

Report Peer Evaluation Form

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|-------|
| | Team Name: | | | | | |
| | Rate each member of your team on a scale of 0 to 5 in each of the categories. On this scale, 0 means the team member did not take part in this category; 1 is Poor, 2 is fair, 3 is good, 4 is very good and 5 is excellent. | | | | | |
| Evaluation Criteria | Name: Naa Lamiorkor Boye | Name: John Adenu- Mensah | Name: David Nuorkpor Dela | Name: Leslie Lucie Konlack Nanga | Name: Patricia Okletey | Name: |
| Overall participation in the activity and fulfilled all his/her roles timely | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Present and meaningfully engaged in all team meetings contributing significantly to quality work output | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | |
| Significantly involved in organizing and synthesizing all information for the presentation. | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | |
| Demonstrated signs of a good team-player and supported other team members to ensure a high-quality final output. | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | |
| Provide justification for your evaluation stating clearly what they did in contributing to the work. | Amongst other thigs, she aided in the structuring of the results of | He provided key research and insight on the topic. | He aided in the structuring of the presentation of the topic in the | Despite not being able to be present for some meetings due to personal reasons, | She provided research information, and insight that aided us in the selection | |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| | our research into a manner that could be explained | | presentable manner | she made attempts to engage with us by either joining us through zoom, proof reading our submission and providing additional research | of our DBMS of use. | |
| Total | | | | | | |

Note: You are evaluating members individually.