Post-Disturbance Tree Community Trajectories in a Neotropical Forest

Ariane MIRABEL[1*]

Bruno Herault[2]

Eric Marcon[1]

Abstract

Understand biodiversity trajectories of tropical forests following disturbance and clarify the link between biodiversity and disturbance is urgent to anticipate the impact of the global change context. This calls to highlight the taxonomic and functional facets of tree community trajectories and the underlying processes, and to clarify the link between biodiversity and disturbance, specifically the scope of the Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis (IDH) that is debated tropical forests. In this study, we analyzed tree community response to a disturbance gradient in a Neotropical forest through diversity, composition and redundancy post-disturbance trajectories over 30 years. We considered taxonomic trajectories and functional trajectories based on 7 leaf, stem and life-history traits. While taxonomic trajectories differed among communities, functional trajectories remained similar and convergent, and this decoupling was explained by the variations in community functional redundancy mitigating the functional impact of disturbance. We validated the IDH, based on an intensity threshold of 20-25% of AGB removed, and we clarified its scope, showing that it applied to taxonomic but not functional diversity, and applied around 20 years after disturbance when the recruitment of pioneers and late-successionals were balanced. Although consistent, the recovery of community composition, diversity and redundancy remained unachieved after 30 years. This acknowledged the need of decades-long cycles without disturbance to ensure a complete recovery, and questioned tropical forest community resilience after repeated disturbances.

Keywords

Community Ecology, Disturbance Trajectories, Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis, Mid-term Resilience, Neotropical Forests, Taxonomic and Functional Biodiversity

[1] UMR EcoFoG, AgroParistech, CNRS, Cirad, INRA, Université des Antilles, Université de Guyane.
   Campus Agronomique, 97310 Kourou, France.
[2] INPHB, Institut National Polytechnique Félix Houphoüet-Boigny
   Yamoussoukro, Ivory Coast.
*Corresponding author: ariane.mirabel@ecofog.gf, https://github.com/ArianeMirabel

Contents

1. Introduction

The large areas covered with tropical forests worldwide hold crucial environmental, economic and social values. They provide wood and multiple non-timber forest products, shelter a diversified fauna, and ensure cultural and human well-being. They regulate as well the local and regional climates, and the carbon, water and nutrient cycles. However, the growing demand in forests products together with current global changes increase the pressure on remaining natural forests (Morales-Hidalgo *et al.*, 2015). These threats affect the natural disturbance regime that shape and maintain the structure, composition and functioning of tree communities (Schnitzer & Carson, 2001; Anderson-Teixeira *et al.*, 2013; Sist *et al.*, 2015). It is urgent to understand community response to disturbance and the underlying processes to anticipate the fate of tropical forests in the current context. Disturbance change both community abiotic and biotic environments, through modifications in the fluxes of light, heat and water (Goulamoussène *et al.*, 2017). In tropical forests, the forest environment is maintained after disturbance and there is a suit of changes in the structure and functioning of communities that consitutes a secondary succession. For now, this succession has been largely studied through post-disturbance trajectories of forest struc-

tural parameters such as aboveground biomass, tree height or stem density (Piponiot *et al.*, 2016; Rutishauser *et al.*, 2016). Models based on observed trajectories then assessed community time to recovery and identified some of the determinants of post-disturbance trajectories, like forest structure, forest composition, environmental parameters and disturbance characteristics (Hérault & Piponiot, 2018). Regarding community diversity and composition, however, post-disturbance trajectories have not been as thoroughly understood (Guitet *et al.*, 2018; Molino & Sabatier, 2001). Given the variety of species response to disturbance and the huge diversity of tropical forests, post-disturbance biodiversity trajectories might be very diverse (Lindenmayer *et al.*, 2012; Garcia_Florez *et al.*, 2017). It is essential to anticipate these trajectories and highlight the link between biodiversity and disturbance, and specifically how disturbance intensity and frequency determine the rate, endpoint and duration of post-disturbance trajectories (Chazdon, 2003; Willig & Presley, 2018).

An early conceptual basis of the linkage between biodiversity and disturbance is the Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis (IDH). The IDH assumes a relationship between community diversity and the intensity and frequency of disturbance events (Connell, 1978). The theory and states that community diversity peaks at intermediate level of disturbance. The fluctuations of environmental conditions after disturbance are assumed to prevent competitive exclusion in fostering both competitively superior species and fast colonizers (Shea *et al.*, 2004; Pulsford *et al.*, 2016). In tropical forests, however, observations of the IDH are often blurry compared to theoretical expectations (Randall Hughes *et al.*, 2007; Sheil & Burslem, 2003; Norden *et al.*, 2017), and the validation of the theory remains controversial (Hubbell, 2001; Fox, 2013; Sheil & Burslem, 2013). The link between biodiversity and disturbance might be complicated by the huge diversity of tropical communities and a variety of ecological processes may underlie the IDH (colonisation, facilitation, coexistence,etc)(Lindenmayer *et al.*, 2012; Garcia_Florez *et al.*, 2017). There is a need to clarify the scope of the IDH in terms of the different facets of community diversity, that are the taxonomic richness, the species abundance distribution and the community functioning. Besides, there is a need to delineate the scope of the IDH in time, and highlight the moment when the conditions for the IDH emerge (Sheil & Burslem, 2003; Shea *et al.*, 2004).

