

Data analysis of recordings of slow earthquakes: Tectonic tremor, low frequency earthquakes and slow slip events

Ariane Ducellier
PhD Dissertation Proposal
October 2019?

1 Introduction

The largest earthquakes on Earth occur in subduction zones, where large segments of the boundary between the subducting tectonic plate and the overlying plate can store energy for centuries and then release it in minutes during an earthquake. To better assess seismic hazard, it is thus necessary to improve our understanding of subduction zone processes. Slow slip events are a new feature discovered in the last two decades in many subduction zones thanks to recordings of the displacement of Earth's surface by dense GPS networks. They can last from a few days to several years, and have a relatively short recurrence time (months to years), compared to the recurrence time of regular earthquakes (up to several hundreds of years), allowing scientists to observe and study many complete event cycles, which is typically not possible to explore with traditional earthquake catalogs.

Moreover, whereas regular earthquakes occur updip of the plate boundary (in the seismogenic / locked zone), slow slip events occur downdip of the plate boundary, in a section adjacent to the locked section of the subduction zone. Interactions between the slow slip zone and the seismogenic zone could potentially trigger large earthquakes. This phenomenon complicates our understanding of subduction zone processes, and should be taken into account when studying the seismic hazard.

As ordinary earthquakes, slow slip events are caused by slip on a fault such as the plate boundary between a tectonic plate subducting under another tectonic plate. However, they take a much longer time (several days to several years) to happen relative to ordinary earthquakes, and the seismic waves they generate are much weaker than the seismic waves generated by ordinary earthquakes, and may not be detectable. A slow slip event on the plate boundary is inferred to happen when there is a reversal of the direction of motion at GPS stations, compared to the secular motion of the surface displacement. Slow slip events have been observed in many subduction zones, such as Cascadia, Nankai (southwest Japan), Alaska, Costa Rica, Mexico, and New Zealand. In many places, tectonic tremor are also observed in relation to slow slip. Tremor is a long (several seconds to many minutes), low amplitude seismic signal, with emergent onsets, and an absence of clear impulsive phases. Tectonic tremor have been explained as a swarm of small, low-frequency earthquakes (LFEs), that is small magnitude earthquakes ($M \sim 1$) which frequency content (1-10 Hz) is lower than for ordinary earthquakes (up to 20 Hz). In subduction zones such as Nankai and Cascadia, tectonic tremor observations are spatially and temporally correlated with slow slip observations. Due to this correlation, these paired phenomena have been called Episodic Tremor and Slip (ETS). However, this is not always the case. For instance, in northern New Zealand, tremor are more challenging to detect, and seem to be located downdip of the slow slip on the plate boundary.

As ordinary earthquakes, slow slip events are caused by slip on a fault such as the plate boundary between a tectonic plate subducting under another tectonic plate. However, they take a much

longer time (several days to several years) to happen relative to ordinary earthquakes, and the seismic waves they generate are much weaker than the seismic waves generated by ordinary earthquakes, and may not be detectable. A slow slip event on the plate boundary is inferred to happen when there is a reversal of the direction of motion at GPS stations, compared to the secular motion of the surface displacement. Slow slip events have been observed in many subduction zones, such as Cascadia, Nankai (southwest Japan), Alaska, Costa Rica, Mexico, and New Zealand (Beroza and Ide, 2011 [?]; Audet and Kim, 2016 [?]).

In many places, tectonic tremor are also observed in relation to slow slip. Tremor is a long (several seconds to many minutes), low amplitude seismic signal, with emergent onsets, and an absence of clear impulsive phases. Tectonic tremor have been explained as a swarm of small, low-frequency earthquakes (LFEs ; Shelly *et al.*, 2007 [?]), that is small magnitude earthquakes ($M \sim 1$) which frequency content (1-10 Hz) is lower than for ordinary earthquakes (up to 20 Hz). In subduction zones such as Nankai and Cascadia, tectonic tremor observations are spatially and temporally correlated with slow slip observations (Obara, 2002 [?]; Rogers and Dragert, 2003 [?]). Due to this correlation, these paired phenomena have been called Episodic Tremor and Slip (ETS). However, this is not always the case. For instance, in northern New Zealand, tremor are more challenging to detect, and seem to be located downdip of the slow slip on the plate boundary.

2 Proposed PhD Research

The purpose of my research is to carry out data analyses of recordings of tectonic tremor, low-frequency earthquakes and slow slip events to better understand the mechanism of slow earthquakes. Specifically, I want to be able to better constrain the depth of the source of the tectonic tremor, to detect new low-frequency earthquakes, and to detect smaller and/or longer slow slip events.

