelbaum, and Christos Tzamos. Strong duality for a multiple-good monopolist. *Econometrica*, 85(3), 2017.
- Alejandro M Manelli and Daniel R Vincent. Multidimensional mechanism design: Revenue maximization and the multiple-good monopoly. *Journal of Economic theory*, 137(1):153–185, 2007.
- Sergiu Hart and Noam Nisan. Selling multiple correlated goods: Revenue maximization and menu-size complexity. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 183:991–1029, 2019.
- Gabriel Carroll. Robustness and separation in multidimensional screening. *Econometrica*, 85(2): 453–488, 2017.
- Rahul Deb and Anne-Katrin Roesler. Multi-dimensional screening: Buyer-optimal learning and informational robustness. *Review of Economic Studies*, page rdad100, 2023.

- Nima Haghpahan and Jason Hartline. When is pure bundling optimal? *The Review of Economic Studies*, 88(3):1127–1156, 2021.
- Frank Yang. Nested bundling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.12623*, 2023.
- Sergiu Hart and Philip J Reny. Maximal revenue with multiple goods: Nonmonotonicity and other observations. *Theoretical Economics*, 10(3):893–922, 2015.
- Mira Frick, Ryota Iijima, and Yuhta Ishii. Multidimensional screening with rich consumer data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.06312*, 2024.
- Eyal Winter. Incentives and discrimination. *American Economic Review*, 94(3):764–773, 2004.
- Marina Halac, Elliot Lipnowski, and Daniel Rappoport. Rank uncertainty in organizations. *American Economic Review*, 111(3):757–786, 2021.
- Ricardo Alonso, Wouter Dessein, and Niko Matouschek. When does coordination require centralization? *American Economic Review*, 98(1):145–179, 2008.
- Alexander Frankel. Aligned delegation. *American Economic Review*, 104(1):66–83, 2014.
- Ricardo Alonso and Niko Matouschek. Optimal delegation. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 75(1):259–293, 2008.
- Niko Matouschek, Bryony Reich, and Michael Powell. Organizing modular production. *Journal of Political Economy*, *Forthcoming*, 2024.
- Daron Acemoglu and James A. Robinson. Culture, institutions and social equilibria: A framework. *Journal of Economic Literature*, August 2024. *Forthcoming*.
- Ran Spiegler. Bayesian networks and boundedly rational expectations. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131(3):1243–1290, 2016.
- Joshua Schwartzstein and Adi Sunderam. Using models to persuade. *American Economic Review*, 111(1):276–323, 2021.
- George J Mailath and Larry Samuelson. Learning under diverse world views: Model-based inference. *American Economic Review*, 110(5):1464–1501, 2020.