Analysing community response to disturbance requires a set of metrics, to grasp all aspects of community changes (Sheil & Burslem, 2003; Shea *et al.*, 2004; Mayfield & Levine, 2010). The analysis should first consider community composition, which is crucial for conservation issues and reveals the species fostered by the disturbance (Lavorel & Garnier, 2002; Bellwood *et al.*, 2006). Then, diversity metrics encompassing taxonomic richness and evenness should be considered to assess the changes in community abundance distribution. A functional approach, that account for species biological attributes and ecological strategy, would directly link community diversity, composition and redundancy to ecosystem functioning and environmental constraints (Violle *et al.*, 2007; Baraloto *et al.*, 2012).

In that respect, a vast literature allowed recognizing major traits that represent species ecological strategy and determine how they respond to changing conditions (Díaz *et al.*, 2005). Specifically in tropical forests, the functional approach revealed the post-disturbance deterministic processes entailing a shift from a dominance of "conservative" slow-growing species dealing with scarce resources, to a dominance of "acquisitive" fast-growing species with rapid and efficient use of abundant resources (Rees *et al.*, 2001; Reich, 2014; Hérault *et al.*, 2011). This shift is translated into the trajectories of average community value of key functional traits related to resource acquisition (leaf and stem traits) and life-history strategy (seed mass, maximum size) (Wright *et al.*, 2004; ter Steege *et al.*, 2006; Westoby & Wright, 2006; Chave *et al.*, 2009). The functional approach also encompasses the analysis of functional redundancy, that quantifies the amount of shared trait values among species (Carmona *et al.*, 2016). The typical high functional redundancy of hyper-diverse tropical forests (Bellwood *et al.*, 2006) mitigates the impacts of species removal on ecosystem functioning and determines communities resilience after disturbance (Elmqvist *et al.*, 2003; Díaz *et al.*, 2005).

In this study, we monitored over 30 years the response of 75 ha of Neotropical forest plots set up on a gradient of disturbance intensity, from 10 to 60% of above-ground biomass (AGB) loss. We made use of a large functional traits database encompassing major leaf, stem and life-history traits in order to draw the taxonomic and functional trajectories in terms of richness, evenness, composition and redundancy. Specifically, (i) we defined taxonomic and functional post-disturbance trajectories and examined the underlying ecological process, (ii) we discussed the scope of the IDH regarding the different facets of community diversity and the time after disturbance, and (iii) we analyzed community resilience and time to recovery.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Study site

Paracou station in French Guiana (5°18'N and 52°53'W) is located in a lowland tropical rain forest in a tropical wet climate with mean annual temperature of 26°C, mean annual precipitation averaging 2980 mm.y^{-1} (30-y period) and a 3-month dry season (< 100 mm.month^{-1}) from mid-August to mid-November, and a one-month dry season in March (Wagner *et al.*, 2011). Elevation ranges from 5 to 50 m and mean annual temperature is 26°C. Soils are thin ferralitic acrisols over a layer of transformed saprolite. The ferralitic surface is thin in the whole area, with low permeability and lateral drainage, except in the highest hilltops where it is thick and with a free vertical drainage (Gourlet-Fleury *et al.*, 2004).

The experiment is a network of 12 6.25 ha plots that underwent a disturbance gradient of three logging, thinning and fuelwood cutting treatments (Table 1) according to a randomized plot design with three replicate blocks of four plots. The disturbance corresponds to an intensity gradient. For treatment 1 (T1) 10 trees of commercial species (of a diameter at 1.3 m height (DBH) equal or above 50 cm)

were felled per hectare. For treatment 2 (T2) 10 trees/ha of commercial species (DBH $\geq$ 50 cm) were felled and 30 trees/ha of non-valuable species (DBH $\geq$ 40 cm) were removed by poison girdling. For treatment 3 (T3) 10 trees/ha of commercial species (DBH $\geq$ 50 cm) were felled and 30 trees/ha of non-valuable species (15 with DBH $\geq$ 50 cm and 15 with DBH $\geq$ 40 cm) were removed by poison girdling (Schmitt & Bariteau, 1989). Disturbance intensity was measured as the percentage of aboveground biomass (%AGB) lost between the first inventory in 1984 and five years after disturbance (Piponiot *et al.*, 2016) estimated with the BIOMASS R package (Réjou-Méchain *et al.*, 2018).

2.2 Inventories protocol and dataset collection

The study site corresponds to a tropical rainforest typical of the Guiana Shield with a dominance of *Fabaceae*, *Chrysobalanaceae*, *Lecythidaceae* and *Sapotaceae*. In the 12 experimental plots, all trees above 10 cm DBH have been mapped and measured annually since 1984. Trees are first identified with a vernacular name assigned by the forest worker team, and afterward with a scientific name assigned by botanists during regular botanical campaigns. In 1984, specific vernacular names were given to 62 commercial or common species whereas more infrequent ones were identified under general identifiers only distinguishing trees and palms. From 2003, botanical campaigns have been conducted every 5 to 6 years to identify all trees at the species level but identification levels still varied among plots and campaigns.

