

# CS205 C/ C++ Programming - Project2

---

樊顺

11811901

- 1. Part 1 Requirements
- 2. Part 2 The Implement of the Requirements
  - 2.1. **redirect the output to the file**
  - 2.2. **Calculate the matrix multiple as double and float separately**
  - 2.3. **Improving the speed of calculating**
    - 2.3.1. Brute force solution
    - 2.3.2. Reverse cycle order

## 1. Part 1 Requirements

- redirect the output to the file.
- Calculate the matrix multiple as double and float separately.
- Improving the speed of calculating.

## 2. Part 2 The Implement of the Requirements

### 2.1. redirect the output to the file

In this part, I use the `<fstream>` to implement the redirect the output to the file. And when finish the output, close the stream. The code of output show as follow:

```
.....
ofstream file(out);
file.setf(ios::fixed);
for (int i = 0; i < result.size(); i++)
{
    for (int j = 0; j < result[i].size(); j++)
    {
        file << result[i][j] << " ";
    }
    file << endl;
}
file.close();
.....
```

### 2.2. Calculate the matrix multiple as double and float separately

In this task, I use the double type to store all input numbers in two vectors. After the calculate, the result also store as double in vector.

In the basic implement, I use the Two-dimensional array `vector<vector<double>>` to store the input matrix.

Due to storage structure, the calculate was always using the double to calculate. And when the result output to the file, I make sure that the precision of all output data is 5 decimal places.

## 2.3. Improving the speed of calculating

### 2.3.1. Brute force solution

- In this implement, I use three **for loop** to calculate two matrix multiple. The code is :

```
vector<vector<double>>> calculate(
                                vector<vector<double>>> &a,
                                vector<vector<double>>> &b)
{
    vector<vector<double>>> result;
    for (int i = 0; i < a.size(); i++)
    {
        vector<double> temp;
        for (int j = 0; j < b.size(); j++)
        {
            double res = 0;
            for (int k = 0; k < a.size(); k++)
            {
                res += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
            }
            temp.push_back(res);
        }
        result.push_back(temp);
    }
    return result;
}
```

- This method is the **basic and slowest** implementation. The time cost in calculate two matrix(the size both are 2048 \* 2048) is 147s.

```
./matmul mat-A-2048.txt mat-B-2048.txt out2048.txt
Read in First file used time :0.709465s
Read in second file used time :0.710928s
Calculate two matrix multiple used time :147.305s
Output the result to file used time :2.674s
```

### 2.3.2. Reverse cycle order

- In this Implementation, I change the cycle order, make the first loop the **k**, the loop **j**(which means this method value taken from the a array is first calculated with the data in the column of the b array.).

The code is :

```

vector<vector<double>> calculateReverse(
    vector<vector<double>> &a,
    vector<vector<double>> &b)
{
    vector<vector<double>> result;
    int size = a.size();
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++)
    {
        vector<double> temp;
        for (int k = 0; k < size; k++)
        {
            double res = 0;
            double s = a[i][k];
            for (int j = 0; j < size; j++)
            {
                res += s * b[k][j];
            }
            temp.push_back(res);
        }
        result.push_back(temp);
    }
    return result;
}

```

- For this method, the performance of the program has been significantly improved, greatly reducing the time consumed by the calculation.

```

./matmul mat-A-2048.txt mat-B-2048.txt out2048.txt
Read in First file used time :0.704428s
Read in second file used time :0.699405s
Calculate two matrix multiple used time :142.224s
Calculate two matrix multiple with Reverse cycle order used time :32.7107s
Output the result to file used time :2.664s
Output the result to out2 file used time :2.586s

```

## Analysis

In the analysis, it was found that the reasons for the inefficiency of matrix multiplication were on the one hand due to the complexity of the  $O(n^3)$  algorithm, and on the other hand due to the discontinuity of memory access, which led to the low hit rate of the cache in the storage space.

Assume the definition of a jump uncle to evaluate the discontinuity of memory access.

Assuming that the matrix is stored in rows.

For a loop like **ijk**, after each number in **result matrix** is counted, it jumps once, and jumps back to the beginning after the end of a line. For a matrix of size **n**, the number of hops is  $n^3 + n^2 + n$  times.

For a loop like `ikj`, it calculates the matrix row by row, so the result matrix will only `jump once after each row is calculated`. During this period, the `b` matrix jumped `n times` in total, that is, during the calculation of one row, it jumped `n+1` times. The result matrix has a total of `n` rows, so the total number of jumps is  $n^2+n$ , which greatly reduces the number of jumps and improves the operating efficiency.