2.1 Depth of the source of the tectonic tremor in the eastern Olympic Peninsula

Is the source of the tectonic tremor located on the plate boundary? What is the thickness of the tremor source region?

Motivation

tremor made of LFEs and LFEs on the plate boundary: tremor should be on the plate boundary but difficult to get depth due to absence of impulsive phases. Work by La Rocca et al origin of tremor quartz vein (Audet and Burgmann, fagereng etc.). Looks like a much thicker region Work by Kelin Wang, Michael Bostock? Determine the depth of the tremor and the thickness of the tremor region

Completed work

Most methods to detect and locate tectonic tremors and LFEs are based on the cross-correlation of seismic signals; either signals at the same station for different events, the same event at different stations, or the same event at a small-aperture array. In this first research project, I am using a

slightly modified approach. The available data come from eight small-aperture arrays installed in the eastern part of the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. The aperture of the arrays is about 1 km, and station spacing is a few hundred meters. The arrays are around 5 to 10 km apart from each other. Most of the arrays were installed for nearly a year and were able to record the main August 2010 and August 2011 ETS events. The time and locations (latitude and longitude) of the tremor sources were all computed by Ghosh *et al.* (2012). For each one-minute-long time window where tremor has been detected, I compute the cross-correlation of an horizontal component of the seismogram with the vertical component. Then I compute the average cross-correlation over all the stations of the array. I expect to see better the P-wave on the vertical component, and the S-wave on the horizontal component. As the P- and the S-wave have similar complex source-time functions, I expect to see a peak in the cross-correlation at the time lag between the arrival of the direct P-wave and the arrival of the direct S-wave. Due to the low signal-to-noise ratio of the seismic recordings, this peak is not obvious when I use a single time window. However, when I stack the cross-correlation over all the time windows where the source of the tremor was located at about the same place, I can see a peak appearing in the cross-correlation signal. Using the time lag between the arrival of the P-wave and the arrival of the S-wave for different locations of the tremor and the array, I will be able to determine the depth of the tremor source.

Future work

2.2 A low-frequency earthquakes catalog for Northern California

Do LFE families behave similarly or differently in Northern California, compared to northern Washington and the San Andreas fault?

Motivation

Most of the work on low-frequency earthquakes has been focused on detecting low-frequency earthquakes during periods of high tremor activity. Low-frequency earthquakes are usually grouped into families of events, with all the earthquakes of a given family originating from the same small patch on the plate interface, and recurring more or less episodically in a bursty manner. When enough (a few hundred) low-frequency earthquakes have been identified for a given family, a template waveform can be obtained by stacking all the waveforms corresponding to all the low-frequency earthquakes identified. Once a template is available, additional low-frequency earthquakes can be found by cross-correlating seismic data with the template, and assuming that a low-frequency earthquake is occurring whenever the value of the cross-correlation is higher than a chosen threshold. The signal-to-noise ratios are low, so low-frequency earthquakes can be best identified by stacking the cross-correlation functions of multiple stations.

Catalogs of low-frequency earthquakes; identifying and locating families (examples) less work on getting long catalog and observing occurrence during several years. However, different behaviour: Shelly o San Andread, Sweet and Chestler on northern Washington South Cascadia near MTJ: interesting because 3 on strike-slip from SA fault system but other on the subduction zone. Do they behave differently?

We are currently using data from a temporary experiment in Northern California. A hundred seismic stations have been installed between 2007 and 2009. Low-frequency earthquakes have

been detected, and templates for 66 families have been obtained by Plourde et al. (2015) using data recorded during a period of high tremor activity in April 2008. We are currently using their templates to extend their catalog to the whole two years during which the temporary seismic stations were installed.

It turns out that these low-frequency earthquakes were also detected by the stations from three permanent seismic networks (Berkeley Digital Seismic Network, Northern California Seismic Network, and Plate Boundary Observatory). These stations have been installed as early as 2001 and are still recording seismic data. We thus have 18 years of data available. The aim of this project is thus to extend our catalog of low-frequency earthquakes from two years to eighteen years.

Long-range dependence is a phenomenon that may arise in the statistical analysis of time series data. It relates to the slow rate of decay of the statistical dependence between two points with increasing time interval between the points. Evidence of long-range dependence has been found in regional and global earthquake catalogs, and in catalogs of mining-induced seismicity.