This variability of protocols in time raised methodological issues as vernacular names usually correspond to different botanical species. This resulted in significant taxonomic uncertainty that had to be propagated to composition and diversity metrics. The uncertainty propagation was done through a Bayesian framework reconstituting complete inventories at genus level from real incomplete ones on the basis of vernacular/botanical names association. Vernacular names were replaced through multinomial trials based on the association probability $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_V]$ observed across all inventories between each vernacular name v and all species $[s_1, s_2, ..., s_N]$:

$$M_v\Big([s_1, s_2, ..., s_N], [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_V]\Big)$$

See Supplementary Materials -Fig. S1 and Aubry-Kientz *et al.* (2013) for the detailed methodology.

Six functional traits representing leaf economics (leaf thickness, toughness, total chlorophyll content and specific leaf area) and stem economics (wood specific gravity and bark thickness), and life-history traits (maximum specific height and seed mass) came from the BRIDGE project [1]. Trait values were assessed from a selection of individuals located in nine permanent plots in French Guiana, including two in Paracou, and comprised 294 species pertaining to 157 genera. Missing trait values (10%) were filled using multivariate imputation by chained equation (van Buuren & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011). Imputations were restricted within genus or family when samples were too scarce, in

order to account for the phylogenetic signal. Whenever a species was not in the dataset, it was attributed a set of trait values randomly sampled among species of the next higher taxonomic level (same genus or family). As seed mass information was classified into classes, no data filling process was applied and analyses were restricted to the 414 botanical species recorded.

All composition and diversity metrics were obtained after 50 iterations of the uncertainty propagation framework.

2.3 Composition and diversity metrics

Because of the variability in the precision of botanical identification efforts, we were constraint to conduct the taxonomic composition and diversity analysis at the genus level. Taxonomic and functional trajectories of community composition were followed in a two-dimensional NMDS ordination plan. Two NMDS using abundance-based (Bray-Curtis) dissimilarity measures were conducted to map either taxonomic or functional composition, the latter based on the seven leaf, stem and life history traits (without seed mass classes). Trajectories along time were reported through the Euclidean distance between the target inventories and the reference inventories in 1989, *i.e.* 2 years after disturbance. Univariate trajectories of the leaf, stem and life-history traits were also visualized with the community weighted means (CWM) (Díaz *et al.*, 2007). Species seed mass were given in 5 mass classes. Seed mass trajectories were therefore reported as the proportion of each class in the inventories (Supplementary materials).

The taxonomic diversity was reported through species richness and the Hill number translation of the Simpson index (Hill, 1973). These metrics allowed assessing the taxonomic richness as well as evenness, through the comparison between richness and Simpson diversity. R.esults will thus be discussed directly in terms of taxonomic richness and evenness. Both indices belong to the set of HCDT or generalized entropy, respectively corresponding to the 0 and 2 order of diversity (q), recommended for diversity studies (Marcon, 2015). The functional diversity was reported using the functional richness and functional evenness, *i.e* the Rao index of quadratic entropy. The Rao index combines species abundance distribution, and the average pairwise functional dissimilarity between species computed by the gower distance.

The impacts of initial disturbance were tested with the Spearman rank correlation between the extremes of taxonomic and functional metrics reached over the 30 years and the initial %AGB loss. They were besides analyzed through polynomial regression between (i) taxonomic and functional richness and evenness and (ii) the initial %AGB loss at 10, 20 and 30 years after disturbance.

Functional redundancy was measured as the overlap among species in community functional space (Carmona *et al.*, 2016). First, the individuals of the trait database were mapped in the plane of the two first axes from a PCA analysis. Then, for each species, the traits probability density (TPD) were computed through two-dimension kernel density estimators. Second, for each community, the TDB weigthed by species abundance were summed accross the functional space. Third, the functional space was divided

---

[1] http://www.ecofog.gf/Bridge/

Table 1. Intervention table, summary of the disturbance intensity for the 4 plot treatments in Paracou.

Treatment	Timber	Thinning	Fuelwood	%AGB lost
Control	-	-	-	0
T1, low	DBH $\geq$ 50 cm, commercial species, $\approx 10\ trees.ha^{-1}$	-	-	$[12-33]$
T2, intermediate	DBH $\geq$ 50 cm, commercial species, $\approx 10\ trees.ha^{-1}$	DBH $\geq$ 40 cm, non-valuable species, $\approx 30\ trees.ha^{-1}$	-	$[33-56]$
T3, high	DBH $\geq$ 50 cm, commercial species, $\approx 10\ trees.ha^{-1}$	DBH $\geq$ 50 cm, non-valuable species, $\approx 15\ trees.ha^{-1}$	40 cm $\leq$ DBH $\leq$ 50 cm, non-valuable species, $\approx 15\ trees.ha^{-1}$	$[35-56]$

into a 100 x 100 grid and the number of species with a positive TDP was counted in each cell. The average count across cells minus 1 returnedthe Community Functional Redundancy, which was the average number of species in the community that share the same trait values.

3. Results

3.1 Community Composition
From 1989 (2 years after disturbance) to 2015 (28 years after disturbance), 828 388 individual trees and 591 botanical species pertaining to 223 genera and 64 families were recorded.

While both taxonomic and functional composition remained stable in undisturbed communities (Fig. 1), they followed marked and consistent trajectories over post-disturbance time. In disturbed communities, these compositional changes corresponded to shifts towards species with more acquisitive functional strategies, from communities with high mean WSG to high mean SLA and chlorophyll content (see appendix I). For functional composition, this translated into cyclic compositional changes with an incomplete recovery of the initial composition (Fig. 1). The maximum dissimilarity with the initial state was positively correlated with the disturbance intensity for both taxonomic and functional composition ($\rho_{Spearman}^{Taxonomic} = 0.87$ and $\rho_{Spearman}^{Functional} = 0.90$ respectively). The maximum dissimilarity with the initial was reached for taxonomic composition between 15 to 25 years, for most of the plots, and around 22 years for functional composition.

