MVA - Convex Optimization DM2

Ariane ALIX

November 4th, 2019

Exercise 1 (LP Duality)

1. We can write the first constraint of the problem (P) as Ax - b = 0, and the second as $-x \leq 0$ Therefore, the Lagrangian associated to the problem is:

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = c^T x - \lambda^T x + \nu^T (Ax - b)$$

The dual function g is defined as

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x} \quad L(x, \lambda, \nu)$$

$$= \inf_{x} \quad c^{T}x - \lambda^{T}x + \nu^{T}(Ax - b)$$

$$= \inf_{x} \quad -\nu^{T}b + (c^{T} - \lambda^{T} + \nu^{T}A)x$$

We can see there that if $c^T - \lambda^T + \nu^T A$ is not equal to zero, then g tends to $-\infty$ when $x \to -\infty$ or $x \to +\infty$ depending on the sign. Therefore, we have :

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \begin{cases} -\nu^T b, & \text{if } (c^T - \lambda^T + \nu^T A) = 0\\ -\infty, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Since the dual problem as $\lambda \geq 0$ as a constraint, and that we need $c^T - \lambda^T + \nu^T A = 0$, the constraint can also be written as $c^T + \nu^T A \geq 0$. Finally, we get the Lagrange dual problem :

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\nu} - \nu^T b \\ \text{s.t} & c + A^T \nu \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

2. Problem (D) can also be written as :

$$\begin{aligned} & & \min_{y} - b^T y \\ \text{s.t.} & & A^T y - c \preceq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We then have :

$$L(y, \lambda, \nu) = -b^T y + \lambda^T (A^T y - c)$$

And, with the same reasoning as for the problem (P), the dual function g is defined as :

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \begin{cases} -\lambda^T c, & \text{if } (-b^T + \lambda^T A^T) = 0\\ -\infty, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

And the dual problem is:

$$\max_{\lambda} -\lambda^T c$$
 s.t $\lambda \succeq 0$
$$A\lambda = b$$

3. The problem can also be written as:

$$\min_{x,y} c^T x - b^T y$$
s.t $Ax - b = 0$

$$-x \le 0$$

$$A^T y - c \le 0$$

The corresponding Lagrangian is:

$$L((x,y), \lambda, \nu) = c^{T}x - b^{T}y - \lambda_{d}^{T}x + \lambda_{n}^{T}(A^{T}y - c) + \nu^{T}(Ax - b)$$

$$= (c^{T} - \lambda_{d}^{T} + \nu^{T}A)x + (-b^{T} + \lambda_{n}^{T}A^{T})y - \lambda_{n}^{T}c - \nu^{T}b$$

$$= (c - \lambda_{d} + A^{T}\nu)^{T}x + (A\lambda_{n} - b)^{T}y - \lambda_{n}^{T}c - \nu^{T}b$$

For a clearer notation, we separated λ in two parts λ_d and λ_n of respective dimensions d and n, so that it matches the dimensions of x and y and we do not have to stack the vectors.

$$\begin{split} g(\lambda,\nu) &= \inf_{x,y} \quad L((x,y),\lambda,\nu) \\ &= \begin{cases} -\lambda_n^T c - \nu^T b, & \text{if } (c-\lambda_d + A^T \nu) = 0 \text{ and } (A\lambda_n - b) = 0 \\ -\infty, & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The condition $(\lambda \ge 0)$ of the dual problem is equivalent to $(\lambda_d \ge 0 \text{ and } \lambda_n \ge 0)$.

We can then consider this problem has a sum of the two problems (P) and (D) since it is indepednant in x and y. As a consequence, the dual problem can be written as :

$$\max_{\lambda,\nu} -\nu^T b - \lambda^T c$$
 s.t $A\lambda = b$
$$\lambda \succeq 0$$

$$c + A^T \nu \succeq 0$$

By passing to the min and replacing ν by $-\nu$, it is equivalent to :

$$\min_{\lambda,\nu} -\nu^T b + \lambda^T c$$
 s.t $A\lambda = b$
$$\lambda \succeq 0$$

$$A^T \nu \preceq c$$

which is the problem itself. Therefore it is self-dual.

- 4. We assume that the problem from 3. is feasible and bounded and that $[x^*, y^*]$ is its optimal solution. Since the problem is convex (affine constraints and sum of affine functions to minimize), and feasible, the strong duality is guaranteed by the Slater's constraint.
 - The third problem can be considered as the sum of problems (P) and (D): its objective function is minimum when the objectives function of (P) and (D) are minimum. As a consequence, x^* is the solution of (P) and y^* is the solution of (D).
 - For the problem (P), we have :
 - Primal and dual feasability.
 - Complementary slackness for x = 0 since $\lambda^T 0 = 0$.
 - The gradient of the Lagrangian vanishing for all x including x=0 if we have $c^T-\lambda^T+\nu^TA=0$ (condition in exercise 1.).

Therefore x=0 and λ and ν such that $c^T-\lambda^T+\nu^TA=0$ verify the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions, and are optimal since the problem is convex. Therefore, the optimal value is 0.

We notice that (D) is the dual problem of (P); it means by strong duality that (D) has the same optimal value : 0.

As a consequence, the optimal value of the third problem is 0 + 0 = 0.

