

*Multivariate Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences,*  
Second Edition (Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2019)  
**Solutions to Exercises of Chapter 5:**  
**Generalized Linear Models**

*Kimmo Vehkalahti and Brian S. Everitt*

*10 November 2018*

## Exercises

### Exercise 5.1

```
bladder <- read.table("data/bladder.txt", header = T)
bladder <- within(bladder,
                  X <- factor(X, labels = c("< 3cm", "> 3cm"))
)
bladder
```

```
##      Time      X n
## 1      11 > 3cm 1
## 2       2 < 3cm 1
## 3       3 < 3cm 1
## 4       6 < 3cm 1
## 5       8 < 3cm 1
## 6       9 < 3cm 1
## 7      10 < 3cm 1
## 8      11 < 3cm 1
## 9      13 < 3cm 1
## 10     14 < 3cm 1
## 11     16 < 3cm 1
## 12     21 < 3cm 1
## 13     22 < 3cm 1
## 14     24 < 3cm 1
## 15     26 < 3cm 1
## 16     27 < 3cm 1
## 17       7 < 3cm 2
## 18     13 < 3cm 2
## 19     15 < 3cm 2
## 20     18 < 3cm 2
## 21     23 < 3cm 2
## 22     20 < 3cm 3
## 23     24 < 3cm 4
## 24       1 > 3cm 1
## 25       5 > 3cm 1
## 26     17 > 3cm 1
## 27     18 > 3cm 1
## 28     25 > 3cm 1
## 29     18 > 3cm 2
```

```
## 30    25 > 3cm 2
## 31     4 > 3cm 3
## 32    19 > 3cm 4
```

```
# (solution still in process - check that it corresponds to the comments below!)
```

The estimated model is

$$\log \lambda = -2.339 + 0.229x$$

So for smaller tumors ( $x = 0$ ), the estimated (baseline) rate is  $\exp(-2.339) = 0.096$  and for larger tumors ( $x = 1$ ), the estimated rate is  $\exp(-2.339 + 0.229) = 0.12$ . The rate for larger tumors is estimated as  $0.12 / 0.096 = 1.25$  times the rate for smaller tumors. In terms of waiting times between recurrences the means are  $1 / 0.096 = 10.42$  months for smaller tumors and  $1 / 0.12 = 8.33$  months for larger tumors. But the regression coefficient for the dummy variable coding tumor size is seen to be non-significant so the data give no evidence that rates or waiting times for large and small tumors are different. This becomes apparent if we construct a confidence interval for the rate for larger tumors from the confidence limits given in the output as  $[\exp(-2.339 - 0.371), \exp(-2.339 + 0.829)]$ , i.e.,  $[0.067, 0.221]$ . This interval contains the rate for smaller tumors. There is no evidence that size of primary tumor is associated with number of recurrent tumors.

## Exercise 5.2

```
CHDrisks <- read.table("data/CHDrisks.txt", header = T)
CHDrisks <- within(CHDrisks,
  {
    Smoking <- factor(Smoking, labels = c("non-smoker", "1-10", "11-20", "20+"))
    Press <- factor(Press, labels = c("< 140", ">= 140"))
    Behavior <- factor(Behavior, labels = c("Type B", "Type A"))
  }
)
str(CHDrisks)
```

```
## 'data.frame': 16 obs. of 5 variables:
## $ Years : num 5268 2542 1141 615 4451 ...
## $ Smoking : Factor w/ 4 levels "non-smoker","1-10",...: 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 ...
## $ Press : Factor w/ 2 levels "< 140", ">= 140": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 ...
## $ Behavior: Factor w/ 2 levels "Type B","Type A": 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 ...
## $ nCHD : int 20 16 13 3 41 24 27 17 8 9 ...
```

```
# (solution still in process)
```