Community CWM average value of all traits and seed mass proportions followed unimodal trajectories, either stabilizing or returning towards their initial values, to the exception of leaf chlorophyll content, which continued to increase for some T3 and T2 plots 30 years after disturbance.

Community CWM average value of Maximum height at adult stage (*Hmax*), leaf toughness and wood specific gravity (*WSG*) first decreased and then slightly increased but remained significantly lower than their initial value (Fig. 2). On the other side, bark thickness and specific leaf area (*SLA*) increased and while bark thickness remained sub-

stantially high after 30 years, *SLA* had almost recovered to its initial value. For all traits, the maximum difference to initial value was correlated to the disturbance intensity. Positive correlations were observed for Leaf thickness, chlorophyll content, SLA and bark thickness ($\rho_{Spearman}^{Leaf thickness} = 0.76$, $\rho_{Spearman}^{Chlorophyll content} = 0.60$, $\rho_{Spearman}^{SLA} = 0.93$, $\rho_{Spearman}^{Bark thickness} = 0.71$). Negative correlation was observed for Leaf toughness, WSG and Hmax ($\rho_{Spearman}^{Leaf toughness} = -0.53$, $\rho_{Spearman}^{WSG} = -0.75$, $\rho_{Spearman}^{Hmax} = -0.40$) The proportions of the three lightest seed mass classes increased in all disturbed plots, and decreased after 30 years for the lightest class while it stabilized for the two other (Supp. Mat. - Fig. S2).

3.2 Community taxonomic and functional diversity
For undisturbed plots, taxonomic richness and Simpson diversity remained stable over the 30 years of monitoring. In disturbed communities, after low disturbance intensity the taxonomic richness increased, reaching a maximum gain of 14 botanical genera (plot 3 from treatment 2). After intense disturbance the taxonomic richness followed a more complex trajectory, decreasing for ten years after disturbance before recovering to pre-disturbance values. The maximum richness loss or gain after disturbance was positively correlated with the disturbance intensity ($\rho_{Spearman}^{Richness} = 0.50$). In all disturbed plots the Simpson diversity first increased until a maximum reached after around 20 years. This maximum was positively correlated with the disturbance intensity ($\rho_{Spearman}^{Simpson} = 0.77$). The Simpson diversity then stabilized except for two T3 plots (plots 8 and 12) for which it kept increasing (Fig. 3).

The plot 7 from treatment 1 displayed constantly outlying functional richness and Rao diversity and was removed from the graphical representation for better readability. In undisturbed plots both functional richness and Rao diversity remained stable along the 30 years. In disturbed plots, both trajectories depended on the disturbance intensity, with their maximum being positively correlated to %AGB loss $\rho_{Spearman}^{Richness} = 0.76$ and $\rho_{Spearman}^{Rao} = 0.60$. Functional richness and Rao diversity displayed for low disturbance intensity

Figure 1. Plot trajectories in terms of taxonomic composition (**(a)** and **(c)**) and functional composition (**(b)** and **(d)**) in a two-dimensional NMDS plan. Lower panels (**(c)** and **(d)**) represent the Euclidean distance to initial condition along the 30 sampled years. Shaded areas are the credibility intervals.

Community Weighted Means

Figure 2. Trajectories of community weighted means over 30 years after disturbance of four leaf traits (Leaf thickness, chlorophyll content, toughness, and specific area), two stem traits (wood specific gravity, and bark thickness) and one life history trait (Specific maximum height at adult stage).

Figure 3. Trajectories over 30 years of the difference with the 1989 inventory (2 years after disturbance) of community taxonomic richness **(a)**, Simpson diversity **(b)**, functional richness **(c)**, and Rao diversity **(d)**. Shaded areas are the credibility intervals

a low but long-lasting increase up to a maximum reached after 20-25 years, and for high intensity, a fast but short increase followed after 10 years by a slow decrease towards the initial values.

The second-degree polynomial regressions between (i) the percentage AGB loss and (ii) taxonomic and functional diversity after 10, 20 and 30 years best predicted the hump-shaped curve of the disturbance impact along the disturbance intensity gradient 4. The relationship between the disturbance impact and its intensity was more markedly hump-shaped for the taxonomic richness than for the Simpson diversity. For both functional richness and Rao diversity the relationship was almost linear. The regression model better predicted the functional richness and Rao diversity ($0.55 < R^2_{FunctionalRichness} < 0.72$, and $0.60 < R^2_{FunctionalRao} < 0.81$) than the taxonomic richness and evenness ($0.21 < R^2_{TaxonomicRichness} < 0.4$, and $-0.15 < R^2_{TaxonomicSimpson} < 0.43$ respectively).

3.3 Functional redundancy

All disturbed plots had lower functional redundancy than control plots and followed similar hump-shaped trajectories (5). The maximum redundancy loss was positively correlated with the disturbance intensity ($\rho_{Spearman} = 0.47$) and the recovery had not attained initial values for any disturbed communities after 30 years.