Completed work

We are using a matched-filter algorithm. To detect new low-frequency earthquakes for a given family, we take one hour of seismic data recorded by the permanent stations. Then for each station and each channel (two horizontal and one vertical), we cross-correlate the one-hour long signal with the one-minute long template for the given station and channel. As the signal-to-noise ratio of the seismic data is low, we may not see obvious peaks in the cross-correlation signal. However, if we stack the cross-correlation signals for all the channels and all the stations, we can see peaks appearing. Whenever the value of the average cross-correlation is higher than a threshold (generally eight times the median absolute deviation), we assume that there is a low-frequency earthquake.

In a related research project, I analyzed catalogs of LFEs from the Olympic Peninsula, Northern California, Mexico, and the San Andreas Fault. For each family of LFEs, I translated the catalog into a continuous time series defined by number of events per minute. The sample interval is 1 minute. Then, I computed the value of the fractional parameter d , which represents how fast the variance in the number of LFEs increases with the length of the time window considered. For most families of the catalogs studied, I found that $0 < d < 0.5$, which is characteristic of long-range dependence in the time series. The only exception is the San Andreas Fault catalog, where the LFE families show a wider range of behaviors, and where only some of the families exhibit evidence for long-range dependence. Long-range dependence may be explained by interactions among several asperities associated with the same LFE family. The statistical characterization of LFE occurrence could provide important constraints on future mechanical models of slow earthquake phenomena.

Future work

2.3 Detection of slow slip events in New Zealand

Can we detect small and / or longer slow slip events in the absence of spatially and temporally correlated tectonic tremor?

Motivation

Wavelets methods such as the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) are mathematical tools for analyzing time series simultaneously in the time and the frequency domain by observing how weighted averages of a time series vary from one averaging period to the next. They have been widely used for geophysical applications. However, very few studies have used wavelet methods to analyze recordings of slow slip events. The tectonics of the North Island of New Zealand is dominated by the westward subduction of the Pacific Plate under the Australian Plate at the Hikurangi Trench. Two types of slow slip events have been observed at the Hikurangi margin. Shallow (10-15 km depth), shorter (1-3 weeks), and usually smaller (Mw 6.3-6.8) slow slip events have been observed every 18-24 months in the northern part of the margin. Deeper (35-60 km depth), longer (12-18 months), and larger (Mw 7.0) slow slip events have been observed every 5 years in the southern part of the margin. Tremor has also been detected in northern Hikurangi. However, contrary to Episodic Tremor and Slip events in Cascadia and Nankai, the tremor activity does not seem to increase during the slow slip events, and seem to be located downdip of the geodetically inferred slip area. In other subduction zones, tremor has been used as a proxy to study slow slip events. However, as there is no clear relationship between tremor and slow slip occurrence in New Zealand, we need another method to be able to better detect and quantify slow slip. The aim of this research project is to use wavelet methods to analyze GPS recordings of slow slip events in New Zealand. These advanced signal processing methods will enable us to extract much more information from the GPS data currently available and will improve our knowledge of this newly discovered geological phenomenon. Specifically, as a result of the project, I expect to be able to detect possible smaller (magnitude 5) slow slip events that may be currently undetected with standard methods, detect longer (months to years) slow slip events that are more difficult to detect than slow slip events with a short duration (days to weeks), and determine the vertical displacement of the ground surface during a slow slip event.

New Zealand exhibits a wide range of slow slip behavior, and is therefore an exciting site for research and was chosen as one of the primary sites of study by the GeoPRIMS (Geodynamic Processes at Rifting and Subducting Margins) Program of the National Science Foundation (NSF). The tectonics of the North Island of New Zealand is dominated by the westward subduction of the Pacific Plate under the Australian Plate at the Hikurangi Trench. Two types of slow slip events have been observed at the Hikurangi margin. Shallow (10-15 km depth), shorter (1-3 weeks), and usually smaller (Mw 6.3-6.8) slow slip events have been observed every 18-24 months in the northern part of the margin. Deeper (35-60 km depth), longer (12-18 months), and larger (Mw 7.0) slow slip events have been observed every 5 years in the southern part of the margin (Wallace and Beavan, 2010 [?]; Todd and Schwartz, 2016 [?]).

