

1 Assignment No. 1: Analysis & Comparison of Direct Sorting Methods

Allocated time: 2 hours

1.1 Implementation

You are required to implement **correctly** and **efficiently** 3 direct sorting methods (*Bubble Sort*, *Insertion Sort* – using either linear or binary insertion and *Selection Sort*)

- Input: sequence of numbers $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$
- Output: an ordered permutation of the input sequence $\langle a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \dots \leq a'_n \rangle$

You may find any necessary information and pseudo-code in the **Seminar no. 1 notes** (Insertion Sort is also presented in the **book[1]– Section 2.1**). Make sure that you implement the efficient version for each of the required sorting methods (if more than one version has been provided to you).

1.2 Minimal requirements for grading

The lack of any of the minimum requirements (even partially) may result in a lower grade through penalties or refusal to accept the assignment resulting in a grade of 0.

- *Demo*: Prepare a demonstration of correctness for each algorithm implemented. The correctness of each algorithm is demonstrated through a simple example (maximum 10 values).
- The charts created must be easy to evaluate as in grouped and added through the Profiler functions as specified by the assignment requirements. The assignment will not be evaluated if it contains a plethora of ungrouped charts. For example, the comparative analysis implies the grouping of the compared algorithms.
- Interpret the chart and write your observations in the header (block comments) section at the beginning of your *main.cpp* file.
- We do not accept assignments without code indentation and with code not organized in functions (for example where the entire code is in the main function).
- *The points from the requirements correspond to a correct and complete solution, quality of interpretation from the block comment and **the correct answer to the questions from the teacher.***

1.3 Requirements

1.3.1 Implementation of direct sorting method (5.5p)

- Bubble sort (1.5p)
- Insertion sort (2p)
- Selection sort (2p)

Demo: You will have to prove your algorithm(s) work, so you should also prepare a demo on a small-sized input.

1.3.2 Evaluate algorithms for the average case (1.5p – 0.5p for each algorithm)

! Before you start to work on the algorithms evaluation code, make sure you have a correct algorithm!

You are required to compare the three sorting algorithms, in the **best**, **average** and **worst** cases. Remember that for the **average** case you have to repeat the measurements **m** times ($m=5$ should suffice) and report their average; also, for the **average** case, make sure you always use the **same** input sequence for all three sorting methods. To make the comparison fair, make sure you know how to generate the **best/worst** case input sequences for all three methods.

This is how the analysis should be performed for a sorting method, in any of the three cases (**best**, **average** and **worst**):

- vary the dimension of the input array (n) between $[100 \dots 10000]$, with an increment of maximum 500 (we suggest 100).
- for each dimension, generate the appropriate input sequence for the sorting method; run the sorting method counting the operations (i.e., number of assignments, number of comparisons and their sum).

1.3.3 Evaluate algorithm for best and worst case (3p - 0.5p for each case of each algorithm)

For each analysis case (**best**, **average**, and **worst**), generate charts which compare the three methods; use different charts for the number of comparisons, number of assignments and total number of operations. If one of the curves cannot be visualized correctly because the others have a larger growth rate (e.g., a linear function might seem constant when placed on the same chart with a quadratic function), place that curve on a separate chart as well. Name your charts and the curves on each chart appropriately.

1.3.4 Bonus: Binary insertion sort (0.5p)

Demo: You will have to prove your algorithm(s) work, so you should also prepare a demo on a small-sized input.

You will have to compare binary insertion sort against all other sorting methods (bubble, insertion, selection) on all cases (best, average, worst).

1.4 Additional information

! Only the assignments (=) and comparisons (<, ==, >, !=) which are performed on the input structure and its corresponding auxiliary variables matter.

References

- [1] Thomas H. Cormen et al. *Introduction to Algorithms*. 2nd. The MIT Press, 2001. ISBN: 0262032937.