4. Discussion

4.1 Decoupled taxonomic and functional trajectories

Before disturbance, the different communities had different taxonomic compositions, visualized by their distinct starting points on the NMDS axis 2. These initial differences were maintained all along the 30 years following disturbance, with post-disturbance trajectories corresponding to a displacement on the NMDS axis 1. The taxonomic compositional changes following disturbance were similar among communities, which may correspond to the recruitment of a group of pioneers common to all communities whenever their initial differences and the disturbance intensity (Denslow & Guzman, 2000; Bongers *et al.*, 2009). Taxonomic trajectories continued with a recovery of the initial composition which, although not fully achieved after 30 years, suggested the community taxonomic resilience and the maintenance of community initial differences (Folke, 2006). The return towards initial taxonomic composition showed that species not belonging to the pre-disturbance community were rarely recruited, probably because of the common dispersal limitations among tropical tree species (Svenning & Wright, 2005).

Regarding functional composition, initial communities were similar and trajectories were confounded in the functional space. Following disturbance, the functional composition of pre-disturbance surviving trees is belived to be the same as this of the initial community (Hérault & Piponiot, 2018). Post-disturbance changes in functional composition then relied upon the recruitment of species or functional types previously infrequent or absent. These species were probably competitive pioneers becoming dominant as the most efficient to benefit from the light, space and nutrients made available by disturbance. This recruitment of pioneers drove functional composition trajectories similar for all plots and disturbance intensity. The composition first went towards more resource-acquisitive strategies, which was translated by a displacement on the right along the first axis in the functional plan (Westoby, 1998; Wright *et al.*, 2004; Reich, 2014). Thereafter, the pioneers recruited primarily were progressively excluded by long-lived, more resistant and shade-tolerant species. The community functional composition then returned towards more resource-conservative strategies, suggesting the recovery of the initial community composition and translated in the functional plan by a displacement left along the first axis and upward along the second axis (Fig. 1).

Both taxonomic and functional composition trajectories initiated a return towards pre-disturbance state after 30 years, which highlighted the taxonomic and functional resilience of communities. There was however a decoupling between taxonomic and functional composition trajectories: while as taxonomic trajectories maintained the initial differences among communities, the functional trajectories where similar and convergent in the functional space (Fukami *et al.*, 2005).

4.2 The scope of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis

Community taxonomic richness and evenness trajectories were determined by the disturbance intensity, ranging from a limited and temporary impact to significant and persistant alterations of community diversity. The taxonomic trajectories markedly changed above an intensity threshold for which the taxonomic richness was maximized and the taxonomic evenness remained resilient. The disturbance intensity determined the balance in the community between pre-disturbance surviving trees, and trees recruited afterward. Below a 20%-25% AGB loss, the trees surviving after disturbance remained numerous enough to maintain the high taxonomic richness of the pre-disturbance community (Bongers *et al.*, 2009). The recruitment of pionners, infrequent or absent before disturbance, then increased the taxonomic richness all the more so that the disturbance was intense (Martin *et al.*, 2015; Chaudhary *et al.*, 2016). As these pioneers became more dominant they balanced the usual hyper-dominance of tropical forests, hence temporarily increasing the taxonomic evenness (Baraloto *et al.*, 2012). Above the intensity threshold, the taxonomic richness of surviving trees was too low to be offset by the recruitment of pioneers. In the Guiana shield indeed, the pool of true pioneers specifically recruited after disturbance is restricted to a few common genera (e.g. *Cecropia* spp., *Vismia* spp.) (Guitet *et al.*, 2018). The taxonomic richness then decreased following intense disturbance, all the more so that the disturbance intensity was high (Molino & Sabatier, 2001). At the same time, pioneers became persistently dominant and prevented a return towards the initial evenness and the recovery of hyper-dominant shade-tolerant species.

Taxonomic trajectories following disturbance of intermediate intensity besides highlighted an intermediate time after disturbance, around 15-20 years, for which the taxo-

Figure 4. Relationship between the initial %AGB loss and community taxonomic richness **(a)**, taxonomic evenness **(b)**, functional richness **(c)**, and functional evenness **(d)** at 10, 20 and 30 years after disturbance


Figure 5. Trajectories of the functional redundancy within the initial functional space over 30 years after disturbance. Shaded areas are the credibility intervals.

nomic evenness was maximized. As already observed in the Guiana shield (Baraloto *et al.*, 2012) and in Borenan tropical forests (Cannon, 1998), taxonomic evenness followed hump-shaped trajectories with a peak when the recruitment was balanced between pioneers and late-successional species. This intermediate time corresponded to the match between the generation time of pioneers, first to dominate the post-disturbance recruitment, and the time for competitive exclusion to emerge, which leads to the dominance of late-successional species.

Regarding community functional trajectories in contrast, there was neither intermediate disturbance intensity nor intermediate time after disturbance, which dismissed the IDH. Neither functional richness nor functional evenness displayed a humped-shaped pattern, but both rather increased with the recruitment of pioneers that were functionally highly different from the pre-disturbance community (Denslow, 1980; Molino & Sabatier, 2001). Above the intensity threshold however, for the most intense disturbance, community functional richness and evenness started to decrease after 15 to 20 years. The right after disturbance,

short-lived species benefited from the resources made available and prevented the establishment of other species. The decline of these short-lived pioneers decreased the functional richness and evenness, but we suggest that the establishment of long-lasting pioneers will follow and that the trajectories will catch up with those observed for intermediate disturbance (Walker & del Moral, 2009).

