

# Computability and Complexity

## Problem Set 4

### Rice's theorem and applications

Y. Deville

C. Bertrand Van Ouytsel & V. Coppé & A. Gerniers & N. Golenvaux & M. Parmentier

*March, 2021*

1. Let  $A = \{i \mid \exists x, y : \varphi_i(x) = \varphi_i(y) \neq \perp, x \neq y\}$  be the set of programs that halts with returning the same value for at least two different inputs. Using Rice's theorem, show that  $A$  is not recursive.
2. Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ . If it exists  $i \in A$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A$  such that  $\varphi_i = \varphi_j$ , can we say that  $A$  is recursive? Prove your answer.
3. Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  not recursive ( $A \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ ). Can we say that  $\forall i \in A$  and  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ ,  $\varphi_i \neq \varphi_j$ ? Prove your answer.
4. Let  $A = \{i \mid P_i(x) \text{ halts for every input } x\}$ .
  - (a) Is  $A$  recursive? Why?
  - (b) Is  $A$  recursively enumerable? Why? (Hint : use the reduction method.)

### Mini project

Write in Python two different programs. Both take one argument which is a text file containing the source code of a valid deterministic Python program that has no argument. The first one has to check if the source code of the input file has exactly 2 lines of code. The second one has to check if the program described by the source code of the input file is will always return 2. **Read all subquestions before starting!**

- What do you think the easier program to write will be?
- Look up for the **compile()** Python function<sup>1</sup>. Do you think you can write the second program without it? Explain.
- Test your programs on some examples.
- What does Rice's theorem tells you about those two programs? What is the difference between the two in terms of computability?
- How does that reflect in the code of your programs?

---

1. Be extremely careful with it! This is a very dangerous function!

1) •  $A \neq \emptyset$   $\rightarrow P(x) \equiv \text{return } 1$  

•  $A \neq N$   $\rightarrow P(x) \equiv \text{return } x$  

•  $\forall i \in A, j \in \bar{A} : \tau_i \neq \tau_j$  :

explicitons ce qui appartenir à  $\bar{A}$  signifie

$\Rightarrow \forall j \in \bar{A} :$

$$\forall x, y : x \neq y \Rightarrow \tau_j(x) \neq \tau_j(y) \vee \tau_j(x) = \perp \vee \tau_j(y) = \perp$$

2) Prenons ensemble non récursif (HALT, K, ...)

$\Rightarrow$  l'ensemble  $K = \{ n \mid P_n(n) \text{ se termine} \}$  

$$P_{1 \notin K} \equiv \left( \text{return } 1 \right) \quad P_K(x) = \begin{cases} x = 1 ; \text{return } x \end{cases}$$

$A \neq \emptyset, A \neq N$  et  $\exists i \in A, j \in \bar{A} : \tau_i \neq \tau_j$

$\Rightarrow$  Provenons que cette ensemble est non récursif,

Par l'absurde, si  $A$  récurrent,  $P_n(n)$  décide  $A$   
 $\Rightarrow$  on peut décider HALT.

$P_{\text{HALT}}(n, h) \equiv$  voir slides

3. Faux, contraposée de la quest<sup>n</sup> précédente

$\Rightarrow$  contre exemple : celui de la quest<sup>n</sup> 2