It used to be thought that there were no tremor associated with slow slip events in northern Hikurangi. Delahaye *et al.* (2009 [?]) observed an increase in the rate of microseismicity downdip of the 2004 Gisborne slow slip event. More recently, however, Kim *et al.* (2011 [?]) detected a low level of tremor activity that increased during the 2010 Gisborne slow slip event. As was the case for the microearthquakes, the source of the tremor was located downdip of the slow slip patch determined from GPS data. Ide (2012 [?]) detected tremor downdip of the location of two deep slow slip events observed by Wallace and Eberhart-Phillips (2013 [?]) in 2006 and 2008. However, contrary to Episodic Tremor and Slip events in Cascadia and Nankai, the tremor activity did not seem to increase during the slow slip events. Todd and Schwartz (2016 [?]) detected

tremor associated with most of the shallow slow slip events between 2010 and 2015, and located downdip of the geodetically inferred slip area. They also detected deeper tremor between 20 and 50 km depth with unclear origin. They hypothesized that these tremor may be related to currently undetected deep long-term slow slip events.

In other subduction zones, tremor has been used as a proxy to study slow slip events. For instance, Aguiar *et al.* (2009 [?]) used the tremor occurrence rate to determine the moment released by short tremor episodes for which no slow slip is detectable in the GPS data. Frank (2016 [?]) stacked independently the displacement observed on GPS data during time periods when low-frequency earthquakes (LFEs) are detected, and the displacement observed during time periods when no LFE is detected, and succeeded in observing surface deformation previously hidden in GPS noise. However, as there is no clear relationship between tremor and slow slip occurrence in New Zealand, these methods cannot be applied, and we need other methods to be able to better detect and quantify slow slip.

Wavelet methods have already been used to analyze GPS time series with many applications, such as detecting abrupt changes while monitoring bridge deformation (Kaloop and Li, 2011 [?]), denoising GPS measurements for the structural health monitoring of large-scale civil engineering structures (Kaloop and Kim, 2016 [?]), assessing the accuracy of landslide displacements monitored by GPS (Li *et al.*, 2017 [?]), improving the performance of GPS signal acquisition system in the presence of noise (Ahamed *et al.*, 2016 [?]), mitigate multipath errors occurring when direct signals from satellites are mixed with those reflected from objects in the vicinity of a static antenna using noise reduction methods (Lau, 2017 [?]; Pugliano *et al.*, 2016 [?]), and signal filtering to better protect the GPS system against intentional or nonintentional interference (Rao and Swamy, 2006 [?]).

Wavelet methods have also been widely used for geophysical applications (Kumar and Fofoula-Georgiou, 1997 [?]). However, few studies have used wavelet methods to analyze recordings of slow slip. Alba (2011 [?]) and Alba *et al.* (2019 [?]) analyzed tidal records at four different sites during slow slip events. They applied a Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) to each of the sites studied, in order to retrieve the noise signal shared by all sites and remove it from the time series. They could then see clearly a difference in uplift between the two tide gauges at Port Angeles and Port Townsend. Szeliga *et al.* (2008 [?]) used a continuous wavelet transform of the GPS time series to pick the onset of the ETS events. Finally, Ohtani *et al.* (2010 [?]) used wavelet functions in the spatial domain to detect slow slip events. Their method has been successfully used to detect a transient event in the Boso peninsula, Japan, and a slow slip event in the Alaska subduction zone (Wei *et al.*, 2012 [?]). While wavelet methods have a great potential for analyzing slow slips, their potential has been relatively untapped.

Completed work

I am using data made publicly available by GeoNet, which is a partnership between the Earthquake Commission of New Zealand, GNS Science, and Land Information New Zealand (LINZ). Ground deformation data are collected continuously by GeoNet using Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receivers and antennas. Daily time series solutions can be easily obtained from GeoNet's website. No adjustments have been made to them, so they may, for example, contain offsets due to earthquakes, offsets due to equipment changes at individual sites, and seasonal (annual and semi-annual) signals due to various causes.