The IDH then translated into community taxonomic response, with post-disturbance trajectories markedly different below and above an intensity threshold. The IDH was also valid at an intermediate time after disturbance, when the recruitment of early- and late-successional species were balanced. Functional trajectories, however, remained decoupled from taxonomic ones and dismissed the IDH.

4.3 The functional redundancy, key of community resilience

The decoupling between taxonomic and functional trajectories was explained by a decrease in the functional redundancy within the pre-disturbance functional space, due to the loss of species following disturbance. The recruitment of pioneers, functionally different from the pre-disturbance functional composition, did not compensate the decrease of functional redundancy in the first place. Progressively though, the functional redundancy was restored through the replacement of the first established species by more competitive, long-lived pioneers or late-successional species that were functionally closer to the pre-disturbance community. This replacement followed the lottery recruitment rules, implying an recruitment easy for the first species but becoming increasingly hampered by the emergence of interspecific competition (Busing & Brokaw, 2002). The recovery of the functional redundancy then relied upon the random processe of species recruitment and was increasingly slow and difficult to anticipate (Elmqvist *et al.*, 2003; Díaz *et al.*, 2005).

The impact of disturbance on community functional redundancy meant a lower resilience of the disturbed communities, with higher chances to see the settltement of pioneers and early-successional species at the expense of late-successional ones (Haddad *et al.*, 2008). Besides, the

random recovery of infrequent species increases the risks to lose keystone species, with unexpected ecological consequences (Jones *et al.*, 1994; Chazdon, 2003; Díaz *et al.*, 2005). Infrequent species might indeed have unique functional characteristics, apart from those considered here, in the ecosystem or be a key for some fauna (Schleuning *et al.*, 2016).

5. Conclusion

Community post-disturbance trajectories in compositon and diversity were shaped by the recruitment of a determined pool of pioneers identical among communities and disturbance intensity. Nevertheless, taxonomic and functional composition trajectories were decoupled: while functional trajectories remained similar in the functional space and converged towards the recovery of a comparable initial state, taxonomic trajectories shiwed initial differences in community composition that were maintained along time. This decoupling was explained by a decrease of the functional redundancy which mitigated the functional impact of disturbance. Community functional and taxonomic diversity response to disturbance was contrasted as well. While the functional trajectories remained similar whenever the disturbance intensity, taxonomic trajectories were markedly different above a threshold of 20-25% AGB removed for which taxonomic richness was maximized and taxonomic eveness remained resilient. The Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis applied to the linkage of disturbance with the taxonomic diversity, but not with the functional diversity. Taxonomic diversity was maximized following a disturbance of intermediate intensity, that was the threshold of 25% AGB removed, and at an intermediate time after disturbance, that was around 25 years after disturbance when the recruitment of early- and late-successional species were balanced. Whenever the disturbance intensity, community resilience (in terms of recovery of the pre-disturbance state) was tangible but required several decades and relied upon the random, lottery recruitment of rare species. Although resilient, the functional redundancy was lower for more than 30 years after disturbance which cautioned against the risks of infrequent species loss and the persistence of disturbance-specific communities (Hérault & Piponiot, 2018).

6. Acknowledgement

7. Author's contributions

AM, EM & BH designed the study, developed the analysis framework, interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication.

8. Data availability

This article is based upon the dataset of the Paracou station, which is part of the Guyafor permanent plot network in French Guiana (Cirad-CNRS-ONF). The dataset is available upon request to the scientific director (https://paracou.cirad.fr).

References

Anderson-Teixeira, K.J., Miller, A.D., Mohan, J.E., Hudiburg, T.W., Duval, B.D. & DeLucia, E.H. (2013) Altered dynamics of forest recovery under a changing climate. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 2001–2021.

Aubry-Kientz, M., Hérault, B., Ayotte-Trépanier, C., Baraloto, C. & Rossi, V. (2013) Toward Trait-Based Mortality Models for Tropical Forests. *PLoS ONE*, **8**.

Baraloto, C., Hérault, B., Paine, C.E.T., Massot, H., Blanc, L., Bonal, D., Molino, J.F.F., Nicolini, E.a. & Sabatier, D. (2012) Contrasting taxonomic and functional responses of a tropical tree community to selective logging. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **49**, 861–870.

Bellwood, D.R., Wainwright, P., Fulton, C. & Hoey, A. (2006) Functional versatility supports coral reef biodiversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **273**, 101–107.

Bongers, F., Poorter, L., Hawthorne, W.D. & Sheil, D. (2009) The intermediate disturbance hypothesis applies to tropical forests, but disturbance contributes little to tree diversity. *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 798–805.

Busing, R.T. & Brokaw, N. (2002) Tree species diversity in temperate and tropical forest gaps: the role of lottery recruitment. *Folia geobotanica*, **37**, 33–43.

Cannon, C.H. (1998) Tree Species Diversity in Commercially Logged Bornean Rainforest. *Science*, **281**, 1366–1368.

Carmona, C.P., de Bello, F., Mason, N.W. & Lepš, J. (2016) Traits Without Borders: Integrating Functional Diversity Across Scales. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **31**, 382–394.

Chaudhary, A., Burivalova, Z., Koh, L.P. & Hellweg, S. (2016) Impact of Forest Management on Species Richness: Global Meta-Analysis and Economic Trade-Offs. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 1–10.