Exercise 2 (Regularized Least-Square)

1. Let f be the function defined as $f(x) = ||x||_1$. Its conjugate f^* is defined as:

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \text{dom} f} (y^T x - ||x||_1)$$
$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (y^T x - \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|)$$

- If there is a component y_i of y such as $y_i > 1$, then by looking at the x of the form $x = u\mathbf{e_i}$ with u > 0, we have $: f^*(y) = (y_i 1)u$ that tends to $+\infty$ when $u \to +\infty$.
- If there is a component y_i of y such as $y_i < 1$, then by looking at the x of the form $x = u\mathbf{e_i}$ with u < 0, we have $: f^*(y) = (y_i + 1)u$ that tends to $+\infty$ when $u \to -\infty$.
- If $-1 \leq y \leq 1$, then $f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (\sum_{i=1}^d |y_i| |x_i| \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|)$ (by choosing the signs of the x_i equal to the ones of y_i).

From there we deduce that $f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (\sum_{i=1}^d (|y_i| - 1)|x_i|) = 0$

To conclude, we can define f^* as :

$$f^*(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } -1 \le y \le 1\\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. We introduce a variable y so that we can write the (RLS) as :

$$\min_{x,y} \|y\|_2^2 + \|x\|_1$$
 s.t
$$y = Ax - b$$

As a consequence, the Lagrangian can be written as:

$$L((x,y),\lambda,\nu) = ||y||_2^2 + ||x||_1 + \nu^T(y+b-Ax)$$

And the dual function:

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x,y} \|y\|_2^2 + \|x\|_1 + \nu^T (y + b - Ax)$$
$$= \inf_{x,y} \|y\|_2^2 + \nu^T (y + b) + \|x\|_1 - \nu^T Ax$$

We notice that the two last terms correspond to the opposite of the conjugate of the norm L_1 :

$$\begin{split} \inf_x \|x\|_1 - \nu^T A x &= -\sup_x - \|x\|_1 + \nu^T A x \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } -1 \leq A^T \nu \leq 1 \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

4

Therefore we can write the dual problem as :

$$\max_{\nu} \|y\|_2^2 + \nu^T (y+b)$$
 s.t
$$A^T \nu \preceq 1$$

We could also simplify the dual function by looking at the terms in y. If we compute the gradient, we have $\nabla \|y\|_2^2 + \nu^T y = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = -\frac{\nu}{2}$; hence :

$$\inf_{y} \|y\|_2^2 + \nu^T y = -\frac{1}{4} \|\nu\|_2^2$$

Finally, the dual problem of (RLS) can be written as :

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \|\nu\|_2^2 + \nu^T b \\ \text{s.t} & -1 \preceq A^T \nu \preceq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3 (Data Separation)

1. From (Sep.1) we can construct the equivalent problem (in terms of optimal value, and solution to a factor τ):

$$\min_{\omega, z} \frac{1}{n\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_2^2$$
s.t $z_i = \mathcal{L}(\omega, x_i, y_i) \quad \forall i = 1...n$

by dividing the objectiving funntion by τ and introducing the dummy variable z equal to the loss. The problem can also be written as :

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\omega,z} \frac{1}{n\tau} \mathbf{1}^T z + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t} & z_i = \max\{0; 1 - y_i(\omega^T x_i)\} \quad \forall i = 1...n \end{aligned}$$

By definition of \mathcal{L} , $\forall i=1...n$ we have $z_i \geq 0$ and $z_i \geq 1-y_i(\omega^T x_i)$. Since the goal is to minimize the function based on the sum of z_i and that these inequalities provide the same lower bounds as the definition of \mathcal{L} , solving a problem with these 2 inequalities as constraints instead of the one on $z=\mathcal{L}$ would drive the same results.

Therefore (Sep.2) solves (Sep.1).

2. The Lagrangian of (Sep.2) is :

$$L((\omega, z), \lambda, \pi) = \frac{1}{n\tau} \mathbf{1}^T z + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (1 - y_i(\omega^T x_i) - z_i) - \pi^T z$$

And the dual function is:

$$g(\lambda, \pi) = \inf_{\omega} \frac{1}{n\tau} \mathbf{1}^T z + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (1 - y_i(\omega^T x_i) - z_i) - \pi^T z$$

Let g_{ω} be the part of g with terms in ω :

$$g_{\omega}(\lambda, \pi) = \inf_{\omega} \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_{2}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i}(\omega^{T} x_{i})$$

We then look at its gradient:

$$\nabla g_{\omega}(\lambda, \pi) = \omega - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i x_i$$

Since it is equal to 0 for $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i x_i$, we can deduce that :

$$g_{\omega}(\lambda, \pi) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^2 y_i^2 ||x_i||_2^2$$

6

Let g_z be the part of g with terms in z:

$$g_z(\lambda, \pi) = \inf_z \frac{1}{n\tau} \mathbf{1}^T z - \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i z_i) - \pi^T z$$
$$= \inf_z (\frac{1}{n\tau} \mathbf{1} - \lambda - \pi)^T z$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (\frac{1}{n\tau} \mathbf{1} - \lambda - \pi) \ge 0 \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise } (z \text{ being positive}) \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by plugging these 2 results in the g function, and simplifying the condition $(\frac{1}{n\tau}\mathbf{1} - \lambda - \pi) = 0$ to $(\frac{1}{n\tau}\mathbf{1} - \lambda) = 0$ since π does not appear in the rest and λ can play its role, we get the dual problem :

$$\begin{split} \max_{\lambda,\pi} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^2 y_i^2 \|x_i\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \\ \text{s.t} \quad \frac{1}{n\tau} \mathbf{1} - \lambda \geq 0 \end{split}$$