Future work

During the two years of this project, I intend to further extend the wavelet analysis of GPS time series to help better understand the phenomenon on slow slip. First, I want to *detect possible smaller (magnitude 5) slow slip events* that may be currently undetected with standard methods. This would help us to verify whether the fault weakens with depth. Indeed, it has been observed in Cascadia that, with decreasing depth, there is a gradation from frequent tremor episodes with small spatial and temporal extent, to infrequent tremor episodes with large spatial and temporal extent. As there is a temporal and spatial correlation between slow slip and tremor, the same spatial pattern is also expected for the slow slip. However, the limited resolution of geodetic instruments only allows us to observe the largest slow slip events, and many smaller slow slip events may be undetected. It has been hypothesized that stable sliding at depth transfers stress to the base of the slow slip zone, initiating frequent tremor and small slow slip. In a self-similar process, stress is then transferred up-dip of the fault, triggering less frequent tremor and larger slow slip, up toward the locked zone. This unobserved up-dip slip could help mediate plate convergence and either lower the slip deficit available for co-seismic slip or shift the downward extent of the megathrust rupture away from urban centers. Similarly, smaller slow slip events may exist in New Zealand. However, without a good spatiotemporal correlation with tremor occurrence, they are even harder to detect. I intend to combine wavelet analyses of several channels and several stations, and stack them with some time shift to take into account a possible propagation of the slow slip event along the plate boundary, in order to get a better wavelet signal, and be able to see peaks in the wavelet details currently unseen. Another possibility is to use a matching pursuit algorithm. The idea is to approximate the time series by a small number of basis wavelet vectors (Mallat and Zhang, 1993 [?]) in order to obtain an adaptive time / frequency decomposition of the time series by using a sum of waveforms whose localizations in time and frequency match those of pertinent structures in the time series (Percival and Walden, 2000 [?]). Regarding this research problem, I expect that the first wavelet basis vectors obtained with the matching pursuit algorithm will correspond to the biggest slow slip events, but that some other wavelet basis vectors that could be associated with smaller events will also appear in the decomposition.

I also want to *detect longer (months to years) slow slip events* that are more difficult to detect than slow slip events with a short duration (days to weeks). Indeed, as a long-term slow slip event releases the same seismic moment at the plate boundary during months (or years) as what is released in a few weeks by a short-term slow slip event, we cannot see a sharp jump in the ground displacement time series, but a slow increase that is harder to detect. Todd and Schwartz (2016 [?]) detected deep tremor between 20 and 50 km depth with unclear origin and hypothesized that these tremor may be related to deep long-term slow slip events, but were unable to detect them. I expect that longer term slow slip events could be seen on the wavelet details at larger scale. However, computing these details at larger scale requires having a continuous time series. Currently, there are some missing data in the GPS recordings due to instrumental dysfunction. I intend to replace the missing data points by synthetic data points obtained by a combination of linear or more complex interpolation and random Gaussian noise.

Next, I want to *determine the vertical displacement of the ground surface during a slow slip event*. This is necessary to better constrain the depth extent of the slip area at the plate boundary. The up-dip limit of the slow slip area is assumed to be one of the constraints on the down-dip limit of the megathrust earthquake rupture, a variable that is currently being used in Cascadia for seismic

hazard studies, and the design of building codes. Constraining the down-dip limit of slow slip is also useful to estimate the area that slips during a slow-motion earthquake, and thus the percentage of the plate convergence that is released by large slow slip episodes. Additionally, the up-dip and down-dip limits of slow slip can be correlated with other geophysical data, such as porosity, temperature, and structure, in an effort to better understand the underlying processes that facilitate aseismic slip. The vertical component of the ground displacement is the most useful in constraining the up-dip and down-dip extent of slip since the sense of motion (uplift versus subsidence) changes for slip at different depths (Szeliga *et al.*, 2008 [?]). However, the vertical displacement is smaller than the horizontal displacement, and generally hard to resolve. I intend to use wavelet-based methods to denoise the time series, by applying thresholding, scaling, or shrinkage of the wavelet coefficients before reconstructing the time series using the inverse wavelet transform. For instance, Figure 2 shows the denoised time series obtained applying the thresholding method to the eastern and the vertical components of the displacement at GPS station CKID, located in the North Island of New Zealand. The denoising and the low-pass filtering give similar results for the horizontal component, but the denoising highlights different features for the vertical component.

Finally, it would be interesting to detect as soon as possible the start of a slow slip event in the case where there is no tremor associated that could be used as a proxy. Indeed, the start of a large slow slip event up-dip of the plate boundary may indicate an increase in the probability of occurrence of a large megathrust earthquake. A first method to detect a change in the time series, and the possible beginning of a slow slip event, would be to use different families of wavelets. The Daubechies wavelets (Daubechies, 1992 [?]) are associated with an extremal phase filter, and would be a good candidate. A second method is to detect a change in the time series by looking at the homogeneity or inhomogeneity of the variance of the wavelet coefficients at different time scales (Whitcher *et al.*, 2002 [?]; Kouamo *et al.*, 2010 [?]).

Figures