Chave, J., Coomes, D., Jansen, S., Lewis, S.L., Swenson, N.G. & Zanne, A.E. (2009) Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 351–366.

Chazdon, R.L. (2003) Tropical forest recovery: legacies of human impact and natural disturbances. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, **6**, 51–71.

Connell, J.H. (1978) Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Science*, **199**, 1302–1310.

Denslow, J.S. & Guzman, G.S. (2000) Variation in stand structure, light and seedling abundance across a tropical moist forest chronosequence, Panama. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **11**, 201–212.

Denslow, J.S. (1980) Gap Partitioning among Tropical Rainforest Trees. *Biotropica*, **12**, 47–55.

Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., de Bello, F., Quétier, F., Grigulis, K. & Robson, T.M. (2007) Incorporating plant functional diversity effects in ecosystem service assessments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **104**, 20684–20689.

Díaz, S., Tilman, D., Fargione, J., Chapin III, F.S., Dirzo, R., Kitzberger, T., Gemmill, B., Zobel, M., Vilà, M., Mitchell, C., Wilby, A., Daily, G.C., Galetti, M., Laurance, W.F., Pretty, J., Naylor, R., Power, A., Harvell, A., Potts, S., Kremen, C., Griswold, T. & Eardley, C. (2005) Biodiversity Regulation of Ecosystem Services. *Trends and conditions*, pp. 297–329.

Elmqvist, T., Folke, C., Nystrom, M., Peterson, G., Bengtsson, J., Walker, B. & Norberg, J. (2003) Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **1**, 488–494.

Folke, C. (2006) Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social–ecological systems analyses. *Global environmental change*, **16**, 253–267.

Fox, J.W. (2013) The intermediate disturbance hypothesis should be abandoned. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, **28**, 86–92.

Fukami, T., Bezemer, T.M., Mortimer, S.R. & Van Der Putten, W.H. (2005) Species divergence and trait convergence in experimental plant community assembly. *Ecology Letters*, **8**, 1283–1290.

Garcia_Florez, L., Vanclay, J.K., Glencross, K. & Nichols, J.D. (2017) Understanding 48 years of changes in tree diversity, dynamics and species responses since logging disturbance in a subtropical rainforest. *Forest ecology and management*, **393**, 29–39.

Goulamoussène, Y., Bedeau, C., Descroix, L., Linguet, L. & Hérault, B. (2017) Environmental control of natural gap size distribution in tropical forests. *Biogeosciences*, **14**, 353–364.

Gourlet-Fleury, S., Guehl, J.M. & Laroussinie, O. (2004) Ecology & management of a neotropical rainforest. Lessons drawn from Paracou, a long-term experimental research site in French Guiana.

Guitet, S., Sabatier, D., Brunaux, O., Couteron, P., Denis, T., Freycon, V., Gonzalez, S., Hérault, B., Jaouen, G., Molino, J.F., Pélissier, R., Richard-Hansen, C. & Vincent, G. (2018) Disturbance regimes drive the diversity of regional floristic pools across Guianan rainsforest landscapes. *Scientific Reports*, **8**, 3872.

Haddad, N.M., Holyoak, M., Mata, T.M., Davies, K.F., Melbourne, B.A. & Preston, K. (2008) Species' traits predict the effects of disturbance and productivity on diversity. *Ecology Letters*, **11**, 348–356.

Hérault, B., Bachelot, B., Poorter, L., Rossi, V., Bongers, F., Chave, J., Paine, C.E.T., Wagner, F. & Baraloto, C. (2011) Functional traits shape ontogenetic growth trajectories of rain forest tree species. *Journal of Ecology*, **99**, 1431–1440.

Hérault, B. & Piponiot, C. (2018) Key drivers of ecosystem recovery after disturbance in a neotropical forest. *Forest Ecosystems*, **5**, 2.

Hill, M.O. (1973) Diversity and Evenness : A Unifying Notation and Its Consequences. *Ecological Society of America*, **54**, 427–432.

Hubbell, S.P. (2001) *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Princeton University Press.

Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H. & Shachak, M. (1994) Organisms as ecosystem engineers. *Ecosystem management*, pp. 130–147. Springer.

Lavorel, S. & Garnier, É. (2002) Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits:. *Functional Ecology*, **16**, 545–556.

Lindenmayer, D.B., Burton, P.J. & Franklin, J.F. (2012) *Salvage logging and its ecological consequences*. Island Press.

Marcon, E. (2015) Practical Estimation of Diversity from Abundance Data. *HAL archives-ouvertes*, p. 9.

Martin, P.A., Newton, A.C., Pfeifer, M., Khoo, M. & Bullock, J.M. (2015) Impacts of tropical selective logging on carbon storage and tree species richness: A meta-analysis. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **356**, 224–233.

Mayfield, M.M. & Levine, J.M. (2010) Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the phylogenetic structure of communities. *Ecology Letters*, **13**, 1085–1093.

Molino, J.F. & Sabatier, D. (2001) Tree diversity in tropical rain forests: a validation of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis. *Science*, **294**, 1702–1704.

Morales-Hidalgo, D., Oswalt, S.N. & Somanathan, E. (2015) Status and trends in global primary forest, protected areas, and areas designated for conservation of biodiversity from the Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **352**, 68–77.

Norden, N., Boukili, V., Chao, A., Ma, K., Letcher, S.G. & Chazdon, R.L. (2017) Opposing mechanisms affect taxonomic convergence between tree assemblages during tropical forest succession. *Ecology letters*, **20**, 1448–1458.

Piponiot, C., Sist, P., Mazzei, L., Peña-Claros, M., Putz, F.E., Rutishauser, E., Shenkin, A., Ascarrunz, N., de Azevedo, C.P., Baraloto, C., França, M., Guedes, M.C., Coronado, E.N., D'Oliveira, M.V., Ruschel, A.R., da Silva, K.E., Sotta, E.D., de Souza, C.R., Vidal, E., West, T.A. & Hérault, B. (2016) Carbon recovery dynamics following disturbance by selective logging in amazonian forests. *ELife*, **5**, e21394.

Pulsford, S.A., Lindenmayer, D.B. & Driscoll, D.A. (2016) A succession of theories: purging redundancy from disturbance theory. *Biological Reviews*, **91**, 148–167.

Randall Hughes, A., Byrnes, J.E., Kimbro, D.L. & Stachowicz, J.J. (2007) Reciprocal relationships and potential feedbacks between biodiversity and disturbance. *Ecology letters*, **10**, 849–864.

Rees, M., Condit, R., Crawley, M., Pacala, S. & Tilman, D. (2001) Long-term studies of vegetation dynamics. *Science*, **293**, 650–655.

Reich, P.B. (2014) The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: A traits manifesto. *Journal of Ecology*, **102**, 275–301.

Réjou-Méchain, M., Tanguy, A., Piponiot, C., Chave, J. & Hérault, B. (2018) *BIOMASS: Estimating Aboveground Biomass and Its Uncertainty in Tropical Forests.*

Rutishauser, E., Hérault, B., Petronelli, P. & Sist, P. (2016) Tree Height Reduction After Selective Logging in a Tropical Forest. *Biotropica*, **48**, 285–289.

Schleuning, M., Fruend, J., Schweiger, O., Welk, E., Albrecht, J., Albrecht, M., Beil, M., Benadi, G., Bluethgen, N., Bruelheide, H. *et al.* (2016) Ecological networks are more sensitive to plant than to animal extinction under climate change. *Nature communications*, **7**, 13965.

Schmitt, L. & Bariteau, M. (1989) Management of the guianese forest ecosystem : study of growth and natural regeneration (Paracou program). *Bois et Forêts des Tropiques*, **220**, 3.

Schnitzer, S.A. & Carson, W.P. (2001) Treefall Gaps and the Maintenance of Species Diversity in a Tropical Forest. *Ecology*, **82**, 913–919.

Shea, K., Roxburgh, S.H. & Rauschert, E.S. (2004) Moving from pattern to process: coexistence mechanisms under intermediate disturbance regimes. *Ecology letters*, **7**, 491–508.

Sheil, D. & Burslem, D.F.R.P. (2003) Disturbing hypotheses in tropical forests. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **18**, 18–26.

Sheil, D. & Burslem, D.F. (2013) Defining and defending connell's intermediate disturbance hypothesis: a response to fox. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, **28**, 571–572.

Sist, P., Pacheco, P., Nasi, R. & Blaser, J. (2015) Management of natural tropical forests for the future. Technical report, IUFRO WFSE.

Svenning, J. & Wright, S. (2005) Seed Limitation in a Panamian Forest. *Journal of Ecology*, **93**, 853–562.

ter Steege, H., Pitman, N.C.A., Phillips, O.L., Chave, J., Sabatier, D., Duque, A., Molino, J.F., Prévost, M.F., Spichiger, R., Castellanos, H., von Hildebrand, P. & Vásquez, R. (2006) Continental-scale patterns of canopy tree composition and function across Amazonia. *Nature*, **443**, 0–2.

van Buuren, S. & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011) mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in r. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **45**, 1–67.

Violle, C., Navas, M.L.L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I. & Garnier, E. (2007) Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos*, **116**, 882–892.

Wagner, F., Hérault, B., Stahl, C., Bonal, D. & Rossi, V. (2011) Modeling water availability for trees in tropical forests. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **151**, 1202–1213.

Walker, L.R. & del Moral, R. (2009) Transition dynamics in succession: implications for rates, trajectories and restoration. *New models for ecosystem dynamics and restoration*, pp. 33–49.

Westoby, M. (1998) A leaf-height-seed (LHS) plant ecology strategy scheme. *Plant and Soil*, **199**, 213–227.

Westoby, M. & Wright, I.J. (2006) Land-plant ecology on the basis of functional traits. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **21**, 261–268.

Willig, M. & Presley, S. (2018) Biodiversity and Disturbance. D. DellaSala & M. Goldstein, eds., *The Encyclopedia of the Antropocene,vol. 3*, pp. 45–51. Oxford, elsevier edition.

Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., Cavender-Bares, J., Chapin, T., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Diemer, M., Flexas, J., Garnier, E., Groom, P.K., Gulias, J., Hikosaka, K., Lamont, B.B., Lee, T., Lee, W., Lusk, C., Midgley, J.J., Navas, M.L., Niinemets, &., Oleksyn, J., Osada, N., Poorter, H., Poot, P., Prior, L., Pyankov, V.I., Roumet, C., Thomas, S.C., Tjoelker, M.G., Veneklaas, E.J. & Villar, R. (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*, **428**, 821–827.